META-ANALYSIS

Prophylactic Antipsychotic Use for Postoperative Delirium: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Tomoya Hirota, MD, and Taro Kishi, MD, PhD

ABSTRACT

Objective: Although antipsychotics have been used empirically to prevent the development of postoperative delirium, there has been no confirming evidence to support their use. Thus, we conducted a systematic review and a meta-analysis to elucidate their efficacy and tolerability in surgical patients.

Data Sources: MEDLINE, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library databases, CINAHL, and PsycINFO were searched up to February 2013 without language restrictions, using the following keywords: (*antipsychotics* OR [nonproprietary name of each antipsychotic medication, separated by OR]) AND *delirium* AND (*randomized* OR *random* OR *randomly*).

Study Selection: Randomized controlled trials comparing prophylactic use of antipsychotics with placebo in surgical patients were included.

Data Extraction: Two authors extracted and scrutinized the data. The risk ratio (RR), 95% confidence interval (CI), number needed to treat (NNT), and standardized mean difference were used.

Results: Six studies (3 haloperidol, 1 olanzapine, and 2 risperidone) including 1,689 surgical patients were identified. The results showed significant efficacy in reducing the occurrence of delirium (RR = 0.50, 95% CI = 0.34 to 0.73, P = .0003; NNT = 7, P = .001, 6 studies). Sensitivity analysis showed that second-generation antipsychotics were superior to placebo (RR = 0.36, P < .00001; NNT = 4, P < .00001), whereas haloperidol failed to show superiority to placebo. There were no statistically significant differences between groups in severity of delirium, discontinuation rate, or rates of several adverse events.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that secondgeneration antipsychotics are more beneficial than placebo for preventing the incidence of delirium. Among patients who do develop delirium, the severity of delirium is not reduced in those who received prophylactic antipsychotics.

J Clin Psychiatry 2013;74(12):e1136–e1144 © Copyright 2013 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

Submitted: April 3, 2013; accepted July 26, 2013 (doi:10.4088/JCP.13r08512).

Delirium, defined by the acute onset of fluctuating cognitive impairment and a disturbance of consciousness (*Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, Fourth Edition, Text Revision [*DSM-IV-TR*]), commonly occurs in acutely ill hospitalized patients. The occurrence rate of delirium varies between 11% and 42% in medically ill patients¹ and is higher in postoperative elderly patients.²⁻⁴ This rate increases up to 70%–87% in postoperative elderly patients who require intensive care unit (ICU) stays.^{5,6} Postoperative delirium is a poor prognostic sign that leads to a cascade of postoperative complications and subsequent prolonged hospital stays, impaired functional recovery, and higher mortality rates.^{7,8} Given the lack of evidence supporting antipsychotics and other medications for the treatment of patients with delirium,^{9,10} prevention of delirium is undoubtedly desirable in acute hospital settings.

Although nonpharmacologic interventions focusing on risk factors for delirium, such as sleep deprivation, cognitive impairment, immobility, sensory impairment, nutrition, and dehydration, have resulted in significant reduction in the incidence of delirium,^{11,12} the role of pharmacologic intervention for prevention of delirium is unclear. Further, the administration of pharmacologic agents to prevent postoperative delirium has not been included in most practice guidelines.¹³ A previous randomized controlled trial (RCT) comparing prophylactic use of haloperidol with placebo in patients undergoing orthopedic surgery failed to show efficacy in reducing incidence of delirium, although haloperidol was significantly superior to placebo in reducing duration of delirium, severity of delirium, and length of hospital stay.¹⁴ More recent trials including haloperidol and second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs), however, report the superiority of these medications in the prevention of delirium in surgical patients.^{15–18} A meta-analysis has been considered to resolve these discrepant results by aggregating the data from the individual studies. Moreover, a meta-analysis produces a weighted summary result, with more weight given to larger studies. Combining results from more than 1 study has the advantage of increasing statistical power, which is often inadequate in studies with a small sample size.¹⁹ Accordingly, the objectives of this meta-analysis were (1) to determine whether prophylactic antipsychotic use reduces incidence of delirium and (2) to evaluate how prophylactic antipsychotic use affects severity of delirium and other outcomes should delirium occur.

METHOD

This meta-analysis was performed according to the guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2009.

Inclusion Criteria and Search Strategy, Data Extraction, and Outcomes

Studies were included in our meta-analysis if they were randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trials comparing prophylactic use of antipsychotic medication with placebo or another antipsychotic

Corresponding author: Tomoya Hirota, MD, Department of Psychiatry, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, 1601 23rd Ave S, 3102 VPH, Nashville, TN 37212 (tomoya.hirota@vanderbilt.edu).

- Prevention of postoperative delirium is desirable in surgical patients due to its contribution to prolonged hospital stays, impaired functional recovery, and higher mortality rates.
- Meta-analysis of pooled antipsychotics showed significant reduction in the incidence of delirium in comparison to placebo. Individually, although second-generation antipsychotics were superior to placebo on this outcome, haloperidol was not.
- Both individually and pooled together, prophylactic antipsychotics did not differ from placebo regarding severity of delirium, duration of delirium, or length of hospital or intensive care unit stay.

medication in adult patients (aged >18 years) with no delirium at the time of administration of trial medication. Studies involving substance-induced/withdrawal delirium were excluded. To identify relevant studies, we searched MEDLINE, the Cochrane Library databases, CINAHL, EMBASE, and PsycINFO citations up to February 2013 using the following keywords: (antipsychotics OR aripiprazole OR amisulpride OR asenapine OR blonanserin OR clozapine OR iloperidone OR lurasidone OR olanzapine OR paliperidone OR risperidone OR sulpiride OR ziprasidone OR zotepine OR chlorpromazine OR clotiapine OR droperidol OR haloperidol OR loxapine OR mosapramine OR mesoridazine OR molindone OR fluphenazine OR flupenthixol OR pimozide OR perospirone OR perphenazine OR prochloperazine OR tiapride OR thioridazine OR thiothixene OR trifluoperazine OR zuclopenthixol) AND delirium AND (randomized OR random OR randomly). Both authors scrutinized the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the identified studies. The references of included articles and review articles in this area were also searched for citations of further relevant published and unpublished research. When data required for the metaanalysis were missing, we contacted the corresponding authors to acquire unpublished information. Both authors independently extracted, checked, and entered the data into Review Manager (RevMan) for Windows, version 5.1 (Nordic Cochrane Centre, Cochrane Collaboration; Copenhagen, Denmark).

