ACTigraphically Assessed Activity in Unipolar Depression: A Comparison of Inpatients With and Without Motor Retardation
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In unipolar depression, the presence of psychomotor retardation and agitation is traditionally expressed by the patient's subjective experience and observations by the clinician. Retardation is common in melancholic depression, while activated or agitated depressions have features of mixed states, in which the depressions include manic symptoms. It is important to recognize activated depressions, as there is an increased risk of potentially harmful behavior, including suicidality, and it has clinical consequences. Psychomotor symptoms might characterize subtypes of depression and be predictive of treatment response, and a scarce, but increasing, number of studies have used objective assessment methods to explore this phenomenon.

Actigraphy is a wrist-worn device for activity monitoring, which has been implemented in the evaluation of sleep disorders. It has also been used to evaluate activity in psychiatric disorders, including major depressive disorder (MDD). Twenty-four–hour motor activity in the depressed state seems to be lower than in the euthymic state. Previous studies have failed to find correlations between total scores in depression rating scales and actigraphic daytime activity, and it has been suggested that motor retardation may be better assessed by specific retardation scales.

The temporal resolution and capacity of actigraphs have improved in the past years. By employing adequate analytic methods, the full potential of actigraphy data can be captured. Actigraphy studies using mathematical techniques with a theoretical basis in nonlinear dynamics have described the complexity of motor patterns in patients with depression. In a previous study from our group, we used these nonlinear methods to compare actigraphically assessed motor activity in acutely admitted inpatients with bipolar disorder and found distinct differences in activity parameters and diurnal patterns between the patients with mania and the patients with bipolar depression.

The purpose of the current study was to assess the mean activity level and variability and complexity of motor activity in 24-hour actigraphy recordings from acutely admitted inpatients with unipolar depression, who had been divided into those with and without motor retardation prior to actigraphy monitoring. Our a priori hypothesis was that the patient groups would display differences in activity variables, not only mean activity level. We also wanted to study differences between morning and evening sequences, as well as periods of continuous motor activity. Both patient groups would be compared to healthy controls.

METHOD

Patients
Consecutively, acutely admitted inpatients at the Department of Psychiatry, St Olav’s University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway,
were asked to participate in a study assessing symptoms of agitation during admission. The only exclusion criterion was inability to give an informed consent. All Norwegian acute psychiatric services are public. All patients older than 18 years in the catchment area who suffer from any acute psychiatric condition in need of acute admittance are admitted to this department. At the hospital wards, the patients go to sleep and have their meals at the same time. Patients too disturbed to comply with such routines have individually based services.

A total of 424 admissions were included in the study. The patients with an inpatient stay of more than 1 day after inclusion were asked to wear an actigraph for 24 hours. In total, 280 actigraphy recordings were effectuated during admissions between September 1, 2011 and March 31, 2012. Diagnoses according to ICD-10 criteria for research were set at discharge in a department’s staff consensus meeting including the patient’s therapist and at least 2 psychiatrists, of whom at least one personally knew the patient. The largest diagnostic group was affective disorders, which included 110 admissions (39.3% of the 280 admissions). Of these, 62 admissions were due to a primary diagnosis of a depressive episode or recurrent depressive disorder (F32 and F33).

The 62 patients in a depressive episode with valid actigraphy recordings were separated into 2 groups based on the degree of motor retardation assessed by the Symptomatic Organic Mental Disorder Assessment Scale (SOMAS), item B: “Degree of motor retardation, rated during the period or periods of the previous 24 hours in which the patient was most depressed.” SOMAS is a 5-item scale developed to measure the prevalence and degree of atypical depressive mood symptoms. Item B is modified from the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) item “motor retardation” (general psychopathology scale), and item C, which rates the degree of increased motor activity during the period or periods the previous 24 hours when the patient was most depressed, is modified from the PANSS item “hyperactivity” (positive scale). Patients with any observable motor retardation according to SOMAS item B were classified as motor-retarded, whereas patients rated to have increased motor activity (SOMAS item C) or neither retardation nor increased motor activity were classified as non–motor-retarded. Three patients were not rated for motor activation and were excluded, as were 5 patients who had mixed ratings of both retardation and increased motor activity (SOMAS items B and C). Two of the patients in the non–motor-retardation group were admitted twice; only their first admissions were included in the analyses. Finally, a total of 52 patients were included; 25 in the motor retardation group and 27 in the non–motor-retardation group.

