



Life After Clozapine: Managing Treatment-Resistant Schizophrenia When Clozapine Is No Longer an Option

Julieta Ramirez, MD, and Christoph U. Correll, MD

Treatment-resistant schizophrenia (TRS) is a major clinical challenge that occurs in approximately 40% of patients with schizophrenia.¹ TRS can develop early in the treatment or later in individuals who initially responded to antipsychotic therapy.² Up to a quarter of patients with first-episode psychosis will develop TRS in the early stages of treatment.² TRS is linked to poorer clinical outcomes, marked functional impairment, and higher hospitalization rates.³ Moreover, compared with individuals with non-TRS, those with TRS have greater medical comorbidity, higher healthcare costs, and reduced quality of life.⁴

The Value of Clozapine

Clozapine is the most effective treatment for positive symptoms and is the gold standard for TRS.⁵ A large meta-analysis of cohort studies with over 109,000 patients found that clozapine resulted in greater symptom improvement compared to other second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs), fewer treatment discontinuations, and lower hospitalization risk.⁶ Compared with other antipsychotics, clozapine shows efficacy in reducing aggressive and violent behaviors and lowers relapse risk in patients with substance use disorder.^{7,8} Clozapine has also shown to reduce suicide risk in randomized

controlled and epidemiological studies.⁹ Additionally, a systematic review and meta-analysis of long-term studies indicated that clozapine was associated with significantly lower all-cause mortality compared to other antipsychotics.¹⁰

Meta-analytically, around 40% of patients with TRS respond to clozapine,¹¹ but response rates can be as high as 80% if clozapine is initiated within the first 2–3 years after establishing treatment resistance.¹²

Challenges With Clozapine

Clozapine is associated with adverse effects common to antipsychotics, but some of them are more frequent and/or severe than with other antipsychotics, including sedation, constipation, weight gain, and metabolic abnormalities.^{13,14} Additionally, clozapine is also associated with somewhat unique side effects, which complicate its use. These tolerability issues include often transient and manageable side effects (eg, tachycardia, sialorrhea) as well as serious and potentially life-threatening side effects (eg, ileus, pneumonia, myocarditis, severe neutropenia).^{13,14} In this context, despite unique efficacy for TRS, approximately 30%–40% of patients discontinue clozapine, mainly due to nonadherence (35%), side effects (28%), and, less so, inefficacy

or professional or patient preference.^{15,16}

Clozapine Discontinuation

Clozapine discontinuation should be avoided or revisited for non-life-threatening adverse effects.^{13,14} In case of life-threatening adverse events, such as severe neutropenia, ileus, myocarditis, and neuroleptic malignant syndrome (NMS), however, clozapine discontinuation has to be accomplished abruptly,¹⁴ which can result not only in cholinergic rebound but also in acute worsening of psychotic symptoms.¹⁷ Cholinergic rebound symptoms can be observed in up to 50% of cases and may include vomiting, diarrhea, headache, diaphoresis, dystonia, and dyskinesia, and in more severe cases agitation, delirium, and hallucinations. Anticholinergic treatment with benzotropine is commonly recommended. However, the optimal drug, dose, and duration remain unknown.¹⁸ In clinical practice, benzotropine is typically initiated at 1–2 mg twice daily and can be titrated up to 4–6 mg/d in divided doses in patients previously treated with moderate to high doses of clozapine, depending on the severity of symptoms.¹⁹

Various studies have reported rapid relapse following clozapine discontinuation.

Scan Now



Cite and share
this article at
Psychiatrist.com



ASCP
AMERICAN SOCIETY OF
CLINICAL PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGY

The ASCP Corner is a collection of brief peer-reviewed, evidence-based articles, authored by American Society of Clinical Psychopharmacology members, that examine the practice of psychopharmacology through the lens of clinical experience. The information contained herein only represents the opinion of the author(s). See more ASCP Corner articles at Psychiatrist.com/ASCP-Corner.

