## It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. Effect of Continuing and Discontinuing Medications on Quality of Life After Symptomatic Remission in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Noa Tsujii, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>; Takashi Okada, MD, PhD<sup>b,\*</sup>; Masahide Usami, MD, PhD<sup>c</sup>; Hidenori Kuwabara, PhD<sup>d</sup>; Junichi Fujita, MD, PhD<sup>e</sup>; Hideki Negoro, MD, PhD<sup>f</sup>; Michiyo Kawamura, BHHSc<sup>g</sup>; Junzo Iida, MD, PhD<sup>h</sup>; and Takuya Saito, MD, PhD<sup>i</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** This study aimed to compare the effect of continuing and discontinuing medications on quality of life of patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

**Data Sources:** PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Embase databases were searched using generic terms for ADHD, discontinuing, continuing, pharmacotherapy, and randomized controlled trials without date or language restrictions.

**Study Selection:** Of the 3,672 screened studies, 9 met the predefined inclusion criteria on patients with ADHD; 5 of these 9 studies reporting on 1,463 patients (children and adolescents, n = 894; adults, n = 569) measured quality of life and were included in this meta-analysis. Only randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled withdrawal trials of ADHD medications were included.

**Data Extraction:** Data were independently extracted according to the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Analyses were based on random-effects models.

**Results:** Compared with continuing medications, discontinuing them significantly worsened quality of life score in patients with ADHD (standardized mean difference [SMD] = 0.19; 95% CI, 0.08 to 0.30]). Moreover, discontinuing medications worsened this score in children and adolescents with ADHD (SMD = 0.21; 95% CI, 0.06 to 0.36) but not in adults with ADHD (SMD = 0.02; 95% CI, -0.46 to 0.50).

**Conclusions:** Discontinuing medications was associated with a small but statistically significant decrease in quality of life among children and adolescents with ADHD but not in adults with ADHD. Quality of life can be applied in pharmacologic interventions regarding continuing and discontinuing medication because this concept is related to individuals' appraisal of their situation. Quality of life is an important factor for planning individualized ADHD medication treatment.

J Clin Psychiatry 2020;81(3):19r13015

*To cite:* Tsujii N, Okada T, Usami M, et al. Effect of continuing and discontinuing medications on quality of life after symptomatic remission in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2020;81(3):19r13015. *To share:* https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.19r13015

© Copyright 2020 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

<sup>a</sup>Department of Neuropsychiatry, Kindai University Faculty of Medicine, Osakasayama, Osaka, Japan

<sup>b</sup>Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan

<sup>c</sup>Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Kohnodai Hospital, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Ichikawa, Chiba, Japan

<sup>d</sup>Senogawa Hospital, Hiroshima-shi, Hiroshima, Japan

<sup>e</sup>Department of Child Psychiatry, Yokohama City University Hospital, Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan

<sup>f</sup>Department of Professional Development in Education, Graduate School of Professional Development in Education, Nara University of Education, Nara-shi, Nara, Japan

<sup>9</sup>Medical Sciences Group, Research Support Division, Hokkaido University Library, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan

<sup>h</sup>Department of Human Development, Faculty of Nursing, Nara Medical University, Kashihara, Nara, Japan

<sup>i</sup>Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan

\*Corresponding author: Takashi Okada, MD, PhD, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, 65 Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi, 466-8550, Japan (okada@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp).

A ttention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is characterized by age-inappropriate levels of inattention, hyperactivity, and/or impulsivity.<sup>1</sup> The prevalence rate of this disorder in most cultures is 5%–8% in children and adolescents<sup>1-3</sup> and 2%–5% in adults.<sup>4,5</sup> It causes persistent functional impairments<sup>6</sup> in areas such as interpersonal relationships, educational and occupational attainments,<sup>7</sup> and risk awareness, which is closely associated with mortality risk.<sup>8</sup> Patients with ADHD can present profound functional impairments that reduce their overall quality of life across the lifespan.<sup>9–14</sup>

Current clinical guidelines recommend pharmacologic interventions for patients with severe ADHD.<sup>7,15–18</sup> Recommended medications for patients with ADHD include psychostimulants (eg, methylphenidate and amphetamines) and nonstimulants (eg, atomoxetine and  $\alpha$  agonists). Current literature shows that these medications have short-term efficacy and limited safety for improving ADHD symptoms in pediatric, adolescent, and adult individuals.<sup>19–21</sup> Over the past two decades, the prescription rates of ADHD medications have dramatically increased on a global scale.<sup>22–24</sup>

However, the long-term safety and efficacy of ADHD medications remain controversial.<sup>15,19–21,25</sup> Some patients with ADHD receiving medications experience adverse medication effects that have a negative impact on their quality of life,

Tsujii et al

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.

#### **Clinical Points**

- Limited evidence regarding the long-term efficacy and safety of pharmacologic interventions in patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) raises questions regarding whether, compared with continuing ADHD medications, discontinuing them is more detrimental to guality of life among patients with the disorder.
- Discontinuing medications was found to be associated with a small but significant decrease in quality of life among children and adolescents with ADHD but not in adults with ADHD.
- In patients with ADHD who have responded to medication treatments, quality of life is an important factor for planning medication treatment for the disorder.

especially children and adolescents with ADHD.<sup>14,26</sup> Because of concerns regarding the long-term risks and benefits of ADHD medications, several clinical guidelines recommend at least an annual review of the treatment regimen<sup>7,17</sup> or drug holidays (an agreed cessation of medication for a period of time)<sup>7,16,17</sup> to ascertain the need for continuing these medications. Conversely, some studies<sup>27-29</sup> have shown that discontinuing medications poses an obvious risk of the exacerbation of ADHD symptoms. These inconsistencies among findings raise questions regarding whether clinicians should terminate treatment for patients with ADHD who have responded to their medication treatments and, if so, when termination should be implemented.

Previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses<sup>7,30,31</sup> on withdrawal trials for ADHD medications showed a clinically important exacerbation of ADHD symptoms with withdrawal. Previously published reviews, which included randomized controlled trials as well as open-label or single-blind trials,<sup>7,30</sup> have focused on individual ADHD medications<sup>7</sup> or evaluated the relapse of ADHD symptoms as defined by changes in the severity of those symptoms.<sup>7,30,31</sup> The assessments of symptoms are dependent on responses to medication in the short term. However, once the medication has stabilized, other relevant domains for assessing treatment response are needed, especially for evaluating long-term outcomes.<sup>13,14</sup> Recently, quality of life has been suggested as an important component in the comprehensive assessment for ADHD.9,14,32

Quality of life is defined as "the individuals' perception of their position in life, in the context of culture and value systems in which they live, and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns."33 Available evidence has emphasized that ADHD leads to a reduced quality of life in patients in terms of their subjective sense of wellbeing and their capacity for everyday functioning.<sup>12,14,34</sup> The concept of quality of life can be applied in clinical practice as well as clinical trials,<sup>32</sup> particularly for an outcome measure of pharmacologic interventions in patients with ADHD.<sup>12</sup> Because improvement in symptoms has been found to correlate moderately, but not perfectly, with improvement

that relates to, but is distinct from, ADHD symptoms.<sup>14</sup> Furthermore, a comprehensive assessment of the positive and negative effects of ADHD treatments is required when clinicians consider whether ADHD medication should be continued or discontinued.<sup>7</sup> This comprehensive assessment includes a much broader range of outcome measures; ie, quality of life assessment should be incorporated into routine clinical practice rather than simply core ADHD symptoms.<sup>35</sup> Recently, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence committee<sup>7</sup> has considered that quality of life is one of the critical outcomes for evaluating the potential effects of discontinuing pharmacologic treatment for ADHD. Thus, changes in quality of life could be an important outcome indicator in decisions regarding continuation or discontinuation of medications for individuals with ADHD, beyond changes in ADHD symptoms.

In this systematic review and meta-analysis focusing on randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled withdrawal trials of ADHD medications, we investigated whether, compared with continuing ADHD medications, discontinuing them was more detrimental to quality of life among patients with ADHD who had responded to their medication treatments. In contrast to previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses, the present study evaluated quality of life and included only enrichment-design studies, wherein participants responded to ADHD medication before entering withdrawal trials. The present study aimed to identify the effect of discontinuing medications after symptomatic remission on quality of life of patients with ADHD. We intended to provide further information regarding whether to discontinue ADHD medications in these patients.

