# It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. Psychometric Properties of the Emotional Outburst Inventory (EMO-I): Rating What Children Do When They Are Irritable

Gabrielle A. Carlson, MD<sup>a,\*</sup>; Jamilah Silver, MA<sup>b</sup>; and Daniel N. Klein, PhD<sup>b</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** Existing measures of irritability rarely distinguish phasic and tonic forms, despite their different clinical implications. We developed the Emotional Outburst Inventory (EMO-I) as a brief screening tool for phasic irritability in youth in clinical settings. The EMO-I assesses outburst severity, frequency, and duration. This article reports on its psychometric properties.

**Methods:** The sample included 2,552 youth (mean [SD] age = 12.1 [3.5] years) evaluated at a university outpatient clinic between February 2005 and June 2014. Parents of 1,772 youth (69.4%) endorsed some anger problem. We assessed convergent, construct, and incremental validity of the EMO-I using a variety of measures, including the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) dysregulation profile (DP) and the CBCL irritability subscale. We also examined associations with hospitalization, emergency department visits, atypical antipsychotic use, help-seeking for outbursts, and impairment.

**Results:** The EMO-I severity had good internal consistency (Cronbach  $\alpha$  = 0.83) and was significantly associated with other irritability constructs (median correlation, *r* = 0.66, all *P* < .01). Outburst severity was associated with impairment ( $\beta$  = .87, *P* < .01) and with hospitalization, emergency department referral, antipsychotic use, and help-seeking for outbursts (median odds ratio = 1.27, all *P* < .01). The EMO-I showed incremental validity over and above the CBCL-DP and CBCL irritability subscale (explaining an additional 2%–12% of variance) when examining associations with impairment, hospitalization, emergency department referral, antipsychotic use, and help-seeking for outbursts.

**Conclusions:** The EMO-I showed good internal consistency and convergent, construct, and incremental validity. Outburst behavior severity had stronger associations with important clinical variables than did outburst frequency and duration.

J Clin Psychiatry 2022;83(2):21m14015

*To cite:* Carlson GA, Silver J, Klein DN. Psychometric properties of the Emotional Outburst Inventory (EMO-I): rating what children do when they are irritable. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2022;83(2):21m14015. *To share:* https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.21m14015

© Copyright 2022 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc. <sup>a</sup>Renaissance School of Medicine at Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York

<sup>b</sup>Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York

\*Corresponding author: Gabrielle A. Carlson, MD, Stony Brook University, Putnam Hall, South Campus, Stony Brook, NY 11794-8790 (Gabrielle.Carlson@Stonybrook.edu). A significant number of children and adolescents present to outpatient departments,<sup>1</sup> emergency departments,<sup>2</sup> and inpatient units<sup>3</sup> and receive school disciplinary referrals<sup>4</sup> because they respond to ordinary frustrations and disappointments with volcanic anger or distress, which we will call *impairing emotional outbursts*. Ironically, it is difficult to estimate the number of children with outbursts for 3 reasons.

First, impairing emotional outbursts have never had a clear home in DSM classification systems, and without a diagnosis it is difficult to study the phenomenon. At present, only two conditions have outbursts as part of their definition: disruptive mood dysregulation disorder (DMDD) and intermittent explosive disorder (IED). Outbursts in DMDD are defined in DSM-5<sup>5</sup> as "severe recurrent temper outbursts manifested verbally (eg, verbal rages) and/or behaviorally (eg, physical aggression toward people or property) that are grossly out of proportion in intensity or duration to the situation or provocation"<sup>(p156)</sup> occurring 3 or more times a week. The diagnosis also requires irritability between outbursts and exclusion of other disorders in which outbursts can occur. Until DSM-5,<sup>5</sup> IED had been operationalized as a condition of "discrete episodes of failure to resist aggressive impulses resulting in assaultive acts, occurring with little or no provocation," applicable "only after other mental disorders that might account for episodes of aggressive behavior have been ruled out."(p466) Many childhood disorders have been associated with aggressive outbursts. DSM-5 added criteria for how often such outbursts should occur based on studies of adults without data to support those numbers in children.<sup>6</sup>

Second, though many *DSM*-defined conditions include irritability as a symptom, irritability is neither defined within disorders nor included in the glossary of terms. Some research interviews define irritability differently for different disorders, whereas others use the same ratings and definitions interchangeably across disorders; eg, depression irritability is sometimes used to fulfill mania criteria.<sup>7</sup>

Third, studies of irritability have often conflated "tonic irritability," the grouchy and persistently angry mood component, and temper outbursts, a manifestation of "phasic irritability."<sup>8,9</sup> To study irritability, investigators have appropriated items from existing rating scales<sup>10</sup> and repurposed variables from existing interview segments<sup>11</sup> as well as creating new measures like the Affective Reactivity Index (ARI).<sup>12</sup> While these options have furthered research on irritability in general, they have done little to distinguish tonic and phasic irritability or define aspects of phasic irritability. In fact, these two components may predict different outcomes,<sup>13</sup> differ in heritability,<sup>14</sup> and have different relationships to attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder severity.<sup>11</sup> Vidal-Ribas<sup>15</sup>

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.

# **Clinical Points**

- Impairing emotional outbursts, one of the components of phasic irritability, need to be studied separately from tonic irritability, as they contribute separately to treatment seeking and various levels of treatment intensity and impairment.
- The Emotional Outburst Inventory (EMO-I) is a brief clinical rating tool that can be used to identify and quantify the important dimensions of outbursts, including their severity, frequency, and duration.
- These data suggest that outburst behavior severity rather than frequency and duration explains more of the variance in treatment type and impairment.

recently critiqued the field, calling for the development of measures "specifically designed to assess phasic and tonic irritability [which] should capture, not only the potential presence of each, but also the frequency, intensity, duration, and contextual factors. These measures should inquire about specific behaviors."(p1465)

Though there are many measures of mood dysregulation and aggression,<sup>16-18</sup> there are surprisingly few studies of actual outbursts or phasic irritability. Data are also scarce in clinical samples of children and adolescents. The Outburst Monitoring Scale (OMS),<sup>19</sup> a parent-report questionnaire that measures past-week aggressive behaviors (shouting, cursing, fighting), was validated in only 23 "aggressive" adolescents and 20 controls. The Rage Attacks Questionnaire,<sup>20</sup> developed for use in children with Tourette's disorder with severe outbursts, has not been used for other conditions. Finally, the Rage Outbursts and Anger Rating Scale,<sup>21</sup> which consists of 3 clinician-rated items measuring frequency, intensity, and duration of past-week rages, was used to study outbursts in samples of children with obsessive-compulsive disorder<sup>21</sup> and anxiety<sup>22</sup> and has not been used outside those conditions.