Leslie L. Citrome, MD, MPH, Editor

Seppala et al²⁰ found a rapid deterioration in mental state following withdrawal in almost half of the patients on long-term clozapine treatment, while another study reported that the risk of relapse in patients withdrawn from clozapine was 5 times higher than that for traditional antipsychotics such as haloperidol or flupenthixol.²¹

Rechallenge after severe neutropenia has been reported to be successful in only 20% of patients,²² making rechallenge in the face of this severe adverse event generally inadvisable. However, clozapine rechallenge may be considered in selected cases, after a careful benefit-risk evaluation including current indication and past clear clinical benefit, plus evaluation of possible secondary causes (viral infection, drug-induced neutropenia).²³ When rechallenge is pursued, very slow retitration and intensive hematological monitoring are recommended.²³ The use of colony-stimulating factors, such as filgrastim, has supported a successful rechallenge in approximately 75% of cases of severe neutropenia according to recent data.^{24,25}

Clozapine-associated myocarditis is an uncommon but also potentially fatal adverse event that may be more likely when titrating clozapine too fast. Recently published reviews suggest that rechallenge may be carried out with an approximately 70% success rate, where benefit is clear, using very slow titration, inpatient initiation when feasible, and intensive cardiac monitoring.^{26,27} Regarding other inflammatory complications, such as drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS) or nephritis, when rechallenge is undertaken after complete clinical and laboratory recovery and using very slow titration, successful rechallenge has been achieved.²² Rechallenge after clozapine-associated NMS, which is a rare but potentially fatal event, can be considered with very slow retitration in selected cases after full recovery and with careful risk mitigation.²⁵ On the

contrary, rechallenge after ileus is generally discouraged due to its high mortality risk.^{13,28}

Treatment Options After Clozapine Discontinuation

Although most patients receiving clozapine have TRS, a subset may have been misclassified because of nonadherence or pharmacokinetic reasons leading to suboptimal antipsychotic blood levels.²⁹ Therefore, the adequacy of previous antipsychotic trials should be carefully reviewed, and the use of long-acting injectable formulations or other strategies to ensure adequate exposure should be considered before initiating other pharmacological interventions.³⁰

There are no randomized clinical trials (RCTs) on treatment options in patients who discontinued clozapine. Hence, there is a lack of evidence and guidelines on treatment options after clozapine discontinuation.

In a study evaluating treatment persistence as an indirect measure for efficacy and tolerability, oral SGAs were associated with better persistence than alternative antipsychotic treatment options in patients discontinuing clozapine, with clozapine reinitiation actually being the most effective option, followed by olanzapine and risperidone as monotherapy.³¹ A recent Finnish registry study showed that reinitiation of clozapine and switching to oral olanzapine was associated with a lower risk of psychiatric rehospitalization, treatment failure, and all-cause mortality compared with switching to other antipsychotics in patients discontinuing clozapine.³² These data, albeit naturalistic, indicate that when clozapine is discontinued, clozapine rechallenge should likely be carefully considered first, after a full review of the circumstances leading to the clozapine discontinuation and in a shared decision approach.

As an alternative option, a 2023 systematic review evaluated the efficacy and tolerability of high doses (>20 mg) of olanzapine in patients with TRS.³³ Compared with standard

treatment, high-dose olanzapine was noninferior in 4 RCTs, 3 of which used clozapine as a comparator, encouraging for the use of high-dose olanzapine where clozapine use is problematic.³³

Thus, reinitiating clozapine appears to be the first choice in patients with TRS discontinuing clozapine. When clozapine rechallenge is not an option, olanzapine seems to be the second best choice. This strategy is also supported by a 2024 network meta-analysis of efficacy, acceptability, and tolerability of antipsychotics in TRS³⁴ in which clozapine and olanzapine were more efficacious than risperidone, haloperidol, fluphenazine, sertindole, chlorpromazine, and quetiapine. The difference between clozapine and olanzapine in this meta-analysis was trivial and uncertain. The only aspect in which clozapine was clearly better than olanzapine was in discontinuation due to inefficacy. However, RCTs may underrepresent patients with true or severe TRS, which may reduce the efficacy signal for clozapine versus first-line antipsychotics.

It should always be taken into consideration that most pharmacological strategies proposed after clozapine discontinuation lack convincing evidence of efficacy in TRS. Therefore, careful consideration of clozapine rechallenge should remain a priority whenever clinically feasible.

ECT has shown short-term efficacy for symptom reduction in patients with TRS, including those receiving antipsychotics other than clozapine.³⁵ However, available trials are limited by short follow-up periods, and there is insufficient evidence to support sustained medium- or long-term benefit when clozapine is not part of the treatment strategy.^{17,35} Conversely, evidence for repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in TRS remains limited and heterogeneous, with small effect sizes and substantial methodological variability across studies.³⁶

A meta-analysis comparing studies of antipsychotic monotherapy versus polypharmacy found evidence of a significant reduction in symptoms when a second antipsychotic was added.³⁷ However, these findings derived from open-label and low-quality studies. Double-blind and high-quality studies showed no significant benefit from augmentation with a second antipsychotic.^{4,37} The same is true for multiple other antipsychotic augmentation strategies that were either not superior to placebo or based on low-quality studies.^{4,38} Even more, most trials included in these meta-analyses did not specifically target individuals with established clozapine-resistant illness or those unable to undergo clozapine rechallenge. Therefore, the generalizability of these findings to patients with confirmed TRS after clozapine discontinuation is limited.

