Influence of Schizophrenia Diagnosis on Providers’ Practice Decisions
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Persons with schizophrenia often receive suboptimal physical health care, but the reasons are poorly understood. Vignettes have been used to examine how a patient’s race, gender, or physical health influences a provider’s practice; in this study, we used vignettes to examine the effect of a mental health diagnosis (schizophrenia) on providers’ clinical expectations and decision making regarding physical health care.

Method: A cross-sectional survey was administered from August 2011 to April 2012 to 275 primary care and mental health providers in 5 US Department of Veterans Affairs medical centers. Vignettes described identical scenarios for patients with and without schizophrenia. The survey assessed providers’ clinical expectations of patients (adherence, competence, ability to read and understand health education materials) and practice behaviors (referrals to weight reduction, pain management, and sleep study).

Results: Clinicians expected persons with schizophrenia would be less adherent to treatment \((P=.04)\), less able to read and understand educational materials \((P=.03)\), and less capable of managing their health and personal affairs \((P<.01)\). Providers were less likely to refer a patient with schizophrenia to a weight-reduction program \((P=.03)\). Other types of referral decisions (for pain management and sleep study) were not influenced by a schizophrenia diagnosis.

Conclusions: For both mental health and primary care providers, a history of schizophrenia was found to negatively affect provider expectations of patients’ adherence to treatment, ability to understand educational materials, and capacity to manage their treatment and financial affairs as well as some treatment decisions, such as referral to a weight-reduction program.
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Persons with schizophrenia are at high risk for chronic medical conditions and premature death. Some studies, but not all, report that persons with schizophrenia, relative to those without mental illness, receive suboptimal medical, preventive, and specialty health care. While the reasons for this pattern are complex, a patient's mental illness diagnosis potentially affects clinicians' clinical expectations and referral practices. Both primary care and mental health providers' perspectives of the abilities and competence of high-functioning patients with schizophrenia are likely more negative than warranted. Clinicians should be aware that such negative perspectives have the potential to influence their clinical decision making with these patients.

Previous studies indicate that clinicians resemble the general public in terms of holding negative views of persons with mental illness. Research comparing the attitudes of primary care providers with those of mental health professionals is sparse but suggests that primary care providers have a more negative view (especially about prognosis and likelihood of dangerousness) of persons with schizophrenia. Previous studies primarily examined how mental illness influences providers' attitudes (such as a desire for personal social distance) rather than how mental illness directly affects clinical expectations or practice. This study, part of a larger study of provider stigma, explored the influence of a diagnosis of schizophrenia on clinical expectations and practice. Vignettes, often employed in studies to investigate situations involving bias, were used to reduce the likelihood that respondents endorsed socially desirable answers. Previous research with clinicians has used vignettes to investigate bias related to gender, race, age, and socioeconomic status. In this study, vignettes described patients with and without schizophrenia who otherwise had exactly the same demographic characteristics, job, physical health conditions, and level of functioning. In this article, we compare clinicians' responses to hypothetical vignette patients. Specifically, we asked clinicians about expectations of the patient's medication adherence, capacity to manage his or her own health, and ability to read and understand educational materials; and we asked whether clinicians would choose to refer the patient to specialty services such as weight reduction, a sleep study, or pain management. We examined the effect of vignette type on providers' expectations and referral practices. We also compared whether primary care and mental health providers' responses were influenced by vignette type.

METHOD

Primary care and mental health nurses and physicians employed at 5 US Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) hospitals in the southeast and southwest areas of the United States participated in a cross-sectional survey from August 2011 to April 2012. Research staff attended regularly scheduled clinician staff meetings, explained the study, and distributed surveys. The study was approved by the VA Central Institutional Review Board (IRB). The IRB allowed waiver of the informed consent documentation to maximize participant privacy. Providers who volunteered to complete the survey were given an information sheet describing all aspects of the informed consent. No incentive was offered.

Surveys were distributed during regularly scheduled staff meetings, some with one provider type (eg, nurses only) and others attended by both physicians and nurses. Research assistants from each site requested surveys from the coordinating site based on an estimated number of attendees at these staff meetings. Surveys were returned anonymously to the site study coordinator using preaddressed, postage-paid envelopes.

