

It is illegal to post this convrighted PDF on any website. CME Background

Articles are selected for credit designation based on an assessment of the educational needs of CME participants, with the purpose of providing readers with a curriculum of CME articles on a variety of topics throughout each volume. Activities are planned using a process that links identified needs with desired results.

To obtain credit, read the article, correctly answer the questions in the Posttest, and complete the Evaluation. A \$5 processing fee will apply.

CME Objective

After studying this article, you should be able to:

 Weigh quality of evidence, potential adverse effects, and potential benefits of medical marijuana for psychiatric conditions

Accreditation Statement

The CME Institute of Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc., is accredited by the Accreditation **Council for Continuing Medical** Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.



Credit Designation

The CME Institute of Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc., designates this journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit[™]. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Note: The American Academy of Physician Assistants (AAPA) accepts certificates of participation for educational activities certified for AMA PRA Category 1 Credit[™] from organizations accredited by ACCME or a recognized state medical society. Physician assistants may receive a maximum of 1 hour of Category I credit for completing this program.

Date of Original Release/Review

This educational activity is eligible for AMA PRA Category 1 Credit[™] through August 31, 2018. The latest review of this material was July 2016.

Financial Disclosure

All individuals in a position to influence the content of this activity were asked to complete a statement regarding all relevant personal financial relationships between themselves or their spouse/partner and any commercial interest. The CME Institute has resolved any conflicts of interest that were identified. In the past year, Alan J. Gelenberg, MD, has been a consultant for Zynx Health, has been a stock shareholder of Healthcare Technology Systems, and has participated in antitrust litigation on behalf of GlaxoSmithKline. No member of the CME Institute staff reported any relevant personal financial relationships. Faculty financial disclosure appears at the end of the article.

Evidence for Medical Marijuana in Psychiatric Indications

Samuel T. Wilkinson, MD^{a,b,‡}; Rajiv Radhakrishnan, MD^{a,b,c,‡}; and Deepak Cyril D'Souza, MD^{a,b,c,*}

ABSTRACT

Objective: Marijuana has been approved for a number of psychiatric conditions in many states in the US including posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), agitation in Alzheimer's disease, and Tourette's disorder. In this systematic review, we examine the strength of evidence for the efficacy of marijuana and other cannabinoids for these psychiatric indications.

Data Sources: The literature (MEDLINE) was searched for studies published between January 1980 and March 2015 using search terms related to marijuana and other cannabinoids and the specific diagnosis.

Study Selection: The best quality of evidence, namely placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trials (RCTs) and meta-analyses, was sought per PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines. In the absence of RCTs, the next best available evidence (eg, observational studies, case reports) was reviewed. Of 170 publications that were screened, 40 were related to the topic, 29 were included in the qualitative synthesis, and 13 studies examined the efficacy of cannabinoids in humans.

Data Extraction: The evidence was rated using the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) method.

Results: No RCTs have thus far examined the efficacy of marijuana for Tourette's disorder, PTSD, or Alzheimer's disease. Lower-quality studies examined the efficacy of marijuana, Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol, and nabilone; the strength of evidence for the use of cannabinoids for these conditions is very low at the present time. The consequences of chronic cannabinoid exposure includes tolerance, dependence, and withdrawal. Early and persistent marijuana use has been associated with the emergence of psychosis. Marijuana impairs attention, memory, IQ, and driving ability.

Conclusions: Given its rapidly changing legal status, there is an urgent need to conduct double-blind, randomized, placebo- or active-controlled studies on the efficacy and safety of marijuana or its constituent cannabinoids for psychiatric conditions. Physicians and policy-makers should take into account the limited existing evidence and balance that with side effects before approving medical marijuana for psychiatric indications.

J Clin Psychiatry 2016;77(8):1050-1064 dx.doi.org/10.4088/JCP.15r10036 © Copyright 2016 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

[±]Shared first authorship.

^aAbraham Ribicoff Research Facilities, Connecticut Mental Health Center, New Haven ^bDepartment of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut ^cPsychiatry Service, VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven *Corresponding author: Deepak Cyril D'Souza, MD, Psychiatry Service, 116A, VA Connecticut Healthcare System, 950 Campbell Ave, West Haven, CT 06516 (deepak.dsouza@yale.edu).

here is a growing movement across the United States legalizing the medical and recreational use of marijuana. As of June 2016, the District of Columbia and 25 states in the United States have passed legislation removing state-level penalties for the use of marijuana by patients who have obtained certification from a physician that their medical condition would very likely benefit from the use of marijuana.

t is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website

- linical Points
- Marijuana has been "approved" as medication for various indications in 25 states and the District of Columbia, yet the evidence of efficacy and safety is generally less than that required by the US Food and Drug Administration for approval of a new medication.
- Psychiatric conditions for which marijuana has been approved in various states include posttraumatic stress disorder, Tourette's disorder, and agitation in Alzheimer's disease.
- The quality of the evidence for marijuana in the treatment of these condition is generally very low and mostly consists of retrospective surveys and chart reviews, case reports, and anecdotal evidence—as opposed to randomized controlled trials.
- Potential harmful effects of marijuana include addiction and dependence, psychosis, cognitive deficits, and the potential for pulmonary complications.

Most of these states now have approved dispensaries operating where individuals with physician certification can obtain marijuana. Marijuana, however, continues to be a Schedule I substance under US federal law.

While the list of conditions varies from state to state (Table 1), the most commonly listed conditions include cancer, glaucoma, HIV/AIDS, cachexia, nausea, pain, muscle spasticity, and epilepsy. Several states have included psychiatric conditions such as posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), agitation in Alzheimer's disease, and Tourette's disorder. The conditions approved for medical marijuana have been selected on the basis of anecdotal reports, inputs from public interest groups, advocates of medical marijuana, and presumably, a review of the existing science. Typically, individuals with a medical condition on the approved list have to be certified by a physician in order to be eligible for the program. In some states, physicians have the option to certify patients for "other" conditions not specifically listed if, in the physician's opinion, the benefits of medical marijuana outweigh the potential risks.

In addition to crude marijuana, a number of products containing individual cannabinoids have been manufactured, tested, and approved by regulatory agencies in the United States, Europe, and Canada. Dronabinol consists of purified oral Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of cachexia associated with HIV/AIDS. Dronabinol and nabilone (an oral THC analog) are approved in the United States for the treatment of nausea and vomiting associated with chemotherapy. Nabiximols is a combination (approximately 1:1 ratio) of THC and cannabidiol in oromucosal spray formulation and is approved in Canada and many European countries to treat spasticity in multiple sclerosis. To date, there is no approval by the FDA (or equivalent agencies) for any cannabinoid for the treatment of a psychiatric disorder.

Thus far, there are few meta-analyses or systematic reviews of medical marijuana for psychiatric indications to guide policy-makers and mental health clinicians. In this article,

Table 1. Common Qualifying Conditions for MedicalMarijuana as of June 2016

c lui	States ^a Where Approved
Condition	as Qualifying Condition
Medical indication	
Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis	AZ, DE, IL, ME, MA, MI, MN, NJ, NM, NY, PA
Cancer	AK, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, HI, IL, ME, MA, MI, MN, MT, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI, VT, WA
Glaucoma	AK, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, HI, IL, ME, MA, MI, MN, MT, NV, NH, NJ, NM, OH, OR, PA, RI, WA
HIV/AIDS	AK, AZ, CÁ, CÓ, CT, DĆ, DE, HI, IL, ME, MA, MI, MN, MT, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI, VT, WA
Multiple sclerosis or muscle spasticity	AK, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, HI, IL, ME, MD, MA, MI, MN, MT, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI, WA
Muscular dystrophy	IL, NH, NJ, NY
Nausea or vomiting	AK, AZ, CA, CO, DE, HI, ME, MD, MI, MN, MT, NV, NH, NJ, NM, OR, RI, VT, WA
Pain ^b	AK, AZ, CA, CO, DE, HI, IL, ME, MD, MI, MN, MT, NV, NH, NJ, NM, OH, OR, PA, RI, VT, WA
Parkinson's disease	CT, IL, MA, NM, NY, OH, PA
Seizures/epilepsy	AK, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, HI, IL, ME, MD, MI, MN, MT, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI, VT, WA
Traumatic brain injury Psychiatric indication ^c	IL, NH, OH
Posttraumatic stress disorder	AZ, CT, DE, ME, MI, NV, NM, NY, OR, PA
Agitation in Alzheimer's disease	AZ, DE, IL, ME, MI, NH, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI
Tourette's disorder	IL, MN, OH, PA
^a Standard US postal abbrev ^b Various states stipulate var	iations (www.usps.com). ious subtypes of pain (ie, chronic pain; chronic,

^bVarious states stipulate various subtypes of pain (ie, chronic pain; chronic severe pain; intractable pain).

^cIn April 2016, PA legalized marijuana use for autism; there are no published studies examining the efficacy of marijuana for autism.

we systematically review the evidence base for cannabis in psychiatric conditions with a secondary goal to review the side effects and adverse outcomes observed in studies.

METHODS

The literature was systematically searched (MEDLINE) for studies published between January 1980 and March 2015 using search terms [marijuana OR cannabis OR cannabinoid OR Dronabinol OR Nabilone OR THC] AND [posttraumatic stress disorder OR Tourette OR dementia OR Alzheimer's]. (See PRISMA [Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses] flowchart^{1,2}). Studies or conference proceedings were also identified using cross-references and data were sought by contacting authors when it was unavailable from published manuscripts. The best quality of evidence, namely placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trials (RCTs) and meta-analyses was sought. In the absence of RCTs, the next best available evidence (observational studies, case reports, etc) was reviewed. When good evidence for marijuana was lacking, supportive evidence from the use of synthetic cannabinoids was sought. Of 170 manuscripts that were screened, 40 were related to the topic, 29 were included in the qualitative synthesis, and 13 studies examined the efficacy of cannabinoids in humans (Figure 1). Studies were rated independently by 2 raters (R.R., S.T.W.) on quality,

on

copyrighted PDF any website. lt is illegal Figure 1. PRISMA Flow Diagram^a for the Systematic Review of the Evidence for Marijuana in Psychiatry

nost this



^aPRISMA flow diagram and methodology described in Moher et al.² For more information, visit www.prisma-statement.org

Abbreviations: GRADE = Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation; PRISMA = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

consistency, generalizability, and effect size using the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) method.³ Discrepancies were reconciled by mutual discussion. The study was considered exempt from Institutional Review Board approval.

PHARMACOLOGY OF MARIJUANA

Marijuana is not a single drug, but rather a complex and highly variable⁴ blend of approximately 400 chemical compounds that include phytocannabinoids, terpenoids, and flavonoids that produce individual, interactive, and "entourage" effects.⁵ Different strains of marijuana contain different proportions of cannabinoids,⁴ making it difficult to compare uncontrolled studies. Unlike FDA-approved medications, the proportion, content, and potency of marijuana's active constituents may vary considerably. Thus, attributing marijuana's positive or negative effects to any of its many constituents remains challenging.

 Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol, the principal psychoactive constituent of cannabis, is a partial agonist at cannabinoid-1

receptor (CB1R). However, there are more than 70 other phytocannabinoids present in marijuana including cannabidiol, cannabigerol, cannabichromene, etc, some of which may possess individual pharmacologic effects^{6,7} and may modify the effects of THC.⁵ For example, cannabidiol may have anxiolytic and antipsychotic-like effects that offset some of the effects of THC.8 Other cannabinoids have been shown to potentiate the analgesic effects of THC,⁹ have anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties,¹⁰ have anticonvulsant properties,⁵ and even appetite-stimulating effects.¹¹ Furthermore, the cannabinoids and terpenoids present in marijuana may also have entourage effects.⁵

Cannabinoids have multiphasic, dose-dependent effects in preclinical studies^{SR1-SR3*} with anxiolytic effects at lower doses and anxiogenic effects at higher doses.¹² THC has been shown to have biphasic effects on blood pressure, fear-coping strategies, and intracranial self-stimulation.

^{*}SR = supplementary reference, eg, SR1 means supplementary reference 1; these references are available in the supplementary material at PSYCHIATRIST.COM.

Wilkinson et al It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website



^{SR3,SR4} This multiphasic profile of effects has important implications for the therapeutic application of cannabinoids.