Healthy Controls

The comparison group of healthy controls (n = 28) primarily consists of employees at the Department of Psychiatry, Fonna Regional Health Authority in Western Norway. None of the controls reported to be diagnosed with an affective disorder or prescribed psychopharmacologic drugs. They wore an actigraph for at least 24 hours during the period from March 13, 2012 to June 6, 2013. Eighteen of them wore the actigraph in spring or summer months (April through August).

Recordings of Motor Activity

Motor activity was recorded using an actigraph (Actiwatch Spectrum, Philips Respironics Inc, Murrysville Pennsylvania), which contains a piezoelectric accelerometer programmed to record the integration of intensity, amount, and duration of movement in all directions. A corresponding voltage is produced and stored as an activity count in the memory unit of the actigraph. Patients and healthy controls wore the actigraph around the wrist of their choice and were instructed not to take it off during the next 24 hours. Which wrist was not noted, but previous studies have not found significant differences between the left and right wrist. Patient recordings contained a mean ± SD of 1,354 ± 195 minutes for analysis, ranging from 627 to 1,439 minutes. The median number of minutes was 1,437. Healthy control recordings contained 1,440 minutes from midnight to midnight, as controls were 100% compliant in wearing the actigraph for 24 hours.

Activity counts were recorded for 1-minute intervals during 24 hours. Data were analyzed for the total time of recording. The time period from 6 AM to midnight was separated in morning epochs from 6 AM to 3 PM and evening epochs between 3 PM and midnight. Because many of the recordings contained periods of inactivity, we searched each recording for periods of continuous motor activity in the morning and evening. The active morning period was searched from the start of the series and the active evening period from the end of the series. From each participant we selected the first period of 64 minutes not containing more than 2 consecutive minutes of zero activity counts. If there was no such period, we searched for sequences with no more than 3 consecutive minutes with zero activity, and if that was not found, sequences with at most 4 consecutive minutes with zero activity. In this way we were able to obtain 64-minute sequences from almost all of the participants. Sixty-four minutes was chosen due to the Fourier analysis, which requires sequence lengths to be potencies of 2 (32, 64, 128…).

Two patients in the retardation group lacked a 64-minute sequence with at most 4 consecutive minutes with zero activity in the morning. Both patients were omitted from active morning series analyses, reducing the group with

- Actigraphy can quantify motor activity and might be used to distinguish between motor-retarded and non–motor-retarded patients with depression.
- Further studies might reveal the use of actigraphy as a support for other diagnostic tools.
- Findings in patients with activated depression resemble previously studied mania.
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Sample Entropy

For the analysis of sample entropy, the data were
normalized by transforming the time series to have sample
mean of 0 and sample variance of 1. Sample entropy is a
nonlinear measure that indicates the degree of regularity
(complexity) of a time series and is the negative natural
logarithm of an estimate of the conditional probability
that subseries of a certain length (m) that match pointwise,
within a tolerance (r), also match at the next point. We chose
the following values, m = 2 and r = 0.2. Sample entropy was
used since it can be employed with comparatively short time
series (> 50 points) and is robust with regard to outliers.38

Fourier Analysis

Data were normalized before analysis. No windows
were applied. Results are presented as the relation between
variance in the high frequency part of the spectrum (0.0021–
0.0083 Hz, corresponding to the period of 2–8 minutes) and
the low frequency part (0.00026–0.0021 Hz, corresponding
to 8–64 minutes).

Statistics

Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS version
20.0 (IBM). Means and standard deviations were calculated
for the continuous variables and proportions for the
categorical variables. For comparison of means between
patients, we used t tests, and for comparison of counts
of categorical data, χ2 tests. For comparison of subject
characteristics and activity variables in all 3 groups, we used
1-way analyses of variance with least significant difference
(LSD) post hoc tests to obtain differences between groups.
If unequal variances were assumed, the Tamhane T2 post
hoc test was used. A P value ≤ .05 was considered significant.