Each provider responded to one vignette. To ensure adequate distribution of equal numbers of each survey version, the coordinating site sent packages of alternating versions of the different vignettes to the site research coordinator, who was instructed to distribute surveys systematically from the packaged stack in order to ensure distribution of roughly equal numbers of each survey version. When unequal numbers of one survey version versus another were returned from a site, the next set of surveys sent to the site was adjusted such that equal numbers of vignettes (depicting patients with and without schizophrenia) were distributed. In all, 574 surveys were distributed to meeting attendees, and 282 surveys were returned. The final analysis included 275 surveys, as 7 were missing provider type information. Since minimal deception was required (participants were told this was a study of clinical decision making, not specifically a study of the influence of schizophrenia on decision making), researchers visited each site to explain the need for deception after data collection was completed. Study results were presented at a second site visit.

Vignettes

To develop vignettes and inform survey questions, researchers conducted focus groups with patients with serious mental illness (n = 6), family members of patients (n = 5), psychiatrists (n = 6), mental health nurses (n = 6), primary care physicians (n = 5), and primary care nurses (n = 5) at 1 of the 5 study sites. Researchers then used cognitive interviewing with 3 volunteers (a nurse, a psychiatrist, and a primary care physician) to ensure that the clinical vignette and survey questions were clear and understandable.

Focus-group participants identified provider expectations they thought would be influenced by mental illness.
Influence of Schizophrenia on Clinical Decision Making

Specifically, focus-group members believed providers would expect poor treatment adherence, poor understanding of educational materials, incompetence to manage one’s own health and personal affairs, and lower levels of social and vocational functioning. Focus groups also provided information about common clinical scenarios potentially faced by each provider type in routine care situations in which a patient may or may not have schizophrenia.

Because focus groups identified arthritis as a common condition and the provider focus group expressed the concern that individuals with mental illness might be more likely to become addicted to pain medications, we created a scenario involving a patient with chronic arthritis and back pain. The vignette was intended to depict a relatively high-functioning person with a steady job who had been psychiatrically stable over several years and who routinely engaged in social and recreational activities.

Two versions of the vignette were used, 1 including the information shown here in brackets (vignette patient with schizophrenia) and 1 without that information (vignette patient without schizophrenia).

Case Vignette

Mr A is a 34-year-old male with [schizophrenia], hypertension, obesity, and chronic low back pain. His X-ray shows evidence of arthritis. He has been coming to your clinic for about 6 months, and this is his third visit. His current medications include lisinopril 40 mg daily, naproxen 500 mg twice a day, fluoxetine 20 mg per day [and risperidone 3 mg at bedtime]. He comes to his appointment today 15 minutes late and states that he has already used up all of the naproxen for the month in 25 days and would like to have his prescription filled early or get “something else that works better.” He has tolerated naproxen well. His height is 5’5” and he weighs 201 lb. Three months ago he weighed 195 lb. His blood pressure today is 150/98, and 3 months ago it was 148/92. Mr A says that his back pain is “worse than ever” and that he is having a great deal of trouble sleeping. He looks more disheveled than usual [but reports no changes in his mental status]. Mr A grew up in Manchester, New Hampshire, and completed high school there. He has no history of substance abuse. He lives with his brother and has worked in food services at the VA for 3 years. His job performance has been above average. He attends church and church functions frequently and enjoys reading magazines. Occasionally he goes fishing with his brother.

Data Analysis

Most study variables, except vignette type and site, had missing values, with the amount of missing data ranging from 2% to 13%. Where possible, missing values were replaced based on corresponding nonmissing related variables. For the remaining variables, we used the multiple imputation method of missing values.28,29 Five imputed datasets were generated with the SAS (SAS Inc) MI procedure using regression method or Markov chain Monte Carlo method when appropriate. The analysis was done for each imputed dataset separately, and then the SAS MIANALYZE procedure was used to combine the statistics from the 5 imputed datasets.

Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables in the analysis. We used general or generalized linear regression models to examine the relationship between the independent variable vignette type (with or without schizophrenia) and each of the 6 dependent variables: (1) adherence to treatment; (2) ability to read and understand written educational materials; (3) competence; and whether the provider would refer the patient for additional services, such as (4) weight reduction, (5) pain management, and (6) sleep studies, while controlling for covariates, which included provider demographic characteristics (gender, age, and race), provider years in clinical practice, site, provider type (nurses, physicians), and provider specialty (mental health, primary care). The interaction between vignette type and provider specialty was also tested and excluded if not significant. For dependent variables with a skewed distribution (e.g., patient’s adherence), we tried both generalized linear models and general linear models. Since results were similar in terms of significance, we chose the general linear model approach. A logistic regression model was used for patient competence.
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**Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Provider Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Providers (N=275)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vignette type</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without schizophrenia</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specialty</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary care</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female gender</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, y</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 30</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–60</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>White race</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years in clinical practice</strong></td>
<td>18.21 ± 0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation: SE = standard error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Providers (N=275)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adherence</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How likely do you think this patient will be to adhere to his or her medications?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How likely do you think this patient will be to keep his or her regular appointments?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How likely do you think this patient will be to refill his or her medications on time?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational material&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>How likely do you think this patient would be to read educational material you provide about hypertension (written at a sixth-grade level)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How likely do you think it is that this patient would understand the written material about hypertension?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How likely do you think this patient would be to read educational material you provide about nutrition and diet (written at a sixth-grade level)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How likely do you think it is that this patient would understand the written material about nutrition and diet?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient competence&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>How able is this patient to make his or her own decisions about the treatment he or she should receive?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How able is this patient to make his or her own decisions about managing his or her own money?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Range of response: 1–10, with 1 = not at all likely and 10 = extremely likely.

**RESULTS**

One hundred forty-three providers completed surveys about the patient having a diagnosis of schizophrenia; 132 completed surveys about the patient without schizophrenia. Most nurses were women (91%), and although the majority of physicians were men (61%), more than a third were women. Nurses were older with more years in clinical practice (on average, about 21 years), while physicians were younger with fewer years in clinical practice (on average, about 15 years). Over half (56%) of the providers were white (Table 2).

Findings from the multiple regression models for each of the 6 dependent variables indicated that the 2-way interaction between the vignette type and provider specialty was not significant for any of these models, indicating that the participants’ responses to the vignette type were not dependent on provider specialty (primary care or mental health). A significant main effect for vignette types was found for adherence ($\beta = -1.28, t = -2.02, P = .04$), competence ($\beta = -1.81, t = -5.70, P < .01$), ability to read and understand educational materials ($\beta = -2.08, t = -2.25, P = .03$), and referral to weight-reduction programs ($\beta = -0.67, t = -2.17, P = .03$). Clinicians expected persons with schizophrenia to be less likely to adhere to treatment, to be less competent to manage their own health and personal affairs, and to be less able to read and understand educational materials. Clinicians were also less likely to refer persons with schizophrenia to a weight-reduction program. The vignette type effect was not significant for referral to pain management or for a sleep study. Figure 1 depicts these findings, comparing the providers’ responses to the vignette in which the patient had schizophrenia and responses to the vignette describing the patient without schizophrenia.

**DISCUSSION**

We presented clinicians with vignettes describing 2 virtually identical patients with chronic physical health problems—one with and another without schizophrenia. Even though the 2 patients had the same educational background and social and vocational level of functioning, and the person with schizophrenia was clearly stable psychiatrically, a diagnosis of schizophrenia appeared to influence clinicians’ expectations related to patient competence, treatment adherence, and ability to read and understand educational materials. Further, providers were less likely to refer persons with schizophrenia for weight-reduction programs.

Even though research has indicated that persons with schizophrenia are no less adherent to medication or treatment than other patients with chronic mental or physical conditions, established beliefs about schizophrenia may affect practitioner behavior. In terms of competence to make treatment decisions, both persons who were not mentally ill and those with schizophrenia demonstrate substantial heterogeneity in decision-making capacity.
In addition, persons with schizophrenia are reasonably successful in weight-loss programs relative to those without schizophrenia. Finally, there is no reason to expect a person with schizophrenia to have less ability to read or understand educational materials than a person with similar educational achievement who does not have schizophrenia, unless of course he is floridly psychotic or his symptoms are not well controlled, which was clearly not true in this case. It is likely that providers are responding to prevailing beliefs or stereotypes rather than to scientific evidence.