OVERVIEW OF THE ENDOCANNABINOID SYSTEM

The endocannabinoid (eCB) system is a neuromodulatory system consisting of two G-protein–coupled receptors, cannabinoid-1 receptor (CB1R) and cannabinoid-2 receptor (CB2R); lipid ligands including anandamide (AEA) and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG); and enzymes involved in eCB biosynthesis(*N*-acylphosphatidylethanolamineselective phospholipase D [NAPE-PLD] and diacylglycerol lipase [DAG-L]) and degradation (fatty acid amide hydrolase [FAAH], monoacylglycerol lipase [MAG-L], and alpha/ beta-hydrolase domain containing 6 [ABHD6], aka, monoacylglycerol lipase ABHD6 or 2-arachidonoylglycerol hydrolase) (for reviews see references 7, 13, and 14) (Figure 2). CB1Rs are critical in mediating the psychoactive effects of cannabinoids and are expressed mainly in the brain, whereas CB2Rs are mostly expressed peripherally. eCBs are synthesized and released on demand, after which they travel back to activate the presynaptic CB1R, resulting in braking the further release of neurotransmitters. ^{SR5–SR9} eCBs are rapidly removed by a transport system that is yet to be fully characterized. Anandamide is hydrolyzed by FAAH while 2-AG is hydrolyzed by both FAAH and MAG-L. Inhibition of FAAH and MAG-L prolongs the activity of anandamide and 2-AG, respectively, and may

For reprints or permissions, contact permissions@psychiatrist.com. ♦ © 2016 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc. 1053 ■ PSYCHIATRIST.com J Clin Psychiatry 77:8, August 2016

It is illegal to post this copy offer an alternative to treatment with phytocannabinoids

(components of cannabis).

In contrast to eCBs, THC is metabolized over several hours before being excreted. Therefore, the duration of effects of THC and eCBs is very different, with eCBs having brief effects whereas THC has prolonged effects.

Notably, the eCB system may have an important role in neurodevelopment that may explain why adolescence is a critical period wherein individuals are particularly susceptible to the negative effects of cannabis (discussed in the section on tolerance, dependence, and withdrawal).

REVIEW OF EVIDENCE ABOUT MARIJUANA FOR PSYCHIATRIC INDICATIONS

Tourette's Disorder

There are no published RCTs of marijuana for Tourette's disorder. Müller-Vahl et al¹⁵ conducted a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled crossover trial of dronabinol (THC) in patients with Tourette's disorder (n = 12) who received a single dose of THC (5–10 mg) or placebo, followed by a 4-week washout period before crossing over to the other treatment condition. Tic severity 3–4 hours after dosing was reduced significantly relative to before drug on a self-administered tic severity scale but not on the clinician-administered scales (Table 2).

In a second double-blind, placebo-controlled trial,¹⁶ patients with Tourette's disorder (n = 24) were randomized to 6 weeks of dronabinol or placebo, with the first and last 10 days to titrate on and off the medication, respectively. There were significant improvements in some (but not all) visits between treatment groups in some (but not all) of the clinical scales assessing tic severity (Table 2). There were statistically significant improvements in videotape-based ratings of motor tic intensity for 1 of the 2 visits during full-dose treatment, but not motor tic frequency, phonic tics, or number of areas of body involved.^{15–26}

A Cochrane systematic review²⁷ concluded that there is insufficient evidence to support the use of cannabinoids for the treatment of tics in Tourette's disorder.

Observational studies and case reports. Among patients with Tourette's disorder who had used marijuana (n = 17/64), most (82%) reported a reduction or remission of symptoms with marijuana use.²⁸ There are a number of case reports ^{SR10–SR15} in which oral THC or marijuana has been reported to reduce tic severity in patients with Tourette's.

Summary. The overall GRADE of evidence for studies of cannabinoids in Tourette's disorder is very low (Table 3) based on small sample sizes, lack of intention-to-treat analysis, possible selection bias, lack of adjustment for multiple comparisons, and inconsistent results across different scales that were used to measure the same symptom (ie, tics).

Posttraumatic Stress Disorder

There are no RCTs examining the efficacy or safety of marijuana in PTSD. Four published studies^{17–20} examined

the efficacy of cannabinoids while 2 unpublished studies^{21,22} reported on the efficacy of marijuana in PTSD (Table 2).

Cameron et al¹⁷ conducted a retrospective chart review of patients (n = 104) at a mental health and correctional facility that treated adult male offenders with seriously mental illness. While approximately 90% of these patients were diagnosed with PTSD, the review included patients with varying comorbid diagnoses (including mood, psychotic, and substance use disorders). The patients were prescribed nabilone (a synthetic THC-analog) at varying doses (range, 0.5–6 mg) for a variety of indications, including insomnia, nightmares, chronic pain, nausea/vomiting, and anorexia. Of note, 91% met criteria for marijuana dependence prior to admission. Nabilone was found to be associated with a significant improvement in duration of sleep and functioning, significant reduction in nightmares, and improvement in PTSD symptom severity.

In a retrospective chart review¹⁸ of PTSD patients (n = 47) with nightmares despite pharmacotherapy who were treated with adjunctive nabilone (0.5–6 mg), 72% of patients reported experiencing either cessation of nightmares or a significant reduction in nightmare intensity, improvement in sleep time, sleep quality, daytime flashbacks, and night sweats based on self-report. Nabilone was discontinued in 28% of patients because of side-effects.

In a double-blind, crossover-design RCT,¹⁹ 10 male soldiers diagnosed with PTSD, with trauma-related nightmares despite standard pharmacotherapy, received nabilone (0.5 mg, titrated to effect or maximum of 3 mg) or placebo for 7 weeks followed by a 2-week washout period prior to crossing over. Nabilone resulted in significant reduction in nightmares as measured by mean reduction in the CAPS Recurrent Distressing Dreams item. However, there were no differences on the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) Difficulty Falling or Staying Asleep item. The study also used the PTSD Dream Rating Scale, but data on this measure were not reported.

In a 3-week, open-label study, Roitman et al²⁰ administered oral THC (2.5–5 mg twice daily) as an add-on to current pharmacologic treatment in patients (n = 10) with chronic PTSD (symptoms >1 year using *DSM-IV* criteria). The study reported significant improvement on CAPS Arousal subscore, but not on Avoidance or Intrusion subscores or CAPS Total score; significant improvement in sleep quality and frequency of nightmares, but not number of nights with nightmares; and significant improvement on the Clinician Global Impressions scale.

In an unpublished open-label study, Mashiah²¹ examined the efficacy of adjunctive marijuana in 29 Israeli male combat veterans diagnosed with PTSD. Patients smoked marijuana ad-lib until they felt relaxed, up to a maximum of 100 g per month. The authors reported a significant decrease in CAPS Total score from baseline to first follow-up (n = 26) at approximately 4.3 months, second follow-up (n = 25) at approximately 7.6 months, and last follow-up (n = 10) at approximately 11.3 months. As noted, patient retention was poor. In a conference abstract, Reznik²² reported an

lt	is	İ	llegal	to post this	C (pyri	ghteo		n ar	y w	ebsite
	Results		Significant differences in total TSSL score (P=.015) but not in total STSS, TSGS, YGTSS scores	Significant differences in CGI (visits 3, 4) ar STSS (visit 4) between Tx and placebo groups ($P < .05$) No significant differences for the other clinician-administered scales during an visit Significant differences in TSSL for some bu not all Tx days (10/22 d at maximum do P < .05) Significant difference for videotaped assessment only for 1 subscale (motor t intensity) at visit 4 ($P = .03$)		Significant decrease in nightmares ($P < .00$ PCL-C ($P = .001$) Significant increase in hours of sleep ($P < .001$) and GAF ($P = .001$)	72% of sample had cessation of nightmare or a significant reduction in nightmare intensity; subjective improvement in sle time and sleep quality and reduction of daytime flashbacks and night sweats	Significant improvement in nightmares (CAPS Recurrent Distressing Dreams ite P=.03), CGI ($P=.05$), and WBQ ($P=.04$); difference on the CAPS Difficulty Falling Staying Asleep item Data on PTSD Dream Rating Scale were no reported	Significant improvement on CAPS Arousal score, sleep quality (PSQI, NES), frequen of nightmares, and CGI	Significant decrease in CAPS Total score	Improvement in QOL and pain scores, and CAPS Discontinuation/lowering of dose of sedatives and painkillers (continu
	Measures		STSS, TSGS, YGTSS, TSSL pre-Tx and 3–4 h post-Tx	 CGI, STSS, YGTSS, videotaped assessment at visits 1 (baseline), 2–4 (treatment), and 5–6 (post-Tx) TSSL (self-report) assessed at baseline and daily during treatment 		PCL-C, GAF: assessed 1 wk pre-Tx and in week following achievement of final dose (pre-post comparison)	Subjective effects documented on a checklist	CAPS Recurrent Distressing Dreams item, CAPS Difficulty Falling or Staying Asleep item, CGI, PTSD Dream Rating Scale, WBQ, and a Sleep Diary Log.	CAPS, CGI, PSQI, NFQ, NES	CAPS	CAPS, QOL, pain scores
	Primary Outcome		Tic severity scores	Tic severity scores		No explicit a priori primary outcome	Subjective effect on intensity of nightmares	No explicit a priori primary outcome	No explicit a priori primary outcome	No explicit a priori primary outcome	No explicit a priori primary outcome
	Duration		1 dose of THC or placebo, 4-wk washout	6 wk		Mean duration= 11.2 wk	12 mo	16 wk	3 wk	16, 28, and 44 wk (approximate)	2 years
	Sample Size		12	24 (analytic sample of 17)		104	47	10	10	29	80 (approx.)
suc	Control Group		Placebo	Placebo		No control group	No control group	Placebo	No control group	No control group	No control group
s for Psychiatric Indicati	Drug		Oral THC (variable dose: 5-10 mg/d, based on patient age, sex, weight, and prior marijuana exposure)	Oral THC (variable dose: 5–10 mg/d based on patient tolerance); initial 10 d are dose elevation; final 10 d are dose reduction		Nabilone (range, 0.5–6 mg; mean = 4 mg/d)	Nabilone (range, 0.5 mg–6 mg; effective dose range, 0.2 mg–4 mg)	Nabilone (range, 0.5–3 mg)	Oral adjunctive THC (2.5–5 mg/d)	Smoked cannabis ad lib (approx. 23% THC; <1% CBD)	Smoked cannabis (approx. 2–3g/d)
s of Cannabinoid	Design		Prospective RCT, double-blind, crossover study	Prospective RCT, double-blind, parallel study	ess Disorder	Retrospective chart review	Retrospective chart review	Prospective RCT, double-blind, crossover study	Prospective, open-label trial	Prospective open-label trial	Prospective, observational study
Table 2. Studie	Study	Tourette's Disorde	Müller-Vahl et al, 2002 ¹⁵	Müller-Vahl et al, 2003 ¹⁶	Posttraumatic Stre	Cameron et al, 2014 ¹⁷	Fraser, 2009 ¹⁸	Jetly et al, 2015 ¹⁹	Roitman et al, 2014 ²⁰	Mashiah, 2012 ²¹ (unpublished)	Reznik, 2011 ²² (unpublished)

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.

lt	is		llegal t	o pos	t this co	pyrigh	nted P
	Results		No effect of treatment on caloric intake, skin-fold testing, plasma albumin, or lymphocyte counts Significant decrease in agitation (CMAI) compared to baseline (order \times time interaction, $P = .05$)	Significant relative reduction in nocturnal activity (P =.028) by an average of 59% Significant reduction in total NPI score (P =.027)	General decrease in nocturnal activity duri dronabinol treatment (unable to analyze statistically) Mixed results with NPI measures Greater interdaily stability, less intradaily fragmentation, and stronger circadian rhythms during dronabinol treatment	Significant improvement in PAS (P < .0001), CGI (P < .0001), % meals consumed (P = .C in pre-post comparison No significant change in GAF, weight, nighttime awakenings, number of psychoactive medications	al Assessment of Functioning, Disorder Checklist-Civilian version, e Severity Scale (clinician-administered), TSS=Yale Global Tic Severity Scale
	Measures		Body weight, caloric intake, skin-fold testing, albumin/lymphocyte count, CMAI	Nocturnal (9 PM to 6 AM) and diurnal (6 AM to 9 PM) motor activity (via actigraphy); NPI	Nocturnal (9 PM to 6 AM) motor activity (via actigraphy), NPI, nonparametric circadian rhythm analysis	PAS, CGI, GAF, weight, nighttime awakenings, % of meals consumed, number of psychoactive medications	ansfield Agitation Inventory, GAF = Globs ion Scale, PCL-C = Posttraumatic Stress C I trial, STSS = Shapiro Tourette's Syndrom tom List (self-report), Tx = treatment, YG1
	Primary Outcome		No explicit a priori primary outcome	Reduction in nocturnal motor activity, pre-post comparison	No explicit a prior primary outcome	No explicit a priori primary outcome	ale, CMAI = Cohen-Ma 4S = Pittsburgh Agitati indomized controlled tte's Syndrome Sympt
	Duration		6-wk Tx, 6-wk placebo, no washout	2 wk	2-wk Tx, 2-wk placebo, no washout	Assessed 1 wk before Tx and 1 wk after initiatior of Tx	Global Impressions sc sychiatric Inventory, P y of Life scale, RCT = r nistered), TSSL = Toure
ations	Sample Size		15 (analytic sample of 12)	Q	7	40	= Clinical = Neurops DL = Qualit cian-admir
hiatric Indic	Control Group		Placebo	No control group	Placebo	No control group	innabidiol, CGI stionnaire, NPI ss disorder, QC bal Scale (clinic e.
annabinoids for Psyc	Drug		Oral THC (2.5 mg)	Oral THC (2.5 mg)	Oral THC (2.5 mg)	Oral THC (variable dose, mean = 7.0 mg/d) prescribed (off- label) for agitation, aggression, or resistance to care	ttered PTSD Scale, CBD = cc Vightmare Frequency Que: PTSD = posttraumatic stre = Tourette's Syndrome Glol al Well-Being Questionnair
inued). Studies of C	Design	eimer's Disease	Prospective RCT, double-blind, crossover study	Prospective, open-label trial	Prospective RCT, double-blind, crossover study	Retrospective chart review	APS = Clinician-Adminis irre Effects Scale, NFQ = I gh Sleep Quality Index, 1ydrocannabinol, TSGS: nistered), WBQ = Gener.
Table 2 (conti	Study	Agitation in Alzh	Volicer et al, 1997 ²³	Walther et al, 2006 ²⁴	Walther et al 2011 ²⁵	Woodward et al, 2014 ²⁶	Abbreviations: C. NES=Nightma PSQI=Pittsbur, THC = Δ^9 -tetrah (clinician-admi

Medical Marijuana for Psychiatric Indications

PE on any website observational study of 160 Israeli military personnel with PTSD who applied for a medical marijuana license. Participants who received licenses (about 50%) were followed up for 2 years. The authors report that with daily cannabis use of 2–3 g/d, "in most cases" there was an improvement in quality of life, pain, CAPS scores, and a discontinuation or lowering of dosage of pain medication and sedatives. The abstract, however, lacked details of statistical analysis.