In 2002, Carlson and colleagues developed the Children's Agitation Inventory to record actual outburst behaviors in hospitalized children requiring "as needed" medication for their severe outbursts.<sup>23,24</sup> Constructed from a list of the behaviors observed during children's agitated emotional outbursts, the 17 codable items consisted of verbal acts (eg, yelling/screaming), discrete physical acts (eg, hitting or kicking others or objects), and emotional behaviors (eg, looking tearful/sad). These behaviors, and their duration and frequency, were the foundation of the Irritability Inventory (IRRI), which was developed as a screening instrument for characterizing outbursts in outpatients and inpatients.<sup>25-28</sup> The IRRI's utility was subsequently demonstrated in 242 psychiatrically hospitalized children.<sup>28</sup> It was significantly (P < .001) correlated with the ARI (r = 0.67),<sup>12</sup> irritable oppositional defiant symptoms (r=0.55), the Child Mania Rating Scale (CMRS; r = 0.52),<sup>29</sup> and number of medications needed for agitation per day (r=0.22).<sup>3</sup> Children with parentrated outbursts on the IRRI were significantly more likely to have outbursts while hospitalized (odds ratio [OR] = 3.87; 95% CI, 1.76-8.50).<sup>28</sup>

Inventory (EMO-I) to prevent confusion with the ARI.<sup>12</sup> The paucity of measures for impairing emotional outbursts prompted us to examine the psychometric characteristics of the EMO-I in greater detail. We hypothesized the following: (1) EMO-I outburst severity, frequency, and duration will correlate significantly with other measures of irritability; (2) EMO-I severity, frequency, and duration will be associated with measures of impairment and treatment intensity; and (3) the EMO-I will contribute variance over and above other measures of irritability in associations with impairment and treatment intensity.

#### **METHODS**

#### Participants

A total of 2,838 children and adolescents between the ages of 5 and 18 years were seen in the Stony Brook Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic (Stony Brook, New York) between February 2005 and June 2014. A suburban medical school-based clinic, it serves Suffolk County, Long Island, with a population of roughly 2.5 million people. Information was taken from a clinic database using safeguards to protect participant identities for a project approved by the university's Institutional Review Board.

#### Procedure

A general information form (with demographic information and treatment history) and parent rating scales (see the following section), including the EMO-I, were completed before the evaluation. Caregivers were instructed to check or circle the rating that best described the child's current behavior and problem. A complete set of parent rating scales was available for 2,552 families (89.9%; EMO-I Total), of whom 1,772 (69.4%) evidenced any anger problem on the EMO-I (EMO-I Positive); 780 (30.6%) had no anger endorsements (EMO-I Negative). (See Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 for sample comparisons.)

#### Measures

The Emotional Outburst Inventory. The EMO-I (Supplementary Table 3) includes questions about how easy it is for the child or adolescent to get angry, followed by questions about 6 common anger triggers, 9 anger behaviors, outburst frequency and duration, irritability between outbursts, and feelings after the outburst. For this study, we focus only on outburst severity (number of child behaviors during an outburst, not counting "expresses anger appropriately"), outburst frequency (ie, daily, weekly, several times a month, less than monthly), and outburst duration (<15 minutes, up to 30 minutes, up to 60 minutes, up to 2 hours, half a day, longer) (Table 2). A score of zero was defined as no anger problems (EMO-I Negative).

Irritability measures. Parents completed the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL),<sup>30</sup> which assesses a broad range of psychopathology. Items are rated on a 3-point scale (0 = absent, 1 = occurs sometimes, 2 = occurs often). The CBCL

Table 1. Sample Characteristics and Correlations With EMO-I–Defined Outburst Severity, Frequency, and Duration (Total Sample, N = 2,552)<sup>a</sup>

		Correlation With EMO-I	Correlation With EMO-I	Correlation With EMO-
Characteristic	Value <sup>b</sup>	Severity (n=2,552)	Frequency (n = 1,799)	Duration (n = 1,831)
Age, mean (SD), y	12.1 (3.5)	-0.044*	-0.198**	0.226**
Sex		0.020	0.042	0.128**
Female	782 (30.6)			
Male	1,770 (69.4)			
Race/ethnicity		0.046*	-0.005	0.035
White	1,864 (73.0)			
Other	688 (27.0)			
Referral		-0.079**	-0.039*	-0.087**
Private	703 (27.5)			
School	1,849 (72.5)			
Living status (with biological parent)		-0.091**	-0.039	-0.067**
Neither parent	261 (10.2)			
Single parent	900 (35.3)			
Both parents	1,391 (54.5)			
Parental education (any college) <sup>c</sup>		-0.091	-0.000	0.040
None	906 (41.9)			
Any	1,288 (58.1)			

<sup>a</sup>Values for correlation are as follows: sex (1 is male, 2 is female); race/ethnicity (1 is white, 2 is other); referral (0 is private, 1 is school); lives with biological parent: 0 = neither, 1 = single parent, 2 = both parents; parent college education: none = 0, any = 1. <sup>b</sup>Values for individual characteristics are shown as n (%) unless otherwise noted.

<sup>c</sup>Data missing for n = 358.

\*P<.05.

\*\*P<.01

Abbreviation: EMO-I = Emotional Outburst Inventory.

was used to derive the dysregulation profile (DP), which was originally referred to as the "CBCL pediatric bipolar profile"<sup>31,32</sup> but was subsequently understood to represent poor emotional and behavioral self-regulation.<sup>33,34</sup> The DP was considered positive if the child had T scores  $\geq$  67 on the CBCL anxiety/depression, inattention/hyperactivity, and aggression subscales, and negative otherwise. In addition, an irritability subscale, used in prior studies,<sup>35,36</sup> was extracted from the CBCL. It is the sum of 3 items: "temper tantrums or hot temper," "stubborn, sullen or irritable," and "sudden changes in mood or feelings." Cronbach a was 0.82.