#### **METHODS**

We conducted this systematic review and meta-analysis in accordance with the reporting guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA).36

#### Search Strategy and Eligibility Criteria

PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Embase databases were searched within the time frame beginning with the date of database inception to September 21, 2018, with no language restrictions. We used the following search terms: (1) participant terms, eg, ADHD; (2) ADHD medication terms, eg, methylphenidate; (3) intervention terms, eg, withdr\*, discontinue<sup>\*</sup>, stop<sup>\*</sup>, and withhold<sup>\*</sup>; (4) comparison terms, eg, continu\* and maintenance\*; and (5) study design terms, eg, randomized, double-blind, and placebo. A medical librarian was involved in formulating the search string (details shown in Supplementary Tables 1-3). Several additional eligible studies were identified by examining the reference lists for previously identified systematic reviews and guidelines.<sup>7,30,31</sup> Pharmaceutical companies and experts in the field were also contacted to identify possible reviews for inclusion in the study.

We included only randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled withdrawal trials of medications for patients with ADHD. We included double-blind, randomized controlled trials with a study duration of at least 1 week that enrolled children and adolescents (aged 5–17 years) or adults (aged  $\geq$  18 years) with a primary diagnosis of ADHD according to *DSM-III*, *DSM III-R*, *DSM-IV-TR*, *DSM-5*, *ICD-9*, or *ICD-10*. We included studies that examined the discontinuation of the following medications, which comprise drugs approved for ADHD in at least one country, as oral monotherapies: amphetamines (including lisdexamfetamine), atomoxetine, clonidine, guanfacine, and methylphenidate (including dexmethylphenidate).

Studies in which all participants failed to respond to ADHD medications before random assignment to treatment groups (according to the definition provided in the study) were excluded from this meta-analysis.

Two independent authors screened the titles and abstracts of the retrieved references. The full texts of all potentially eligible studies were evaluated. Potentially eligible studies were then retrieved and independently verified for eligibility by the aforementioned authors. Disagreements regarding the eligibility of studies were resolved by discussion between the authors.

#### Outcomes

The primary outcome was a decrease in quality of life (negative change in the total quality of life score) expressed as standardized mean difference (SMD). If available, we used the intention-to-treat data and adopted the study authors' methods to account for missing data (eg, last observation carried forward). Secondary outcomes included the relapse rate (the proportion of participants who experienced relapse according to study authors' definition).

#### **Data Extraction and Risk of Bias Assessment**

Data extraction and study ratings were independently conducted by the authors using a standardized form (Excel, Microsoft; Redmond, Washington). Any discrepancy between the authors was resolved by reaching a consensus. The following variables were extracted from each study: first author, publication year, participant details (number of participants, mean age, age range, and sex distribution [% male]), type of ADHD medication, duration of initial phase, duration of trial, the quality of life scores (mean and SD), relapse rate, and study key findings.

We assessed the methodological quality of the trials using the risk of bias criteria from the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.<sup>37</sup>

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Owing to an anticipated heterogeneity, a random-effects meta-analysis model was applied using the Review Manager software (2014; Copenhagen, Denmark; RevMan. Review Manager Version 5.3). For continuous data, SMDs and 95% CIs were calculated as the effect sizes (ESs). ESs were presented as a mean ES obtained by combining ESs related to afferent quality of life measures mentioned in Table 1 (Adult Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Quality of Life [AAQoL], Child Health Questionnaire [CHQ], Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire–Short Form [Q-LES-Q], and Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale-Parent report [WFIRS-P]). Because quality of life measures related to different quality of life domains (ie, global domain score for WFIRS-P, overall score for Q-LES-Q, psychosocial summary score for CHQ, and total score for AAQoL), mean ESs were calculated for each study as an overall quality of life outcome.

For binary data, risk ratio (RR) and 95% CIs and number needed to harm (NNH) were calculated. Definitions of relapse varied among the studies included in this study (Table 1); we calculated RRs, 95% CIs, and NNHs as the proportion of participants who experienced relapse according to study authors' definition. The heterogeneity of effects was examined using the  $I^2$  statistic.<sup>37</sup>

To investigate the potential sources of heterogeneity and confounding effects, we conducted subgroup meta-analyses. Specifically, we classified the enrolled studies according to age distribution (children and adolescents aged 5–17 years or adults aged  $\geq$  18 years) and the type of ADHD medications (stimulants and nonstimulants).

Potential publication bias was examined using funnel plots for each outcome including more than 10 studies, which is the minimum number required to use the funnel plot.<sup>37</sup> A *P* value of  $\leq$  .05 was considered statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

The literature search yielded 4,571 articles; after eliminating duplicates, 3,672 articles were retrieved. Of them, 3,642 articles were excluded on the basis of title or abstract because they focused on constructs not related to the aims of the present study. Of the 30 articles that were inspected for their full texts, 9 met our predefined inclusion criteria on patients with ADHD<sup>38-46</sup> (Figure 1): 5 studies measured quality of life, <sup>39,41,42,45,46</sup> and all 9 studies measured relapse.<sup>38-46</sup> Of the 9 studies, 5 focused on children and adolescents,38-42 whereas 4 focused on adults.43-46 Five studies included in this meta-analysis used stimulants (ie, dexmethylphenidate, lisdexamfetamine, and osmotic release oral system methylphenidate),38,40,43-45 whereas the other 4 studies used nonstimulants (atomoxetine and guanfacine).<sup>39,41,42,46</sup> In total, 1,126 children and adolescents aged 6-17 years (boys, n = 937; girls, n = 189) and 708 adults aged 18–65 years (men, n = 374; women, n = 311; data were not available for 23 adults) were included (Table 1). The duration of initial phase ranged from 3 to 52 weeks, and the duration of randomized withdrawal phase ranged from 2 to 36 weeks. The results of the risk of bias assessment for each study are provided in Supplementary Figure 1.

#### **Primary Outcome**

Of the 9 studies that met our predefined inclusion criteria, 5 studies reporting on 1,463 patients with ADHD (boys/