Observational studies and case reports. PTSD diagnosis has been found to be associated with lifetime history of marijuana use as well as past year daily marijuana use after controlling for anxiety, mood disorder, and type and frequency of trauma.33 Bonn-Miller et al³⁴ reported that lower degree of change in PTSD severity during the course of residential treatment was associated with significantly greater frequency of marijuana use at 4-month follow-up. This association was not seen with alcohol or other drugs. Greer et al³⁵ found that participants (n = 80) seeking enrollment into New Mexico's Medical Marijuana Program reported improvement in PTSD symptoms when they were using marijuana compared to when they were not, based on retrospective recall. Boden et al³⁶ reported that PTSD symptom severity was positively associated with marijuana use as a coping mechanism, greater degree of problems associated with marijuana use, greater severity of marijuana withdrawal, and greater degree of craving related to compulsivity and emotionality. Patients with high PTSD scores reported using marijuana primarily as a coping mechanism and to help with sleep.^{30,36} The association between PTSD symptom severity and degree of marijuana use may be moderated by degree of avoidance, in that marijuana use enables avoidance among patients with severe PTSD.37 Degree of marijuana use is also related to prior experience with marijuana and expectancy of its effects on PTSD symptoms.³⁸

Summary. The overall GRADE of evidence for studies of cannabinoids in PTSD is very low (Table 3). Emerging data about the effects of the eCB system on extinction learning²⁹ may provide a stronger basis for future

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. Table 3. GRADE Rating of Clinical Studies of Marijuana and Individual Cannabinoids for Psychiatric Indications

							No. of Pa	tients	
	_			Quality Assessn	nent		Active Cannabinoid		Total GRADE
No. of Studies (Reference)	Design	Type ^a	Quality ^b	Consistency ^c	Directness ^d	Effect Size ^e	(Reference)	Placebo	Score ^f
Nabilone for Nightmares ir	n PTSD								
2 (Cameron et al, 2014 ¹⁷ ; Fraser, 2009 ¹⁸) Comments:	Retrospective chart review, observational study	+2	-3	0	-2	0	90 ¹⁷ +47 ¹⁸ =137		-3 VERY LOW
Quality: Sparse data (n = 13 withdrawal or other psy Directness: Poor generaliza Bonn-Miller et al, ³⁰ uncle	37), no control group, select chotropic medications, did bility (91% of participants n ear prior experience with m	ive report not use st net criteria arijuana ir	ing, variabl andardized a for cannal n de Bitenco	e dosage of nal rating scale in bis dependence ourt et al ²⁹	bilone for mult the de Bitenco e in Bonn-Mille	tiple indicatic ourt et al stud er et al ³⁰), unc	ons, no control f ly ²⁹ :ertain diagnosi	or effects of s in	cannabis
1 (Jetly et al, 2015 ¹⁹) Comments:	Randomized trial	+4	-3	-1	0	+1	10	9	1 VERY LOW
Quality: Sparse data (n = 10 Consistency: Improvement Scale (data not shown) Effect size: Moderate effect	 questionable blinding int on CAPS Recurring Distress size 	egrity beo ing Drean	cause of psy ns item but	/choactive effe not on CAPS D	cts, incomplet ifficulty Falling	e reporting, ii g or Staying A	nadequate stati Isleep item or P	stical analys TSD Dream	sis Rating
Nabilone for PTSD Severity 1	, Randomized trial	+4	-3	0	-1	+1	10	9	1
(Jetly et al, 2015 ¹²) Comments: <i>Quality:</i> Sparse data (n = 10) <i>Directness:</i> Use of cointerver <i>Effect size:</i> Moderate effect)), questionable blinding int ention (psychotherapy, med size	egrity beo lication)	cause of psy	ychoactive effe	cts, inadequat	e statistical a	nalysis		VERY LOW
1 (Cameron et al, 2014 ¹⁷)	Retrospective chart review	+2	-3	0	-2	0	103 ¹⁷		-3 VERY LOW
Comments: Quality: Sparse data (n = 10 no control for effects of Directness: Poor generaliza	03), no control group, selecti cannabis withdrawal or oth bility (91% of participants n	ive report er psycho net criteria	ing (PCL n = tropic med a for cannal	=58, GAF n = 10 ications bis dependence	3), variable do e), uncertain d	osage of nabil iagnosis	one for multiple	e indication:	S,
THC for Nightmares in PTS		_	-		-				
1 (Roitman et al, 2014 ²⁰) Comments:	Prospective, open-label trial	+2	-3	-1	0	0	10		-2 VERY LOW
<i>Quality:</i> Sparse data (n = 10 <i>Consistency:</i> Inconsistent e)), no blinding, no control gi ffects (improvement in freq	roup, nons uency of i	standardize nightmares	ed administration, but not numb	on of THC er of nights w	ith nightmare	es)		
THC for PTSD Severity									
1 (Roitman et al, 2014 ²⁰) Comments:	Prospective, open-label trial	+2	-3	-1	0	0	10		-2 VERY LOW
<i>Quality:</i> Sparse data (n = 10 <i>Consistency:</i> Inconsistent e Cannabis for PTSD Severity)), no blinding, no control gr ffects (improvement on CAI v	roup, nons PS Hypera	standardize irousal but	ed administration not Total score	on of THC or other subsc	ales)			
2 (Mashiah, 2012 ²¹ ; Reznik, 2011 ²²)	Prospective open-label trial, observational study	+2	-3	0	-1	0	$29^{21} + 80$ (approx) ²² = 109		-2 VERY LOW
Comments: <i>Quality:</i> Sparse data (n = 10 no statistical analysis in	99), no blinding/control grou Krishnan et al ³²	up, varyin	g quantity o	of marijuana us	e (2–3 g/d; 10	0 g/mo), low	retention rate (·	< 35%) in Ah	nmed et al, ³¹
Directness: Concomitant us THC for Tics in Tourette's D	se of other psychotropic me isorder	dications,	heterogen	eous sample (P	TSD, PTSD & d	lepression, PT	'SD & pain) in K	rishnan et a	32
2 (Müller-Vahl et al, 2002 ¹⁵ ; Müller-Vahl et al, 2003 ¹⁶)	Randomized trials	+4	-3	-1	-1	+1	12 ¹⁵ +7 ¹⁶ =19	12 ¹⁵ +10 ¹⁶ =22	0 VERY LOW
Quality: Sparse data (n = 29 size, lack of adjustment Consistency: Inconsistencie Directness: Short follow up)), questionable blinding int for multiple comparisons as among measurements as: /time period (single dose) ir	egrity bec sessing tic n Volicer e	cause of psy cs t al ²³	ychoactive effe	cts, no ITT ana	lysis, subanal	yses performec	l with very s	mall sample
Effect size: Moderate effect	size								(continued)

is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. ±

any wohcit

							No. of Pa		
	_		(Quality Assessm	nent		Active Cannabinoid		Total GRADE Score ^f
No. of Studies (Reference)	Design	Type ^a	Quality ^b	Consistency ^c	Directness ^d	Effect Size ^e	(Reference)	Placebo	
THC for Agitation in Alzhe	imer's Disease								
1 (Volicer et al, 1997 ²³) Comments:	Randomized cross-over trial	+4	-3	-1	0	0	12	12	0 VERY LOV
<i>Quality:</i> Sparse data (n = 1) <i>Consistency:</i> Analysis on m	5), no ITT analysis, selective leasure of agitation (CMAI) o	reporting does not s	how a speci	fic treatment e	ffect.				
1 (Woodward et al, 2014 ²⁶)	Retrospective chart review	+2	-3	0	-2	0	40		-1 VERY LOV
Quality: Sparse data (n=40 no control group Directness: Short follow-up	0), substantial recall bias (pa o time (7 days), confounding	atient were g effect of	e evaluated other concc	retrospectively omitant medica	via chart revietions, heterog	ew rather tha Jeneous samp	n by clinical exa ble	amination),	
THC for Nocturnal Activity	in Alzheimer's Dementia								
1 (Walther et al, 2011 ²⁵)	Randomized, crossover trial	+4	-3	-1	-2	0	2	2	-2 VERY LOV
Comments: Quality: Sparse data (n = 2) Consistency: Effect of THC Directness: Use of cointerv	, no washout period, uncer only seen in 1 subject but n entions (lorazepam, pipamj	tain blindi ot in the c perone), u	ng integrity other ncertain gei	, inadequate sta neralizability (n	atistical analy = 2), possible	sis carryover effe	ects		
1 (Walther et al, 2006 ²⁴)	Prospective, open-label trial	+2	-2	0	-1	0	6		-1 VERY LOV
<i>Quality:</i> Sparse data (n=6) <i>Directness:</i> Use of cointerv	, no control group entions (lorazepam, clomet	hiazole, p	ipamperone)					
^a Type of evidence is gener. ^b Quality: Up to 3 points are subgroup analyses, poor	ally assessed as +4 (random e deducted for problems suc methods in general, and ot	ized contr ch as spars her such r	olled trial) o se data (N < 2 nethodolog	r +2 (observatio 200), flaws in bli ical flaws.	onal study). inding or anal	ysis, incomple	ete reporting, su	ıbjective ou	itcomes,

Abbreviations: CAPS = Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale, CMAI = Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory, GAF = Global Assessment of Functioning,

GRADE = grading of recommendations, assessment, development and evaluation; ITT = intention-to-treat; PCL-C = Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist, $PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder, RCT = randomized controlled trial, THC = <math>\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol. Symbol: ... = no placebo-control arm.

hypothesis-driven clinical trials. Especially given recent evidence suggesting poorer outcomes in PTSD patients who use marijuana,³⁹ there is an urgent need for adequately powered, double-blind RCTs with adequate control for effects of prior expectancy and symptoms of marijuana withdrawal that overlap with symptoms of PTSD (namely, sleep disturbance, nightmares, and anxiety). Future studies should strive to measure specific effects on PTSD symptoms that are distinct from the effects of marijuana as an avoidance strategy or coping mechanism.

Agitation in Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias

There are no published RCTS with marijuana for agitation in Alzheimer's disease or other dementias. There are 4 prospective studies, 1 retrospective study, and a Cochrane systematic review assessing the efficacy of oral THC for the treatment of various symptoms associated with dementia (Table 2). In a retrospective study,²⁶ inpatients (n = 40) with dementia showed statistically significant improvements in measures of agitation, aggressiveness, resisting care, aberrant vocalization, and percent of meals consumed after 7 days of treatment dronabinol compared to pretreatment. No changes were found in weight, number of psychoactive medications,

number of nighttime awakenings, GAF, or observed sleep time. Frequent side effects were sedation and delirium.

Volicer et al²³ evaluated the efficacy of dronabinol (2.5 mg twice daily) in 12 inpatients with dementia who exhibited food-refusing behaviors in a randomized, 6-week, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover design. Body weight increased significantly during the course regardless of treatment order (P = .006); the effect of treatment on weight gain was greater for those receiving dronabinol first (P < .017). Skin-fold thickness increased significantly (P=.016), but there was no effect of treatment or order. Caloric intake, plasma albumin, and lymphocyte counts did not change significantly. The only reported statistically significant behavioral change was an interaction of treatment order \times time (P = .05) wherein subjects who received dronabinol first showed greater improvement in measures assessing agitation. Notably, the rate of tiredness/ somnolence was approximately twice as high during active treatment phase compared to placebo phase.