Data Synthesis and Statistical Analysis

To perform a meta-analysis, we required at least 3 studies with the same outcome measure. The primary outcome measure was incidence of delirium. The secondary outcome measures were as follows: duration of delirium, length of ICU stay, length of hospital stay, and severity of delirium, as measured using highest scores of Delirium Rating Scale-Revised-98 and Intensive Care Delirium Screening Checklist. Further secondary outcome measures included adverse effects, discontinuation due to all causes, and discontinuation due to adverse effects. We based the analyses on intention-to-treat (ITT) or modified ITT data (ie, at least 1 dose or at least 1 follow-up assessment). If such data were unavailable, completer analysis data were

extracted in order to include more data in the meta-analysis (Supplementary eTable 1). To combine studies, the randomeffects model by DerSimonian and Laird²⁰ was used in all cases because subjects in this meta-analytic study tended to be heterogeneous and because methodological differences across studies could generate effect size differences. Since it was considered likely a priori that not all trials would produce exactly equal underlying effect sizes, a random-effects model was considered preferable to a fixed-effects model. The random-effects model incorporates both within-study and between-study variance into the estimate of average treatment effects and is therefore usually more realistic and conservative than the fixed-effects model. For dichotomous outcomes, the relative risk (RR) was estimated along with its 95% confidence interval (CI), whereas for continuous outcomes standardized mean difference (SMD) was used, combining the effect size data (Hedges g). A P value (from a directional zero-effect test) of .05 or less was considered statistically significant. When the random-effects model showed significant differences between groups, the number needed to treat (NNT) was calculated. NNT values were derived from the risk differences using the following formula: NNT = 1/risk difference, with the 95% CIs of the NNTs being the inverse of the upper and lower limits of the 95% CIs of the risk differences. We explored study heterogeneity using the Cochran Q statistic or the I^2 statistic, a measure estimating how much of the variance is explained by study heterogeneity.²¹ In cases of I^2 values $\geq 50\%$ for primary outcomes, we planned to conduct sensitivity analyses to determine the reasons for heterogeneity. Finally, funnel plots were constructed in RevMan for the primary outcome and visually inspected to assess for publication bias.

RESULTS

Study Characteristics

The computerized search yielded 309 references after 98 duplicates were removed. We excluded 287 studies on the basis of title and abstract review because they were neither a study of antipsychotics for delirium nor an RCT. Of the remaining 22 studies, 17 additional articles were excluded after full-text review because 3 were review articles and 14 were RCTs comparing antipsychotics with placebo or active medications in patients with delirium, yielding 5 eligible studies. One additional publication²² was identified through hand-searching all review articles. In total, we included 6 RCTs comparing prophylactic antipsychotic use with placebo for 1,689 surgical patients^{14-18,22} (Figure 1). These studies evaluated 3 antipsychotic medications (3 haloperidol studies,^{14,17,22} 1 olanzapine study,¹⁶ and 2 risperidone studies^{15,18}) in 4 types of operations (2 cardiac surgeries,^{15,18} 2 orthopedic surgeries,^{14,16} 1 gastrointestinal surgery,²² and 1 noncardiac surgery¹⁷). Among the studies included, 3 studies^{15,17,18} were conducted in ICU settings. One study²² was a nonblind, placebo-controlled RCT, and the remaining 5 studies¹⁴⁻¹⁸ were double-blind, placebo-controlled RCTs. Included studies were conducted in China,¹⁷ Egypt,¹⁸ Japan,²² Netherlands,¹⁴ Thailand,¹⁵ and the United States,¹⁶ and all studies were published in English. None of the studies were



industry-sponsored. Sample sizes varied from 80 to 495 subjects. The mean age of the study population ranged from 61.0 to 79.1 years. The duration of prophylactic antipsychotic administration was variable in each study. Only 1 study²² reported premorbid cognitive impairment, in 7.7% of subjects. In 1 study,¹⁸ all participants were diagnosed with subsyndromal delirium, a clinical phase with some but not all symptoms of delirium, prior to randomization. Regarding the primary outcome measure, 5 of 6 studies (2 haloperidol studies, 1 olanzapine study, 2 risperidone studies) reported the effectiveness of experimental medications in comparison to placebo.^{15-18,22} Three studies^{14,18,22} reported the switch to standard treatment (ie, haloperidol and/or lorazepam as needed for both treatment arms) when patients developed delirium during trials. In the other 2 studies,^{16,17} blind treatment was continued and standard treatment was added if patients with delirium became agitated (these unpublished data were obtained via direct contact with authors of each study). A summary of included studies is shown in Table 1.

Incidence of Delirium

Six RCTs compared antipsychotics including haloperidol,^{14,17,22} olanzapine,¹⁶ and risperidone^{15,18} with placebo with regard to incidence of delirium. Data from these studies indicated that prophylactic antipsychotic use was significantly effective in reducing incidence of delirium compared with placebo (RR=0.50, 95% CI=0.34 to 0.73, P=.0003; I^2 = 64%, NNT=7, P=.001; 6 studies, n=1,594) (Figure 2). When individual antipsychotic medications were analyzed separately, olanzapine and risperidone were superior to placebo in preventing the incidence of delirium (olanzapine: RR=0.36, 95% CI=0.24 to 0.52, P<.00001;

NNT = 4, P < .00001; 1 study, n = 400, risperidone: RR = 0.38, 95% CI = 0.22 to 0.66, P = .0006; NNT = 5, P = .0001; 2 studies, n = 227), whereas there was no significant difference between haloperidol and placebo (RR = 0.68, P = .08, $I^2 = 50\%$; 3 studies, n = 967) (Figure 2). No publication bias was apparent (Supplementary eFigure 1).

To determine the reason for significant heterogeneity in primary outcome measure between both treatment groups, we conducted sensitivity analyses (Table 2), summarized below.