	ءı ا <b>الچ</b>		al	to p	<u>o</u> st t	his c	<u>opy</u>	ri	ghte	ed F	PDF o	n ang	y websi
	Outcome Measu		CGI, Math tests, SNAP-ADHD	ADHD-RS, CGI-S, <b>CHQ</b> , CDRS-R, CPRS-R, MASC	ADHD-RS-IV, CGI CGI-S	ADHD-RS, CGI-S, <b>CHQ</b> , CDRS-R, CPRS-R, MASC	Adhd-rs-IV, Cgi Cgi-S, <b>Wfirs-P</b> , Hui2/3		CGI-I, AISRS, HDR HARS, GAF	CGI-I, ADHD-RS	CAARS, CGI-S, CGI-C, SDS, <b>Q-LES-Q</b>	CAARS-Inv:SV, CAARS- O:SV, CG ADHD-S, <b>AAQoL</b> CAARS-S:SV, EQ-!	oort Scale; CAARS- = Children's CHQ = Child Health rder; GAF = Global sional Anxiety y withdrawal phase
	Relapse Definition		Treatment failure, defined as CGI-I score ≥6 relative to that at visit of 8	Increase in ADHD-RS-IV total score by $\geq$ 90% to that at study entry and in CGI-S score by $\geq$ 2 points at the end of the initial 10-wk treatment period	Increase in ADHD-RS-IV total score by ≥50% and CGI-S score by ≥ 2 points, compared with that at RWP start point	Increase in ADHD-R5-IV total score by ≥ 90% and in CGI-S score by ≥ 2 points compared with that at study baseline point	Increase in ADHD-R5-IV total score by ≥ 50% and in CGI-I score by ≥ 2 points compared with that at RWP baseline and at 2 consecutive visits		Increase in CGI-I score by ≥ 2 points compared with that at the end of phase 1 or improvement in AISRS score by ≤15% for 2 consecutive visits	Increase in ADHD-R5-IV total score by ≥ 50% and in CGI-I score by ≥ 2 points compared with that at RWP baseline	Worsening of CAAR5-O:SV total score by > 50% from that at baseline	CGI-S score of $\geq 4$ points at 2 consecutive visits and CAARS-Inv:SV score at week 24 of $\geq 80\%$ compared with that at baseline	= Adult ADHD Investigator Symptom Rep -Self Report: Screening Version; CDRS-R- cale; CGI-S = CGI-Severity of Illness scale; G ichonaic; GAD = generalized anxiety diso (-Mark 2 and Mark 3; MASC = Multidimen: tionnaire -Short Form; RWP = randomizec
RWP	Discontinuation of RWP, n (%)		(0) 0 (0) 0	1 (1.2) 1 (1.2)	0 (0) 1 (1.3)	9 (3.1) 1 (0.8)	3 (1.9) 2 (1.3)		A N N	0 (0) 1 (1.7)	2 (8.7) 5 (22.7)	82 (30.8) 93 (36.0)	ing Scale IV; AISRS ARS-S:SV = CAARS GI-Improvement si Dimensions Quesi Health Utility Indei I Satisfaction Ques
	Male, %		85.7 77.5	88.9 90.2	78.2 78.5	89.4 90.3	75.2 73.4		NAN	43.3 43.1	47.8 31.8	56.8 60.1	0HD Rat sion; C/ CGI-I = C roQoI-5 UI2/3 = 1
	Age, mean±SD, y		10.1±2.9 9.9±2.7	10.7 ± 2.4 11.0 ± 2.0	11.0±2.63 11.3±2.58	10.6±2.3 10.1±2.3	10.7±2.64 11.0±2.69		AN NA	36.3 ± 10.95 35.1 ± 11.39	37.5±12.0 35.1±9.8	33.7±9.5 32.4±9.4	HD-RS-IV = AC Screening Ver hange scale; ( te; EQ-5D = Eu tating Scale; H of Life Enjoym
	<u>ح</u>		35 40	81 82	78 79	292 124	157 158		12	56 60	23	266 258	der; AD tigator = CGI-C henida :ssion R 2uality
	Duration		2 wk	24 wk	6 wk	36 wk	26 wk		4 wk	6 wk	4 wk	25 wk	/ity disord RS-Inves le; CGI-C methylp on Depre LES-Q=C
	Comparison/ Intervention		Dexmethylphenidate Placebo	Atomoxetine Placebo	Lisde xamfetamine Placebo	Atomoxetine Placebo	Guanfacine Placebo		OROS-MPH Placebo	Lisdexamfetamine Placebo	OROS-MPH Placebo	Atomoxetine Placebo	ention-deficit/hyperactiv on: CAARS-Inv:SV = CAA (CGI) ADHD-Severity sca Short Form; d-MPH = dev ng Scale; HDRS = Hamilt em methylphenidate; O-
	Inclusion Criteria or RWP		CGI-I score of ≤2 at the end of lead-in phase	≤25% Decrease in ADHD-RS-IV total score from lead-in baseline and a CGI-S score of ≤2 after 10 wk	≤ 30% Decrease in ADHD-RS-IV total score from lead-in baseline and a CGI-S score of ≤ 2, with tolerable side effects	≤25% Decrease in ADHD-RS-IV total score from lead-in baseline and a CGI-S score of ≤2 during wk 9–10	≤ 30% Decrease in ADHD-RS-IV total score from lead-in baseline and a CGI-S score of ≤2 during wk 12-13		≤30% Decrease in AISRS total score from lead-in baseline and a CGI-S score of ≤2 during wk 12-13	ADHD-RS-IV total score at lead- in baseline <22 and a CGI-S score of ≤ 3	Patients who completed initial 7-wk open-label phase trial and those with a stable dose for at least 4 wk at the end of open-label trial	≤ 30% Decrease in CAARS-Inv:SV total score and a CGI-5 score of ≤ 3 maintained through the lead-in phase	<ul> <li>analysis.</li> <li>y of Life Questionnaire; ADHD = att g Scale)-Observer Screening Versi HD-5 = Clinical Global Impressions HP-5 = Clinical Global Impressions transformer Rating Scales, cine; HARS = Hamilton Anxiety Rati 35-MPH = Osmotic release oral systi</li> </ul>
	Duration of Lead-In Phase		6 wk	52 wk	26 wk	12 wk	13 wk		24 wk	3 wk	52 wk	24 wk	in primary NHD Qualit OHD Ratir ed; CGI-AD d Conners R = guanfa ilable; ORC
	N (age range, c y)	escents	75 (6–16)	163 (6–15)	157 (6–17)	416 (6–15)	315 (6–17)		23 (19–60)	116 (18–55)	45 (18–65)	524 (18–50)	nts scales used QoL = Adult AD onners' Adult A ng Scale-Revise "PRS-R = Revise unctioning; GXI
	tudy	Children and Adol	Arnold et al 1004 <sup>38</sup>	3uitelaar et al 2007 <sup>39</sup>	Coghill et al 2014 <sup>40</sup>	Michelson et al 2004 <sup>41</sup>	Vewcorn et al 2016 <sup>42</sup>	Adults	3iederman et al 2010 <sup>43</sup>	3rams et al 2012 <sup>44</sup>	3uitelaar et al 2012 <sup>45</sup>	Jpadhyaya et al 2013 <sup>46</sup>	Boldface represen Abbreviations: AA O:SV = CAARS (C Depression Ratir Questionnaire; C Assessment of Fi Scale for Childrei

You are prohibited from making this PDF publicly available.

For reprints or permissions, contact permissions@psychiatrist.com. © 2020 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc. e4 PSYCHIATRISTCOM J Clin Psychiatry 81:3, May/June 2020



men, n = 1,077; girls/women, n = 386) measured quality of life and were included in our primary outcome metaanalysis.<sup>39,41,42,45,46</sup> Of these 5 studies measuring quality of life, 2 used the CHQ,<sup>39,41</sup> 1 used the WFIRS-P,<sup>42</sup> 1 used the AAQoL,46 and 1 used the Q-LES-Q.45 Quality of life measures used in this meta-analysis were only observer-rated measures (CHQ and WFIRS-P) in children and adolescents with ADHD and only self-reported measures (AAQoL and Q-LES-Q) in adults with ADHD. Four studies reporting on 371 patients with ADHD (boys/men, n = 260; girls/women, n = 88; data were not available for 23 adults) did not measure quality of life and were not included in our primary outcome metaanalysis.<sup>38,40,43,44</sup> Two of these 4 studies focused on children and adolescents, 38,40 and 2 studies focused on adults. 43,44 All of these 4 studies that did not measure quality of life used stimulants (ie, dexmethylphenidate, lisdexamfetamine, and osmotic release oral system methylphenidate).<sup>38,40,43,44</sup>

We divided these 5 studies, measuring quality of life, into the following subgroups: studies including children and adolescents (3 studies; N=894; boys, n=753 and girls, n=141)<sup>39,41,42</sup>; studies including adults (2 studies; N=569; men, n=324 and women, n=245)<sup>45,46</sup>; studies using stimulants (1 study; N=45; men, n=18 and women, n=27)<sup>45</sup>; and studies using nonstimulants (4 studies; N=1,418; boys/ men, n=1,059 and girls/women, n=359).<sup>39,41,42,46</sup> Two of

the 3 studies in the children and adolescents subgroup used the CHQ,<sup>39,41</sup> and the remaining study used the WFIRS-P.<sup>42</sup> The 2 studies in the adults subgroup used the AAQoL<sup>46</sup> and the Q-LES-Q.<sup>45</sup> The one study in the stimulants subgroup used the Q-LES-Q<sup>45</sup>; of the 4 studies in the nonstimulants subgroup, 2 used the CHQ<sup>39,41</sup> and 1 each used the WFIRS-P<sup>42</sup> and AAQoL.<sup>46</sup>

Decreases in quality of life were higher among individuals discontinuing ADHD medications compared with those continuing ADHD medications (SMD = 0.19; 95% CI, 0.08 to 0.30) (Figure 2A).<sup>39,41,42,45,46</sup> In the subgroup analysis restricted to children and adolescents with ADHD,<sup>39,41,42</sup> decreases in quality of life were higher among patients discontinuing ADHD medications compared with those maintaining these medications (SMD = 0.21; 95% CI, 0.06 to 0.36) (Figure 2B). In the subgroup analysis restricted to adults with ADHD,<sup>45,46</sup> no significant difference was observed (SMD = 0.02; 95% CI, -0.46 to 0.50) (Figure 2C).

