Suicide Sex Ratios After the Inception of Charcoal-Burning Suicide in Taiwan and Hong Kong

To the Editor: Suicide rates in males are generally higher than in females in most parts of the world.¹ However, this gender gap is narrower in some Asian countries, where suicide among females seems to be more culturally acceptable.² Other factors such as the availability of lethal method of suicide, lower women's status, and inadequate treatment of mental illness are other possible explanations for the narrower gender gap in Asian countries.^{2–4} Recently, burning barbecue charcoal in a closed space has become a dominant suicide method in Taiwan and Hong Kong; the method has changed the pattern of suicide rates in these 2 places in the last decade.⁵ The aim of the current study was to explore the impact of charcoal-burning suicide on patterns of the suicide sex ratio in Taiwan and Hong Kong.

Method. The suicide mortality data from Taiwan and Hong Kong were collected from the Department of Health of the Executive Yuan of Taiwan and Corner's Court of Hong Kong, respectively, for the years 1994–2008. Suicide was defined as deaths coded under E950-959 (suicide and self-inflicted injury) and E980-989 (undetermined intent) of the *International Classification of Diseases (ICD)*, 9th revision. Deaths of undetermined intent were included because many suicide deaths were hidden under this category.⁶

The code E952/E982 (intentional self-poisoning by other gases and vapors) was used for charcoal-burning suicide. Other sources

Table 1. Male and Female Suicide Rates (per 100,000 population) and Male-to-Female Suicide Sex Ratio in Hong Kong and Taiwan, 1994–2008

Hong Kong						Taiwan					
Overall		Charcoal Burning		Non–Charcoal Burning		Overall		Charcoal Burning		Non–Charcoal Burning	
Sex Ratio	Male/ Female	Sex Ratio ^a	Male/ Female	Sex Ratio	Male/ Female	Sex Ratio	Male/ Female	Sex Ratio ^a	Male/ Female	Sex Ratio	Male/ Female
1.5	14.9/9.8		0.0/0.0	1.5	14.9/9.8	1.9	17.8/9.3		0.1/0.0	1.9	17.7/9.2
1.8	19.7/10.8	2.1	4.8/2.3	1.8	14.8/8.8	2.1	27.0/13.2	3.1	3.1/1.0	2.0	23.9/12.2
1.9 1.8	21.6/11.4 17.6/9.9	2.7 2.2	5.5/2.1 3.2/1.5	1.7 1.7	16.0/9.4 14.4/8.4	2.1 2.2	32.6/15.5 34.5/15.9	2.6 2.7	7.5/2.8 10.5/3.9	2.0 2.0	25.1/12.6 24.0/12.0
	Sex Ratio 1.5 1.7 1.8 1.9	Sex Male/ Ratio Female 1.5 14.9/9.8 1.7 16.3/9.4 1.8 19.7/10.8 1.9 21.6/11.4	Overall Charcon Sex Male/ Sex Ratio Female Ratio ^a 1.5 14.9/9.8 1.7 16.3/9.4 1.8 19.7/10.8 2.1 1.9 21.6/11.4 2.7	Overall Charcoal Burning Sex Male/ Sex Male/ Ratio Female Ratio ^a Female 1.5 14.9/9.8 0.0/0.0 1.7 16.3/9.4 1.2/0.5 1.8 19.7/10.8 2.1 4.8/2.3 1.9 21.6/11.4 2.7 5.5/2.1	Overall Charcoal Burning Non-O Sex Male/ Sex Male/ Sex Ratio Female Ratio ^a Female Ratio 1.5 14.9/9.8 0.0/0.0 1.5 1.7 16.3/9.4 1.2/0.5 1.7 1.8 19.7/10.8 2.1 4.8/2.3 1.8 1.9 21.6/11.4 2.7 5.5/2.1 1.7	Overall Charcoal Burning Non-Charcoal Burning Sex Male/ Sex Male/ Sex Male/ Ratio Female Ratio ^a Female Ratio Female 1.5 14.9/9.8 0.0/0.0 1.5 14.9/9.8 1.7 16.3/9.4 1.2/0.5 1.7 15.1/9.0 1.8 19.7/10.8 2.1 4.8/2.3 1.8 14.8/8.8 1.9 21.6/11.4 2.7 5.5/2.1 1.7 16.0/9.4	Overall Charcoal Burning Non-Charcoal Burning O Sex Male/ Sex Male/ Sex Male/ Sex Ratio Female Ratio ^a Female Ratio Female Ratio 1.5 14.9/9.8 0.0/0.0 1.5 14.9/9.8 1.9 1.7 16.3/9.4 1.2/0.5 1.7 15.1/9.0 1.9 1.8 19.7/10.8 2.1 4.8/2.3 1.8 14.8/8.8 2.1 1.9 21.6/11.4 2.7 5.5/2.1 1.7 16.0/9.4 2.1	Overall Charcoal Burning Non-Charcoal Burning Overall Sex Male/ Sex Male/ Sex Male/ Ratio Female Ratio ^a Female Ratio Female Ratio Female 1.5 14.9/9.8 0.0/0.0 1.5 14.9/9.8 1.9 17.8/9.3 1.7 16.3/9.4 1.2/0.5 1.7 15.1/9.0 1.9 22.1/11.6 1.8 19.7/10.8 2.1 4.8/2.3 1.8 14.8/8.8 2.1 27.0/13.2 1.9 21.6/11.4 2.7 5.5/2.1 1.7 16.0/9.4 2.1 32.6/15.5	Overall Charcoal Burning Non-Charcoal Burning Overall Charcoal Charcoal Burning Sex Male/ Sex Male/ Sex Male/ Sex Male/ Sex Ratio Female Ratio ^a Female Ratio Female Ratio Female Ratio ^a Sex Male/ Sex Male/ Sex 1.5 14.9/9.8 0.0/0.0 1.5 14.9/9.8 1.9 17.8/9.3 1.7 16.3/9.4 1.2/0.5 1.7 15.1/9.0 1.9 22.1/11.6 1.8 19.7/10.8 2.1 4.8/2.3 1.8 14.8/8.8 2.1 27.0/13.2 3.1 1.9 21.6/11.4 2.7 5.5/2.1 1.7 16.0/9.4 2.1 32.6/15.5 2.6	Overall Charcoal Burning Non-Charcoal Burning Overall Charcoal Burning Sex Male/	Non-Charcoal Non-Charcoal<