In another, recent meta-analysis studying non-clozapine interventions for TRS,³⁹ augmentation of antipsychotics with glycine modulatory site agonists improved positive symptoms. However, sample sizes were small. Other interventions, including transcranial magnetic stimulation and adjunctive antidepressants, did not reach the threshold of evidence to be routinely recommended as a viable alternative to clozapine.

Another possible intervention with efficacy for symptom reduction in TRS is psychotherapy. In a meta-analysis on psychological and psychosocial interventions for TRS, including 52 RCTs and 5,034 participants, cognitive-behavioral therapy was effective for reducing overall symptoms in patients with TRS.⁴⁰ When clozapine is no longer an option and alternative pharmacological strategies are of limited efficacy, approaches including structured psychological and psychosocial interventions should be delivered alongside identifying life goals and functional and social barriers and maximizing family support.

Conclusions

Clozapine remains the gold standard for patients with TRS. However, after life-threatening adverse events, like severe neutropenia, ileus, or myocarditis, clozapine rechallenge may be too risky. In this case, evidence-based options remain very limited. Evidence suggests that olanzapine may be a suitable alternative, followed by risperidone. However, given the complexities involved in treating TRS, it is crucial to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the patient before adjusting treatments. This includes confirming that the diagnosis of TRS is accurate and ruling out pseudo-resistance due to nonadherence, comorbid substance misuse, or misdiagnosis. A personalized approach is essential for ensuring optimal patient outcomes in this context. Further research is needed to provide clearer guidelines, and novel pharmacologic and biological treatments are needed to provide viable alternatives for clozapine in the management of TRS.

Article Information

Published Online: March 11, 2026.
<https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.26ac16364>

© 2026 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.
J Clin Psychiatry 2026;87(2):26ac16364

To Cite: Ramirez J, Correll CU. Life After clozapine: managing treatment-resistant schizophrenia when clozapine is no longer an option. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2026; 87(2):26ac16364.

Author Affiliations: José T. Borda Hospital, Buenos Aires, Argentina (Ramirez); Foundation for the Study and Treatment of Mental Disorders (FETEM), Buenos Aires, Argentina (Ramirez); Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Department of Psychiatry and Molecular Medicine, Hempstead, New York (Correll); Northwell, New Hyde Park, New York (Correll); Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Universitätsmedizin Charité Berlin, Berlin, Germany (Correll); German Center for Mental Health (DZPG), Partner Site Berlin, Berlin, Germany (Correll); Einstein Center for Population Diversity (ECPD), Berlin, Germany (Correll).

Corresponding Author: Christoph U. Correll, MD, The Zucker Hillside Hospital, Psychiatry Research, 75-59 263rd Street, Glen Oaks, NY 11004 (ccorrell@northwell.edu).

Financial Disclosure: Dr Ramirez has been a consultant and/or advisor to or has received honoraria from Acadia, Adium, Bago, Baliarda, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Casasco, Elea, Gador, Gedeon Richter, Janssen/J&J, Lundbeck, Megalabs, Nutricia Bagó- Danone, Raffo, Richmond, Roemmers, Siegfried, TEVA, and Viatriis. Dr Correll has been a consultant and/or advisor to or has