Parents also completed the Child and Adolescent Symptom Inventory- $4R^{37,38}$  (CASI-4R), a widely used *DSM-IV*-based symptom scale. We used the sum of 3 oppositional defiant disorder irritability items ("loses temper," "angry and resentful," "easily annoyed"; Cronbach  $\alpha = 0.81$ ), the temper loss item alone, and the irritability item from the depression section ("is irritable most of the day"). CASI-4R items are rated as "never," "sometimes," "often," or "very often".

Finally, 3 irritability and outburst items from the Child Mania Rating Scale–Parent report (CMRS-P)<sup>29</sup> were used: "spends hours or days at a time being extremely irritable"; "has rage attacks, intense and prolonged temper tantrums"; and "experiences rapid mood swings." Each item is rated on a 4-point scale: 0 (never/rarely), 1 (sometimes), 2 (often), and 3 (very often). Cronbach  $\alpha$  was 0.84.

**Treatment and impairment.** We examined 3 variables from the parent form reflecting treatments the child had received (hospitalization, emergency department referral, atypical antipsychotics). Help-seeking for outbursts was recorded after the evaluation, with data entered on 1,833 consecutive cases. Finally, impairment due to psychopathology was derived from the sum of 14 CASI-4R

#### Table 2. Descriptive Information for the EMO-I in EMO-I Positive Children<sup>a</sup>

EMO-I Domain	Value
Outburst Severity/Behaviors (Categorical) (n = 1,772)	
Argues, whines, sulks Slams doors, punches walls, makes a mess, destroys property Swears, shouts, insults Throws things Threatens Hits, kicks, bites, spits Self mutilates, bangs head, takes it out on self Needs physical restraint	1,326 (74.8) 1,242 (70.1) 1,170 (66.0) 910 (51.4) 704 (39.7) 539 (30.4) 335 (18.9) 286 (16.1)
Outburst Severity (Continuous) (n = 1,772)	
0 Outburst behavior 1 Outburst behavior 2 Outburst behavior 3 Outburst behavior 4 Outburst behavior 5 Outburst behavior 6 Outburst behavior 7 Outburst behavior 8 Outburst behavior 8 Outburst behavior	NA 293 (16.5) 299 (16.9) 310 (17.5) 266 (15.0) 242 (13.7) 169 (9.5) 141 (8.0) 52 (2.9)
Frequency (n = 1,695)	
Did not endorse outburst behaviors Less than once a month 2–3 times a month Weekly Daily	NA 523 (30.9) 492 (29.0) 471 (27.8) 209 (12.3)
Duration (n = 1,719)	
Less than 15 minutes Up to 30 minutes Up to 60 minutes Up to 2 hours Half a day Longer than half a day	430 (25.0) 585 (34.0) 375 (21.8) 173 (10.1) 91 (3.8) 65 (3.8)
"All values are shown as n (%).	

Abbreviations: EMO-I = Emotional Outburst Inventory, NA = not applicable.

#### For reprints or permissions, contact permissions@psychiatrist.com. ♦ © 2022 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc. J Clin Psychiatry 83:2, March/April 2022 PSYCHIATRIST.COM ■ e3

IT IS IIIOAALTA			6.60		aht	9 <b>9</b> - L		$\mathbf{o}\mathbf{p}$		Mab
Table 3. Concurrent Validity	of the EMO	-I: Bivari	ate Asso	ciations (	EMO-I TO	otal Sam	ple, $N = 2$	,552)		
Measure	Mean (SD)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. EMO-I outburst severity	2.6 (2.4)	1								
2. EMO-I frequency	1.2 (1.0)	0.459**	1							
3. EMO-I duration	1.4 (1.3)	0.361**	0.251**	1						
4. CASI depression/irritability (0–3)	0.8 (0.9)	0.430**	0.290**	0.390**	1					
5. CASI ODD loses temper (0-3)	1.6 (1.3)	0.831**	0.457**	0.320**	0.440**	1				
6. CASI ODD irritability (0–3)	3.0 (1.8)	0.617**	0.471**	0.353**	0.556**	0.710**	1			
7. CMRS-P irritability (0–9)	3.2 (3.1)	0.705**	0.521**	0.475**	0.636**	0.667**	0.662**	1		
8. CBCL-DP (raw score)	25.5 (13.6)	0.661**	0.460**	0.383**	0.572**	0.618**	0.743**	0.794**	1	
9. CBCL irritability subscale (0–6)	2.8 (2.1)	0.676**	0.521**	0.414**	0.566**	0.684**	0.688**	0.811**	0.423**	1

\*\*P<.01.

Abbreviations: CASI = Child and Adolescent Symptom Inventory, CBCL = Child Behavior Checklist, CMRS-P = Child Mania Rating Scale–Parent report, DP = dysregulation profile, EMO-I = Emotional Outburst Inventory, ODD = oppositional defiant disorder.

Hospit	alizations	Eme Dep	ergency artment	Antip	sychotics	Chief ( Ou	Complaint tbursts	CASI-4R In	npairme	ent
OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	B (SE)	β	t
0.98	0.70-1.37	1.02	0.76-1.38	1.12	0.85-1.47	1.45*	1.04-2.03	-0.18 (0.36)	01	-0.4
1.30†	0.95–1.78	1.09	0.82-1.45	1.08	0.83-1.40	1.47*	1.08–1.99	-0.44 (0.36)	03	-1.2
1.21**	1.15–1.28	1.17**	1.12-1.22	1.14**	1.10-1.19	0.91**	0.87-0.95	0.29 (0.05)**	.14	5.8
1.21**	1.11-1.32	1.26**	1.17–1.36	1.29**	1.21–1.38	1.35**	1.25-1.47	0.87 (0.09)**	.25	9.6
0.98	0.83-1.16	0.92	0.79-1.07	1.12	0.98-1.28	1.12	0.96-1.31	1.43 (0.19)**	.20	7.6
1.15*	1.02-1.28	1.09†	0.99-1.21	1.05	0.96-1.16	1.13**	1.00-1.27	0.98 (0.14)**	.17	7.0
1.15* s other (0	1.02–1.28 ) or White (1)	; child sex	is coded as	1.05 male (1) c	0.96–1.16 r female (2).	1.13**	1.00-1.27	0.98 (0.14)**	.17	/.
	Hospit OR 0.98 1.30† 1.21** 1.21** 0.98 1.15* s other (0	Hospitalizations   OR 95% CI   0.98 0.70–1.37   1.30† 0.95–1.78   1.21** 1.15–1.28   1.21** 1.11–1.32   0.98 0.83–1.16   1.15* 1.02–1.28   so ther (0) or White (1)	Hospitalizations Dep.   OR 95% CI OR   0.98 0.70–1.37 1.02   1.30† 0.95–1.78 1.09   1.21** 1.15–1.28 1.17**   1.21** 0.83–1.16 0.92   1.15* 1.02–1.28 1.09†   s other (0) or White (1); child sex 0.98	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Abbreviations: CASI-4R = Child and Adolescent Symptom Inventory-4R, EMO-I = Emotional Outburst Inventory