Study design. Excluding the nonblind RCT²² did not alter the results.

First- vs second-generation antipsychotics. When studies of first-generation antipsychotic (FGA) medication (ie, haloperidol^{14,17,22}) were excluded, SGAs (olanzapine¹⁶ and risperidone^{15,18}) were superior to placebo with no significant heterogeneity (RR = 0.36, P < .00001, $I^2 = 0\%$, NNT = 4).

Subsyndromal delirium. Excluding the study that included patients with subsyndromal delirium did not alter the results.

Operation type. In studies with cardiopulmonary surgeries,^{15,18} prophylactic antipsychotic use was significantly more efficacious than placebo on the primary outcome measure with no heterogeneity (RR=0.38, P=.0001, I^2 =0%, NNT=5). In studies with orthopedic surgeries,^{14,16} no significant difference was observed from original results.

Duration of Delirium

Three RCTs^{14,16,18} compared antipsychotics with placebo regarding duration of delirium in postoperative patients exhibiting defined delirium. Data from these studies suggested that patients who received prophylactic antipsychotics

Table 1. Study, Patie	nt, an	d Treatme	nt Characteristics of Included Ra	ndomized Controlled Trial	ls		
		Study	с. 	1	Diagnostic		
study	z	Design	Population	Intervention	Criteria	Outcome Measures"	Comments
Hakim et al, ¹⁸ 2012 (Egypt)	101	DBPCT parallel	Age 265 y, male: 68.3% On-pump cardiac surgery NYHA class III or IV: 62.4% MMSE score 225 ICU setting All patients had subsyndromal delirium prior to randomization	RIS: n = 51, 0.5 mg bid until incidence of delirium PLA: n = 50	ICDSC ≥3 every 8 h DSM-IV-TR	Incidence of delirium: RIS > PLA Duration of delirium: RIS = PLA Severity of delirium: RIS = PLA (highest score on the ICDSC) Length of ICU stay: RIS = PLA Length of hospital stay: RIS = PLA	Switched to standard treatment (RIS up to 4 mg/d and HAL as needed)
Kalisvaart et al, ¹⁴ 2005 (Netherlands)	430	DBPCT parallel	Mean age = 79.1 y, male: 20.2% Orthopedic (hip) surgery APACHE II mean score = 13.4 MMSE mean score = 24.7 Non-ICU setting	HAL: n = 212, 0.5 mg tid until postoperative day 3 PLA: n = 218	<i>DSM-IV-TR</i> CAM	Incidence of delirium: HAL = PLA Duration of delirium: HAL > PLA Severity of delirium: HAL > PLA (maximum DRS-R-98 score) Length of hospital stay: HAL > PLA	Switched to standard treatment (HAL and/or lorazepam) when delirium was diagnosed
Kaneko et al, ²² 1999 (Japan)	80	Nonblind parallel	Mean age = 72.8 y, male: 64.1% Gastrointestinal surgery Cognitive impairment: 7.7% Non-ICU setting	HAL: n = 40, 5 mg IV until postoperative day 5 PLA (normal saline): n = 40	DSM-III-R	Incidence of delirium: HAL> PLA	Switched to standard treatment (HAL and/or lorazepam) when delirium was diagnosed
Larsen et al, ¹⁶ 2010 (United States)	495	DBPCT parallel	Mean age = 73.7 y, male: 45.7% Orthopedic surgery (knee and hip joint replacement) ASA class ≥ 3: 42.0% No dementia Non-ICU setting	OLA: n = 243, 5 mg twice perioperative administration PLA: n = 252	DSM-III-R MMSE DRS-R-98 CAM	Incidence of delirium: OLA > PLA Time to onset of delirium: OLA > PLA Duration of delirium: OLA < PLA Severity of delirium: OLA < PLA (maximum DRS-R-98 score) Length of hospital stay: OLA = PLA	Continued blind treatment and additional standard treatment (nonpharmacologic and/or HAL/ OLA as needed) when delirium was diagnosed
Prakanrattana and Prapaitrakool, ¹⁵ 2007 (Thailand)	126	DBPCT parallel	Mean age = 61.0 y, male: 58.7% On-pump cardiac surgery NYHA class III or IV: 33.3% ICU setting	RIS: n = 63, 1 mg when regained consciousness from surgery PLA: n = 63	CAM-ICU	Incidence of delirium: RIS > PLA Length of ICU stay: RIS > PLA Length of hospital stay: RIS = PLA	
Wang et al, ¹⁷ 2012 (China)	457	DBPCT parallel	Mean age = 74.2 y, male: 63.0% Noncardiac surgery ASA class ≥ 3: 38.7% ICU setting	HAL: n = 229, 0.5 mg IV within 1 h after enrollment, followed by 0.1 mg/h for 12 h PLA: n = 228	Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale CAM-ICU	Incidence of delirium: HAL> PLA Time to onset of delirium: HAL = PLA Length of ICU stay: HAL > PLA Length of hospital stay: HAL = PLA	Continued blind treatment and additional standard treatment (nonpharmacologic and/or IV HAL 0.5–1.0 mg every 20 min) when delirium was diagnosed
^a In Outcome Measures (Abbreviations: APACHI DRS-R-98 = Delirium NYHA = New York He	© II = A Rating art Ass	, boldface in cute Physiold Scale-Revise ociation, OI	dicates primary outcome measure of ea ogy and Chronic Health Evaluation II, / cd-98, HAL = haloperidol, ICDSD = Inte .A = olanzapine, PLA = placebo, RASS =	tch study, ">" indicates superior ASA = American Society of Ane nsive Care Delirium Screening Richmond Agitation Sedation (rity of experimental esthesiology, CAM = Checklist, ICU = int Scale, RIS = risperid	drug to placebo, and "=" indicates no sigr Confusion Assessment Method, DBPCT: ensive care unit, IV = intravenous, MMSE one.	nificant difference between 2 groups. = double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, 3 = Mini-Mental State Examination,

© 2013 COPYRIGHT PHYSICIANS POSTGRADUATE PRESS, INC. NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION, DISPLAY OR COMMERCIAL PURPOSES, e1139
PSYCHIATRISTCOM