One potential explanation could be clinicians’ experience with persons with schizophrenia and other psychiatric diagnoses. Often, clinicians, especially those working in inpatient settings, are exposed to more severely ill individuals. They may attribute the characteristics and illness course of more severely ill patients to all patients with the same diagnosis, a phenomenon referred to by Cohen and Cohen as the “clinician’s illusion.” Accordingly, all individuals with schizophrenia are more likely to be regarded as limited, even high-functioning individuals with well-managed symptoms. Such low expectations related to adherence, ability to read and understand instructions, and competency could influence referral to noninvasive procedures (eg, weight-loss program) and could have an even greater influence on provider decisions about more invasive procedures, such as revascularization.

Clinicians who treat persons with schizophrenia are in powerful positions. For example, they may or may not choose to refer patients for rehabilitation services. To be effective, the recovery model clearly requires providers to focus on strengths rather than deficits and to involve individual patients in goal setting and decision making. Providers with low expectations of high-functioning persons with schizophrenia may well be limiting their patients’ recovery and may also undermine the patient’s own confidence and belief in his or her abilities and potential.

Several aspects of the study limit its generalization. First, participation was relatively low and sample size was small, relative to the number of mental health and primary care providers in the United States. All providers were practicing in the VA; the VA health care system serves a large proportion of persons with chronic mental and physical illnesses who may be more ill than the general population, which might promote more negative expectations. Also, it is important to note that the findings were based on responses to hypothetical vignettes that may or may not represent how clinicians would actually practice.

While some progress has been made to develop attitudinal scales for providers, additional work is needed in this area. Future studies could examine attitudes of providers and compare these to actual clinical decision making rather than hypothetical vignettes. If these attitudes are indeed driving decision making, interventions need to be devised to reduce stigma toward persons with serious mental illness among providers.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our findings suggest that interventions for clinicians are warranted, especially to educate providers about the
potential of higher functioning persons with schizophrenia. Evidence suggests that a large portion of individuals with schizophrenia should be able to achieve a substantial degree of long-term remission and functional recovery, meaning that they are capable of working, living independently, and sustaining long-term relationships.41,42 Interventions that promote education and bring providers into contact with persons with schizophrenia who are further along in their recovery may be particularly useful43 in ameliorating long-held beliefs about the functional limits of individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia. Further research is needed to determine the extent to which negative views of mental illness potentially influence the quality of care delivered in clinical settings.
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1. According to research cited in this article, which of the following statements is false?
   a. Individuals with schizophrenia are at high risk for chronic medical conditions and premature death
   b. Some studies report that individuals with schizophrenia receive suboptimal medical, preventive, and specialty health care relative to those without mental illness
   c. Primary care providers have a more negative view of prognosis for individuals with schizophrenia than psychiatrists
   d. Individuals with schizophrenia are less adherent to treatment than other patients with chronic mental or physical conditions

2. In this survey of mental health and primary care physicians and nurses, respondents were given patient vignettes that were identical except for a diagnosis of schizophrenia. Which of the following results was found?
   a. Clinicians expected individuals with schizophrenia to be as likely to adhere to treatment as those without schizophrenia
   b. Clinicians expected individuals with schizophrenia to be less competent to manage their own health and personal affairs than those without schizophrenia
   c. Clinicians expected individuals with schizophrenia to be equally able to read and understand educational materials as those without schizophrenia
   d. Clinicians were less likely to refer persons with schizophrenia for pain management or a sleep study than those without schizophrenia

3. In this survey, results indicated that the participants’ responses to the vignettes were not dependent on provider specialty (primary care or mental health).
   a. True
   b. False

4. When treating patients with schizophrenia, which strategy is least helpful for optimizing outcomes?
   a. Make health decisions for them because they do not have this capacity
   b. Expect that their ability to read and understand educational materials is not affected when psychotic symptoms are controlled
   c. Consider referrals for medical treatments (eg, weight, pain, sleep) as you would for other patients
   d. Examine whether your attitudes about these patients are biased because of the schizophrenia