impairment questions found at the end of symptom sections where parents are asked, "How often do the behaviors in the relevant symptom category interfere with the child's ability to do schoolwork or get along with other people?" (Cronbach  $\alpha = 0.86$ ; correlation with CBCL total problems = 0.70, P < .001). The CASI-4R impairment measure is further described elsewhere.<sup>38–40</sup>

Analyses. For the EMO-I outburst severity domain, Cronbach a was computed using R.<sup>41</sup> Polychoric correlation dispersion matrices were used because of the ordinal nature of the data. All other statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 25 (IBM Corp, 2017). To evaluate convergent validity, point-biserial and Pearson correlations were used to examine the relationships of the EMO-I domains with concurrent measures of irritability. To assess construct validity, we examined associations of the EMO-I with treatment and impairment. We conducted simultaneous linear and logistic regression analyses, with the EMO-I domains as the independent variables and the indicators of treatment and impairment as the dependent variables. We also examined incremental validity by determining whether the EMO-I explained a statistically significant amount of variance in treatment and impairment after accounting for existing and better-validated measures of irritability (the CBCL-DP and the CBCL irritability subscale). Two-step hierarchical multiple linear regressions were conducted with the specified treatment/impairment indicators as the dependent variable. In step 1, the CBCL-DP or CBCL irritability subscale scores and child age, race/ethnicity, and sex were entered. In step 2, the EMO-I domain scores were

entered. We then re-ran the models reversing the order of entry for scores on the EMO-I and the CBCL-DP or CBCL irritability subscale.

#### RESULTS

Of the EMO-I Total sample (n = 2,552), 69.4% were male, 73.0% were White, 8.6% were Black, 11.8% were Latinx, and 3.6% were Asian or indigenous. Mean (SD) age was 12.1 (3.5) years. About half (54.5%) lived with both biological parents. Less than half of their parents (41.9%) had no college education. The majority of children (72.5%) were school referred. There were small but significant correlations between demographic variables and EMO-I variables (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1).

Evaluation/treatment for outbursts was sought in 21.5% of the sample. Significant differences between the EMO-I Positive and Negative subsamples (children with and without anger problems) were that the former were less often school referred; their parents were more likely single parents and were more likely to seek help for outbursts, and their irritability ratings were higher (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).

The EMO-I Positive children, who comprised 69.4% of the sample, engaged in a mean (SD) of 3.67 (1.98) outburst behaviors. The majority argued, whined or sulked, slammed doors, punched walls, made a mess, destroyed property, or swore or shouted at or insulted others. Moreover, 80.8% of EMO-I Positive children had aggressive outbursts (destroyed property, hit/kicked/bit/spit, and/or needed

# It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.

					Outcome:						Outcome:		ć				
Step/Predictor	OR	95% CI		OR	95% CI		OR	95% CI		OR	95% CI	R <sup>2</sup>	B (SE)	β		R <sup>2</sup>	<sup>r</sup> Chang
Comparison Against the CBCL-DP																	
Step 1 Race/ethnicity Child sex Child age CBCL-DP	1.05 1.31† 1.22** 2.23**	0.75–1.46 0.96–1.78 1.16–1.28 1.57–3.18	0.106	1.10 1.10 1.17** 2.03**	0.82-1.48 0.83-1.45 1.12-1.22 1.47-2.81	0.076	1.20 1.05 1.12** 3.21**	0.91–1.57 0.81–1.36 1.09–1.17 2.41–4.27	060.0	1.49* 1.52** 0.91** 1.94**	1.08–2.05 1.14–2.02 0.87–0.94 1.38–2.73	0.069	0.21 (0.37) -0.45 (0.37) 0.27 (0.05)** 8.74 (0.47)**	.013 028 .128 .423	0.560 -1.224 5.685 18.656	0.192	94.70
Step 2 Race/ethnicity Child sex Child age CBCL-DP EMO-I drutburst severity EMO-I frequency EMO-I duration	0.99 1.26 1.22** 1.13* 1.19** 0.96 1.14*	0.71-1.38 0.92-1.72 1.16-1.28 1.04-2.25 1.09-1.30 0.81-1.39 0.81-1.39	0.135	1.03 1.06 1.17** 1.38† 1.24** 0.90	0.76–1.39 0.80–1.41 1.12–1.22 0.97–1.76 1.15–1.34 0.77–1.05 0.98–1.21	0.112	1.13 1.02 1.14** 2.00** 1.25** 1.09	0.85-1.49 0.79-1.33 1.10-1.19 1.46-2.73 1.16-1.34 0.95-1.25 0.94-1.14	0.140	1.45* 1.47* 0.91** 0.95 1.36** 1.13	1.04-2.03 1.09-1.99 0.87-0.95 0.65-1.39 1.25-1.47 0.96-1.31	0.188	-0.10 (0.35) -0.84 (0.34)* 0.29 (0.05)** 6.03 (0.47)** 0.59 (0.10)** 1.20 (0.18)** 0.87 (0.13)**	006 052 .135 .291 .167 .165	-0.289 -2.475 6.019 6.599 6.563	0.308	89.41
Comparison Against the CBCL OD	D Irritabilit	y Subscale															
Ct~~ 1																	
Step 1 Race/ethnicity Child sex Child age CBCL ODD irritability subscale Sten 2	0.98 1.32† 1.22** 1.23**	0.71–1.37 0.97–1.79 1.16–1.28 1.14–1.33	0.115	1.04 1.10 1.17** 1.20**	0.77-1.40 0.83-1.45 1.12-1.21 1.12-1.29	0.084	1.13 1.07 1.12** 1.30**	0.86–1.49 0.83–1.39 1.08–1.16 1.22–1.39	0.097	1.44* 1.45* 0.90** 1.39**	1.04–2.00 1.08–1.95 0.87–0.94 1.29–1.50	0.142	-0.35 (0.77) -0.48 (0.36) 0.26 (0.05)** 1.92 (0.08)**	021 030 .123 .502	-0.991 -1.374 5.694 23.177	0.264	142.92
Eace/ethnicity Child sex Child age CBCL ODD irritability subscale EMO-I outburst severity EMO-I frequency EMO-I duration	0.96 1.28 1.21** 1.10† 1.18** 0.93 1.12†	0.69-1.34 0.94-1.75 1.15-1.27 0.99-1.23 1.07-1.29 0.78-1.11 1.00-1.26	0.133	1.01 1.08 1.16** 1.07 1.23** 0.88 0.88	0.75-1.36 0.81-1.43 1.12-1.22 0.97-1.18 1.13-1.34 0.75-1.04 0.75-1.04	0.111	1.11 1.06 1.14** 1.12** 1.05 1.05	0.84-1.46 0.82-1.38 1.10-1.18 1.10-1.22 1.16-1.34 0.91-1.21 0.93-1.13	0.131	1.42* 1.43* 0.91** 1.15** 1.30** 1.04 1.10	1.01-2.00 1.06-1.95 0.87-0.94 1.04-1.27 1.20-1.42 0.88-1.22 0.97-1.24	0.197	-0.38 (0.35) -0.64 (0.34)† 0.26 (0.05)** 1.29 (0.11)** 0.43 (0.10)** 0.66 (0.19)** 0.62 (0.14)**	023 040 .123 .338 .338 .338 .122 .091	-1.103 -1.860 5.421 11.709 4.507 3.433 4.511	0.296	24.37
*P<.05. **P<.01. †P<.10. Abbreviations: CBCL=Child Behavi	ior Checkli:	st, DP = dysre	gulation	profile, EA	AO-I = Emotio	onal Outb	urst Inven	tory, ODD = o	ppositior	al defian	t disorder.						

