

THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CLINICAL PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGY

Supplementary Material

- Article Title: Effectiveness of Supplementary Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy for Pharmacotherapy-Resistant Depression: A Randomized Controlled Trial
- Authors: Atsuo Nakagawa, MD, PhD; Dai Mitsuda, PhD; Mitsuhiro Sado, MD, PhD; Takayuki Abe, PhD; Daisuke Fujisawa, MD, PhD; Toshiaki Kikuchi, MD, PhD; Satoru Iwashita, MD; Masaru Mimura, MD, PhD; and Yutaka Ono MD, PhD
- DOI Number: https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.15m10511

List of Supplementary Material for the article

- 1. <u>eTable 1</u> Type and dose of antidepressant medication prescribed at baseline, n (%)
- 2. <u>eTable 2</u> Between-group mean difference in GRID-HAMD change scores (ITT population, n=40 in each group; last-observation-carried-forward analysis)
- 3. <u>eTable 3</u> Sensitivity analyses for between-group difference in GRID-HAMD change scores at 12 months using pattern-mixture models (comparison of five imputation strategies)

Disclaimer

This Supplementary Material has been provided by the author(s) as an enhancement to the published article. It has been approved by peer review; however, it has undergone neither editing nor formatting by in-house editorial staff. The material is presented in the manner supplied by the author.

© Copyright 2017 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

Supplementary Material

Supplement to: Effectiveness of Supplementary Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Pharmacotherapy-resistant Depression: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Antidepressant medication	on	Dose (mg)	CBT (n=40)	TAU (n=40)
SSRI total			21 (52.5%)	19 (47.5%)
Sertraline		50-100	7 (17.5%)	12 (30.0%)
Paroxetine		20-40	9 (22.5%)	3 (7.5%)
Fluvoxamine		100-200	4 (10.0%)	5 (12.5%)
Escitalopram		20	1 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)
SNRI total			5 (12.5%)	10 (25.0%)
Duloxetine		40-60	2 (5.0%)	6 (15.0%)
Milnacipran		75-150	3 (7.5%)	4 (10.0%)
TCA total			9 (22.5%)	6 (15.0%)
Amoxapine		60-150	5 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Clomipramine		75-150	1 (2.5%)	2 (5.0%)
Nortriptyline		75-150	2 (5.0%)	1 (2.5%)
Amitriptyline		75	1 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Other total			5 (12.5%)	9 (22.5%)
Mirtazapine		30-45	3 (7.5%)	7 (17.5%)
Sulpiride		250-300	2 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Trazodone		100	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.0%)
Medication used fo	r combination/augmentation therapy ^a	Dose (mg)	CBT (n=40)	TAU (n=40)
Sertraline	SSRI	50	1 (2.5%)	2 (5.0%)
Fluvoxamine	SSRI	100	1 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Duloxetine	SNRI	40	1 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Milnacipran	SNRI	50	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.5%)
Amoxapine	ТСА	50	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.5%)
Clomipramine	ТСА	20	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.5%)
Nortriptyline	ТСА	75	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.5%)
Amitriptyline	TCA	30-50	2 (5.0%)	1 (2.5%)
Imipramine	TCA	20-50	2 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Nortriptyline	TCA	75	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.5%)
Mirtazapine	Other	15-30	3 (7.5%)	3 (7.5%)
Sulpiride	Other	100-200	7 (17.5%)	3 (7.5%)
Trazodone	Other	25-150	4 (10.0%)	3 (7.5%)
Aripiprazole	SGA	3-6	1 (2.5%)	5 (12.5%)
Olanzapine	SGA	5-10	1 (2.5%)	3 (7.5%)
Quetiapine	SGA	25-75	3 (7.5%)	2 (5.0%)
Lithium	Mood stabilizer	200-1200	2 (5.0%)	3 (7.5%)
Lamotrigine	Mood stabilizer	25-75	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.0%)
Sodium valproate	Mood stabilizer	200-800	3 (7.5%)	4 (10.0%)

^aSome patients received more than two medications as part of combination/augmentation therapy. Abbreviations: SGA= second generation antipsychotic, SNRI=serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor, SSRI=selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, TCA=tricyclic antidepressant.

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. • © 2017 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

Supplementary eTable 2. Between-group mean difference in GRID-HAMD change scores (ITT population, n=40 in each group; last-observation-carried-forward analysis)

	CBT	TAU		
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Difference in Mean Change Scores ^{a,b} (95% CI)	P Value
GRID-HAMD score				
0 week (baseline)	20.9 (3.4)	20.8 (3.4)	-	-
8 weeks	12.1 (5.2)	14.2 (6.0)	-2.15 (-4.59 to 0.29)	0.08
16 weeks	8.2 (4.7)	13.2 (6.9)	-5.33 (-7.92 to -2.74)	<0.001
28 weeks (3-month follow-up)	7.8 (5.2)	11.3 (7.2)	-3.78 (-6.42 to -1.13)	0.006
40 weeks (6-month follow-up)	6.1 (4.5)	9.3 (6.9)	-3.48 (-6.02 to -0.94)	0.008
64 weeks (12-month follow-up)	5.4 (5.9)	10.1 (8.4)	-4.28 (-7.50 to -1.07)	0.001

Data are mean (SD).

^aDifference in mean change scores is the between-group difference in the least squares mean treatment change score from baseline to the datapoint from the last observation carried forward analysis.

^bBetween-group difference is the CBT group value minus the TAU group value.

Abbreviations: CBT=cognitive behavioral therapy, GRID-HAMD=17-item GRID-Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, ITT=intention to treat, TAU=treatment as usual.

Supplementary eTable 3. Sensitivity analyses for between-group difference in GRID-HAMD change scores at 12 months using pattern-mixture models (comparison of five imputation strategies)

Imputation Strategy	Difference in Mean Change Scores ^{a,b}	(95%CI) P Va	alue
Randomized arm missing at random	-4.15 (-7.57 to -0.73)	0.0)18
Jump to control	-4.07 (-7.47 to -0.67)	0.0	02
Last mean carried forward	-4.15 (-7.59 to -0.71)	0.0)19
Copy increment in control	-4.29 (-7.67 to -0.91)	0.0)14
Copy control	-4.26 (-7.64 to -0.88)	0.0)14

^aDifference in mean change scores is the between-group difference in the least squares mean treatment change score from baseline to 12 months from the mixed-effects model for repeated measurement analysis.

^bBetween-group difference is the CBT group value minus TAU group value.

Abbreviations: GRID-HAMD=17-item GRID-Hamilton Depression Rating Scale.