Walther et al²⁴ evaluated the efficacy of dronabinol for the treatment of nighttime agitation in an open-label trial of 6 patients with dementia, using nocturnal motor activity (measured by actigraphy) as a proxy. In a pre-post

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.

reduced after 14 days of treatment. Composite score on the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI), a measure of dementia outcomes, was also significantly lower after treatment compared to baseline, with significant changes notable in the subscores measuring aberrant motor activity, nighttime behaviors, agitation, appetite disturbances, and irritability. In a follow-up RCT,²⁵ 2 patients were randomized to receive treatment with oral THC (2.5 mg) or placebo for two weeks before crossing over (no wash out). Both patients reportedly showed more stability, less fragmentation, and stronger circadian rhythm patterns in circadian rhythm analyses; results of dementia outcomes (measured by NPI) were mixed.

Ahmed et al³¹ studied the safety and efficacy of oral THC (0.75–1.5 mg) or placebo in a RCT of 10 dementia patients. Six THC-related adverse events were reported including dizziness, fatigue (n=2), and agitation (n=3), all of which were judged to be transient and minor in severity; efficacy outcomes have not yet been published (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01302340).

A Cochrane systematic review of the literature³² concluded that there is insufficient evidence that cannabinoids are effective for the treatment of behavioral disturbances associated with dementia. Another systematic review⁴⁰ noted that there is a lack of adequately powered trials showing efficacy and safety of cannabinoids in older adults generally, though small studies have suggested an acceptable safety profile in both healthy⁴¹ and demented³¹ geriatric patients.

Case report. Additionally, 1 case report documents significant and sustained improvement after nabilone treatment in a 72-year-old demented man with severe behavioral problems refractory to antipsychotics, gabapentin, citalopram, lorazepam, and trazodone.⁴²

Summary. The overall GRADE score for the use of cannabinoids in the treatment of dementia-related symptoms is very low (Table 3). Of note, there is some evidence for the involvement of the eCB system in Alzheimer's disease.⁴³ The limitations of published trials include small sample size, lack of control groups, retrospective assessments, lack of randomization and blinding, use of pre-post comparison, short durations, lack of intention-to-treat analysis, possible carry-over effects in the crossover study, and inconsistent results using different measures designed to assess the same outcomes. One positive aspect of this emerging literature was the use of objective measures (eg, actigraphy) to assess nighttime agitation. Notably, several other objective measures showed no differences by group (treatment vs placebo) or in pre-post comparisons.^{23,24,26} It remains possible that the purported "calming" effect of THC in agitated dementia patients is explained by nonspecific sedation. Indeed, sedation was one of the most frequent side effects of dronabinol in these studies.^{23,26} Given the wellknown effects of cannabinoids on cognitive domains that are already impaired in dementia, future studies must also weigh the purported benefits against the cognitive impairing effects of cannabinoids.

Medical marijuana has also been approved for a number of medical (eg, Crohn's disease), neurologic (eg, epilepsy and Parkinson's disease), and general conditions (eg, pain) that are associated with psychiatric and psychological comorbidity.^{SR16–SR23} Thus, mental health clinicians might be involved in caring for clients who are taking medical marijuana for other conditions. The quality of the evidence for other such indications is reviewed elsewhere (see Supplementary References SR24–SR26).

Discussion

The overall GRADE of evidence for studies of cannabinoids in Tourette's disorder, PTSD, and agitation in Alzheimer's disease and other dementias is very low. Clinicians should exercise caution in certifying medical marijuana for these psychiatric disorders.

The data for this systematic review were derived from published manuscripts of the studies and published conference proceedings or obtained through personal communication with the authors. While it is hoped that this systematic review accurately captures the efficacy measures in individual studies, additional data on specific measures such as sleep or pain that may have been collected by authors but not included in their publications were not available and is a potential limitation.

To enable clinicians and patients to make an informed decision in light of the absence of evidence, and since clinical decisions are often based on a discussion about risk versus benefit, we review the risks of medical marijuana in the following section.

RISKS OF MEDICAL MARIJUANA

Side Effects

Since few RCTs have specifically assessed the safety of crude marijuana, most of the data on safety has to be extrapolated from clinical trials with cannabinoids (eg, dronabinol and nabilone) or from data on marijuana abuse. The most commonly reported side effects in trials of cannabinoids include dizziness, tiredness, sedation, lightheadedness, headache, anxiety, disorientation, dry mouth, falls, fatigue, weakness, nausea, feeling of intoxication or "stoned," euphoria, and oromucosal discomfort.^{15,16,31,SR27-SR36} In trials of smoked marijuana, cough, throat irritation, and mouth pain or a sensation of burning have been reported. SR34-SR36 Other side effects included seizure, emotional lability, anxiety/nervousness, hallucinations, paranoid reactions, and euphoria. Generally, these are rated as mild in severity. Patients with preexisting psychosis have been reported to experience a worsening of psychosis; other side effects may be greater in those who are marijuana-naïve, with adverse events occurring in 44% of marijuana-naïve patients compared to 28% of marijuana dependent patients in one study.¹⁷ In most studies, side effects were based on self-report and not systematic assessments.

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website Tolerance, Dependence, and Withdrawal *Tolerance*. Tolerance to the analgesic, hypothermic,

Tolerance. Tolerance to the analgesic, hypothermic, and hypomotor effects of CB1R agonists has been shown in animal species and develops within days; tolerance to memory and endocrine effects takes longer to develop. SR37-SR40 In humans, tolerance develops to effects on mood, memory and cognition, heart rate, blood pressure, and hormones^{SR41-SR44}; the magnitude of tolerance is proportional to the dose and duration of exposure. The rate and time-course of the development of tolerance to the various effects of CB1R agonists varies.

Dependence. Approximately 9% of people who use marijuana are estimated to develop dependence.44 The risks of marijuana dependence decrease over a 10-year period. However, people who use marijuana at least 5 times a year are likely to continue the same level of use for at least 10 years.45 Furthermore, lifetime rates of addiction in those who begin use in adolescence have been reported close to 17%.⁴⁶ Although the lifetime prevalence of dependence in marijuana users (9%) is lower than rates of dependence for tobacco (32%), heroin (23%), cocaine (17%), and alcohol (15%),⁴⁴ this portion represents a significant number given the overall high prevalence of marijuana use.

Withdrawal syndrome. The administration of CB1R antagonists has been shown to precipitate a withdrawal syndrome in animals chronically exposed to CB1R agonists.47,48 In humans, a marijuana withdrawal syndrome has been described in retrospective self-report studies, prospective studies, and human laboratory studies involving the administration and discontinuation of cannabinoids.^{49,SR45-SR50} Now recognized in DSM-5 as a distinct entity, cannabis withdrawal syndrome is characterized by anger, aggression, appetite change, weight loss, irritability, anxiety, restlessness, altered sleep, strange dreams, marijuana craving, and physical discomfort.49,50,SR44-SR54 Less common symptoms include chills, depressed mood, stomach pain, and sweating. Most symptoms appear within 1 day of abstinence, peak within 2-3 days, and resolve within 1-3 weeks. Two studies^{49,50} evaluated symptoms for at least 4 weeks and observed prominent withdrawal symptoms during the initial 2 to 3 weeks of abstinence, some of which persisted through the entire study period. The findings of these studies suggest that withdrawal symptoms may persist longer than 4 weeks.^{49,50} Characteristic of a true withdrawal syndrome, abstinence symptoms occur with blind discontinuation and resolve with CB1R agonist re-administration.^{SR44,SR45,SR49,SR52,SR55}

Adaptation of the CB1R system associated with tolerance. Exposure to CB1R agonists is accompanied by receptor down-regulation, desensitization of receptormediated G-protein activation, and alterations in CB1R mRNA levels. SR56-SR65 More recently, CB1R downregulation associated with chronic marijuana use in humans has been demonstrated both postmortem and in vivo.⁵¹ These changes are related to the duration and magnitude of exposure to cannabinoids and have a distinct regional and temporal profile, with CB1R down-regulation occurring

Reversal of adaptation with abstinence. With prolonged abstinence, there is recovery in the number and function of CB1Rs over 2 weeks.⁵² In vivo human imaging studies suggest that CB1R down-regulation associated with marijuana dependence recovers within 2-4 weeks of abstinence.⁵¹

Psychosis and Other Psychiatric Disorders

Transient⁵³ as well as persistent psychosis⁵⁴ has been associated with marijuana use. Transient, marijuana-induced psychosis can outlast the period of acute intoxication and can persist for as long as 30 days.⁵⁵ The risk of psychosis associated with marijuana is increased in early and chronic use.⁵⁶ The relationship between marijuana and persistent psychosis fulfills many but not all of the standard criteria for causality.⁵⁵ Marijuana use has also been shown to exacerbate the course of illness in individuals with established psychotic disorders and may be a component cause in the etiology of schizophrenia.55 Observational studies of patients with psychotic disorders indicate that those with a history of marijuana use (compared to those with no such history) have an earlier age at onset of illness by 2.7 years.⁵⁶ Given that medical marijuana is mostly prescribed for chronic conditions and that chronicity heightens the risk of psychosis in marijuana use, psychosis represents a real risk. Emerging evidence suggests marijuana use has also been shown to be associated with worsening manic symptoms in patients with bipolar disorder.^{SR70-SR72} Heavy marijuana use has also been associated with increased risk for depressive disorders.⁵⁷

Cognitive Deficits

Acute effects. Marijuana and other cannabinoids can acutely impair several domains of cognitive function, including reaction time, attention, divided attention, signal detection, allocation of attention, information processing speed, spatial working memory and maze accuracy, verbal learning and recall, procedural memory, associative learning, tracking accuracy, time estimation, distance estimation, set shifting, motor coordination, and danger perception.53,SR73-SR105

Long-term effects. Adverse effects associated with longterm marijuana use also include cognitive decline in the form of deficits in attention, executive functioning, memory, and IQ.^{58–61} It is thought that these impairments persist beyond the period of intoxication and are related to dose, duration, frequency, and age at first marijuana use.⁶² In a longitudinal cohort following over 1,000 subjects from birth to age 38, Meier et al⁶¹ showed that those with persistent marijuana use experience a significant decline in IQ. Moreover, this decline was greatest in those who began use in adolescence versus adulthood (8-point vs 6-point decline in IQ). This trend did not reverse after cessation of marijuana. Alternate hypothesis that this association was confounded by low socioeconomic status of cannabis users or conscientiousness, one of the traits of Five-Factor Model of personality, were not supported in this cohort.^{SR106-SR108} The association

For reprints or permissions, contact permissions@psychiatrist.com. • © 2016 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc. J Clin Psychiatry 77:8, August 2016 PSYCHIATRIST.COM **1060**

It is illegal to post this copy between cannabis use and poor academic performance persisted despite controlling for socioeconomic status in a separate cohort as well.⁶³ Other studies show that deficits in neuropsychological functioning can reverse after cessation of marijuana use, but recovery times can vary from a week to 3 months to 2 years of abstinence.^{SR109–SR112}

Effects on Driving

Marijuana is the most common illicit drug implicated in motor vehicle fatalities.⁶⁴ Epidemiologic data suggest that recent marijuana use increases risk of a motor vehicle accident by approximately 2-fold.⁶⁵ Marijuana and cannabinoids are well known to impair a number of driving-related conditions including attention, reaction time, working memory, processing speed, working memory, procedural memory, tracking accuracy, time estimation and distance estimation.⁶⁶ Marijuana and THC have been shown to significantly impair on-road driving to levels equivalent to driving with blood alcohol levels of 0.05%–0.1%.^{SR113–SR115} Occasional users of marijuana are more sensitive to the driving impairing effects of marijuana and THC than heavy users.

In driving simulation studies, marijuana and THC produce dose-related impairments in a number of driving outcomes including speed variance, lane deviation, steering instability, and braking distance.^{67,68} Interestingly, while people underestimate their driving impairments under the influence of alcohol, under the influence of marijuana or THC, people seem to be more aware of impairment and therefore drive more slowly.^{67,SR116–SR118} Finally, emerging data suggest that under the influence of both alcohol and marijuana, driving impairments may be more than additive.^{68,SR119–SR123}

Pulmonary Effects

Although still controversial, the effects of long-term marijuana smoking on pulmonary function , as well as the risk of lung cancer,^{SR124–SR126} are a persistent concern. Heavy and chronic marijuana smoking may lead to symptoms of bronchitis, increased airway resistance, and airway inflammation.⁶⁹ However, lower levels of marijuana use do not appear to be associated with these symptoms.⁷⁰ (The effect of cannabis use on other aspects of physical health is reviewed elsewhere.^{SR127})

Interactions With Other Drugs

Both in vitro and in vivo studies suggest that many cannabinoids can significantly inhibit a wide range of cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes including CYP2C9, CYP2D6, CYP2C19, and CYP3A4,^{SR128-SR131} though the clinical implications of potential drug interactions requires further study. Marijuana may increase the anticoagulant effect of warfarin by inhibiting its metabolism and its displacement from protein-binding sites.⁷¹ Marijuana also decreases the peak concentration of antiretroviral therapies, though the clinical significance of this is unclear.⁷² The potential drug interactions induced by cannabinoids will need further attention.