For reprints or permissions, contact permissions@psychiatrist.com. ♦ © 2022 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc. J Clin Psychiatry 83:2, March/April 2022 PSYCHIATRIST.COM ■ e5

#### Carlson et al

**It is illegal to post this copy** restraint). Also, 40.1% of the sample had outbursts at least weekly, and 41.1% had outbursts lasting at least 30 minutes (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 1). We used number of outburst behaviors as indicators of severity. Cronbach a for the severity domain for the EMO-I Total sample was 0.83. Severity was correlated with frequency (r=0.46, P<.01) and duration (r=0.36, P<.01). Frequency and duration correlated (r=0.25, P<.01) (Table 3).

#### **Convergent Validity**

Pearson correlations of the EMO-I with the irritability measures for the total sample appear in Table 3. The EMO-I domain scores were significantly associated with all of the irritability measures. However, the correlations with variables with a phasic irritability component like temper loss or rage were larger than the correlation with depression irritability, providing preliminary evidence for discriminant validity. Associations with the EMO-I severity domain (median r=0.66) were stronger than associations with the frequency (median r=0.47) and duration (median r=0.39) domains. The median correlation among the 6 established irritability scales was r=0.66.

#### **Construct Validity**

In multiple regressions controlling for child age, race/ ethnicity, and sex, the EMO-I was significantly associated with all indicators of treatment and impairment, although relationships varied by EMO-I domain (Table 4). Outburst severity was related to hospitalization, antipsychotic use, emergency department referral, help-seeking for outbursts, and CASI-4R impairment. Duration was also related to hospitalizations and help-seeking for outbursts. Notably, each of these associations is independent in the sense that each was over and above each of the other EMO-I domains.

#### Incremental Validity

In hierarchical multiple regressions, the EMO-I severity domain explained unique variance over and above the CBCL-DP on all 5 treatment/impairment validators (accounting for an additional 3%–12% of variance). The frequency domain added unique variance to the impairment domain; the duration domain added unique variance to the impairment and hospitalizations domains (Table 5). Notably, the CBCL-DP was associated with all 5 validators before the EMO-I was entered into the model. However, with EMO-I in the model, the CBCL-DP was no longer significantly associated with hospitalization, emergency department referral, or parents seeking help for outbursts.

When the incremental utility of the EMO-I was considered over and above that of the CBCL irritability subscale, the severity domain again explained unique variance on all 5 treatment/impairment validators (accounting for an additional 2%–5% of variance), and the duration and frequency domains were uniquely associated with impairment (Table 5). Similarly, the CBCL irritability subscale was associated with all 5 validators before the EMO-I was entered into the model. However, with EMO-I

**ghted PDF on any website**. in the model, the CBCL irritability subscale was no longer significantly associated with emergency department referral.

#### DISCUSSION

To summarize, the specific focus of the EMO-I is on the phasic component of irritability. We found that anger problems were common (69.4%) in the total clinic sample, and help-seeking for outbursts was the presenting problem for 21.5% of children, compared with rates of comparably defined outbursts in 2%–5% in non-psychiatric samples.<sup>42,43</sup> The EMO-I exhibited good internal consistency and good convergent and construct validity. We found moderate-high associations between existing measures of irritability and the EMO-I subscales, especially EMO-I severity (ie, the number of behaviors shown during an outburst). Correlations with EMO-I frequency and duration were also uniformly significant, but at a lower magnitude. Outburst severity uniquely predicted all service use and impairment variables, whereas outburst duration uniquely predicted impairment and hospitalizations but not other treatment variables or help-seeking; frequency uniquely predicted impairment but not treatment or help-seeking. This finding is important since most rating scales address frequency of outbursts without distinguishing the behaviors manifested. The more moderate correlation between the EMO-I frequency and duration subscales and existing measures of irritability suggests they are measuring overlapping but different aspects of irritability that need separate measurement focus. Taken together, these findings highlight the importance of measuring phasic irritability for understanding clinical impairment and treatment intensity.

The extent to which the EMO-I adds to existing measures of irritability is an important question. We compared the EMO-I with the CBCL-DP and CBCL irritability subscale in predicting our 5 treatment and impairment variables. In hierarchical regressions, the addition of the EMO-I domains consistently accounted for additional variance compared to the CBCL-DP and CBCL irritability subscale. In contrast, the CBCL-DP and irritability subscale made unique contributions to fewer impairment variables after accounting for the EMO-I. Thus, the EMO-I domains, especially severity, provided incremental validity to more established measures of dysregulation and irritability.