In the subgroup analysis restricted to nonstimulants,<sup>39,41,42,46</sup> decreases in quality of life were higher among patients discontinuing medications compared with those continuing them (SMD = 0.21; 95% CI, 0.10 to 0.32) (Figure 2D). A subgroup analysis restricted to stimulants was not conducted because only 1 study investigated changes in quality of life using stimulants.<sup>45</sup>

## Tsujii et al

It ic convrighted PI

Figure 2. Forest Plots of the Comparison Between the Effect of Discontinuing and Continuing ADHD Medications on Quality of Life After Symptomatic Remission in (A) Patients With ADHD, (B) Children and Adolescents With ADHD Subgroup, (C) Adults With ADHD, and (D) Patients Receiving Nonstimulant Medications

#### A. All Patients

	Disco	ontinua	tion	Co	ntinuat	ion		Standardized Mean Difference	0	Standardize	ed Mean D	Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight, %	IV, Random (95% Cl)		IV, Ran	dom (95%	6 CI)		
Buitelaar et al 2007 <sup>39</sup>	2.9	10.9	62	0.9	10.1	59	9.6	0.19 (–0.17 to 0.55)						
Buitelaar et al 2012 <sup>45</sup>	2.7	12.4	22	6.5	11.4	23	3.5	-0.31 (-0.90 to 0.27)				-		
Michelson et al 2004 <sup>41</sup>	9.5	12	96	5.6	13.2	235	21.5	0.30 (0.06 to 0.54)						
Newcorn et al 2016 <sup>42</sup>	0.23	0.49	151	0.16	0.49	150	23.9	0.14 (-0.08 to 0.37)			- <b>-</b>	_		
Upadhyaya et al 2013 <sup>46</sup>	4	17.67	258	0.4	17.94	266	41.5	0.20 (0.03 to 0.37)						
Total (95% CI)			589			733	100.0	0.19 (0.08, 0.30)			•	•		
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$	$\chi^{2}_{4} = 3$	.86 (P=	.43); /² =	=0%					-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	

Test for overall effect: z = 3.36 (P = .0008)

#### **B. Children and Adolescents**

Discontinuation Continuation						ion	Standardized Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight, %	IV, Random (95% CI)		
Buitelaar et al 2007 <sup>39</sup>	2.9	10.9	62	0.9	10.1	59	17.4	0.19 (-0.17 to 0.55)		
Michelson et al 2004 <sup>41</sup>	9.5	12	96	5.6	13.2	235	39.1	0.30 (0.06 to 0.54)		
Newcorn et al 2016 <sup>42</sup>	0.23	0.49	151	0.16	0.49	150	43.5	0.14 (-0.08 to 0.37)		
Total (95% CI)			309			444	100.0	0.21 (0.06 to 0.36)		

Heterogeneity:  $\tau^2 = 0.00$ ;  $\chi^2_2 = 0.93$  (*P*=.63); *I*<sup>2</sup>=0%

Test for overall effect: z = 2.80 (P = .005)

#### C. Adults

Total (95% CI)			280			289	100.0	0.02 (-0.46 to 0.50)
Upadhyaya et al 2013 <sup>46</sup>	4	17.67	258	0.4	17.94	266	65.5	0.20 (0.03 to 0.37)
Buitelaar et al 2012 <sup>45</sup>	2.7	12.4	22	6.5	11.4	23	34.5	-0.31 (-0.90 to 0.27)
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight, %	IV, Random (95% CI)
	Disco	Discontinuation			ntinuat	ion		Difference
								Standardized Mean

Heterogeneity:  $\tau^2 = 0.08$ ,  $\chi^2_1 = 2.72$  (P = .10);  $I^2 = 63\%$ Test for overall effect: z = 0.10 (P = .92)

#### **D.** Patients Receiving Nonstimulant Medications

	Disco	ontinua	tion	Co	ontinuat	ion		Standardized Mean		Standardiz	ed Mea	n Differenc	P	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight, %	IV, Random (95% CI)		IV, Ra	ndom (	95% CI)		
Buitelaar et al 2007 <sup>39</sup>	2.9	10.9	62	0.9	10.1	59	9.9	0.19 (-0.17 to 0.55)						
Michelson et al 2004 <sup>41</sup>	9.5	12	96	5.6	13.2	235	22.3	0.30 (0.06 to 0.54)			.			
Newcorn et al 2016 <sup>42</sup>	0.23	0.49	151	0.16	0.49	150	24.8	0.14 (-0.08 to 0.37)			+			
Upadhyaya et al 2013 <sup>46</sup>	4	17.67	258	0.4	17.94	266	43.0	0.20 (0.03 to 0.37)			-	-		
Total (95% CI)			567			710	100.0	0.21 (0.10 to 0.32)				•		
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$	$\chi^2_3 = 0$	.94 (P=	.82); / <sup>2</sup> :	=0%					-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	
Test for Overall Effect: $z = 3.63$ ( $P = .0003$ )							Favors D	oiscontinuat	ion	Favors	Continu	atior		

Abbreviations: ADHD = attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, IV = inverse variance.

 $I^2$  values were low (0%) throughout the analyses, except for the analysis focusing on adults with ADHD (63%).

#### Secondary Outcome

Nine studies reported relapse rates (N = 1,834; boys/ men, n = 1,311; girls/women, n = 500; data were not available for 23 adults).<sup>38-46</sup> We divided these 9 studies into the following subgroups: studies including children and adolescents (5 studies, N = 1,126; boys, n = 937; girls, n = 189)<sup>38-42</sup>; studies including adults (4 studies, N = 708; men, n = 374; women, n = 311; data were not available for 23 adults)<sup>43-46</sup>; studies using stimulants (5 studies, N=416; boys/men, n=252; girls/women, n=141; data were not available for 23 adults)<sup>38,40,43-45</sup>; and studies using



**Favors Continuation** 

**Favors Discontinuation** 



Standardized Mean Difference

**Favors Discontinuation** 

## anv wohcit

Figure 3. Forest Plots of the Comparison Between the Effect of Discontinuing and Continuing ADHD Medications on Relapse in (A) Patients With ADHD, (B) Children and Adolescents With ADHD, (C) Adults With ADHD, (D) Patients Receiving Stimulant Medications, and (E) Patients Receiving Nonstimulant Medications

#### A. All Patients

	Discontir	nuation	Contin	uation		Risk Ratio		F	lisk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight, %	M-H, Random (95% CI)		M-H, Ra	ndom (95	% CI)	
Arnold et al 2004 <sup>38</sup>	24	39	6	35	11.7	3.59 (1.66 to 7.75)			-		
Biederman et al 2010 <sup>43</sup>	2	11	0	12	2.2	5.42 (0.29 to 101.77)		-		· · ·	$\longrightarrow$
Brams et al 2012 <sup>44</sup>	45	60	5	56	10.9	8.40 (3.59 to 19.64)					
Buitelaar et al 2007 <sup>39</sup>	10	82	2	81	6.3	4.94 (1.12 to 21.85)			—	· · · ·	
Buitelaar et al 2012 <sup>45</sup>	12	22	7	23	12.1	1.79 (0.87 to 3.70)			+-		
Coghill et al 2014 <sup>40</sup>	52	77	12	76	13.9	4.28 (2.49 to 7.35)					
Michelson et al 2004 <sup>41</sup>	47	124	65	292	15.8	1.70 (1.25 to 2.32)			-	-	
Newcorn et al 2016 <sup>42</sup>	98	151	74	150	16.5	1.32 (1.08 to 1.61)			-		
Upadhyaya et al 2013 <sup>46</sup>	19	258	6	266	10.5	3.26 (1.33 to 8.04)			-		
Total (95% CI)		824		991	100.0	2.85 (1.78 to 4.56)			•	•	
Total events	309		177								
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.34$	; $\chi^2_8 = 45.5$	6 (P<.00	001); <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> =	82%			0.01	0.1	0	10	100
Test for overall effect: z =	=4.36 (P<.	0001)					Favors I	Discontinuati	on	Favors Con	tinuation

**Favors Discontinuation** 

**B.** Children and Adolescents

	Discontir	nuation	Contin	uation		Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight, %	M-H, Random (95% CI)		M-H, Rand	lom (95%	% CI)	
Arnold et al 2004 <sup>38</sup>	24	39	6	35	17.3	3.59 (1.66 to 7.75)				-	
Buitelaar et al 2007 <sup>39</sup>	10	82	2	81	8.4	4.94 (1.12 to 21.85)					
Coghill et al 2014 <sup>40</sup>	52	77	12	76	21.5	4.28 (2.49 to 7.35)					
Michelson et al 2004 <sup>41</sup>	47	124	65	292	25.6	1.70 (1.25 to 2.32)					
Newcorn et al 2016 <sup>42</sup>	98	151	74	150	27.1	1.32 (1.08 to 1.61)			-		
Total (95% CI)		473		634	100.0	2.41 (1.44 to 4.04)					
Total events	231		159								
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.25$	; $\chi^2_4 = 25.3$	2 (P<.00	001); <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> = 8	4%			0.01	0.1	0	10	100
Test for overall effect: z	= 3.34 ( <i>P</i> = .	(8000					Favors D	iscontinuation		Favors Cor	ntinuation
C. Adults											
	Discontir	nuation	Contin	uation		Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight, %	M-H, Random (95% Cl)		M-H, Rand	om (95%	6 CI)	
Biederman et al 2010 <sup>43</sup>	2	11	0	12	7.1	5.42 (0.29 to 101.77)					
Brams et al 2012 <sup>44</sup>	45	60	5	56	30.5	8.40 (3.59 to 19.64)					
Buitelaar et al 2012 <sup>45</sup>	12	22	7	23	33.1	1.79 (0.87 to 3.70)			+	_	
Upadhyaya et al 2013 <sup>46</sup>	19	258	6	266	29.3	3.26 (1.33 to 8.04)				•	
Total (95% CI)		351		357	100.0	3.70 (1.58 to 8.69)					
Total events	78		18				<b> </b>				———————————————————————————————————————
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.44$	; $\chi^2_3 = 8.20$	(P = .04)	$I^2 = 63\%$				0.01	0.1	0	10	100
Test for overall effect: z	= 3.00 (P = 1)	003)					Favors D	iscontinuation		Favors Cor	ntinuation