Special Considerations in Children and Adolescents Considering that adolescence may represent a period of increased vulnerability for the emergence of psychosis and for greater cognitive effects such as significant decline in IQ associated with cannabis use, there is increasing concern regarding the use of medical marijuana for conditions such as Tourette's disorder in children and adolescents.⁷³ Although medical marijuana is currently approved only for adults > 18 years and older, studies suggest that it invariably makes its way into the hands of children and adolescents as reflected by the increasing number of emergency department admissions for unintentional marijuana ingestion.⁷⁴

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

Clinicians who certify, prescribe, or care for clients receiving marijuana need to be aware that because of tolerance, over time, patients may require more marijuana to achieve a desired effect, that abrupt discontinuation may precipitate a withdrawal syndrome, and that in patients who have been abstinent for weeks, if medical marijuana is resumed, lower doses would be advisable. Similarly, clinicians need to be aware that marijuana impairs cognition, and when combined with other prescribed drugs (eg, benzodiazepines) or when used in disorders of cognition (eg, dementia), impairment may be heightened. Clinicians should also be aware that marijuana impairs driving, and when combined with alcohol or prescribed drugs (eg, opioids or benzodiazepines), driving may be further impaired. Finally, potential interactions between marijuana and other drugs and the clinical significance of these interactions are not well studied.

CONCLUSIONS

There are few RCTs with medical marijuana or cannabinoids for psychiatric indications. The strength of evidence for the use of medical marijuana for psychiatric indications of PTSD, Tourette's disorder, and agitation in Alzheimer's disease is very low at the present time. While states have approved the use of the whole plant, most of the existing evidence is about individual constituents of marijuana. For drugs to be approved by the US FDA, the gold standard of evidence, ie, RCT data are required. To that extent, little gold standard evidence exists for psychiatric indications. Of note, cannabinoid medications that have been approved by the FDA already exist, namely dronabinol and nabilone, but public interest in them is limited. Furthermore, the varied conditions for which medical marijuana is approved have no common etiology, pathophysiology, or phenomenology, raising questions about a mechanism that could explain why it could be beneficial for all these conditions.

The demonstration of efficacy in studies using medical marijuana is made challenging by multiple constraints related to blinding, expectancy, and multiphasic dose-dependent effects of constituent cannabinoids. Unlike FDA-approved **It is illegal to post this copy** medications, the proportion, content, and potency of marijuana's active constituents may vary considerably across strains, making it difficult to compare uncontrolled studies. Taken collectively, attributing marijuana's positive or negative effects to any of its many constituents remains challenging.

Finally, certifying physicians and those treating patients who use medical marijuana need to be aware that chronic and persistent use of marijuana is associated with adverse effects including potential deleterious effects on cognition and driving ability and risks of emergence or worsening of psychosis.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

There is an urgent need for adequately powered, doubleblind, randomized, placebo/active-controlled studies in carefully characterized patient populations with a specific psychiatric diagnosis or target symptom. Study designs should strive to minimize confounders such as expectancy and the possibility of unblinding due to the psychoactive effects of marijuana by using an active control,⁷⁵ low doses of marijuana, or innovative study-designs such as

Submitted: April 8, 2015; accepted December 29, 2015.

Drug names: citalopram (Celexa and others), dronabinol (Marinol and others), gabapentin (Neurontin, Gralise, and others), lorazepam (Ativan and others), nabilone (Cesamet), warfarin (Coumadin, Jantoven, and others).

Disclosure of off-label usage: The authors have determined that, to the best of their knowledge, citalopram, dronabinol, gabapentin, lorazepam, nabilone, and trazodone are not approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of dementia; dronabinol is not approved for the treatment of Tourette's disorder; nabilone is not approved for the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder; and nabiximols is not approved for any indication.

Financial disclosure: Dr D'Souza has in the past 3 years or currently receives research grant support administered through Yale University School of Medicine from AbbVie and Pfizer Inc and is a consultant for Bristol-Meyers Squibb and Johnson & Johnson. He also serves on the Connecticut Medical Marijuana Program Board of Physicians. Drs Radhakrishnan and Wilkinson have received funding from APIRE/ Janssen Resident Psychiatric Research Scholar Fellowship and are supported by NIMH R25 IMPORT training grant (R25MH071584). Dr Wilkinson has also received funding from the Thomas P. Detre Research Fellowship (nonprofit).

Funding/support: The authors wish to acknowledge support from the (1) Department of Veterans Affairs; (2) National Institute of Mental Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse; (3) National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA); and (4) the Yale Center for Clinical Investigation (YCCI).

Role of the sponsor: None of the funding agencies had a role in the conduct or publication of this manuscript.

Disclaimer: The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily

represent the views of the US Department of Veterans Affairs.

Supplementary material: Supplementary References are available at PSYCHIATRIST.COM.

REFERENCES

- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6(7):e1000100.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* 2009;6(7):e1000097.
- Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, et al. GRADE guidelines, 1: introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2011;64(4):383–394.
- ElSohly MA, Ross SA, Mehmedic Z, et al. Potency trends of Δ⁹-THC and other cannabinoids in confiscated marijuana from 1980–1997. *J Forensic Sci.* 2000:45(1):24–30.
- Russo EB. Taming THC: potential cannabis synergy and phytocannabinoid-terpenoid entourage effects. Br J Pharmacol. 2011;163(7):1344–1364.
- 6. Galal AM, Slade D, Gul W, et al. Naturally occurring and related synthetic cannabinoids and their potential therapeutic applications. *Recent Patents CNS Drug Discov.* 2009;4(2):112–136.
- 7. Mechoulam R, Parker LA. The endocannabinoid system and the brain. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2013;64(1):21–47.
- Schubart CD, Sommer IE, Fusar-Poli P, et al. Cannabidiol as a potential treatment for psychosis. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2014;24(1):51–64.
- 9. Davis WM, Hatoum NS. Neurobehavioral actions of cannabichromene and

balanced-placebo design.⁶⁶ The standardization of the dose of medical marijuana, the proportion of its constituent cannabinoids, and the route of administration remains a challenge. The effects of tolerance and withdrawal should be minimized. The use of well-validated outcome measures that are not exclusively based on self-report but also include objective measures would help distinguish disease-specific effects from nonspecific anxiolytic and euphoric effects. Additionally, there is a need to rigorously assess short- and long-term neuropsychiatric side effects. Future research on the safety and efficacy of individual constituents (eg, cannabinoids) of marijuana and efforts to accelerate the advance of compounds with therapeutic promise would eliminate many current difficulties associated with establishing the efficacy of marijuana in RCTs.

Finally, states should consider establishing programs to screen for specific psychiatric disorders, eg, schizophrenia, prior to issuing medical marijuana prescriptions, prospectively monitoring negative outcomes (eg, new cases of psychosis), providing risk and safety information to all patients, and including medical marijuana in prescription monitoring databases as has been done for opioids and benzodiazepines.

> interactions with Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol. Gen Pharmacol. 1983;14(2):247–252.

- Valdeolivas S, Navarrete C, Cantarero I, et al. Neuroprotective properties of cannabigerol in Huntington's disease: studies in R6/2 mice and 3-nitropropionate-lesioned mice. *Neurotherapeutics*. 2015;12(1):185–199.
- Farrimond JA, Whalley BJ, Williams CM. Cannabinol and cannabidiol exert opposing effects on rat feeding patterns. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2012;223(1):117–129.
- Rey AA, Purrio M, Viveros MP, et al. Biphasic effects of cannabinoids in anxiety responses: CB1 and GABA(B) receptors in the balance of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurotransmission. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2012;37(12):2624–2634.
- Pertwee RG, Howlett AC, Abood ME, et al. International union of basic and clinical pharmacology, LXXIX: cannabinoid receptors and their ligands: beyond CB₁ and CB₂. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2010;62(4):588–631.
- Marrs WR, Blankman JL, Horne EA, et al. The serine hydrolase ABHD6 controls the accumulation and efficacy of 2-AG at cannabinoid receptors. *Nat Neurosci.* 2010;13(8):951–957.
- Müller-Vahl KR, Schneider U, Koblenz A, et al. Treatment of Tourette's syndrome with Δ⁹tetrahydrocannabinol (THC): a randomized crossover trial. *Pharmacopsychiatry*. 2002;35(2):57–61.
- Müller-Vahl KR, Schneider U, Prevedel H, et al. Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) is effective in the treatment of tics in Tourette syndrome: a 6-week randomized trial. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2003;64(4):459–465.
- Cameron C, Watson D, Robinson J. Use of a synthetic cannabinoid in a correctional population for posttraumatic stress disorderrelated insomnia and nightmares, chronic pain, harm reduction, and other indications: a retrospective evaluation. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2014;34(5):559–564.

Wilkinson et al It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website 18. Fraser GA. The use of a synthetic Cannabis use among military veterans after

cannabinoid in the management of treatment-resistant nightmares in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). CNS Neurosci Ther. 2009;15(1):84–88.

- Jetly R, Heber A, Fraser G, et al. The efficacy of nabilone, a synthetic cannabinoid, in the treatment of PTSD-associated nightmares: a preliminary randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled cross-over design study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2015;51:585–588.
- Roitman P, Mechoulam R, Cooper-Kazaz R, et al. Preliminary, open-label, pilot study of add-on oral Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol in chronic post-traumatic stress disorder. *Clin Drug Investig.* 2014;34(8):587–591.
- Mashiah M. Medical Cannabis as treatment for chronic combat PTSD: promising results in an open pilot study. Patients Out of Time Conference. 2012; Tucson, AZ.
- Reznik I. Medical marijuana/cannabis use in patients with post-traumatic stress disorder. The International Conference on Integrative Medicine. 2011; Jerusalem, Israel.
- Volicer L, Stelly M, Morris J, et al. Effects of dronabinol on anorexia and disturbed behavior in patients with Alzheimer's disease. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 1997;12(9):913–919.
- Walther S, Mahlberg R, Eichmann U, et al. Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol for nighttime agitation in severe dementia. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2006;185(4):524–528.
- Walther S, Schüpbach B, Seifritz E, et al. Randomized, controlled crossover trial of dronabinol, 2.5 mg, for agitation in 2 patients with dementia. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2011;31(2):256–258.
- Woodward MR, Harper DG, Stolyar A, et al. Dronabinol for the treatment of agitation and aggressive behavior in acutely hospitalized severely demented patients with noncognitive behavioral symptoms. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2014;22(4):415–419.
- Curtis A, Clarke CÉ, Rickards HE. Cannabinoids for Tourette's syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;(4):CD006565.
- Müller-Vahl KR, Kolbe H, Schneider U, et al. Cannabinoids: possible role in pathophysiology and therapy of Gilles de la Tourette syndrome. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 1998;98(6):502–506.
- de Bitencourt RM, Pamplona FA, Takahashi RN. A current overview of cannabinoids and glucocorticoids in facilitating extinction of aversive memories: potential extinction enhancers. *Neuropharmacology*. 2013;64:389–395.
- Bonn-Miller MO, Babson KA, Vandrey R. Using cannabis to help you sleep: heightened frequency of medical cannabis use among those with PTSD. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2014;136:162–165.
- Ahmed AI, van den Elsen GA, Colbers A, et al. Safety, pharmacodynamics, and pharmacokinetics of multiple oral doses of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in older persons with dementia. *Psychopharmacology* (*Berl*). 2015;232(14):2587–2595.
- 32. Krishnan S, Cairns R, Howard R. Cannabinoids for the treatment of dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;(2):CD007204.
- Cougle JR, Bonn-Miller MO, Vujanovic AA, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder and cannabis use in a nationally representative sample. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2011;25(3):554–558.
- 34. Bonn-Miller MO, Vujanovic AA, Drescher KD.

residential treatment for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychol Addict Behav.* 2011;25(3):485–491.