#### Strengths and Limitations

The study's strengths include a large, clinical sample with a rating scale completion rate of 90%. In addition, we compared the EMO-I to multiple existing irritability scales and used clinically important validators to test both construct and incremental validity. Finally, the use of a clinical sample with a wide age range yields findings that may be more generalizable to other clinical settings.

However, several limitations should be taken into account. First, the sample may be subject to referral bias, a limitation that is ubiquitous in clinic-based studies.<sup>44</sup> The EMO-I Total sample may generalize best to tertiary care child psychiatry clinics and the EMO-I Positive subsample to children with a problem with irritability/temper. Fut research should validate the EMO-I in other clinical settings. Second, we relied solely on parent report for all measures, raising the possibility that associations are inflated by shared method variance. Additional research is needed to determine whether the EMO-I can be used by other informants. Importantly, however, our previous preliminary work suggested that our findings might generalize to other clinical settings and types of validators, as we found that there was a significant association between parent reports on the EMO-I and the child's outburst behavior in an inpatient unit.<sup>27,29</sup> Third, we were unable to compare the EMO-I to the widely used ARI,<sup>12</sup> as at the time of data collection the ARI<sup>12</sup> was not available, although our more recent inpatient data are promising.<sup>30</sup> Future research on the reliability and validity of the EMO-I should incorporate irritability measures such as the ARI<sup>12</sup> as well as other measures of impairment. Fourth, our sample reflects the racial/ethnic distribution of Suffolk County, Long Island, which does not represent most of the **country**. Finally, the cross-sectional nature of our results precludes examination of the predictive validity and utility of the EMO-I as a measure of change, something the field sorely needs.

### CONCLUSIONS

The EMO-I is a parent-report measure of emotional outbursts specifically designed to characterize outburst behaviors, duration, and frequency. We found preliminary evidence that the EMO-I is associated with multiple indicators of irritability, treatment, and impairment in psychiatrically referred children and adds information to existing irritability measures. These findings are a first step toward the validation of the EMO-I, which appears to be a useful addition to the growing apparatus of methods and measures designed to assess and advance understanding of irritability and emotional outbursts by providing an in-depth assessment of phasic irritability.

Submitted: March 30, 2021; accepted September 8, 2021.

Published online: January 25, 2022.

**Potential conflicts of interest: Dr Carlson's** spouse is on the data safety monitoring boards for Lundbeck and Pfizer. **Ms Silver** and **Dr Klein** have no conflicts to disclose.

*Funding/support:* Support for this research was provided by funding though the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and NSF 16-588 (Silver).

**Role of the sponsor:** The American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry provided funding for statistical analysis and free access publication of this article.

Supplementary material: Available at PSYCHIATRIST.COM.

#### REFERENCES

- Carlson GA, Dyson M. Diagnostic implications of informant disagreement about rage outbursts: bipolar disorder or another condition? *Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci.* 2012;49(1):44–51.
- Gerson R, Havens J, Marr M, et al. Utilization Patterns at a Specialized Children's Comprehensive Psychiatric Emergency Program. Psychiatr Serv. 2017;68(11):1104–1111.
- Carlson GA, Chua J, Pan K, et al. Behavior modification is associated with reduced psychotropic medication use in children with aggression in inpatient treatment: a retrospective cohort study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2020;59(5):632–641.e4.
- Bostic JQ, Mattison R, Cunningham D. Explosive outbursts at school. *Child Adolesc* Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2021;30(3):491–503.
- 5. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual*. Fifth Edition. American Psychiatry Press; 2013.
- Coccaro EF. DSM-5 intermittent explosive disorder: relationship with disruptive mood dysregulation disorder. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2018;84:118–121.
- 7. Kaufman J, Birmaher B, Brent D, et al. Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Ch ildren-Present and Lifetime

Version (K-SADS-PL): initial reliability and validity data. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1997;36(7):980–988.

- Carlson GA, Klein DN. Commentary: frying pan to fire? commentary on Stringaris et al. (2018). *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2018;59(7):740–743.
- Copeland WE, Brotman MA, Costello EJ. Normative irritability in youth: developmental findings from the Great Smoky Mountains Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015;54(8):635–642.
- Evans SC, Abel MR, Doyle RL, et al. Measurement and correlates of irritability in clinically referred youth: further examination of the Affective Reactivity Index. J Affect Disord. 2021;283:420–429.
- Cardinale EM, Freitag GF, Brotman MA, et al. Phasic versus tonic irritability: differential associations with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder symptoms. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021;60(12):1513–1523.
- Stringaris A, Goodman R, Ferdinando S, et al. The Affective Reactivity Index: a concise irritability scale for clinical and research settings. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2012;53(11):1109–1117.
- Klein DN, Dougherty L, Kessel E, et al. A transdiagnostic perspective on youth irritability. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. September 9, 2021. https://doi. org/10.1177/09637214211035101.
- Moore AA, Lapato DM, Brotman MA, et al. Heritability, stability, and prevalence of tonic and phasic irritability as indicators of disruptive mood dysregulation disorder. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2019;60(9):1032–1041.
- Vidal-Ribas P. Editorial: moving from concept to proof in the distinction between phasic and tonic irritability. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021;60(12):1464–1466.
- Mazefsky CA, Conner CM, Breitenfeldt K, et al. Evidence base update for questionnaires of emotion regulation and reactivity for children and adolescents. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2021;1–25.
- Faraone SV, Rostain AL, Blader J, et al. Practitioner Review: Emotional dysregulation in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder - implications for clinical recognition and intervention. J Child Psychol Psychiatry.

2019;60(2):133-150.