(continued)

nonstimulants (4 studies, N = 1,418; boys/men, n = 1,059; girls/women, n=359).<sup>39,41,42,46</sup>

In our analyses of the secondary outcome relapse, a statistically significant RR of 2.85 was observed (95% CI, 1.78 to 4.56) among patients with ADHD (Figure 3A).<sup>38-46</sup> NNH for the relapse of ADHD symptoms was 4 among all patients.  $I^2$  value was high at 82%.

A subgroup analysis confirmed the results of the secondary outcome analyses regarding relapse, with statistically significant RRs of 2.41 (95% CI, 1.44 to 4.04) for children and adolescents (5 studies, Figure 3B),<sup>38-42</sup> 3.70 (95% CI, 1.28 to 8.69) for adults (4 studies, Figure 3C),43-46 3.87 (95% CI, 2.26 to 6.62) for stimulants (5 studies, Figure 3D),<sup>38,40,43-45</sup> and 1.77 (95% CI, 1.20 to 2.62) for nonstimulants (4 studies, Figure 3E).<sup>39,41,42,46</sup>

For these subgroup analyses,  $I^2$  values were consistently high at 51%-84%.

#### DISCUSSION

In this systematic review, we investigated and performed meta-analyses of randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled withdrawal trials for ADHD medications. We also explored whether discontinuing any ADHD medication was associated with decreased quality of life compared with continuing this medication in patients with ADHD who had responded to medication treatments. Because previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses typically evaluated the relapse of ADHD symptoms, this meta-analysis is the first focusing on the effect of discontinuing medication on

### Tsujii et al post this copyrighted PDF on any website Figure 3 (continued).

**D.** Patients Receiving Stimulant Medications

Upadhyaya et al 2013<sup>46</sup>

Test for overall effect: z = 2.88 (P = .004)

Total (95% CI)

Total events

bit addenes neeering s	cinnananie in	ic arcaite	/115							
	Discontir	nuation	Contin	uation		Risk Ratio	R	isk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight, %	M-H, Random (95% CI)	M-H, Ra	ndom (95%	6 CI)	
Arnold et al 2004 <sup>38</sup>	24	39	6	35	22.7	3.59 (1.66 to 7.75)			•	
Biederman et al 2010 <sup>43</sup>	2	11	0	12	3.1	5.42 (0.29 to 101.77)	_			<b>→</b>
Brams et al 2012 <sup>44</sup>	45	60	5	56	20.6	8.40 (3.59 to 19.64)				
Buitelaar et al 2012 <sup>45</sup>	12	22	7	23	23.9	1.79 (0.87 to 3.70)		-	_	
Coghill et al 2014 <sup>40</sup>	52	77	12	76	29.6	4.28 (2.49 to 7.35)			•	
Total (95% CI)		209		202	100.0	3.87 (2.26 to 6.62)			•	
Total events	135		30							
Heterogeneity: τ <sup>2</sup> =0.18	; $\chi^2_4 = 8.19$	(P=.08);	$I^2 = 51\%$				0.01 0.1	0	10	100
Test for overall effect: z =	=4.93 (P<.0	00001)					Favors Discontinuation	on	Favors Cont	inuation
E. Patients Receiving N	onstimulaı	nt Medio	ations							
	Discontin	uation	Contin	uation		Risk Ratio	R	sk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight, %	M-H, Random (95% Cl)	M-H, Ra	ndom (95%	o CI)	
Buitelaar et al 2007 <sup>39</sup>	10	82	2	81	6.0	4.94 (1.12 to 21.85)				
Michelson et al 2004 <sup>41</sup>	47	124	65	292	37.2	1.70 (1.25 to 2.32)				
Newcorn et al 2016 <sup>42</sup>	98	151	74	150	43.2	1 32 (1 08 to 1 61)		-		

3.26 (1.33 to 8.04)

1.77 (1.20 to 2.62)

0.01

Abbreviations: ADHD = attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, M-H = Mantel-Haenszel.

258

615

6

147

266

789

13.5

100.0

quality of life after symptomatic remission in patients with ADHD.

19

174 Heterogeneity:  $\tau^2 = 0.08$ ;  $\chi^2_3 = 8.37$  (*P* = .04); *I*<sup>2</sup> = 64%

We reported that discontinuing any ADHD medication was associated with a small but statistically significant risk of reduced quality of life in patients with ADHD. Our main finding was partly supported by previous findings that showed little advantage of discontinuing medications in patients with ADHD.<sup>7,30,31</sup> The results of subgroup analyses also favored our preliminary finding. Despite small ESs, our results are clinically significant for patients with ADHD who experience a considerable decrease in quality of life after discontinuing medications. After discontinuing medications, regularly assessing quality of life may support decisions regarding whether treatment should be resumed for patients with ADHD.

However, with small ESs, the impact of such discontinuation on quality of life may be not considered clinically relevant for most patients with ADHD. One possible explanation for changes in quality of life observed after discontinuing medications is that medications help patients with ADHD develop better coping abilities against stressful situations. Once their symptoms have stabilized, patients could partly maintain these coping skills even after discontinuing medications. These improved and maintained coping skills might impact the quality of life in some individuals with ADHD because coping helps in maintaining stability in quality of life.<sup>47</sup> Our hypothesis is supported by a study<sup>48</sup> that demonstrated continued effects of medication after its discontinuation on quality of life even when ADHD symptoms worsened. Banaschewski

et al<sup>48</sup> hypothesized that residual benefits associated with medications extend beyond the point of discontinuing treatment or that underlying deficits in quality of life attenuate over the course of treatment. Although quality of life is related to individuals' appraisal of their situation, it can allow clinicians to better integrate the patients' perspective into their clinical management, including adherence to pharmacologic treatments.<sup>32</sup> Thus, quality of life can be considered in pharmacologic interventions to direct continuing and discontinuing medication.

0.1

**Favors Discontinuation** 

10

**Favors** Continuation

100

In adults with ADHD, the effect of discontinuing medications on quality of life was nonsignificant. Differences in environmental demands or stress between children/ adolescents and adults as well as better coping abilities in response to environmental demands among adults with ADHD<sup>7</sup> may explain this result. Another explanation for the null effect found for adults is that adults are more likely to compensate for symptom return after discontinuing ADHD medications by increasing substance use (eg, cigarettes/ nicotine, marijuana) to self-treat ADHD symptoms.<sup>49,50</sup> Because no studies included in our meta-analysis investigated the occurrences of substance use after discontinuing ADHD medications, we cannot exclude this possibility. Further studies are needed to investigate the relationship between discontinuing medication and increased substance use. However, substantial statistical heterogeneity within our analysis restricted to adults with ADHD was considerable  $(I^2 = 63\%)$ . This heterogeneity may be explained by the methodological differences across the studies included in our analysis. Further studies that control for confounding

**It is illegal to post this copy** factors such as ADHD subtype or psychiatric comorbidities (eg, substance abuse) are needed to determine the association between discontinuing medication and quality of life in adults with ADHD.

Conversely, the duration of randomized withdrawal trials was relatively short in some studies, varying between 3 and 52 weeks for pre-randomization phase and between 2 and 36 weeks for randomized withdrawal phase. Although there is limited and inconsistent evidence regarding the long-term effects of medications on improving functional impairments or quality of life,<sup>30,51</sup> it is possible that responses related to quality of life in patients with ADHD are affected by the duration of dose increase in pre-randomization phase or duration of randomized withdrawal phase. Furthermore, patients who clearly noticed a decrease in quality of life after discontinuing medications may have dropped out during study periods. These effects may have confounded our results. Of note, a number of patients with ADHD in the studies included in this meta-analysis continued to participate in the trials after discontinuing medications, while taking placebos (Table 1).