Mathematical Analyses

From the activity counts in the actigraph software
program (Actiware, version 5.70.1; Philips), we calculated
means for the whole recording period and the 64-minute
periods of continuous motor activity. We further calculated
the standard deviation (SD) for each time series, which is
an intraindividual measure of fluctuations in activity; the
root mean square successive difference (RMSSD), which
describes the difference in successive counts from minute
to minute; and the RMSSD/SD ratio. For the 64-minute time
periods, we additionally performed a Fourier analysis and
used sample entropy, a measure of complexity. All techniques
represent distinct means of characterizing a series of data in
time. The software used for the estimation of sample entropy
and for the Fourier analysis was obtained from the Physio
Toolkit Research Resource for Complex Physiologic signals37
(see http://www.physionet.org).

RESULTS

Demographic data for all 3 groups are shown in Table 1
and patient characteristics in Table 2.

In the 24-hour recording, the patients with motor
retardation displayed a reduced mean activity level and
greater variability, as given by increased SD and RMSSD in
percent of mean activity, compared to the patients without
motor retardation. Both patient groups differed from healthy
controls in all activity variables in the 24-hour recording
(Table 3).

Analysis of the morning sequence (6 AM–3 PM) produced
many of the same findings as in the 24-hour recording
(Table 4). In the evening (3 PM–12 AM midnight), both
patient groups were less active than the healthy controls
(Table 4). The motor retardation group again had a higher
SD, RMSSD, and RMSSD/SD ratio compared to the healthy
controls. However, there was no difference in mean activity
between patients in the evening, but the patients with motor
retardation had both higher SD and RMSSD in percent
of mean activity compared to the patients without motor
retardation.

The only finding that separated patients with motor
retardation from patients without motor retardation in the
64-minute period of continuous motor activity in the
morning was significantly higher sample entropy in the
patients without motor retardation (Table 5). The motor
activity level was significantly lower and the RMSSD
in percent of mean activity higher among patients than
healthy controls. The patients with retardation additionally
distinguished themselves from the healthy controls by a
significantly increased SD in percent of mean activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unipolar Depression With Motor Retardation (n = 25)</th>
<th>Unipolar Depression Without Motor Retardation (n = 27)</th>
<th>Healthy Controls (n = 28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ± SD, y</td>
<td>43.8 ± 15.9</td>
<td>40.3 ± 15.3</td>
<td>41.7 ± 11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15 (60)</td>
<td>11 (41)</td>
<td>13 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment (including sick leave)</td>
<td>15 (60)</td>
<td>14 (52)</td>
<td>0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher education (above high school)</td>
<td>7 (28)</td>
<td>6 (22)</td>
<td>19 (68)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If not mentioned otherwise, values are shown as n (%).
**P < .001, χ2 test in which both patient groups were compared with healthy
controls.

Ethics Review

The patient study has been approved by the Regional
Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics of
Central Norway and the healthy control study by the
Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research
Ethics of Western Norway. All participants signed a written
informed consent form prior to inclusion. The funding
organizations had no role in the design or conduct of the
study.