- Connor DF, Newcorn JH, Saylor KE, et al. Maladaptive aggression: with a focus on impulsive aggression in children and adolescents. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2019;29(8):576–591.
- Kronenberger WG, Giauque AL, Dunn DW. Development and validation of the outburst monitoring scale for children and adolescents. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol.* 2007;17(4):511–526.
- Budman CL, Rockmore L, Stokes J, et al. Clinical phenomenology of episodic rage in children with Tourette syndrome. J Psychosom Res. 2003;55(1):59–65.
- Storch EA, Jones AM, Lack CW, et al. Rage attacks in pediatric obsessive-compulsive disorder: phenomenology and clinical correlates. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2012;51(6):582–592.
- 22. Johnco C, Salloum A, De Nadai AS, et al. Incidence, clinical correlates and treatment effect of rage in anxious children. *Psychiatry Res.* 2015;229(1–2):63–69.
- Carlson GA, Potegal M, Margulies D, et al. Liquid risperidone in the treatment of rages in psychiatrically hospitalized children with possible bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord*. 2010;12(2):205–212.
- Potegal M, Carlson GA, Margulies D, et al. The behavioral organization, temporal characteristics, and diagnostic concomitants of rage outbursts in child psychiatric inpatients. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2009;11(2):127–133.
- Carlson GA, Blader JC. Diagnostic implications of informant disagreement for manic symptoms. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011;21(5):399–405.
- Margulies DM, Weintraub S, Basile J, et al. Will disruptive mood dysregulation disorder reduce false diagnosis of bipolar disorder in children? *Bipolar Disord*. 2012;14(5):488–496.
- Carlson GA, Danzig AP, Dougherty LR, et al. Loss of temper and irritability: the relationship to tantrums in a community and clinical sample. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2016;26(2):114–122.
- Locicero B, Carlson GA, Peng P, et al. The Irritability Inventory: assessing the phasic aspect of irritability to better predict behavior

For reprints or permissions, contact permissions@psychiatrist.com. ♦ © 2022 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc. J Clin Psychiatry 83:2, March/April 2022 PSYCHIATRIST.COM ■ e7

#### Carlson et al common comorbidities. J Atten Disord. characteristic curve n the hospital. JAACAP. 2017;56(10 suppl \$25

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2017.09.280 > 29. Pavuluri MN, Henry DB, Devineni B, et al. Child

- mania rating scale: development, reliability, and validity. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006;45(5):550-560.
- 30. Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA. Manual for ASEBA Preschool Forms and Profiles. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth, and Families; 2001.
- 31. Biederman J, Wozniak J, Kiely K, et al. CBCL clinical scales discriminate prepubertal children with structured interview-derived diagnosis of mania from those with ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995;34(4):464-471.
- 32. Biederman J, Petty CR, Day H, et al. Severity of the aggression/anxiety-depression/attention child behavior checklist profile discriminates between different levels of deficits in emotional regulation in youth with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2012;33(3):236-243.
- 33. Althoff RR, Ayer LA, Crehan ET, et al. Temperamental profiles of dysregulated children. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2012;43(4):511-522.
- 34. Althoff RR, Ayer LA, Rettew DC, et al. Assessment of dysregulated children using the Child Behavior Checklist: a receiver operating

2010;22(3):609-617.

- 35. Aebi M, Plattner B, Metzke CW, et al. Parentand self-reported dimensions of oppositionality in youth: construct validity. concurrent validity, and the prediction of criminal outcomes in adulthood. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2013;54(9):941-949.
- 36. Wiggins JL, Mitchell C, Stringaris A, et al. Developmental trajectories of irritability and bidirectional associations with maternal depression. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014;53(11):1191-1205, 1205.e1-4.
- 37. Sprafkin J, Gadow KD, Salisbury H, et al. Further evidence of reliability and validity of the Child Symptom Inventory-4: parent checklist in clinically referred boys. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2002;31(4):513-524.
- 38. Gadow KD, Kaat AJ, Lecavalier L. Relation of symptom-induced impairment with other illness parameters in clinic-referred youth. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2013;54(11):1198-1207.
- 39. Kaat AJ, Gadow KD, Lecavalier L. Psychiatric symptom impairment in children with autism spectrum disorders. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2013;41(6):959-969.
- 40. Sprafkin J, Steinberg EA, Gadow KD, et al. Agreement among categorical, dimensional, and impairment criteria for ADHD and

2016;20(8):665-673.

- 41. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. R-Project website. http://www.R-project.org/. 2013.
- 42. Wakschlag LS, Choi SW, Carter AS, et al. Defining the developmental parameters of temper loss in early childhood: implications for developmental psychopathology. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2012;53(11):1099-1108.
- 43. Laporte PP, Matijasevich A, Munhoz TN, et al. Disruptive mood dysregulation disorder: symptomatic and syndromic thresholds and diagnostic operationalization. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021;60(2):286-295.
- 44. Caron C, Rutter M. Comorbidity in child psychopathology: concepts, issues and research strategies. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 1991;32(7):1063-1080.

Editor's Note: We encourage authors to submit papers for consideration as a part of our Focus on Childhood and Adolescent Mental Health section. Please contact Karen D. Wagner, MD, PhD, at kwagner@psychiatrist.com.

See supplementary material for this article at PSYCHIATRIST.COM.



THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CLINICAL PSYCHOPHARMACOLOG

# **Supplementary Material**

- Article Title: Psychometric Properties of The Emotional Outburst Inventory (EMO-I): Rating What Children Do When They Are Irritable
- Author(s): Gabrielle A. Carlson, MD; Jamilah Silver, MA; and Daniel N. Klein, PhD
- DOI Number: https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.21m14015

### List of Supplementary Material for the article

- 1. <u>Table 1</u> EMO-I Total, Positive and Negative Sample Demographics n=2552
- 2. <u>Table 2</u> Age, impairment and irritability in the EMO-I TOTAL, Positive and Negative Samples
- 3. <u>Table 3</u> EMOTIONAL OUTBURST INVENTORY (EMO-I)

#### **Disclaimer**

This Supplementary Material has been provided by the author(s) as an enhancement to the published article. It has been approved by peer review; however, it has undergone neither editing nor formatting by in-house editorial staff. The material is presented in the manner supplied by the author.