Finally, our analysis of the secondary outcome relapse showed a statistically significant RR of 2.85 in patients with ADHD. NNH for the relapse of ADHD symptoms was 4. All subgroup analyses supported the results of the secondary outcome analysis, with moderate RR ranging from 1.77 to 3.87. However, there were substantial heterogeneities among all the analyses. One possible explanation for these heterogeneities may be the numerous methodological differences in the definition of relapse among studies covered in this meta-analysis. Another possibility is that the assessments of symptoms are sensitive to medication responses in the short term rather than responses associated with discontinuing medications.<sup>13,14</sup>

Several limitations of our meta-analysis need to be considered. First, as data were meta-analyzed when outcomes were reported in at least 2 studies, we were unable to conduct a meta-analysis regarding quality of life among subgroups restricted to stimulants. Second, the present meta-analysis included only published studies while excluding unpublished studies or studies without adequate statistical information. If unpublished studies were more likely to contain null findings, their inclusion would have potentially reduced the effects seen here even further. In addition, we could not explore potential publication bias because our analysis included fewer than 10 studies, which is the minimum number required to use the funnel plot (funnel plots were shown in Supplementary Figure 2). This limitation warrants future research that includes unpublished data. Third, quality of life measures used in this meta-analysis were only observerrated measures in children and adolescents with ADHD. This limits our findings for child-age samples because of the well-recognized positive illusory bias in children with ADHD, ie, they might have an overoptimistic view of their situation.<sup>12</sup> Finally, the tools used to assess quality of life and symptom severity differed among the studies. This variation might relate to conflicting findings across studies

included in this meta-analysis, especially with regard to our results on quality of life. Further research involving randomized withdrawal trials using ADHD-specific quality of life measures (eg, the AAQoL) or using measures of both quality of life and functional impairments are needed to further explore and confirm the utility of quality of life as an outcome of pharmacologic interventions for patients with ADHD. Moreover, research is needed to investigate the interactions and relationships among symptoms, functional impairments, and quality of life in patients with ADHD. If confirmed, these relationships and interactions may lead to an improved understanding of factors affecting quality of life in ADHD.

In summary, discontinuing ADHD medication was associated with a small but statistically significant risk of decreased quality of life in children and adolescents with ADHD. Although discontinuing ADHD medications may be dependent on patient responses,<sup>7</sup> our results highlight the potential clinical utility of quality of life as a tool for determining this discontinuation compared with symptom rating scales. Regular assessments regarding the overall quality of life after discontinuing medication may assist in making decisions regarding continuing the withdrawal or resumption of medications for patients with ADHD. We believe that our results will help clinicians in considering the potential risks and benefits of discontinuing medications and optimizing individualized treatments for patients with ADHD.

#### Submitted: July 25, 2019; accepted December 18, 2019. Published online: March 24, 2020.

Potential conflicts of interest: During the past 3 years, Dr Tsujii reports procuring personal fees from Janssen, Sumitomo Dainippon, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Yoshitomi, GlaxoSmithKline, Otsuka, Shionogi, and Takeda. Dr Okada reports procuring personal fees from Eli Lilly Japan, Teijin, Shionogi, Meiji Seika, Sawai, Janssen, Takeda, Yoshitomiyakuhin, Pfizer, Shire Japan, Mochida, Sumitomo Dainippon, and Astellas and also has received research grants from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development; Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare; Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology; and Otsuka. Dr Usami reports procuring personal fees from Janssen, Takeda, Shionogi, Otsuka, Takata, and Pfizer Japan not pertaining to the present study. Dr Kuwabara reports procuring personal fees from Meiji Seika and Otsuka. Dr Fujita reports procuring personal fees from Shionogi. Dr Negoro reports procuring personal fees from Janssen, Eli Lilly Japan, Shionogi, Shire, Otsuka, and Takeda. Dr lida reports procuring personal fees from Janssen, Eli Lilly Japan, Shionogi, Shire Japan, Otsuka, Mochida, MSD KK, and Sumitomo Dainippon and has received grants from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology; Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare; and Agency for Medical Research and Development. Dr Saito reports procuring personal fees from Eli Lilly Japan, Janssen, Otsuka, Sumitomo Dainippon, Shionogi, and Takeda and has received grants from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare; Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; and Health Economics and Policy. Ms Kawamura reports no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Funding/support:* This study was partly supported by research grants from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan (H29-SEISHIN-ippan-001 and 19GC1012).

**Role of the sponsor:** The supporters had no role in the design, analysis, interpretation, or publication of this study.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank Mr Takanori Kikuchi, BACom, and Ms Yukari Kono, BA (Medical Sciences Group, Research Support Division, Hokkaido University Library), who assisted with the literature review. Mr Kikuchi and Ms Kono have no conflicts of interest to declare. We would like to thank Enago (https://www.enago.jp) for the English language review; the work done by Enago was not funded by an outside source.

Supplementary material: Available at PSYCHIATRIST.COM.

#### Tsujii et al It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF REFERENCES Buildelaar J, Asherson P, Soutullo C, et al. Buildelaar J, Asherson P, Soutullo C, et al.

- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders. Fifth Edition. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
- Willcutt EG. The prevalence of DSM-IV attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analytic review. Neurotherapeutics. 2012;9(3):490–499.
- Thomas R, Sanders S, Doust J, et al. Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(4):e994–e1001.
- Simon V, Czobor P, Bálint S, et al. Prevalence and correlates of adult attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: meta-analysis. Br J Psychiatry. 2009;194(3):204–211.
- Kooij SJ, Bejerot S, Blackwell A, et al. European consensus statement on diagnosis and treatment of adult ADHD: The European Network Adult ADHD. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2010;10(1):67.
- Faraone SV, Biederman J, Mick E. The agedependent decline of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analysis of follow-up studies. *Psychol Med*. 2006;36(2):159–165.
- National Guideline Centre (UK). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: diagnosis and management. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence website. <u>https://www. nice.org.uk/guidance/ng87</u>. Published March 2018. Accessed November 23, 2019.
- Dalsgaard S, Østergaard SD, Leckman JF, et al. Mortality in children, adolescents, and adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a nationwide cohort study. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9983):2190–2196.
- 9. Faraone SV, Asherson P, Banaschewski T, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2015;1(1):15020.
- Able SL, Johnston JA, Adler LA, et al. Functional and psychosocial impairment in adults with undiagnosed ADHD. *Psychol Med.* 2007;37(1):97–107.
- Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, Barkley RA. Social and emotional impairment in children and adolescents with ADHD and the impact on quality of life. J Adolesc Health. 2010;46(3):209–217.
- Danckaerts M, Sonuga-Barke EJ, Banaschewski T, et al. The quality of life of children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010;19(2):83–105.
- Epstein JN, Weiss MD. Assessing treatment outcomes in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a narrative review. *Prim Care Companion CNS Disord*. 2012;14(6):11r01336.
- Coghill DR, Banaschewski T, Soutullo C, et al. Systematic review of quality of life and functional outcomes in randomized placebocontrolled studies of medications for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017;26(11):1283–1307.
- Kooij JJS, Bijlenga D, Salerno L, et al. Updated European Consensus Statement on diagnosis and treatment of adult ADHD. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2019;56(1):14–34.
- 16. Bolea-Alamañac B, Nutt DJ, Adamou M, et al; British Association for Psychopharmacology. Evidence-based guidelines for the pharmacological management of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: update on recommendations from the British Association for Psychopharmacology. J Psychopharmacol. 2014;28(3):179–203.
- 17. Pliszka S; AACAP Work Group on Quality

and treatment of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2007;46(7):894–921.