- Greer GR, Grob CS, Halberstadt AL. PTSD symptom reports of patients evaluated for the New Mexico Medical Cannabis Program. *J Psychoactive Drugs*. 2014;46(1):73–77.
- Boden MT, Babson KA, Vujanovic AA, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder and cannabis use characteristics among military veterans with cannabis dependence. *Am J Addict*. 2013;22(3):277–284.
- Bordieri MJ, Tull MT, McDermott MJ, et al. The moderating role of experiential avoidance in the relationship between posttraumatic stress disorder symptom severity and Cannabis dependence. J Contextual Behav Sci. 2014;3(4):273–278.
- Earleywine M, Bolles JR. Marijuana, expectancies, and post-traumatic stress symptoms: a preliminary investigation. *J Psychoactive Drugs*. 2014;46(3):171–177.
- Wilkinson ST, Stefanovics E, Rosenheck RA. Marijuana use is associated with worse outcomes in symptom severity and violent behavior in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2015;76(9):1174–1180.
- van den Elsen GA, Ahmed AI, Lammers M, et al. Efficacy and safety of medical cannabinoids in older subjects: a systematic review. Ageing Res Rev. 2014;14:56–64.
- Ahmed Al, van den Elsen GA, Colbers A, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of oral delta-9tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy older subjects: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2014;24(9):1475–1482.
- Passmore MJ. The cannabinoid receptor agonist nabilone for the treatment of dementia-related agitation. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2008;23(1):116–117.
- Maroof N, Pardon MC, Kendall DA. Endocannabinoid signalling in Alzheimer's disease. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 2013;41(6):1583–1587.
- 44. Anthony JC, Warner LA, Kessler RC. Comparative epidemiology of dependence on tobacco, alcohol, controlled substances, and inhalants: basic findings from the National Comorbidity Survey. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol. 1994;2(3):244–268.
- Perkonigg A, Goodwin RD, Fiedler A, et al. The natural course of cannabis use, abuse and dependence during the first decades of life. Addiction. 2008;103(3):439–449, discussion 450–451.
- Volkow ND, Baler RD, Compton WM, et al. Adverse health effects of marijuana use. N Engl J Med. 2014;370(23):2219–2227.
- Aceto MD, Scates SM, Lowe JA, et al. Cannabinoid precipitated withdrawal by the selective cannabinoid receptor antagonist, SR 141716A. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 1995;282(1–3):R1–R2.
- Aceto MD, Scates SM, Martin BB.
 Spontaneous and precipitated withdrawal with a synthetic cannabinoid, WIN 55212-2. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2001;416(1–2):75–81.
- Budney AJ, Moore BA, Vandrey RG, et al. The time course and significance of cannabis withdrawal. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2003;112(3):393–402.
- Kouri EM, Pope HG Jr. Abstinence symptoms during withdrawal from chronic marijuana use. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2000;8(4):483–492.
- 51. Hirvonen J, Goodwin RS, Li CT, et al. Reversible and regionally selective

downregulation of brain cannabinoid CBT receptors in chronic daily cannabis smokers. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2012;17(6):642–649.

- Sim-Selley LJ, Schechter NS, Rorrer WK, et al. Prolonged recovery rate of CB1 receptor adaptation after cessation of long-term cannabinoid administration. *Mol Pharmacol.* 2006;70(3):986–996.
- D'Souza DC, Perry E, MacDougall L, et al. The psychotomimetic effects of intravenous delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy individuals: implications for psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2004;29(8):1558–1572.
- Andréasson S, Allebeck P, Engström A, et al. Cannabis and schizophrenia: a longitudinal study of Swedish conscripts. *Lancet*. 1987;2(8574):1483–1486.
- Radhakrishnan R, Wilkinson ST, D'Souza DC. Gone to pot—a review of the association between Cannabis and psychosis. Front Psychiatry. 2014;5:54.
- Large M, Sharma S, Compton MT, et al. Cannabis use and earlier onset of psychosis: a systematic meta-analysis. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2011;68(6):555–561.
- Lev-Ran S, Roerecke M, Le Foll B, et al. The association between cannabis use and depression: a systematic review and metaanalysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychol Med.* 2014;44(4):797–810.
- Pope HG Jr, Yurgelun-Todd D. The residual cognitive effects of heavy marijuana use in college students. *JAMA*. 1996;275(7):521–527.
- Pope HG Jr, Gruber AJ, Yurgelun-Todd D. Residual neuropsychologic effects of cannabis. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2001;3(6):507–512.
- Bolla KI, Brown K, Eldreth D, et al. Doserelated neurocognitive effects of marijuana use. *Neurology*. 2002;59(9):1337–1343.
- Meier MH, Caspi A, Ambler A, et al. Persistent cannabis users show neuropsychological decline from childhood to midlife. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012;109(40):E2657–E2664.
- Solowij N, Battisti R. The chronic effects of cannabis on memory in humans: a review. *Curr Drug Abuse Rev.* 2008;1(1):81–98.
- Meier M¹, Hill ML, Small PJ, et al. Associations of adolescent cannabis use with academic performance and mental health: a longitudinal study of upper middle class youth. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2015;156:207–212.
- Brady JE, Li G. Trends in alcohol and other drugs detected in fatally injured drivers in the United States, 1999–2010. Am J Epidemiol. 2014;179(6):692–699.
- Asbridge M, Hayden JA, Cartwright JL. Acute cannabis consumption and motor vehicle collision risk: systematic review of observational studies and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2012;344:e536.
- Sewell RA, Poling J, Sofuoglu M. The effect of cannabis compared with alcohol on driving. *Am J Addict*. 2009;18(3):185–193.
- Ronen A, Gershon P, Drobiner H, et al. Effects of THC on driving performance, physiological state and subjective feelings relative to alcohol. *Accid Anal Prev.* 2008;40(3):926–934.
- Ramaekers JG, Robbe HW, O'Hanlon JF. Marijuana, alcohol and actual driving performance. *Hum Psychopharmacol*. 2000;15(7):551–558.
- Lee MH, Hancox RJ. Effects of smoking cannabis on lung function. *Expert Rev Respir Med*. 2011;5(4):537–546, quiz 547.

Medical Marijuana for Psychiatric Indications

 Pletcher MJ, Vittinghoff E, Kalhan R, et al. Association between marijuana exposure and pulmonary function over 20 years. JAMA. 2012;307(2):173–181.

- Yamreudeewong W, Wong HK, Brausch LM, et al. Probable interaction between warfarin and marijuana smoking. Ann Pharmacother. 2009;43(7):1347–1353.
- 72. Kosel BW, Aweeka FT, Benowitz NL, et al. The

effects of cannabinoids on the pharmacokinetics of indinavir and nelfinavir. *AIDS*. 2002;16(4):543–550.

- Hadland SE, Knight JR, Harris SK. Medical marijuana: review of the science and implications for developmental-behavioral pediatric practice. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2015;36(2):115–123.
- 74. Wang GS, Roosevelt G, Heard K. Pediatric

marijuana exposures in a medical marijuana state. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2013;167(7):630–633.

- Ware MA, Fitzcharles MA, Joseph L, et al. The effects of nabilone on sleep in fibromyalgia: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Anesth Analg.* 2010;110(2):604–610.
- Miller FG, Wendler D, Swartzman LC. Deception in research on the placebo effect. *PLoS Med*. 2005;2(9):e262.

See supplementary material for this article at PSYCHIATRIST.COM.



POSTTEST

To obtain credit, go to PSYCHIATRIST.COM (Keyword: August) to take this Posttest and complete the Evaluation. A nominal processing fee is required.

- 1. According to this article, the US Food and Drug Administration has approved the medical use of marijuana for posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), agitation in Alzheimer's disease, and Tourette's disorder.
 - a. True
 - b. False
- 2. Mr A is a 35-year-old veteran diagnosed with PTSD who complains of experiencing flashbacks and dissociative symptoms. He is now requesting certification for use of medical marijuana. A discussion of risks versus benefits should include all of the following points *except*:
 - a. The good quality of evidence for use of medical marijuana in PTSD
 - b. Association of marijuana use with avoidance among those with PTSD
 - c. Risk of worsening cognitive function
 - d. Risk of psychosis as well as tolerance and dependence



THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CLINICAL PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGY

Supplementary Material

- Article Title: A Systematic Review of the Evidence for Medical Marijuana in Psychiatric Indications
- Author(s): Samuel T. Wilkinson, MD; Rajiv Radhakrishnan, MD; and Deepak Cyril D'Souza, MD
- DOI Number: dx.doi.org/10.4088/JCP.15r10036

List of Supplementary Material for the article

Supplementary References

Disclaimer

This Supplementary Material has been provided by the author(s) as an enhancement to the published article. It has been approved by peer review; however, it has undergone neither editing nor formatting by in-house editorial staff. The material is presented in the manner supplied by the author.

© Copyright 2016 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

Supplementary References

- SR1. Margulies JE, Hammer RP Jr. Delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol alters cerebral metabolism in a biphasic, dose-dependent manner in rat brain. Eur J Pharmacol. 1991;202(3):373–378. doi:10.1016/0014-2999(91)90281-T PubMed
- SR2. Malinowska B, Baranowska-Kuczko M, Schlicker E. Triphasic blood pressure responses to cannabinoids: do we understand the mechanism? Br J Pharmacol. 2012;165(7):2073–2088. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01747.x PubMed
- SR3. Katsidoni V, Kastellakis A, Panagis G. Biphasic effects of Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol on brain stimulation reward and motor activity. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2013;16(10):2273–2284. doi:10.1017/S1461145713000709 PubMed
- SR4. Metna-Laurent M, Soria-Gómez E, Verrier D, et al. Bimodal control of fear-coping strategies by CB₁ cannabinoid receptors. J Neurosci. 2012;32(21):7109–7118. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1054-12.2012 PubMed
- SR5. Freund TF, Katona I, Piomelli D. Role of endogenous cannabinoids in synaptic signaling. *Physiol Rev.* 2003;83(3):1017–1066. doi:10.1152/physrev.00004.2003 PubMed
- SR6. Piomelli D. The molecular logic of endocannabinoid signalling. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2003;4(11):873-884. doi:10.1038/nrn1247 PubMed
- SR7. Wilson RI, Nicoll RA. Endocannabinoid signaling in the brain. *Science*. 2002;296(5568):678–682. doi:10.1126/science.1063545 PubMed
- SR8. Howlett AC, Johnson MR, Melvin LS, et al. Nonclassical cannabinoid analgetics inhibit adenylate cyclase: development of a cannabinoid receptor model. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1988;33(3):297–302. PubMed
- SR9. Pan X, Ikeda SR, Lewis DL. Rat brain cannabinoid receptor modulates N-type Ca2+ channels in a neuronal expression system. Mol Pharmacol. 1996;49(4):707–714. PubMed
- SR10. Brunnauer A, Segmiller FM, Volkamer T, et al. Cannabinoids improve driving ability in a Tourette's patient. Psychiatry Res. 2011;190(2-3):382. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2011.05.033 PubMed
- SR11. Hasan A, Rothenberger A, Münchau A, et al. Oral delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol improved refractory Gilles de la Tourette syndrome in an adolescent by increasing intracortical inhibition: a case report. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2010;30(2):190–192. doi:10.1097/JCP.0b013e3181d236ec PubMed
- SR12. Müller-Vahl KR, Schneider U, Kolbe H, et al. Treatment of Tourette's syndrome with delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1999;156(3):495. PubMed
- SR13. Müller-Vahl KR, Schneider U, Emrich HM. Combined treatment of Tourette syndrome with Δ9-THC and dopamine receptor antagonists. *Journal of Cannabis Therapeutics*. 2002;2(3–4):145–154. doi:10.1300/J175v02n03_10
- SR14. Hemming M, Yellowlees PM. Effective treatment of Tourette's syndrome with marijuana. J Psychopharmacol. 1993;7(4):389–391. doi:10.1177/026988119300700411 PubMed
- SR15. Sandyk R, Awerbuch G. Marijuana and Tourette's syndrome. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 1988;8(6):444–445. doi:10.1097/00004714-198812000-00021 PubMed
- SR16. Mikocka-Walus AA, Turnbull DA, Moulding NT, et al. Controversies surrounding the comorbidity of depression and anxiety in inflammatory bowel disease patients: a literature review. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2007;13(2):225–234. doi:10.1002/ibd.20062 PubMed
- SR17. Cámara RJ, Ziegler R, Begré S, et al; Swiss Inflammatory Bowel Disease Cohort Study (SIBDCS) group. The role of psychological stress in inflammatory bowel disease: quality assessment of methods of 18 prospective studies and suggestions for future research. *Digestion*. 2009;80(2):129–139. doi:10.1159/000226087 PubMed
- SR18. Bragatti JA, Torres CM, Londero RG, et al. Prevalence of psychiatric comorbidities in temporal lobe epilepsy in a Southern Brazilian population. Arg Neuropsiguiatr. 2011;69(2A):159–165. doi:10.1590/S0004-282X2011000200003 PubMed
- SR19. Gaitatzis A, Trimble MR, Sander JW. The psychiatric comorbidity of epilepsy. Acta Neurol Scand. 2004;110(4):207–220. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0404.2004.00324.x PubMed
- SR20. Nuti A, Ceravolo R, Piccinni A, et al. Psychiatric comorbidity in a population of Parkinson's disease patients. Eur J Neurol. 2004;11(5):315–320. doi:10.1111/j.1468-1331.2004.00781.x PubMed
- SR21. Marsh L, Williams JR, Rocco M, et al. Psychiatric comorbidities in patients with Parkinson disease and psychosis. *Neurology*. 2004;63(2):293–300. doi:10.1212/01.WNL.0000129843.15756.A3 PubMed
- SR22. Argoff CE. The coexistence of neuropathic pain, sleep, and psychiatric disorders: a novel treatment approach. Clin J Pain. 2007;23(1):15–22. doi:10.1097/01.ajp.0000210945.27052.b3 PubMed
- SR23. Radat F, Margot-Duclot A, Attal N. Psychiatric co-morbidities in patients with chronic peripheral neuropathic pain: a multicentre cohort study. Eur J Pain. 2013;17(10):1547–1557. PubMed
- SR24. Hill KP. Medical marijuana for treatment of chronic pain and other medical and psychiatric problems: a clinical review. JAMA. 2015;313(24):2474–2483. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.6199 PubMed
- SR25. Koppel BS, Brust JC, Fife T, et al. Systematic review: efficacy and safety of medical marijuana in selected neurologic disorders: report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 2014;82(17):1556–1563. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000000363 PubMed
- SR26. Whiting PF, Wolff RF, Deshpande S, et al. Cannabinoids for medical use: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2015;313(24):2456–2473. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.6358 PubMed
- SR27. Blake DR, Robson P, Ho M, et al. Preliminary assessment of the efficacy, tolerability and safety of a cannabis-based medicine (Sativex) in the treatment of pain caused by rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2006;45(1):50–52. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kei183 PubMed
- SR28. Centonze D, Mori F, Koch G, et al. Lack of effect of cannabis-based treatment on clinical and laboratory measures in multiple sclerosis. *Neurol Sci.* 2009;30(6):531–534. doi:10.1007/s10072-009-0136-5 PubMed
- SR29. Rog DJ, Nurmikko TJ, Friede T, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of cannabis-based medicine in central pain in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology*. 2005;65(6):812–819. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000176753.45410.8b PubMed
- SR30. Zajicek J, Fox P, Sanders H, et al; UK MS Research Group. Cannabinoids for treatment of spasticity and other symptoms related to multiple sclerosis (CAMS study): multicentre randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2003;362(9395):1517–1526. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14738-1 PubMed
- SR31. Zajicek JP, Hobart JC, Slade A, et al; MUSEC Research Group. Multiple sclerosis and extract of cannabis: results of the MUSEC trial. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2012;83(11):1125–1132. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2012-302468 PubMed