	Total EMO-I	EMO-I	EMO-I	EMO-I P	ositive and
	sample	Negative	Positive	Negative	Comparison
				Chi square	significance
Count (%)	2552	780	1772		
		(30.6)	(69.4)		
Sex	1770	533	1237	0.554 <sub>df1</sub>	0.457
	(69.4)	(68.3)	(69.8)		
Ethnic					
White	1864	563	1301		
	(73.0)	(72.2)	(73.4)		
Black	219 (8.6)	64 (8.2)	155 (8.7)	4.95 <sub>df3</sub>	0.292
Latinx	301 (11.8)	90 (11.5)	211 (11.9)		
Asian/Indigenous	90 (3.6)	35 (4.5)	54 (3.1)		
Didn't respond	78 (3.1)	28 (3.6)	50 (2.8)		
Bio parent					
Neither	261 (10.2)	82 (10.5)	179 (10.1)	25.43 <sub>df2</sub>	< 0.001
Single parent	900 (35.3)	220 (28.2)	680 (38.4)		
2 parents	1391 (54.5)	478 (61.3)	913 (51.5)		
No college either parent	906 (41.9)	260 (38.9)	486 (43.3)	5.07 <sub>df1</sub>	0.079
School referred	1849	586	1263	$4.03_{df1}$	0.049
	(72.5)	(75.1)	(71.3)		
Dysregulation profile	282	7	275	$117.81_{\rm dfl}$	< 0.001
	(11.1)	(0.9)	(15.5)		

Supplementary Table 1 – EMO-I Total, Positive and Negative Sample Demographics n=2552

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. • © 2022 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

Service use					
Antipsychotic use	428 (16.8)	57 (7.3)	371 (20.0)	72.07 df1	< 0.001
Psych Emergency room	363 (14.2)	83 (10.6)	280 (15.8)	11.82 df1	< 0.001
Psychiatric Hospital	279 (10.9)	66 (8.5)	213 (12.0)	$7.04_{df1}$	< 0.001
Help seeking for outbursts	459	1092	1551		
sample size					
	334	10	324	144.55 df1	< 0.001
	(21.5)	(2.0)	(27.5)		
EMO-I summary values					
Any physical aggression	1432 (56,1)	0	1432 (80.8)	1436.23 df1	< 0.001
Outbursts ≤weekly	691 (38.4)	11 (10.5)	680 (40.1)	36.73 <sub>df1</sub>	< 0.001
Outbursts $\geq$ 30 minutes	718 (39.2)	14 (12.4)	704 (41.1)	36.30 df1	< 0.001

Supplementary Table 2- Age, impairment and irritability in the EMO-I TOTAL, Positive and Negative Samples

	Total	EMO-I	EMO-I	EMO-I	Positive an	d Negative
	EMO-I	negative	positive		Comparis	on
	sample					
Sample size	2552	780	1772			
	Mean (SD)	Mean	Mean	T test	df	significance
		(SD)	(SD)			
Age	12.1 (3.5)	12.1 (3.4)	12.1 (3.5)	0.69	2542	.945
CASI impairment	9.9 (7.3)	6.2 (5.3)	11.6 (7.3)	-17.390	2536	< 0.001
EMO-I Severity Sum	2.6 (2.4)	0	3.67 (2.0)	-51.712	2550	< 0.001
(0-8)						
Often loses temper	1.6 (1.3)	0.8 (0.7)	1.9 (0.9)	-58.305	2550	< 0.001
(0-3)						
ODD-irritability	3.0 (1.8)	1.7 (1.4)	3.6 (1.6)	-28.429	2550	< 0.001
(0-9)						
Depression irritability	0.8 (0.9)	0.3 (0.6)	0.9 (0.7)	-17.085	2530	< 0.001
(0-3)						
CBCL irritability	2.8 (2.1)	1.1 (1.3)	3.5 (1.9)	-12.929	2544	< 0.001
(0-6)						
CMRS irritability	3.2 (3.1)	0.8 (1.5)	4.2 (3.0)	-29.542	2489	< 0.001
(0-9)						

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. • © 2022 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

# Supplementary table 3 EMOTIONAL OUTBURST INVENTORY (EMO-I)

Name

date\_\_\_\_\_

Please complete if your child has serious tantrums of rages.

Questions about your child's irritability and anger

# 1. HOW EASY IS IT FOR HIM/HER TO GET ANGRY? (Please circle the letter of the ONE BEST

response)

**a.** S/he is rarely irritable or angry

**b**. S/he is mostly reasonable but has days at a time where s/he is very touchy and gets very angry very

easily.

c. S/he rarely gets angry but when s/he does, the explosion is huge compared to the incident that provoked

it.

**d.** S/he has always been cranky and easily angered.

# 2. WHAT CAUSES HIM/HER TO GET ANGRY? (Please circle ALL THAT APPLY)

**a.** S/he feels s/he is being criticized

**b.** S/he misunderstands what others are saying

**c.** Her/his demands must be met immediately

**d**. S/he can't handle change in routine

e. S/he is frustrated because s/he can't do something (task or activity)

**f**. S/he is hungry, tired, or pre-menstrual

# 3. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING DOES YOUR CHILD USUALLY DO? (Please circle ALL THAT APPLY)

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.  $\bullet$  © 2022 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

a. Expresses anger in an appropriate way (e.g., explains her/his perspective; goes to her/his room to cool down)

**b.** Argues, whines or sulks

- c. Becomes verbally insulting, swears, shouts
- d. Threatens
- e. Slams doors, punches walls, makes a mess, destroys property
- f. Self-mutilates, bangs head, or otherwise takes it out on self
- **g.** Throws things
- **h.** Hits, kicks, bites, spits
- i. Needs physical restraint

# (please circle THE BEST RESPONSE to EACH QUESTION BELOW)

4. HOW OFTEN DOES A SERIOUS	a. Never b. Rarely c. several times a month
TANTRUM OR OUTBURST OCCUR?	d. Weekly e. at least 3 times/week f. Daily
5. HOW LONG DOES A TANTRUM OR	a. a few minutes b. up to15 minutes c. up to half
OUTBURST LAST?	an hour d. Up to an hour e. Up to half a
	day
6. IS YOUR CHILD ANGRY OR	a. Not at all b. Sometimes c. often
IRRITABLE BETWEEN OUTBURSTS?	d. very often
7. HOW DOES YOUR CHILD	a. Remorseful b. Forgets or denies it
UNDERSTAND THE OUTBURST?	c. Blames others d. Spiteful
8. WHERE DOES YOUR CHILD HAVE	a. At home/with parents b. at school
OUTBURSTS	c. Both home and school d. home, school, public

# WHAT HELPS YOUR CHILD CALM DOWN\_\_\_\_\_

G.A. Carlson, MD Stony Brook University, 2015

Adapted from Carlson GA, Danzig AP, Dougherty LR et al. Loss of Temper and Irritability: The

Relationship to Tantrums in a Community and Clinical Sample. J Child Adolesc

Psychopharmacology 2016:114-122. with permission