Table 1. Demographic Data for Patients With Unipolar Depression With and Without Motor Retardation and Healthy Controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unipolar Depression With Motor Retardation (n = 25)</th>
<th>Unipolar Depression Without Motor Retardation (n = 27)</th>
<th>Healthy Controls (n = 28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ± SD, y</td>
<td>43.8 ± 15.9</td>
<td>40.3 ± 15.3</td>
<td>41.7 ± 11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15 (60)</td>
<td>11 (41)</td>
<td>13 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment (including sick leave)</td>
<td>15 (60)</td>
<td>14 (52)</td>
<td>0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher education (above high school)</td>
<td>7 (28)</td>
<td>6 (22)</td>
<td>19 (68)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If not mentioned otherwise, values are shown as n (%).
**P < .001, χ2 test in which both patient groups were compared with healthy
controls.
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Table 2. Characteristics of Patients With Unipolar Depression With and Without Motor Retardation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unipolar Depression With Motor Retardation (n = 25)</th>
<th>Unipolar Depression Without Motor Retardation (n = 27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of days admitted, mean ± SD</td>
<td>7.9 ± 5.0</td>
<td>7.0 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of days between admission and actigraphy recording, mean ± SD</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10 primary diagnosis, n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 32.0, mild depressive episode</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 32.1, moderate depressive episode</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 32.2, severe depressive episode without psychotic symptoms</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 33.0, recurrent depressive disorder, current episode mild</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 33.1, recurrent depressive disorder, current episode moderate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 33.2, recurrent depressive disorder, current episode severe without psychotic symptoms</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 33.3, recurrent depressive disorder, current episode severe with psychotic symptoms</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacologic treatment, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypnotics/anxiolytics</td>
<td>12 (48)</td>
<td>10 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
<td>13 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticonvulsants</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>5 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihistamines</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electroconvulsive therapy</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No psychotropic drug treatment</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. 24-Hour Actigraphy Recordings of Motor Activity in Patients With Unipolar Depression With and Without Motor Retardation and Healthy Controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Variable</th>
<th>Unipolar Depression With Motor Retardation (n = 25)</th>
<th>Unipolar Depression Without Motor Retardation (n = 27)</th>
<th>Healthy Controls (n = 28)</th>
<th>P Valuea</th>
<th>Post Hoc Testb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean activity count/min</td>
<td>91 ± 47</td>
<td>127 ± 64</td>
<td>203 ± 71</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>Healthy controls compared to both patient groups: P &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD/min in % of mean activity</td>
<td>206.1 ± 49.0</td>
<td>173.0 ± 38.4</td>
<td>147.3 ± 29.8</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>Motor retardation group compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSSD/min in % of mean activity</td>
<td>160.7 ± 54.8</td>
<td>125.9 ± 30.6</td>
<td>99.1 ± 21.5</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>Healthy controls compared to retardation group: P &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSSD/SD</td>
<td>0.772 ± 0.133</td>
<td>0.730 ± 0.089</td>
<td>0.675 ± 0.077</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>Healthy controls compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are given as mean ± SD.
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### Table 4. Actigraphy Recordings From the Morning (6 AM–3 PM) and Evening Sequence (3 PM–12 AM Midnight) in Motor-Retarded and Non–Motor-Retarded Patients With Unipolar Depression and Healthy Controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Variable and Sequence</th>
<th>Unipolar Depression With Motor Retardation (n = 25)</th>
<th>Unipolar Depression Without Motor Retardation (n = 27)</th>
<th>Healthy Controls (n = 28)</th>
<th>P Value&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Post Hoc Test&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean activity count/min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Morning                       | 107 ± 45                                        | 155 ± 70                                         | 228 ± 95                  | <.001           | Healthy controls compared to motor retardation group: P < .001<sup>d</sup>  
Motor retardation group compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .066<sup>a</sup> |
| Evening                       | 122 ± 93                                        | 166 ± 80                                         | 279 ± 124                 | <.001           | Healthy controls compared to both patient groups: P < .001<sup>b</sup> |
| SD/min in % of mean           |                                                 |                                                  |                           |                 |                             |
| Morning                       | 182.0 ± 40.5                                    | 154.1 ± 42.8                                     | 132.6 ± 46.4              | <.001           | Healthy controls compared to motor retardation group: P < .001<sup>e</sup>  
Motor retardation group compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .023<sup>c</sup> |
| Evening                       | 180.5 ± 61.0                                    | 138.8 ± 33.6                                     | 117.7 ± 40.3              | <.001           | Healthy controls compared to motor retardation group: P < .001<sup>d</sup>  
Motor retardation group compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .013<sup>f</sup> |
| RMSSD/min in % of mean        |                                                 |                                                  |                           |                 |                             |
| Morning                       | 138.4 ± 40.9                                    | 115.0 ± 29.0                                     | 93.5 ± 24.1               | <.001           | Healthy controls compared to motor retardation group: P < .001<sup>e</sup>  
Healthy controls compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .014<sup>c</sup>  
Motor retardation group compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .010<sup>c</sup> |
| Evening                       | 155.1 ± 65.5                                    | 109.7 ± 35.5                                     | 89.0 ± 41.0               | <.001           | Healthy controls compared to motor retardation group: P < .001<sup>d</sup>  
Motor retardation group compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .012<sup>f</sup> |