- SR32. Berman JS, Symonds C, Birch R. Efficacy of two cannabis based medicinal extracts for relief of central neuropathic pain from brachial plexus avulsion: results of a randomised controlled trial. *Pain.* 2004;112(3):299–306. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2004.09.013 PubMed
- SR33. Langford RM, Mares J, Novotna A, et al. A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study of THC/CBD oromucosal spray in combination with the existing treatment regimen, in the relief of central neuropathic pain in patients with multiple sclerosis. J Neurol. 2013;260(4):984–997. doi:10.1007/s00415-012-6739-4 PubMed
- SR34. Abrams DI, Jay CA, Shade SB, et al. Cannabis in painful HIV-associated sensory neuropathy: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Neurology*. 2007;68(7):515–521. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000253187.66183.9c PubMed
- SR35. Corey-Bloom J, Wolfson T, Gamst A, et al. Smoked cannabis for spasticity in multiple sclerosis: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. CMAJ. 2012;184(10):1143–1150. doi:10.1503/cmaj.110837 PubMed
- SR36. Ware MA, Wang T, Shapiro S, et al. Smoked cannabis for chronic neuropathic pain: a randomized controlled trial. CMAJ. 2010;182(14):E694–E701. doi:10.1503/cmaj.091414 PubMed
- SR37. Fan F, Compton DR, Ward S, et al. Development of cross-tolerance between delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol, CP 55,940 and WIN 55,212. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1994;271(3):1383–1390. PubMed
- SR38. De Vry J, Jentzsch KR, Kuhl E, et al. Behavioral effects of cannabinoids show differential sensitivity to cannabinoid receptor blockade and tolerance development. *Behav Pharmacol.* 2004;15(1):1–12. doi:10.1097/00008877-200402000-00001 PubMed
- SR39. Hampson RE, Simeral JD, Kelly EJ, et al. Tolerance to the memory disruptive effects of cannabinoids involves adaptation by hippocampal neurons. *Hippocampus*. 2003;13(5):543–556. doi:10.1002/hipo.10081 PubMed
- SR40. Romero J, Garcia-Palomero E, Castro JG, et al. Effects of chronic exposure to delta9-tetrahydrocannabinoi on cannabinoid receptor binding and mRNA levels in several rat brain regions. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res.* 1997;46(1–2):100–108. doi:10.1016/S0169-328X(96)00277-X PubMed
- SR41. D'Souza DC, Ranganathan M, Braley G, et al. Blunted psychotomimetic and amnestic effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in frequent users of cannabis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2008;33(10):2505–2516. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1301643 PubMed
- SR42. Ranganathan M, Braley G, Pittman B, et al. The effects of cannabinoids on serum cortisol and prolactin in humans. *Psychopharmacology* (*Berl*). 2009;203(4):737–744. doi:10.1007/s00213-008-1422-2 PubMed
- SR43. Ramaekers JG, Kauert G, Theunissen EL, et al. Neurocognitive performance during acute THC intoxication in heavy and occasional cannabis users. J Psychopharmacol. 2009;23(3):266–277. doi:10.1177/0269881108092393 PubMed
- SR44. Jones RT, Benowitz N, Bachman J. Clinical studies of cannabis tolerance and dependence. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1976;282:221–239. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1976.tb49901.x PubMed
- SR45. Jones RT, Benowitz NL, Herning RI. Clinical relevance of cannabis tolerance and dependence. J Clin Pharmacol. 1981;21(suppl):143S– 152S. doi:10.1002/j.1552-4604.1981.tb02589.x PubMed
- SR46. Haney M, Ward AS, Comer SD, et al. Abstinence symptoms following oral THC administration to humans. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 1999;141(4):385–394. doi:10.1007/s002130050848 PubMed
- SR47. Wiesbeck GA, Schuckit MA, Kalmijn JA, et al. An evaluation of the history of a marijuana withdrawal syndrome in a large population. Addiction. 1996;91(10):1469–1478. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.1996.tb02251.x PubMed
- SR48. Budney AJ, Hughes JR, Moore BA, et al. Marijuana abstinence effects in marijuana smokers maintained in their home environment. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2001;58(10):917–924. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.58.10.917 PubMed
- SR49. Haney M, Ward AS, Comer SD, et al. Abstinence symptoms following smoked marijuana in humans. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 1999;141(4):395–404. doi:10.1007/s002130050849 PubMed
- SR50. Georgotas A, Zeidenberg P. Observations on the effects of four weeks of heavy marihuana smoking on group interaction and individual behavior. Compr Psychiatry. 1979;20(5):427–432. doi:10.1016/0010-440X(79)90027-0 PubMed
- SR51. Budney AJ, Hughes JR, Moore BA, et al. Review of the validity and significance of cannabis withdrawal syndrome. Am J Psychiatry. 2004;161(11):1967–1977. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.161.11.1967 PubMed
- SR52. Budney AJ, Vandrey RG, Hughes JR, et al. Oral delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol suppresses cannabis withdrawal symptoms. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2007;86(1):22–29. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2006.04.014 PubMed
- SR53. Haney M. The marijuana withdrawal syndrome: diagnosis and treatment. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2005;7(5):360–366. doi:10.1007/s11920-005-0036-1 PubMed
- SR54. Kouri EM, Pope HG Jr, Lukas SE. Changes in aggressive behavior during withdrawal from long-term marijuana use. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 1999;143(3):302–308. doi:10.1007/s002130050951 PubMed
- SR55. Haney M, Hart CL, Vosburg SK, et al. Marijuana withdrawal in humans: effects of oral THC or divalproex. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2004;29(1):158–170. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1300310 PubMed
- SR56. Clapper JR, Mangieri RA, Piomelli D. The endocannabinoid system as a target for the treatment of cannabis dependence.
- Neuropharmacology. 2009;56(suppl 1):235-243. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2008.07.018 PubMed
- SR57. Sim-Selley LJ. Regulation of cannabinoid CB1 receptors in the central nervous system by chronic cannabinoids. *Crit Rev Neurobiol.* 2003;15(2):91–119. doi:10.1615/CritRevNeurobiol.v15.i2.10 PubMed
- SR58. González S, Cebeira M, Fernández-Ruiz J. Cannabinoid tolerance and dependence: a review of studies in laboratory animals. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2005;81(2):300–318. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2005.01.028 PubMed
- SR59. Martin BR, Sim-Selley LJ, Selley DE. Signaling pathways involved in the development of cannabinoid tolerance. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2004;25(6):325–330. doi:10.1016/j.tips.2004.04.005 PubMed
- SR60. Rodríguez de Fonseca F, Gorriti MA, Fernández-Ruiz JJ, et al. Downregulation of rat brain cannabinoid binding sites after chronic delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol treatment. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 1994;47(1):33–40. doi:10.1016/0091-3057(94)90108-2 PubMed
- SR61. Breivogel CS, Childers SR, Deadwyler SA, et al. Chronic delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol treatment produces a time-dependent loss of cannabinoid receptors and cannabinoid receptor-activated G proteins in rat brain. J Neurochem. 1999;73(6):2447–2459. doi:10.1046/j.1471-4159.1999.0732447.x PubMed
- SR62. Oviedo A, Glowa J, Herkenham M. Chronic cannabinoid administration alters cannabinoid receptor binding in rat brain: a quantitative autoradiographic study. *Brain Res.* 1993;616(1–2):293–302. doi:10.1016/0006-8993(93)90220-H PubMed
- SR63. Sim-Selley LJ, Martin BR. Effect of chronic administration of R-(+)-[2,3-Dihydro-5-methyl-3-[(morpholinyl)methyl]pyrrolo[1,2,3-de]-1,4-benzoxazinyl]-(1-naphthalenyl)methanone mesylate (WIN55,212-2) or delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol on cannabinoid receptor adaptation in mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2002;303(1):36–44. doi:10.1124/jpet.102.035618 PubMed
- SR64. Breivogel CS, Scates SM, Beletskaya IO, et al. The effects of delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol physical dependence on brain cannabinoid receptors. Eur J Pharmacol. 2003;459(2–3):139–150. doi:10.1016/S0014-2999(02)02854-6 PubMed