received honoraria from AbbVie, Alkermes, Allergan, Angelini, Aristo, Autobahn, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cardio Diagnostics, Casasco, Cerevel, CNX Therapeutics, Compass Pathways, Darnitsa, Delpor, Denovo, Draig, Eli Lilly, Eumentis Therapeutics, Gedeon Richter, GH Research, Hikma, Holmusk, IntraCellular Therapies, Jamjoom Pharma, Janssen/J&J, Karuna, LB Pharma, Lundbeck, MedInCell, MedLink Global, Merck, Mindpax, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharmaceuticals, Maplight, Mylan, Neumora Therapeutics, Neuraxpharm, Neurocrine, Neurelis, Neurosterix, NeuShen, Neusignal Therapeutics, Newron, Noven, Novo Nordisk, Orion Pharma, Otsuka, PPD Biotech, Recognify Life Science, Recordati, Relmada, Response Pharmaceutical, Reviva, Roemmers, Rovi, Saladax, Sanofi, Seqirus, Servier, Sumitomo Pharma America, Sunovion, Sun Pharma, Supernus, Tabuk, Takeda, Teva, Terran, Tolmar, Vertex, Viatriis, and Xenon Pharmaceuticals; provided expert testimony for Janssen, Lundbeck, Neurocrine, and Otsuka; served on a Data Safety Monitoring Board for Compass Pathways, IntraCellular Therapies, Relmada, Reviva, and Rovi; has received grant support from Boehringer-Ingelheim, Janssen, and Takeda; and has received royalties from UpToDate and is also a stock option or stock holder of Cardio Diagnostics, Kuleon Biosciences, LB Pharmaceuticals, MedLink Global, Mindpax, Quantic, and Terran.

Funding/Support: None.

References

- Diniz E, Fonseca L, Rocha D, et al. Treatment resistance in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis of prevalence and correlates. *Braz J Psychiatry*. 2023; 45(5):448–458.
- Correll CU, Brevig T, Brain C. Exploration of treatment-resistant schizophrenia subtypes based on a survey of 204 US psychiatrists. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2019;15:3461–3473.
- Correll CU, Howes OD. Treatment-resistant schizophrenia: definition, predictors, and therapy options. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2021;82(5):MY20096AH1C.
- Correll CU, Brevig T, Brain C. Patient characteristics, burden and pharmacotherapy of treatment-resistant schizophrenia: results from a survey of 204 US psychiatrists. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2019;19(1):362.
- Wagner E, Sifias S, Fernando P, et al. Efficacy and safety of clozapine in psychotic disorders—a systematic quantitative meta-review. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2021; 11(1):487.
- Masuda T, Misawa F, Takase M, et al. Association with hospitalization and all-cause discontinuation among patients with schizophrenia on clozapine vs other oral second generation antipsychotics: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *JAMA Psychiat*. 2019;76(10):1052–1062.
- Patchan K, Vyas G, Hackman AL, et al. Clozapine in reducing aggression and violence in forensic populations. *Psychiatr Q*. 2018;89(1):157–168.
- Lähteenvuo M, Luyck JJ, Taipale H, et al. Associations between antipsychotic use, substance use and relapse risk in patients with schizophrenia: real-world evidence from two national cohorts. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2022;221(6):758–765.
- Taipale H, Lähteenvuo M, Tanskanen A, et al. Comparative effectiveness of antipsychotics for risk of attempted or completed suicide among persons with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 2021;47(1):23–30.
- Tiihonen J, Lönnqvist J, Wahlbeck K, et al. 11-year follow-up of mortality in patients with schizophrenia: a population-based cohort study (FIN11 study). *Lancet*. 2009;374(9690):620–627.
- Seppälä A, Pyhäläinen J, Lehtiniemi H, et al. Predictors of response to pharmacological treatments in treatment-resistant schizophrenia - a systematic