- Wolraich M, Brown L, Brown RT, et al; Subcommittee on Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder; Steering Committee on Quality Improvement and Management. ADHD: clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(5):1007–1022.
- Joseph A, Ayyagari R, Xie M, et al. Comparative efficacy and safety of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder pharmacotherapies, including guanfacine extended release: a mixed treatment comparison. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017;26(8):875–897.
- Padilha SCOS, Virtuoso S, Tonin FS, et al. Efficacy and safety of drugs for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: a network meta-analysis. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018;27(10):1335–1345.
- Cortese S, Adamo N, Del Giovane C, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of medications for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children, adolescents, and adults: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2018;5(9):727–738.
- Yoshida M, Obara T, Kikuchi S, et al. Drug prescriptions for children with ADHD in Japan: a study based on health insurance claims data between 2005 and 2015. J Atten Disord. 2020;24(2):175–191.
- Hales CM, Kit BK, Gu Q, et al. Trends in prescription medication use among children and adolescents—United States, 1999–2014. JAMA. 2018;319(19):2009–2020.
- 24. Renoux C, Shin JY, Dell'Aniello S, et al. Prescribing trends of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medications in UK primary care, 1995–2015. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2016;82(3):858–868.
- McCarthy S, Asherson P, Coghill D, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: treatment discontinuation in adolescents and young adults. Br J Psychiatry. 2009;194(3):273–277.
- 26. Wehmeier PM, Schacht A, Dittmann RW, et al. Effect of atomoxetine on quality of life and family burden: results from a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study in children and adolescents with ADHD and comorbid oppositional defiant or conduct disorder. Qual Life Res. 2011;20(5):691–702.
- Ingram S, Hechtman L, Morgenstern G. Outcome issues in ADHD: adolescent and adult long-term outcome. *Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev.* 1999;5(3):243–250.
- MTA Cooperative Group. National Institute of Mental Health Multimodal Treatment Study of ADHD follow-up: changes in effectiveness and growth after the end of treatment. *Pediatrics*. 2004;113(4):762–769.
- MTA Cooperative Group. National Institute of Mental Health Multimodal Treatment Study of ADHD follow-up: 24-month outcomes of treatment strategies for attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. *Pediatrics*. 2004;113(4):754–761.
- van de Loo-Neus GH, Rommelse N, Buitelaar JK. To stop or not to stop? how long should medication treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder be extended? *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2011;21(8):584–599.

Builtenaar J, Asherson P, Soutulio C, et al. Differences in maintenance of response upon discontinuation across medication treatments in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2015;25(10):1611–1621.

- Coghill D, Danckaerts M, Sonuga-Barke E, et al; ADHD European Guidelines Group. Practitioner review: quality of life in child mental health—conceptual challenges and practical choices. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2009;50(5):544–561.
- WHOQOL. The World Health Organization Quality of Life assessment (WHOQOL): position paper from the World Health Organization. Soc Sci Med. 1995;41(10):1403–1409.
- Ahnemark E, Di Schiena M, Fredman AC, et al. Health-related quality of life and burden of illness in adults with newly diagnosed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Sweden. BMC Psychiatry. 2018;18(1):223.
- Wong ICK, Banaschewski T, Buitelaar J, et al; European ADHD Guidelines Group. Emerging challenges in pharmacotherapy research on attention-deficit hyperactivity disorderoutcome measures beyond symptom control and clinical trials. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2019;6(6):528–537.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* 2009;6(7):e1000097.
- Higgins JPT, Green S. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0. Cochrane Training website. <u>http:// handbook.cochrane.org</u>. 2011. Accessed November 23, 2019.
- Arnold LE, Lindsay RL, Conners CK, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled withdrawal trial of dexmethylphenidate hydrochloride in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2004;14(4):542–554.
- Buitelaar JK, Michelson D, Danckaerts M, et al. A randomized, double-blind study of continuation treatment for attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder after 1 year. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007;61(5):694–699.
- 40. Coghill DR, Banaschewski T, Lecendreux M, et al. Maintenance of efficacy of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: randomizedwithdrawal study design. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014;53(6):647–657.e1.
- Michelson D, Buitelaar JK, Danckaerts M, et al. Relapse prevention in pediatric patients with ADHD treated with atomoxetine: a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004;43(7):896–904.
- Newcorn JH, Harpin V, Huss M, et al. Extended-release guanfacine hydrochloride in 6–17-year olds with ADHD: a randomisedwithdrawal maintenance of efficacy study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2016;57(6):717–728.
- Biederman J, Mick E, Surman C, et al. A randomized, 3-phase, 34-week, double-blind, long-term efficacy study of osmotic-release oral system-methylphenidate in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2010;30(5):549–553.
- Brams M, Weisler R, Findling RL, et al. Maintenance of efficacy of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in adults with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: randomized withdrawal design. J Clin Psychiatry. 2012;73(7):977–983.

For reprints or permissions, contact permissions@psychiatrist.com. ♦ © 2020 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc. e10 ■ PSYCHIATRIST.com J Clin Psychiatry 81:3, May/June 2020

Medication Discontinuation and Quality of Life in ADHD

 Buitelaar JK, Trott GE, Hofecker M, et al. Lon term efficacy and safety outcomes with OROS-MPH in adults with ADHD. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2012;15(1):1–13.

- 46. Upadhyaya H, Ramos-Quiroga JA, Adler LA, et al. Maintenance of response after open-label treatment with atomoxetine hydrochloride in international European and non-European adult outpatients with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: a placebo-controlled, randomised withdrawal study. Eur J Psychiatry. 2013;27(3):185–205.
- Schwartz CE, Rapkin BD. Reconsidering the psychometrics of quality of life assessment in light of response shift and appraisal. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2004;2(1):16.
- 48. Banaschewski T, Johnson M, Lecendreux M, et

al. Health-related quality of life and functional outcomes from a randomized-withdrawal study of long-term lisdexamfetamine dimesylate treatment in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. *CNS Drugs*. 2014;28(12):1191–1203.

- Osland S, Hirsch L, Pringsheim T. Smoking, alcohol and drug use in youth and adults with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *BJPsych Open*. 2017;3(3):141–146.
- McCabe SE, Dickinson K, West BT, et al. Age of onset, duration, and type of medication therapy for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and substance use during adolescence: a multi-cohort national study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.
- Fredriksen M, Halmøy A, Faraone SV, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of treatment with stimulants and atomoxetine in adult ADHD: a review of controlled and naturalistic studies. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2013;23(6):508–527.

486

website.

*Editor's Note:* We encourage authors to submit papers for consideration as a part of our Focus on Childhood and Adolescent Mental Health section. Please contact Karen D. Wagner, MD, PhD, at kwagner@psychiatrist.com.

See supplementary material for this article at PSYCHIATRIST.COM.



THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CLINICAL PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGY

# **Supplementary Material**

- Article Title: Effect of Continuing and Discontinuing Medications on Quality of Life After Symptomatic Remission in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
- Author(s): Noa Tsujii, MD, PhD; Takashi Okada, MD, PhD; Masahide Usami, MD, PhD; Hidenori Kuwabara, PhD; Junichi Fujita, MD, PhD; Hideki Negoro, MD, PhD; Michiyo Kawamura, BHHSc; Junzo Iida, MD, PhD; and Takuya Saito, MD, PhD
- DOI Number: https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.19r13015

#### List of Supplementary Material for the article

- 1. Table 1 Search syntax for PubMed
- 2. <u>Table 2</u> Search syntax for Cochrane Library
- 3. <u>Table 3</u> Search syntax for Embase databases
- 4. Figure 1 Risk of bias summary
- 5. <u>Figure 2</u> Funnel plot of publication bias for the comparison between discontinuing and continuing ADHD medications on the quality of life after symptomatic remission

#### **Disclaimer**

This Supplementary Material has been provided by the author(s) as an enhancement to the published article. It has been approved by peer review; however, it has undergone neither editing nor formatting by in-house editorial staff. The material is presented in the manner supplied by the author.