	Antipsy	chotic	Plac	ebo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study	Events (delirium)	Total Patients	Events (delirium)	Total Patients	Weight (%)	Mantel-Haenszel, Random-Effects [95% CI]	Mantel-Haenszel, Random-Effects, 95% Cl
Haloperidol							
Kalisvaart et al, 2005 ¹⁴	32	212	36	218	20.7	0.91 [0.59 to 1.42]	
Kaneko et al, 1999 ²²	4	40	13	40	9.3	0.31 [0.11 to 0.86]	
Wang et al, 2012 ^{17,a}	35	229	53	228	22.1	0.66 [0.45 to 0.97]	-8-
Subtotal [95% CI]		481		486	52.1	0.68 [0.44 to 1.04]	
Total events	71		102				
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.07$, $\chi^2_2 = 3.97$ (P	= .14), <i>l</i> ² = 5	50%					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.77$ ($P = .08$)						
Olanzapine							
Larsen et al, 2010 ¹⁶	28	196	82	204	22.2	0.36 [0.24 to 0.52]	-8-
Subtotal [95% CI]		196		204	22.2	0.36 [0.24 to 0.52]	
Total events	28		82				·
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.31 (P < .00)$	001)						
Risperidone							
Hakim et al, 2012 ¹⁸	7	51	17	50	12.9	0.40 [0.18 to 0.89]	
Prakanrattana and Prapaitrakool, 2003	7 ¹⁵ 7	63	20	63	12.9	0.35 [0.16 to 0.77]	e
Subtotal [95% CI]		114		113	25.8	0.38 [0.22 to 0.66]	\bullet
Total events	14		37				
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$, $\chi^2_1 = 0.06$ (<i>P</i>	= .80), <i>l</i> ² =	0%					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.44$ ($P = .00$	06)						
Total [95% CI]		791		803	100.0	0.50 [0.34 to 0.73]	•
Total events	113		221				•
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.13$, $\chi^2_5 = 14.01$ (P = .02), I ² =	= 64%					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.60 (P = .00)$	03)					0.01	U.1 1 10
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2_2 = 5$.	.21 (<i>P</i> = .07)), <i>I</i> ² = 61.6%	6			Favors	Aperimental ravors Control

Figure 2. Incidence of Delirium

^aInjectable haloperidol.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, HAL = haloperidol, OLA = olanzapine, RIS = risperidone.

showed no significant reduction in duration of delirium as compared with patients who received placebo (SMD = -0.18, 95% CI = -1.06 to 0.71, P = .70, $I^2 = 92\%$; 3 studies, n = 279) (Supplementary eFigure 2). When each antipsychotic medication was compared with placebo separately, however, haloperidol¹⁴ showed a significant difference in shortening duration of delirium compared with placebo (SMD = -0.99, 95% CI = -1.49 to -0.48, P = .0001; 1 study, n = 68). Moreover, olanzapine¹⁶ was inferior to placebo on this outcome measure (SMD = 0.67, 95% CI = 0.23 to 1.11, P = .003; 1 study, n = 110). Risperidone¹⁸ showed no significant difference compared to placebo on this outcome measure (SMD = -0.23, 95% CI = -0.62 to 0.16, P = .25; 1 study, n = 101).

Severity of Delirium

Three RCTs^{14,16,18} comparing antipsychotics with placebo indicated no significant reduction of severity of delirium in patients who received prophylactic antipsychotics as compared with patients who received placebo (SMD = 0.07, 95% CI = -0.90 to 1.04, P = .88, I^2 = 93%; 3 studies, n = 280) (Supplementary eFigure 3). When an individual antipsychotic medication was compared with placebo, however, haloperidol¹⁴ was superior to placebo (SMD = -1.00, 95% CI = -1.51 to -0.50, P = .0001; 1 study, n = 68), whereas olanzapine¹⁶ and risperidone¹⁸ were inferior to placebo (olanzapine: SMD = 0.63, 95% CI = 0.20 to 1.07, P = .005; 1 study, n = 110, risperidone: SMD = 0.56, 95% CI = 0.16 to 0.95, P = .006; 1 study, n = 101).

Length of Stay (hospital stay and ICU stay)

Data from 5 RCTs^{14–18} showed no significant difference between antipsychotics and placebo in length of hospital stay (SMD = -0.09, 95% CI = -0.28 to 0.10, P = .37, $I^2 = 37$ %; 5 studies, n = 862). Additionally, none of the antipsychotic