<sup>a</sup> All data are given as mean ± SD.

<sup>b</sup> P values obtained in a 1-way analysis of variance. Values in boldface indicate statistical significance.

<sup>c</sup> Least significant difference post hoc test if not mentioned otherwise.

<sup>d</sup> Tamhane T2 post hoc test used because unequal variances were assumed.

<sup>e</sup> Least significant difference post hoc test if not mentioned otherwise.

Abbreviations: NA = not applicable, RMSSD/min in % of mean = root mean square successive difference per minute in percent of mean activity count, SD/min in % of mean = standard deviation per minute in percent of mean activity count.

### Table 5. Actigraphy Recordings From 64-Minute Periods of Continuous Motor Activity in the Morning and Evening in Motor-Retarded and Non–Motor-Retarded Patients With Unipolar Depression and Healthy Controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Variable and Sequence</th>
<th>Unipolar Depression With Motor Retardation (n = 23)</th>
<th>Unipolar Depression Without Motor Retardation (n = 27)</th>
<th>Healthy Controls (n = 28)</th>
<th>P Value&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Post Hoc Test&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean activity count/min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Morning                       | 200 ± 103                                       | 256 ± 144                                        | 391 ± 139                 | <.001           | Healthy controls compared to both patient groups: P < .001<sup>b</sup>  
Healthy controls compared to motor retardation group: P = .011<sup>a</sup>  
Healthy controls compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .010<sup>c</sup> |
| Evening                       | 162 ± 109                                       | 165 ± 94                                         | 247 ± 137                 | <.001           | Healthy controls compared to both patient groups: P < .001<sup>b</sup>  
Healthy controls compared to motor retardation group: P = .011<sup>a</sup>  
Healthy controls compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .010<sup>c</sup> |
| SD/min in % of mean           |                                                 |                                                  |                           |                 |                             |
| Morning                       | 113.7 ± 26.4                                    | 101.4 ± 26.2                                     | 89.4 ± 24.3               | .005            | Healthy controls compared to motor retardation group: P = .001<sup>b</sup>  
Healthy controls compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .125<sup>a</sup> |
| Evening                       | 136.1 ± 48.9                                    | 118.2 ± 33.6                                     | 112.5 ± 41.7              | .125            | NA                           |
| RMSSD/min in % of mean        |                                                 |                                                  |                           |                 |                             |
| Morning                       | 97.5 ± 29.7                                     | 92.6 ± 27.2                                      | 74.7 ± 23.1               | .007            | Healthy controls compared to motor retardation group: P = .003<sup>b</sup>  
Healthy controls compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .015<sup>c</sup> |
| Evening                       | 128.2 ± 51.0                                    | 113.1 ± 45.4                                     | 96.4 ± 39.0               | .051            | NA                           |
| RMSSD/SD                      |                                                 |                                                  |                           |                 |                             |
| Morning                       | 0.857 ± 0.174                                   | 0.919 ± 0.161                                    | 0.844 ± 0.140             | .186            | NA                           |
| Evening                       | 0.953 ± 0.191                                   | 0.956 ± 0.217                                    | 0.866 ± 0.166             | .161            | NA                           |
| Sample entropy (m = 2, r = .2) |                                                 |                                                  |                           |                 |                             |
| Morning                       | 0.911 ± 0.432                                   | 1.311 ± 0.625                                    | 1.114 ± 0.407             | .022            | Retardation group compared to non–motor-retardation group: P = .006<sup>c</sup> |
| Evening                       | 0.919 ± 0.627                                   | 1.071 ± 0.449                                    | 0.976 ± 0.516             | .594            | NA                           |
| Fourier analysis              |                                                 |                                                  |                           |                 |                             |
| Morning                       | 0.60 ± 0.32                                     | 0.83 ± 0.69                                      | 0.55 ± 0.27               | .075            | NA                           |
| Evening                       | 0.88 ± 0.58                                     | 0.93 ± 0.69                                      | 0.72 ± 0.59               | .422            | NA                           |

<sup>a</sup> All data are given as mean ± SD.