of carbon monoxide poisoning under this code were too few to have significant impact.⁷ Fitted linear regression models tested for the slope of male-to-female sex ratio of suicides, with calendar year as the independent variable.

Results. Calculating the mean sex-specific suicide rates and male-to-female ratios in consecutive 3-year periods in both places showed increasing trends, with slope estimates of 0.024 for Hong Kong (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.001 to 0.047, P=.045) and 0.023 for Taiwan (95% CI=0.012 to 0.034, P=.001). The male-to-female ratio for charcoal-burning suicide was greater than that of the overall male-to-female ratio for suicide (Table 1). Compared to Hong Kong, Taiwan experienced a more noticeable increase in gender ratio.

Charcoal-burning suicide had high fatality ratio⁸ and male preponderance compared to other poisoning suicide deaths. Examining the leading suicide methods, the sex ratio increased slightly for hanging suicide in Taiwan (from 2.16 in 1994–1996 to 2.57 in 2006–2008) and for jumping suicide in Hong Kong (from 1.39 in 1994–1996 to 1.56 in 2006–2008).

The advent of charcoal-burning suicide has widened the maleto-female suicide gap in both Taiwan and Hong Kong, echoing previous reports that gender differences on the choice of suicide methods is an important contributor to gender differences in suicide rates.³ Although not all deaths classified under E952/E982 are charcoal-burning suicide, the number of other sources of carbon monoxide poisoning is small.^{5,7}

Charcoal-burning suicide has equal gender availability and characteristics of a "feminine method of suicide" (ie, peaceful, nonviolent, and not disfiguring),⁹ challenging traditional stereotypes regarding gender-specific method preference. It has also been widely portrayed by mass media as an effective escape from financial predicament, thereby attracting economically active middleaged men with financial debt.⁵ The disproportionate increase of such suicides in men highlights the importance of the mass media in shaping the cultural meaning of a suicide method. It is possible that the method itself attracts a new cohort of individuals who will not commit suicide if the method is not available, as the profiles of death among those using charcoal burning are very different from those who used other methods. Another possibility is the technical aspect—males may be more familiar with the basic skills required.

Lastly, the case of charcoal-burning suicide hints that the gender-specific connotation of suicide methods varies over time and across different cultural contexts. Closer monitoring and surveillance are required to assess its impact more effectively.

REFERENCES

 Möller-Leimkühler AM. The gender gap in suicide and premature death or: why are men so vulnerable? *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2003;253(1):1–8.

- Canetto SS, Lester D. Gender and the primary prevention of suicide mortality. Suicide Life Threat Behav. 1995;25(1):58–69.
- Gold LH. Suicide and gender. In: Simon RI, Hales RE, eds. *The American* Psychiatric Publishing Textbook of Suicide Assessment and Management. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2006:77–106.
- Phillips MR, Li X, Zhang Y. Suicide rates in China, 1995-99. Lancet. 2002;359(9309):835–840.
- Yip PSF, Lee DTS. Charcoal-burning suicides and strategies for prevention. Crisis. 2007;28(suppl 1):21–27.
- Chang SS, Sterne JA, Lu TH, et al. 'Hidden' suicides amongst deaths certified as undetermined intent, accident by pesticide poisoning and accident by suffocation in Taiwan. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2010;45(2):143–152.
- Liu KY, Beautrais A, Caine E, et al. Charcoal burning suicides in Hong Kong and urban Taiwan: an illustration of the impact of a novel suicide method on overall regional rates. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2007;61(3):248–253.
- Center for Suicide Research and Prevention. Report on Fatality Rate of Different Suicide Methods. Hong Kong: University of Hong Kong; 2010.
- 9. Lester D. Why do people choose particular methods for suicide? Act Nerv Super (Praha). 1988;30(4):312-314.

Ying-Yeh Chen, MD, ScD ychen@tpech.gov.tw Paul S. F. Yip, PhD

Author affiliations: Taipei City Psychiatric Centre, Taipei City Hospital, and Institute of Public Health and Department of Public Health, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan (Dr Chen); and Department of Social Work and Social Administration, University of Hong Kong, and Hong Kong Jockey Club Center for Suicide Research and Prevention, University of Hong Kong (Dr Yip), Hong Kong, China. Potential conflicts of interest: None reported. Funding/support: None reported. doi:10.4088/ICP.10106478

© Copyright 2011 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.