© Copyright 2020 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

## Supplementary Table 1: Search syntax for PubMed

#	Sourch terms	Number of
#	Search terms	references
#1	(Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity/drug therapy[MH] OR ADHD[TIAB] OR AD/HD[TIAB] OR AD HD[TIAB] OR ADDH/[TIAB] OR attention defk [TIAB] OR "brein dynamical structure" [TIAB])	34810
#2	Withhelding Treatment/MUNICERTION Disaster (MIL)	14092
#2	Withholding Treatment[MH:NOEX]] OK Placedo Effect[MH]	14982
	(drug*[11AB] OR Pharmacotherap*[11AB] OR medication*[11AB] OR "Central Nervous System	
	Stimulants"[MH] OR stimulant[TIAB] OR "non-stimulant"[TIAB] OR "Adrenergic	
	alpha-Agonists"[MH] OR "alpha adrenergic agonist"[TIAB] OR "alpha adrenergic receptor"[TIAB]	
#3	OR "Dopamine Uptake Inhibitors" [MH] OR "dopamine reuptake inhibitor" [TIAB] OR "norepinephrine	1758504
115	reuptake inhibitor"[TIAB] OR "dopamine releaser"[TIAB] OR "Amphetamine"[MH] OR	1750504
	Amphetamine*[TIAB] OR "Atomoxetine Hydrochloride"[MH] OR atomoxetine[TIAB] OR	
	Clonidine[TW] OR Methylphenidate[MH] OR Methylphenidate[TIAB] OR Dexmethylphenidate[TIAB]	
	OR lisdexamfetamine[TW] OR guanfacine[TW])	
	(withdr*[TIAB] OR discontinu*[TIAB] OR abstinence[TIAB] OR avoid*[TIAB] OR ceas*[TIAB] OR	
#4	cessation*[TIAB] OR remov*[TIAB] OR stop*[TIAB] OR Withhold*[TIAB] OR continu*[TIAB] OR	2306248
	maintenance*[TIAB])	
#5	#3 AND #4	266642
#6	#2 OR #5	280599
#7	#1 AND #6	2084
що	#7 AND (randomized controlled trial[pt] OR controlled clinical trial[pt] OR randomized[TIAB] OR	
#8	placebo[TIAB] OR drug therapy[sh] OR randomly[TIAB] OR trial[TIAB] OR groups[TIAB])	
	#7 AND (randomized controlled trial [pt] OR controlled clinical trial [pt] OR randomized [TIAB] OR	
#9	placebo [TIAB] OR clinical trials as topic [MH: noexp] OR randomly [TIAB] OR trial [ti]) NOT	621
	(animals [mh] NOT humans [mh])	
#10	#7 AND (Meta-Analysis[ptyp] OR systematic[sb])	

#	Search terms	Number of
#1	[mh "Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity"/DT]	1327
#2	ADHD:ti.ab.kw	3292
#3	AD-HD:ti.ab.kw	39
#4	ADDH:ti,ab,kw	24
#5	attention def*:ti,ab,kw	8470
#6	brain dysfunction:ti,ab,kw	215
#7	#1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6	8942
#8	[mh ^"Withholding Treatment"]	334
#9	[mh "Placebo Effect"]	1418
#10	#8 or #9	1751
#11	drug*:ti,ab,kw	375119
#12	Pharmacotherap*:ti,ab,kw	6971
#13	medication*:ti,ab,kw	66035
#14	[mh "Central Nervous System Stimulants"]	2249
#15	stimulant:ti,ab,kw	2199
#16	non-stimulant:ti,ab,kw	52
#17	[mh "Adrenergic alpha-Agonists"]	1095
#18	alpha adrenergic agonist:ti,ab,kw	46
#19	alpha adrenergic receptor:ti,ab,kw	590
#20	[mh "Dopamine Uptake Inhibitors"]	309
#21	dopamine reuptake inhibitor:ti,ab,kw	31
#22	norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor:ti,ab,kw	329
#23	dopamine releaser:ti,ab,kw	2
#24	[mh Amphetamine]	897
#25	Amphetamine*:ti,ab,kw	1625
#26	[mh "Atomoxetine Hydrochloride"]	306
#27	[mn Cionidine]	1845
#28	Cionidine:II,aD,KW	3539
#29	[IIII Methylphenidatej]	2285
#30	Devmethylphenidate.ti.ab.kw	2383
#31	[mh lisdexamfatamine]	142
#32	lisdexamfetamine ti ab kw	277
#34	[mh guanfacine]	153
#35	guantacine ti ab kw	280
1100	#11 or #12 or #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20 or #21 or #22 or #23 or #24 or #25	200
#36	or #26 or #27 or #28 or #29 or #30 or #31 or #32 or #33 or #34 or #35	409830
#37	withdr*:ti.ab.kw	35050
#38	discontinu*:ti,ab,kw	26722
#39	abstinence:ti,ab,kw	6059
#40	avoid*:ti,ab,kw	18614
#41	ceas*:ti,ab,kw	1317
#42	cessation*:ti,ab,kw	13290
#43	reduc*:ti,ab,kw	317809
#44	remov*:ti,ab,kw	24280
#45	stop*:ti,ab,kw	16446
#46	Withhold*:ti,ab,kw	876
#47	placebo:ti,ab,kw	227716
#48	continu*:ti,ab,kw	94124
#49	maintenance*:ti,ab,kw	32274
#50	#37 or #38 or #39 or #40 or #41 or #42 or #43 or #44 or #45 or #46 or #47 or #48 or #49	577590
#51	#36 and #50	248242
#52	#10 or #51	249121
#53	#7 and #52	3537
#54	Review	484
#55	Trials	3040

### Supplementary Table 2: Search syntax for Cochrane Library

#	Search terms	Number of
#1	attention deficit disorder'/avn/dm_dt	references
#1	adhd-ti ah kw	30.930
#3	ad hd'ti ab kw	470
#4	addh:ti.ab.kw	145
#5	attention* def*':ti.ab.kw	35.311
#6	brain dysfunction*':ti,ab,kw	4,509
#7	#1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6	51.651
#8	drug withdrawal'/de	164,504
#9	placebo effect/de	5,088
#10	#8 or #9	169,506
#11	drug therapy'/de	574,939
#12	drug*':ti,ab,kw	2,057,126
#13	pharmacotherap*':ti,ab,kw	50,027
#14	medication*':ti,ab,kw	444,369
#15	amphetamine'/de	33,926
#16	amphetamine*':ti,ab,kw	29,994
#17	atomoxetine'/de	4,849
#18	atomoxetin*':ti,ab,kw	2,254
#19	clonidine'/de	40,405
#20	clonidine':ti,ab,kw	17,880
#21	methylphenidate'/de	20,545
#22	methylphenidate':ti,ab,kw	9,160
#23	dexmethylphenidate <sup>1</sup> /de	667
#24	dexmethylphenidate':ti,ab,kw	126
#25	lisdexamfetamine'/de	1,111
#26	lisdexamfetamine':ti,ab,kw	543
#27	guanfacine'/de	3,034
#28	guanfacine':ti,ab,kw	1,173
#29	#11 or #12 or #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20 or #21 or #22 or #23 or #24 or #25 or #26 or #27 or #28	2,810,937
#30	withdr*'ti ab kw	168 127
#30	discontinu*'ti ah kw	176 476
#31	abstinence'ti ab kw	26.846
#32	avoid*'ti ah kw	459 593
#34	roda iti,ab,kw	28 175
#35	cessation*'ti ah kw	88 143
#36	reduc*'ti ab kw	3 810 259
#37	remov <sup>*</sup> iti ah kw	721 561
#38	stop*'ti ab kw	174.091
#39	withhold*'ti ab kw	9,203
#40	placebo':ti.ab.kw	275.346
#41	continu*'ti ab kw	1.221.297
#42	maintenance*':ti.ab.kw	328.184
#43	#30 OR #31 OR #32 OR #33 OR #34 OR #35 OR #36 OR #37 OR #38 OR #39 OR #40 OR #41 OR #42	6.369.130
#44	#29 and #43	927.809
#45	#10 or #44	1.050.842
#46	#7 and #45	7,594
	'crossover procedure':de OR 'double-blind procedure':de OR 'randomized controlled trial':de OR	.,
	'single-blind procedure':de OR random*:de,ab,ti OR factorial*:de,ab,ti OR crossover*:de,ab,ti OR	0.000 100
#47	((cross NEXT/1 over*):de,ab,ti) OR placebo*:de,ab,ti OR ((doubl* NEAR/1 blind*):de,ab,ti) OR	2,286,136
	((singl* NEAR/1 blind*):de,ab,ti) OR assign*:de,ab,ti OR allocat*:de,ab,ti OR volunteer*:de,ab,ti	
#48	#46 and #47	3,085
#49	cohort analysis'/de OR 'longitudinal study'/de OR 'prospective study'/de OR 'follow up'/de OR	2.343.573
	cohort*:ti,ab,kw	_,0 .0,0 /0
#50	#46 and #49	1,061
#51	#46 AND #47 AND [embase]/lim	2,831
#52	#46 AND #49 AND [embase]/lim	1,012
#53	#51 AND [embase]/lim NOT ([embase]/lim AND [medline]/lim)	984
#54	#52 AND [embase]/lim NOT ([embase]/lim AND [medline]/lim)	526

Sup	plementary	Table 3	: Search	syntax for	r Embase	databases
-----	------------	---------	----------	------------	----------	-----------



Supplementary Figure 1: Risk of bias summary

Supplementary Figure 2: Funnel plot of publication bias for the comparison between discontinuing and continuing ADHD medications on the quality of life after symptomatic remission



A. Studies measured quality of life

### B. Studies reported relapse