- SR65. McKinney DL, Cassidy MP, Collier LM, et al. Dose-related differences in the regional pattern of cannabinoid receptor adaptation and in vivo tolerance development to delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2008;324(2):664–673. doi:10.1124/jpet.107.130328 PubMed
- SR66. Villares J. Chronic use of marijuana decreases cannabinoid receptor binding and mRNA expression in the human brain. *Neuroscience*. 2007;145(1):323–334. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2006.11.012 PubMed
- SR67. Ceccarini J, Kuepper R, Kemels D, et al. [F]MK-9470 PET measurement of cannabinoid CB receptor availability in chronic cannabis users [published online ahead of print December 27, 2013]. Addict Biol. 2015;20(2):357–367. doi:10.1111/adb.12116 PubMed
- SR68. Sim LJ, Hampson RE, Deadwyler SA, et al. Effects of chronic treatment with delta9-tetrahydrocannabinoid on cannabinoid-stimulated [35S]GTPgammaS autoradiography in rat brain. *J Neurosci.* 1996;16(24):8057–8066. PubMed
- SR69. Romero J, Berrendero F, Manzanares J, et al. Time-course of the cannabinoid receptor down-regulation in the adult rat brain caused by repeated exposure to delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Synapse*. 1998;30(3):298–308. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1098-2396(199811)30:3<298::AID-SYN7>3.0.CO;2-6 PubMed
- SR70. Gibbs M, Winsper C, Marwaha S, et al. Cannabis use and mania symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord. 2015;171:39–47. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2014.09.016 PubMed
- SR71. Kvitland LR, Melle I, Aminoff SR, et al. Continued cannabis use at one year follow up is associated with elevated mood and lower global functioning in bipolar I disorder. BMC Psychiatry. 2015;15(1):11. doi:10.1186/s12888-015-0389-x PubMed
- SR72. Bally N, Zullino D, Aubry JM. Cannabis use and first manic episode. J Affect Disord. 2014;165:103–108. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2014.04.038 PubMed
- SR73. Ranganathan M, D'Souza DC. The acute effects of cannabinoids on memory in humans: a review. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2006;188(4):425–444. doi:10.1007/s00213-006-0508-y PubMed
- SR74. Ranganathan M, Carbuto M, Braley G, et al. Naltrexone does not attenuate the effects of intravenous Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy humans. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2012;15(9):1251–1264. doi:10.1017/S1461145711001830 PubMed
- SR75. Sewell RA, Schnakenberg A, Elander J, et al. Acute effects of THC on time perception in frequent and infrequent cannabis users. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2013;226(2):401–413. doi:10.1007/s00213-012-2915-6 PubMed
- SR76. D'Souza DC, Fridberg DJ, Skosnik PD, et al. Dose-related modulation of event-related potentials to novel and target stimuli by intravenous Δ^9 -THC in humans. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2012;37(7):1632–1646. <u>doi:10.1038/npp.2012.8 PubMed</u>
- SR77. Milstein SL, MacCannell K, Karr G, et al. Marijuana-produced impairments in coordination. Experienced and nonexperienced subjects. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1975;161(1):26–31. doi:10.1097/00005053-197507000-00003 PubMed
- SR78. Kvålseth TO. Effects of marijuana on human reaction time and motor control. Percept Mot Skills. 1977;45(3 pt 1):935–939. doi:10.2466/pms.1977.45.3.935 PubMed
- SR79. Berghaus G, Schultz E, Szegedi A. Cannabis und fahrtûchtigkeit. Ergebnisse der experimentelle forschung. In: Berghaus G, Krûger HP, eds. Cannabis im Straβenverkehr. Stuttgart, Germany: Gustav Fisher Verlag; 1998a:73–97.
- SR80. Dornbush RL, Fink M, Freedman AM. Marijuana, memory, and perception. Am J Psychiatry. 1971;128(2):194–197. doi:10.1176/ajp.128.2.194 PubMed
- SR81. Moskowitz H, Shea R, Burns M. Effect of marihuana on the psychological refractory period. *Percept Mot Skills*. 1974;38(3):959–962. doi:10.2466/pms.1974.38.3.959 PubMed
- SR82. Borg J, Gershon S, Alpert M. Dose effects of smoked marihuana on human cognitive and motor functions. *Psychopharmacologia*. 1975;42(3):211–218. doi:10.1007/BF00421258 PubMed
- SR83. Peters BA, Lewis EG, Dustman RE, et al. Sensory, perceptual, motor and cognitive functioning and subjective reports following oral administration of delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Psychopharmacologia*. 1976;47(2):141–148. doi:10.1007/BF00735812 PubMed
- SR84. Schaefer CF, Gunn CG, Dubowski KM. Dose-related heart-rate, perceptual, and decisional changes in man following marihuana smoking. *Percept Mot Skills*. 1977;44(1):3–16. doi:10.2466/pms.1977.44.1.3 PubMed
- SR85. Peeke SC, Jones RT, Stone GC. Effects of practice on marijuana-induced changes in reaction time. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 1976;48(2):159–163. doi:10.1007/BF00423255 PubMed
- SR86. Stillman RC, Wolkowitz O, Weingartner H, et al. Marijuana: differential effects on right and left hemisphere functions in man. *Life Sci.* 1977;21(12):1793–1799. doi:10.1016/0024-3205(77)90160-6 PubMed
- SR87. Tapert SF, Schweinsburg AD, Drummond SP, et al. Functional MRI of inhibitory processing in abstinent adolescent marijuana users. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2007;194(2):173–183. doi:10.1007/s00213-007-0823-y PubMed
- SR88. D'Souza DC, Braley G, Blaise R, et al. Effects of haloperidol on the behavioral, subjective, cognitive, motor, and neuroendocrine effects of Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in humans. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2008;198(4):587–603. doi:10.1007/s00213-007-1042-2 PubMed
- SR89. Morrison PD, Zois V, McKeown DA, et al. The acute effects of synthetic intravenous Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol on psychosis, mood and cognitive functioning. *Psychol Med.* 2009;39(10):1607–1616. doi:10.1017/S0033291709005522 PubMed
- SR90. Hanson KL, Winward JL, Schweinsburg AD, et al. Longitudinal study of cognition among adolescent marijuana users over three weeks of abstinence. Addict Behav. 2010;35(11):970–976. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2010.06.012 PubMed
- SR91. Dumont GJ, van Hasselt JG, de Kam M, et al. Acute psychomotor, memory and subjective effects of MDMA and THC co-administration over time in healthy volunteers. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2011;25(4):478–489. doi:10.1177/0269881110376687 PubMed
- SR92. Solowij N, Jones KA, Rozman ME, et al. Verbal learning and memory in adolescent cannabis users, alcohol users and non-users. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2011;216(1):131–144. doi:10.1007/s00213-011-2203-x PubMed
- SR93. Ballard ME, Gallo DA, de Wit H. Psychoactive drugs and false memory: comparison of dextroamphetamine and δ -9-
- tetrahydrocannabinol on false recognition. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2012;219(1):15–24. doi:10.1007/s00213-011-2374-5 PubMed SR94. Anderson BM, Rizzo M, Block RI, et al. Sex, drugs, and cognition: effects of marijuana. *J Psychoactive Drugs*. 2010;42(4):413–424. doi:10.1080/02791072.2010.10400704 PubMed
- SR95. Evans MA, Martz R, Brown DJ, et al. Impairment of performance with low doses of marihuana. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1973;14(6):936–940. doi:10.1002/cpt1973146936 PubMed
- SR96. Roth WT, Tinklenberg JR, Whitaker CA, et al. The effect of marihuana on tracking task performance. *Psychopharmacologia*. 1973;33(3):259–265. doi:10.1007/BF00423060 PubMed
- SR97. Burns M, Moskowitz H. Alcohol, marihuana and skills performance. Presented at the 8th International Council on Alcohol, Drugs and Traffic Safety Conference; Stockholm, Sweden; 1980: 954-968. <u>http://www.icadtsinternational.com/documents/?category=08th_T1980_Stockholm</u>

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. • © 2016 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

- SR98. D'Souza DC, Abi-Saab WM, Madonick S, et al. Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol effects in schizophrenia: implications for cognition, psychosis, and addiction. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005;57(6):594–608. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.12.006 PubMed
- SR99. Loewe S. The Marihuana Problem in the City of New York: The Mayor's Committee on Marihuana. Lancaster, PA: Jacques Cattel Press; 1944:149–212.
- SR100. Clark LD, Hughes R, Nakashima EN. Behavioral effects of marihuana: experimental studies. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1970;23(3):193–198. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1970.01750030001001 PubMed
- SR101. Kiplinger GF, Manno JE, Rodda BE, et al. Dose-response analysis of the effects of tetrahydrocannabinol in man. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1971;12(4):650–657. doi:10.1002/cpt1971124650 PubMed
- SR102. Rafaelsen L, Christrup H, Bech P, et al. Effects of cannabis and alcohol on psychological tests. *Nature*. 1973;242(5393):117–118. doi:10.1038/242117a0 PubMed
- SR103. Berghaus G, Krûger HP, Vollrath M. Beeinträchtigung fahrrelevanter leistungen nach rauchen von cannabis und alcoholconsum: eine vergleichende metaanalyse experimenteller studien. In: Berghaus G, Krûger HP, eds. *Cannabis im Straßenverkehr*. Stuttgart, Germany: Gustav Fisher Verlag; 1998b:99–111.
- SR104. Crane NA, Schuster RM, Fusar-Poli P, et al. Effects of cannabis on neurocognitive functioning: recent advances, neurodevelopmental influences, and sex differences. *Neuropsychol Rev.* 2013;23(2):117–137. doi:10.1007/s11065-012-9222-1 PubMed
- SR105. Ramaekers JG, Berghaus G, van Laar M, et al. Dose related risk of motor vehicle crashes after cannabis use. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2004;73(2):109–119. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2003.10.008 PubMed
- SR106. Rogeberg O. Correlations between cannabis use and IQ change in the Dunedin cohort are consistent with confounding from socioeconomic status. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(11):4251–4254. doi:10.1073/pnas.1215678110 PubMed
- SR107. Daly M. Personality may explain the association between cannabis use and neuropsychological impairment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(11):E979. doi:10.1073/pnas.1218571110 PubMed
- SR108. Moffitt TE, Meier MH, Caspi A, et al. Reply to Rogeberg and Daly: no evidence that socioeconomic status or personality differences confound the association between cannabis use and IQ decline. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013;110(11):E980–E982. doi:10.1073/pnas.1300618110 PubMed
- SR109. Jager G, Kahn RS, Van Den Brink W, et al. Long-term effects of frequent cannabis use on working memory and attention: an fMRI study. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2006;185(3):358–368. doi:10.1007/s00213-005-0298-7 PubMed
- SR110. Solowij N. Do cognitive impairments recover following cessation of cannabis use? *Life Sci.* 1995;56(23–24):2119–2126. doi:10.1016/0024-3205(95)00197-E PubMed
- SR111. Hall W, Solowij N. Adverse effects of cannabis. Lancet. 1998;352(9140):1611–1616. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(98)05021-1 PubMed

SR112. Fried PA, Watkinson B, Gray R. Neurocognitive consequences of marihuana—a comparison with pre-drug performance. Neurotoxicol Teratol. 2005;27(2):231–239. doi:10.1016/j.ntt.2004.11.003 PubMed

- SR113. Robbe H. Marijuana's impairing effects on driving are moderate when taken alone but severe when combined with alcohol. *Hum Psychopharmacol.* 1998;13(suppl 2):S70–S78. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-1077(1998110)13:2+<S70::AID-HUP50>3.0.CO;2-R
- SR114. Bosker WM, Theunissen EL, Conen S, et al. A placebo-controlled study to assess Standardized Field Sobriety Tests performance during alcohol and cannabis intoxication in heavy cannabis users and accuracy of point of collection testing devices for detecting THC in oral fluid. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2012;223(4):439–446. doi:10.1007/s00213-012-2732-y PubMed
- SR115. Bosker WM, Kuypers KP, Theunissen EL, et al. Medicinal Δ(9) -tetrahydrocannabinol (dronabinol) impairs on-the-road driving performance of occasional and heavy cannabis users but is not detected in Standard Field Sobriety Tests. *Addiction*. 2012;107(10):1837– 1844. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03928.x PubMed
- SR116. Lenné MG, Dietze PM, Triggs TJ, et al. The effects of cannabis and alcohol on simulated arterial driving: Influences of driving experience and task demand. Accid Anal Prev. 2010;42(3):859–866. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2009.04.021 PubMed
- SR117. Anderson BM, Rizzo M, Block RI, et al. Sex differences in the effects of marijuana on simulated driving performance. J Psychoactive Drugs. 2010;42(1):19–30. doi:10.1080/02791072.2010.10399782 PubMed
- SR118. Smiley AM. Marijuana: on-road and driving simulator studies. Alcohol Drugs Driving. 1986;2(3-4):121-134.
- SR119. Terhune KW, Fell JC. The Role of Alcohol, Marijuana and Other Drugs in the Accidents of Injured Drivers. Buffalo, New York: 1982. Report No: Tech. Rep. under Contract No. DOT-HS-5-01179.
- SR120. Terhune KW, Ippolito CA, Hendriks DL, et al. The incidence and role of drugs in fatally injured drivers; 1992. Report No: Final Report under Contract No. DTNH 22-88-C-07069.
- SR121. Mura P, Kintz P, Ludes B, et al. Comparison of the prevalence of alcohol, cannabis and other drugs between 900 injured drivers and 900 control subjects: results of a French collaborative study. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2003;133(1–2):79–85. doi:10.1016/S0379-0738(03)00052-5 PubMed
- SR122. Drummer OH, Gerostamoulos J, Batziris H, et al. The involvement of drugs in drivers of motor vehicles killed in Australian road traffic crashes. Accid Anal Prev. 2004;36(2):239–248. PubMed
- SR123. Dubois S, Mullen N, Weaver B, et al. The combined effects of alcohol and cannabis on driving: impact on crash risk. Forensic Sci Int. 2015;248:94–100. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2014.12.018 PubMed
- SR124. Aldington S, Harwood M, Cox B, et al; Cannabis and Respiratory Disease Research Group. Cannabis use and risk of lung cancer: a casecontrol study. Eur Respir J. 2008;31(2):280–286. doi:10.1183/09031936.00065707 PubMed
- SR125. Callaghan RC, Allebeck P, Sidorchuk A. Marijuana use and risk of lung cancer: a 40-year cohort study. Cancer Causes Control. 2013;24(10):1811–1820. doi:10.1007/s10552-013-0259-0 PubMed
- SR126. Hashibe M, Morgenstern H, Cui Y, et al. Marijuana use and the risk of lung and upper aerodigestive tract cancers: results of a population-based case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2006;15(10):1829–1834. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-06-0330 PubMed
- SR127. Hall W, Degenhardt L. Adverse health effects of non-medical cannabis use. Lancet. 2009;374(9698):1383–1391. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61037-0 PubMed
- SR128. Yamaori S, Koeda K, Kushihara M, et al. Comparison in the in vitro inhibitory effects of major phytocannabinoids and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons contained in marijuana smoke on cytochrome P450 2C9 activity. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet. 2012;27(3):294– 300. doi:10.2133/dmpk.DMPK-11-RG-107 PubMed
- SR129. Yamaori S, Okamoto Y, Yamamoto I, et al. Cannabidiol, a major phytocannabinoid, as a potent atypical inhibitor for CYP2D6. Drug Metab Dispos. 2011;39(11):2049–2056. doi:10.1124/dmd.111.041384 PubMed

- SR130. Jiang R, Yamaori S, Okamoto Y, et al. Cannabidiol is a potent inhibitor of the catalytic activity of cytochrome P450 2C19. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet*. 2013;28(4):332–338. doi:10.2133/dmpk.DMPK-12-RG-129 PubMed
 SR131. Yamaori S, Ebisawa J, Okushima Y, et al. Potent inhibition of human cytochrome P450 3A isoforms by cannabidiol: role of phenolic hydroxyl groups in the resorcinol moiety. *Life Sci*. 2011;88(15–16):730–736. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2011.02.017 PubMed