Table 2. Sensitivity Analyses of Incidence of Delirium

Variable	No. of	N	DD	050/ CI	Dâ	$T^{2}(0/)$		050/ 01	NINIT	050/ 01	Da	$T^{2}(0/)$
Variable	Studies	IN	KK	95% CI	P	1 (%)	RD	95% CI	ININI	95% CI	P	1 (%)
Total	6	1,594	0.50	0.34 to 0.37	.0003	64	-0.15	-0.25 to -0.06	7	4 to 17	.001	80
Antipsychotic												
Second-generation	3	627	0.36	0.26 to 0.50	<.00001	0	-0.24	-0.30 to -0.17	4	4 to 6	<.00001	0
First-generation	3	967	0.68	0.44 to 1.04	.08	50						
Drug formulation												
Oral	5	1,137	0.45	0.28 to 0.73	.001	67	-0.18	-0.30 to -0.05	6	4 to 20	.005	83
Injection	1	457	0.66	0.45 to 0.97	.03	NA	-0.08	-0.15 to -0.01	13	7 to 100	.03	NA
Study design												
Double-blind	5	1,514	0.52	0.35 to 0.78	.002	69	-0.14	-0.25 to -0.04	7	4 to 25	.006	83
Others	1	80	0.31	0.11 to 0.86	.03	NA	-0.23	-0.40 to -0.05	4	3 to 20	.01	NA
Year												
2001 or after	5	1,514	0.52	0.35 to 0.78	.002	69	-0.14	-0.25 to -0.04	7	4 to 25	.006	83
Before 2001	1	80	0.31	0.11 to 0.86	.03	NA	-0.23	-0.40 to -0.05	4	3 to 20	.01	NA
Control												
Placebo	5	1,514	0.52	0.35 to 0.78	.002	69	-0.14	-0.25 to -0.04	7	4 to 25	.006	83
Nonplacebo	1	80	0.31	0.11 to 0.86	.03	NA	-0.23	-0.40 to -0.05	4	3 to 20	.01	NA
Subsyndromal delirium												
prior to randomization												
Yes	1	101	0.40	0.18 to 0.89	.02	NA	-0.20	-0.36 to -0.04	5	3 to 25	.01	NA
No	5	1,493	0.51	0.33 to 0.78	.002	70	-0.15	-0.25 to -0.04	7	4 to 25	.006	83
Setting												
ICŬ	3	684	0.52	0.34 to 0.77	.001	25	-0.14	-0.24 to -0.05	7	5 to 20	.003	46
Non-ICU	3	910	0.49	0.23 to 1.03	.06	82						
Operation type, analysis 1												
Cardiopulmonary	2	227	0.38	0.22 to 0.66	.0001	0	-0.20	-0.31 to -0.10	5	3 to 10	.0001	0
Others	4	1,367	0.54	0.33 to 0.89	.01	76	-0.14	-0.26 to -0.02	7	4 to 50	.03	87
Operation type, analysis 2												
Orthopedic	2	830	0.57	0.22 to 1.43	.23	90						
Others	4	764	0.49	0.33 to 0.71	.0002	21	-0.16	-0.24 to -0.07	6	5 to 15	.0002	40
^a Boldface indicates significates Abbreviations: ICU = intens	ance.	nit. NA =	not ap	olicable. NNT =	number ne	eded to t	reat. RD	= risk difference.	RR = rel:	ative risk.		

medications, when analyzed individually, was superior to placebo on this outcome measure. With regard to length of ICU stay, an aggregated report of 3 studies^{15,17,18} showed no significant difference between antipsychotics and placebo (SMD = -0.01, 95% CI = -0.16 to 0.14, P = .94, $I^2 = 0\%$; 3 studies, n = 684). No individual antipsychotic medication was superior to placebo on this outcome measure.

Safety Measures: Discontinuation and Adverse Events

Patients who received prophylactic antipsychotics did not have a significantly higher rate of discontinuation either due to all causes (RR = 0.97, 95% CI = 0.73 to 1.29, P = .82, $I^2 = 0\%$; 6 studies,^{14–18,22} n = 1,689) (Figure 3) or due to adverse effects (RR = 0.79, 95% CI = 0.26 to 2.33, P = .66, $I^2 = 25\%$; 5 studies,^{14,15,17,18,22} n = 1,194) as compared with patients treated with placebo. For 2 of the adverse effects examined in this meta-analysis, no significant differences were observed: arrhythmia (RR = 1.45, 95% CI = 0.53 to 3.94, P = .47, $I^2 = 0\%$; 4 studies,^{14,16,17,22} n = 1,365) and extrapyramidal symptoms (RR = 1.96, 95% CI = 0.18 to 20.94, P = .58, I^2 = not applicable; 5 studies,^{14,15,17,18,22} n = 1,192). No significant differences in the frequency of these side effects were found between individual antipsychotics and placebo.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have established that prophylactic antipsychotic use reduces the incidence of postoperative delirium in surgical patients. The effect was more robust when comparing SGAs with placebo (NNT=4, 95% CI=4

to 6, P < .00001) than when comparing pooled antipsychotic medications with placebo (NNT = 7,95% CI = 4 to 17, P = .001). On the other hand, our results showed that haloperidol had no beneficial effect in preventing delirium. Given the results of our meta-analyses, SGAs may be beneficial for preventing postoperative delirium in surgical patients, although the adequate amount of caution and monitoring of side effects are necessary. When comparing the receptor-binding profile of trial medications, haloperidol mainly blocks dopamine receptors and has little affinity for serotonergic, muscarinic, cholinergic, or histaminergic receptors, whereas SGAs including olanzapine and risperidone show higher affinity for serotonin (5-HT) receptors than dopamine receptors.²³⁻²⁵ Serotonin has been known to play an important role in altering the sleep and wakefulness pattern.²⁶ Moreover, there has been a hypothesis that decreased slow-wave sleep contributes to the development of delirium, although the cause-effect relationship has not been completely understood.²⁷ Recently, several animal studies reported that administering 5-HT_{2A} antagonists to laboratory animals decreased their wakefulness and increased their slow-wave sleep.^{28,29} Taken together, it is worth suggesting that SGAs are superior to FGAs in preventing delirium through their affinities for 5-HT_{2A} receptors, which cause beneficial effects on sleep and wakefulness patterns in postoperative patients.

Olanzapine is considered to have a complex multireceptorbinding profile, including receptors for dopamine, serotonin, epinephrine, histamine, and acetylcholine.³⁰

	Antipsycho	otic	Placebo	0		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study (d	Events liscontinuation)	Total Patients	Events (discontinuation)	Total Patients	Weight (%)	Mantel-Haenszel, Random-Effects, 95% Cl	Mantel-Haenszel, Random-Effects, 95% CI
Haloperidol							
Kalisvaart et al, 2005 ¹⁴	20	212	28	218	28.0	0.73 [0.43 to 1.26]	-8-
Kaneko et al, 1999 ²²	2	40	0	40	0.9	5.00 [0.25 to 100.97]	
Wang et al, 2012 ^{17,a}	3	229	1	228	1.6	2.99 [0.31 to 28.50]	
Subtotal [95% CI]		481		486	30.5	1.20 [0.39 to 3.62]	\bullet
Total events	25		29				
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.38$, $\chi^2_2 = 2.85$	$(P = .24), I^2 = 30\%$	þ					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.32$ ($P = $.75)						
Olanzapine							
Larsen et al, 2010 ¹⁶	47	243	48	252	62.9	1.02 [0.71 to 1.46]	
Subtotal [95% CI]		243		252	62.9	1.02 [0.71 to 1.46]	\mathbf{A}
Total events	47		48				
Heterogeneity: not applicable							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.08$ ($P = $.93)						
Risperidone							
Hakim et al, 2012 ¹⁸	6	51	5	50	6.5	1.18 [0.38 to 3.61]	
Prakanrattana and Prapaitrakool, 2	007 ¹⁵ 0	63	0	63		Not estimable	
Subtotal [95% CI]		114		113	6.5	1.18 [0.38 to 3.61]	-
Total events	6		5				
Heterogeneity: not applicable							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.28$ ($P = $.78)						
Total (95% CI)		838		851	100.0	0.97 [0.73 to 1.29]	•
Total events	78		82				
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$, $\chi^2_4 = 3.29$	$(P = .51), I^2 = 0\%$					+	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.23$ ($P = $.82)					U.U I Favors Expe	v.i j iu 100 rimental Favors Control
Test for subgroup differences: χ^2_2 =	= 0.12 (<i>P</i> = .94), <i>l</i> ² =	= 0%					
^a Injectable haloperidol.							