- review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr Res.* 2021;236:123–134.
12. Yoshimura B, Yada Y, So R, et al. The critical treatment window of clozapine in treatment resistant schizophrenia: secondary analysis of an observational study. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017;250:65–70.
 13. Correll CU, Agid O, Crespo-Facorro B, et al. A guideline and checklist for initiating and managing clozapine treatment in patients with treatment-resistant schizophrenia. *CNS Drugs.* 2022;36(7):659–679.
 14. Nielsen J, Correll CU, Manu P, et al. Termination of clozapine treatment due to medical reasons: when is it warranted and how can it be avoided. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2013;74(6):603–613.
 15. Legge SE, Hamshere M, Hayes RD, et al. Reasons for discontinuing clozapine: a cohort study of patients commencing treatment. *Schizophr Res.* 2016;174(1–3):113–119.
 16. Pai NB, Vella SC. Reason for clozapine cessation. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2012;125(1):39–44.
 17. Miura G, Tanaka K, Kemuriyama T, et al. Clinical outcomes after clozapine discontinuation in patients with schizophrenia: a systematic review. *Ther Adv Psychopharmacol Psychiatry.* 2022;55(4):181–192.
 18. Blackman G, Oloyede E. Clozapine discontinuation withdrawal symptoms in schizophrenia. *Ther Adv Psychopharmacol.* 2021;11:20451253211032053.
 19. Bickerton L, Kuriakose JL. Management of cholinergic rebound after abrupt withdrawal of clozapine: a case report and systematic literature review. *J Acad Consult Liaison Psychiatry.* 2024;65(1):76–88.
 20. Seppälä N, Kovio C, Leinonen E. Effect of anticholinergics in preventing acute deterioration in patients undergoing abrupt clozapine withdrawal. *CNS Drugs.* 2005;19(12):1049–1055.
 21. Seeman P, Tallerico T. Rapid release of antipsychotic drugs from dopamine D2 receptors: an explanation for low receptor occupancy and early clinical relapse upon withdrawal of clozapine or quetiapine. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1999;156(6):876–884.
 22. Manu P, Sarpal D, Muir O, et al. When can patients with potentially life-threatening adverse effects be rechallenged with clozapine? A systematic review of the published literature. *Schizophrenia Res.* 2012;134(2–3):180–186.
 23. Silva E, Higgins M, Hammer B, et al. Clozapine rechallenge and initiation despite neutropenia—a practical, step-by-step guide. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2020;20(1):279.
 24. Corbeil O, Bécharé L, Fournier É, et al. Clozapine rechallenge or continuation despite neutropenia or agranulocytosis using colony-stimulating factor: a systematic review. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2023;37(4):370–377.
 25. Lally J, McCaffrey C, O'Murchu C, et al. Clozapine rechallenge following neuroleptic malignant syndrome: a systematic review. *J Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2019;39(4):372–379.
 26. Wagner E, Korman N, Solmi M, et al. Multidisciplinary consensus on prevention, screening and monitoring of clozapine-associated myocarditis and clozapine rechallenge after myocarditis. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2025;2:1–9.
 27. McMahon L, Giudice M, Wagner E, et al. Clozapine rechallenge following myocarditis: a systematic review of rechallenge cases. *CNS Spectr.* 2024;29(6):585–592.
 28. West S, Rowbotham D, Xiong G, et al. Clozapine induced gastrointestinal hypomotility: a potentially life threatening adverse event. A review of the literature. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.* 2017;46:32–37.
 29. McCutcheon R, Beck K, Bloomfield MAP, et al. Treatment resistant or resistant to treatment? Antipsychotic plasma levels in patients with poorly controlled psychotic symptoms. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2015;29(8):892–897.
 30. Howes OD, McCutcheon R, Agid O, et al. Treatment-resistant schizophrenia: Treatment Response and Resistance In Psychosis (TRRIP) working group consensus guidelines on diagnosis and terminology. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2017;174(3):216–229.
 31. Stam N, Taipale H, Tanskanen A, et al. Persistence of antipsychotic use after clozapine discontinuation: a real-world study across antipsychotics. *Clin Transl Sci.* 2020;13(6):1170–1177.
 32. Luyck JJ, Stam N, Tanskanen A, et al. In the aftermath of clozapine discontinuation: comparative effectiveness and safety of antipsychotics inpatients with schizophrenia who discontinue clozapine. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2020;217(3):498–505.
 33. Gannon L, Reynolds J, Mahon M, et al. High-dose olanzapine in treatment-resistant schizophrenia: a systematic review. *Ther Adv Psychopharmacol.* 2023;13:20451253231168788.
 34. Dong S, Schneider-Thoma J, Bighelli I, et al. A network meta-analysis of efficacy, acceptability, and tolerability of antipsychotics in treatment-resistant schizophrenia. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2024;274(4):917–928.
 35. Sinclair DJ, Zhao S, Qi F, et al. Electroconvulsive therapy for treatment-resistant schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2019;3(3):CD011847.
 36. He H, Lu J, Yang L, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treating the symptoms of schizophrenia: a PRISMA compliant meta-analysis. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2017;128(5):716–724.
 37. Galling B, Roldán A, Hagi K, et al. Antipsychotic augmentation vs monotherapy in schizophrenia: systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression analysis. *World Psychiatry.* 2017;16(1):77–89.
 38. Correll CU, Rubio JM, Inczedy-Farkas G, et al. Efficacy of 42 pharmacologic cotreatment strategies added to antipsychotic monotherapy in schizophrenia: systematic overview and quality appraisal of the meta-analytic evidence. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2017;74(7):675–684.
 39. Carr R, Cannon A, Finelli V, et al. Non-clozapine interventions in treatment-resistant schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2026;31(1):526–544.
 40. Salahuddin N, Schütz A, Pitschel-Walz G, et al. Psychological and psychosocial interventions for treatment-resistant schizophrenia: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry.* 2024;11(7):545–553.