<sup>b</sup> P values obtained in a 1-way analysis of variance. Values in boldface indicate statistical significance.

<sup>c</sup> Least significant difference post hoc test.

Abbreviations: NA = not applicable, RMSSD/min in % of mean = root mean square successive difference per minute in percent of mean activity count, SD/min in % of mean = standard deviation per minute in percent of mean activity count.
As with our previously reported bipolar depression sample, the patients with unipolar depression and motor retardation showed an increased RMSSD/SD ratio in the 24-hour period compared to healthy controls, meaning that the alteration between successive activity counts increased relative to overall variability.

Selecting periods of continuous motor activity allows for more advanced analyses of complexity and variability. In such active sequences, the patients clearly separated themselves from the healthy controls. The differences between patients with and without retardation were fewer. Both patient groups were again significantly less active than the controls in the morning and the evening, and they displayed higher RMSSD in percent of mean activity in the active morning sequence. The patients with motor retardation also had increased SD in percent of mean activity in the morning and increased RMSSD in the evening.

The unipolar depression patients without motor retardation resemble patients with mania and schizophrenia in having significantly higher sample entropy retardation are similar to those found in patients with mania and schizophrenia and those induced by glutamatergic antagonist memantine.

In summary, the patients with motor retardation have an activity pattern that is characterized by lower mean activity, higher intraindividual variability, and increased differences from minute to minute compared to both non–motor-retarded patients with depression and healthy controls over 24 hours and during the morning and evening periods. The activity pattern of the motor-retarded patients is furthermore represented by less complexity than that of the non–motor-retarded patients, and with the additional finding of increased successive difference relative to overall variability during 24 hours in patients compared to controls, the motor-retarded patients more closely resemble patients with bipolar depression. Regarding complexity and variability in a period of continuous motor activity in the morning, the activity characteristics of patients without retardation are similar to those found in patients with mania and schizophrenia and those induced by glutamatergic antagonism in healthy individuals.

In the present study, we have not investigated immobility periods or minimum activity levels throughout the day, although they could be an important indicator of motor retardation. Royant-Parola et al suggested that lack of initiation of spontaneous movements in depression seemed to be a more fundamental deficiency than low activity level and that it could additionally be observed in non-retarded patients. In the current study, immobility is reflected only in the mean activity counts per minute as well as in periods with more than 4 consecutive activity counts of zero, which were excluded from active sequence analyses. Sequences with continuous motor activity are necessary for the nonlinear analyses, as sequences with many zero counts will substantially lower sample entropy values and thus give a misleading impression of increased order.

The study has some limitations. Psychotropic medication and comorbid disorders may bias the differences in activity found between patients. The study does not have the power to examine the impact of pharmacologic agents on activity parameters. No rating of affective symptom score was available, and a possible influence of depression severity on motor activity was not evaluated; the patients were separated into 2 groups based on a clinical assessment of retardation. Finally, it is possible that the activity characteristics found in patients compared to controls are affected by hospitalization.

Despite the limitations mentioned, the results support the application of actigraphy to quantify motor components of clinical depression, which could become useful in differentiating between motor-retarded and non–motor-retarded patients with depression. By using actigraphy recordings, a depressive group without motor retardation has demonstrated many of the same actigraphy findings as in patients with mania described in an earlier publication from the same study.

The findings are potentially important clinical signatures of depression and might suggest phenotypic differences between depressive subgroups based on activity levels and patterns. Further research might reveal information to assist in choices of treatment.
Krane-Gartiser et al
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