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, HAL = haloperidol, OLA = olanzapine, RIS = risperidone.

Using olanzapine, which blocks the muscarinic cholinergic receptor, seems paradoxical in light of the acetylcholine deficiency hypothesis for the development of delirium,³¹ although this medication undoubtedly reduced the incidence of delirium in Larsen and coworkers' trial.¹⁶ Collectively, the imbalance between several neurotransmitters is more explanatory of the pathophysiology that contributes to the development of delirium³² than only decreased acetylcholine and elevated dopamine concentrations. Furthermore, the negative results of prophylactic use of cholinesterase inhibitors including donepezil and rivastigmine support this concept.^{33,34} In view of this pathophysiology of delirium, other SGAs with complex receptor-binding profiles, such as quetiapine, can become possible alternative prophylactic antipsychotic treatments, although further research is required. Future research comparing FGAs with SGAs and comparing different SGAs in the prevention of delirium is

Figure 3. Discontinuation due to All Causes

also desirable given the variety of receptor-binding profiles per antipsychotic medication.

Dosage of each study medication could be a potential key factor that influenced the results of our study. Oral haloperidol 1.5 mg/d (0.5 mg 3 times per day) was not effective in preventing delirium in comparison to placebo in Kalisvaart and colleagues' study.¹⁴ Although the low doses of experimental medication may have contributed to the negative results of this study in prevention of postoperative delirium, more RCTs are needed to determine this relationship given the fact that Hakim et al¹⁸ showed superiority of risperidone 0.5 mg twice a day (equivalent to oral haloperidol 1.4 mg/d based on formulas by Andreasen et al³⁵) to placebo on this outcome measure.

Moreover, antipsychotics were superior to placebo in preventing postoperative delirium in patients undergoing cardiopulmonary surgery^{15,18} but not in patients undergoing orthopedic surgery.^{14,16} This discrepancy may be attributed to the results of our meta-analysis of individual antipsychotics (Figure 2). Haloperidol, which failed to show superiority to placebo in preventing delirium, was used in 1¹⁴ of the 2 studies^{14,16} involving orthopedic patients (olanzapine was used in the other study). On the other hand, risperidone, which showed significant efficacy in the prevention of delirium, was used in the 2 studies involving cardiopulmonary surgery.^{15,18}

Our results do not support the assumption that prophylactic use of antipsychotic medication positively affects severity of delirium and other outcomes, such as duration of delirium, length of ICU stay, and length of hospital stay. These results, however, should be interpreted with a careful consideration of differences in treatments that participants received when they developed delirium in the included studies. For example, in Kalisvaart and colleagues' study,¹⁴ in which all patients received standard treatment (haloperidol and/or lorazepam as needed) when they developed delirium, regardless of their study group, outcome measures such as duration of delirium and severity of delirium were superior in patients who had received prophylactic haloperidol compared to patients who received placebo. However, Hakim and colleagues' study,¹⁸ in which both groups of patients received standard treatment after they developed delirium, was not consistent with Kalisvaart and colleagues' study¹⁴ with regard to delirium severity. Moreover, in the study by Larsen et al,¹⁶ in which patients continued blind treatment and as-needed standard treatment (nonpharmacologic intervention and haloperidol or olanzapine), the results of patients who received prophylactic olanzapine were inferior to those of patients treated with placebo on these outcome measures. Furthermore, 2^{14,16} of 3 studies used delirium duration data based on the completer analysis. Given the fact that the efficacy of antipsychotics in the treatment of delirium lacks extensive evidence, further research using similar methodology is required to elucidate how prophylactic antipsychotic use affects severity of delirium and length of hospital stay, which contribute substantially to cost of health care as well.

Further, our results show no significant differences between antipsychotics and placebo in either discontinuation rates or adverse effects. These findings are probably due to the low-dose and short-term use of each antipsychotic medication. It is notable that low-dose and short-term use of prophylactic antipsychotic medications showed significant reduction in the incidence of delirium in comparison to placebo in all studies except the study by Kalisvaart et al,¹⁴ in which each participant received prophylactic haloperidol 0.5 mg 3 times per day. Higher dosage of antipsychotics, especially first-generation antipsychotics, is not desirable in light of the increased risks of extrapyramidal symptoms and QT interval prolongation.^{36,37}

Our meta-analysis does not include mortality rates due to the paucity of available data. Although only 1 study²² reported premorbid cognitive impairment, in 7.7% of participants, in our meta-analysis delirium is frequently superimposed in patients with dementia in clinical settings.³⁸ Caution is required when administering antipsychotics to these populations given the US Food and Drug Administration black-box warning that atypical antipsychotics potentially increase the risk of sudden death in elderly patients with dementia.³⁹ Additionally, a recent meta-analysis regarding atypical antipsychotic medications for off-label uses reported that olanzapine and risperidone significantly increased the risk of cardiovascular events in comparison to placebo in elderly patients with dementia.^{40,41}

The main limitation of our study is the small number of included studies, which raises concerns regarding whether our results will affect clinical practice. Despite this limitation, however, our results are considered to have external validation because the included studies consist of relatively representative populations in clinical settings where postoperative delirium tends to become problematic. The second limitation is that this meta-analysis includes a study (Hakim et al¹⁸) consisting of subjects with subsyndromal delirium, which is considered a potential predisposition to delirium in several articles.^{42,43} It is worth noting, however, there are currently no definitions of subsyndromal delirium in diagnostic criteria, including DSM-IV-TR. Furthermore, a systematic review of subsyndromal delirium in elderly people reported it as a continuum between no symptoms and delirium but failed to conclude its definite development to delirium.⁴⁴ It was therefore appropriate to include this study¹⁸ in our meta-analysis given the current inconsistency regarding the definition and concept of subsyndromal delirium. Another limitation of this meta-analysis is that we were unable to compare the timing of administration of individual experimental medications due to heterogeneity, as described in Table 1. More research is required to elucidate the relationship between the timing of administration and efficacy as well as tolerability of prophylactic antipsychotics in surgical patients.

Drug names: aripiprazole (Abilify), asenapine (Saphris), clozapine (Clozaril, FazaClo, and others), donepezil (Aricept and others), haloperidol (Haldol and others), iloperidone (Fanapt), lorazepam (Ativan and others), loxapine (Loxitane and others), lurasidone (Latuda), molindone (Moban), olanzapine (Zyprexa), paliperidone (Invega), pimozide (Orap), quetiapine (Seroquel), risperidone (Risperdal and others), rivastigmine (Exelon and others), thiothixene (Navane and others), ziprasidone (Geodon). **Author affiliations:** Department of Psychiatry, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee (Dr Hirota); and Department of Psychiatry, Devite Uk University for de la CM diving Twee the Ativita University Medical

Fujita Health University School of Medicine, Toyoake, Aichi, Japan (Dr Kishi). *Author contributions:* Dr Hirota had full access to all of the data in the study

and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Study concept and design: Hirota. Analysis and interpretation of data, statistical analysis, acquisition of data, and drafting of the manuscript: Hirota and Kishi. Study supervision: Kishi.

Potential conflicts of interest: Dr Kishi declares no direct conflict of interest or grant support that is directly related to the content of the study. He has received speaker's honoraria from Astellas, Daiichi Sankyo, Dainippon Sumitomo, Eli Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Meiji, Novartis, Otsuka, Pfizer, Shionogi, Mitsubishi Tanabe, and Yoshitomi. **Dr Hirota** reports no potential conflict of interest.

Funding/support: None reported.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Sameh Hakim, MD; Susan Kelly, MD; Kenneth Larsen, DMin, PhD; and Wei Wang, MD, for providing additional, unpublished data from their studies relevant to this meta-analysis. Supplementary material: See accompanying pages.

Use of Antipsychotics to Prevent Postoperative Delirium

REFERENCES

- Siddiqi N, House AO, Holmes JD. Occurrence and outcome of delirium in medical in-patients: a systematic literature review. *Age Ageing*. 2006;35(4):350–364.
- 2. Demeure MJ, Fain MJ. The elderly surgical patient and postoperative delirium. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2006;203(5):752–757.
- Dyer CB, Ashton CM, Teasdale TA. Postoperative delirium: a review of 80 primary data-collection studies. Arch Intern Med. 1995;155(5):461–465.
- Robinson TN, Raeburn CD, Tran ZV, et al. Postoperative delirium in the elderly: risk factors and outcomes. *Ann Surg.* 2009;249(1):173–178.
- Ely EW, Margolin R, Francis J, et al. Evaluation of delirium in critically ill patients: validation of the Confusion Assessment Method for the Intensive Care Unit (CAM-ICU). *Crit Care Med*. 2001;29(7):1370–1379.
- McNicoll L, Pisani MA, Zhang Y, et al. Delirium in the intensive care unit: occurrence and clinical course in older patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2003;51(5):591–598.
- Saravay SM, Kaplowitz M, Kurek J, et al. How do delirium and dementia increase length of stay of elderly general medical inpatients? *Psychosomatics*. 2004;45(3):235–242.
- Cole MG, Ciampi A, Belzile E, et al. Persistent delirium in older hospital patients: a systematic review of frequency and prognosis. *Age Ageing*. 2009;38(1):19–26.
- 9. Lonergan E, Luxenberg J, Areosa Sastre A. Benzodiazepines for delirium. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;(4):CD006379.
- van Eijk MM, Roes KC, Honing ML, et al. Effect of rivastigmine as an adjunct to usual care with haloperidol on duration of delirium and mortality in critically ill patients: a multicentre, double-blind, placebocontrolled randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9755):1829–1837.
- Inouye SK, Bogardus ST Jr, Charpentier PA, et al. A multicomponent intervention to prevent delirium in hospitalized older patients. N Engl J Med. 1999;340(9):669–676.
- Vidán MT, Sánchez E, Alonso M, et al. An intervention integrated into daily clinical practice reduces the incidence of delirium during hospitalization in elderly patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2009;57(11):2029–2036.
- American Psychiatric Association. Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients With Delirium. Am J Psychiatry. 1999;156(suppl):1–20.
- Kalisvaart KJ, de Jonghe JF, Bogaards MJ, et al. Haloperidol prophylaxis for elderly hip-surgery patients at risk for delirium: a randomized placebocontrolled study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2005;53(10):1658–1666.
- Prakanrattana U, Prapaitrakool S. Efficacy of risperidone for prevention of postoperative delirium in cardiac surgery. *Anaesth Intensive Care*. 2007;35(5):714–719.
- Larsen KA, Kelly SE, Stern TA, et al. Administration of olanzapine to prevent postoperative delirium in elderly joint-replacement patients: a randomized, controlled trial. *Psychosomatics*. 2010;51(5):409–418.
- Wang W, Li HL, Wang DX, et al. Haloperidol prophylaxis decreases delirium incidence in elderly patients after noncardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care Med.* 2012;40(3):731–739.
- Hakim SM, Othman AI, Naoum DO. Early treatment with risperidone for subsyndromal delirium after on-pump cardiac surgery in the elderly: a randomized trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2012;116(5):987–997.
- Cohn LD, Becker BJ. How meta-analysis increases statistical power. *Psychol Methods*. 2003;8(3):243–253.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986;7(3):177–188.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327(7414):557–560.
- Kaneko T, Cai J, Ishikura T, et al. Prophylactic consecutive administration of haloperidol can reduce the occurrence of postoperative delirium in gastrointestinal surgery. *Yonago Acta Med.* 1999;42:179–184.
- 23. Schotte A, Janssen PF, Gommeren W, et al. Risperidone compared with

new and reference antipsychotic drugs: in vitro and in vivo receptor binding. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 1996;124(1-2):57-73.

- Kishi T, Matsuda Y, Nakamura H, et al. Blonanserin for schizophrenia: systematic review and meta-analysis of double-blind, randomized, controlled trials. J Psychiatr Res. 2013;47(2):149–154.
- 25. Correll CU. From receptor pharmacology to improved outcomes: individualising the selection, dosing, and switching of antipsychotics. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2010;25(suppl 2):S12–S21.
- 26. Ursin R. Serotonin and sleep. Sleep Med Rev. 2002;6(1):55-69.
- Figueroa-Ramos MI, Arroyo-Novoa CM, Lee KA, et al. Sleep and delirium in ICU patients: a review of mechanisms and manifestations. *Intensive Care Med.* 2009;35(5):781–795.
- Popa D, Léna C, Fabre V, et al. Contribution of 5-HT2 receptor subtypes to sleep-wakefulness and respiratory control, and functional adaptations in knock-out mice lacking 5-HT2A receptors. *J Neurosci*. 2005;25(49):11231–11238.
- Morairty SR, Hedley L, Flores J, et al. Selective 5HT2A and 5HT6 receptor antagonists promote sleep in rats. Sleep. 2008;31(1):34–44.
- Bymaster FP, Rasmussen K, Calligaro DO, et al. In vitro and in vivo biochemistry of olanzapine: a novel, atypical antipsychotic drug. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1997;58(suppl 10):28–36.
- Tune LE, Egeli S. Acetylcholine and delirium. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord. 1999;10(5):342–344.
- Steiner LA. Postoperative delirium, part 1: pathophysiology and risk factors. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2011;28(9):628–636.
- 33. Sampson EL, Raven PR, Ndhlovu PN, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of donepezil hydrochloride (Aricept) for reducing the incidence of postoperative delirium after elective total hip replacement. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2007;22(4):343–349.
- Gamberini M, Bolliger D, Lurati Buse GA, et al. Rivastigmine for the prevention of postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing elective cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care Med.* 2009;37(5):1762–1768.
- Andreasen NC, Pressler M, Nopoulos P, et al. Antipsychotic dose equivalents and dose-years: a standardized method for comparing exposure to different drugs. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010;67(3):255–262.
- Huyse F, van Schijndel RS. Haloperidol and cardiac arrest. Lancet. 1988;332(8610):568–569.
- 37. Tisdale JE, Rasty S, Padhi ID, et al. The effect of intravenous haloperidol on QT interval dispersion in critically ill patients: comparison with QT interval prolongation for assessment of risk of torsades de pointes. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2001;41(12):1310–1318.
- Fick DM, Agostini JV, Inouye SK. Delirium superimposed on dementia: a systematic review. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2002;50(10):1723–1732.
- 39. Food and Drug Administration. Public Health Advisory: Deaths With Antipsychotics in Elderly Patients With Behavioral Disturbances. http://www. fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatients andProviders/DrugSafetyInformationforHeathcareProfessionals/ PublicHealthAdvisories/ucm053171.htm. Updated April 11, 2005. Accessed March 5, 2013.
- Maher AR, Theodore G. Summary of the comparative effectiveness review on off-label use of atypical antipsychotics. *J Manag Care Pharm.* 2012;18(suppl B):S1–S20.
- Maher AR, Maglione M, Bagley S, et al. Efficacy and comparative effectiveness of atypical antipsychotic medications for off-label uses in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2011;306(12):1359–1369.
- Ouimet S, Riker R, Bergeron N, et al. Subsyndromal delirium in the ICU: evidence for a disease spectrum. *Intensive Care Med.* 2007;33(6):1007–1013.
- Blazer DG, van Nieuwenhuizen AO. Evidence for the diagnostic criteria of delirium: an update. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2012;25(3):239–243.
- Cole MG, Ciampi A, Belzile E, et al. Subsyndromal delirium in older people: a systematic review of frequency, risk factors, course and outcomes. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2013;28(8):771–780.

Supplementary material follows this article.



Supplementary Material

- Article Title: Prophylactic Antipsychotic Use for Postoperative Delirium: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
- Authors: Tomoya Hirota, MD, and Taro Kishi, MD, PhD
- **DOI Number:** 10.4088/JCP.13r08512

List of Supplementary Material for the article

- 1. <u>eTable 1</u> Data Synthesis
- 2. <u>eFigure 1</u> Publication Bias for Incidence of Delirium
- 3. eFigure 2 Duration of Delirium
- 4. <u>eFigure 3</u> Severity of Delirium

Disclaimer

This Supplementary Material has been provided by the author(s) as an enhancement to the published article. It has been approved by peer review; however, it has undergone neither editing nor formatting by in-house editorial staff. The material is presented in the manner supplied by the author.

	Hakim	Kalisvaart	Kaneko	Larsen	Prakanrattana	Wang
	2012	2005	1999	2010	2007	2012
Incidence of delirium	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Severity of delirium	ICDSC*	DRS-R-98 MAX		DRS-R-98 MAX		
Duration of delirium	\checkmark	√*		√*		
Length of ICU stay	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark
Hospital days	\checkmark	√*		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Discontinuation due to all cause	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
biscontinuation due to side effects	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark
Arrhythmia		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark
Extrapyramidal symptoms	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark

Supplementary eTable 1: Data synthesis

ICDSC: Intensive Care Delirium Screening Checklist, ICU: Intensive Care Unit, DRS-R-98 MAX:Delirium Rating Scale-Revised 98

* completer analysis



Supplementary eFigure 1. Publication bias for Incidence of delirium



Supplementary eFigure 2. Duration of delirium. CI = confidence interval, HAL = haloperidol, OLA = olanzapine, RIS = risperidone



Supplementary eFigure 3. Severity of delirium. CI = confidence interval, HAL = haloperidol, OLA = olanzapine, RIS = risperidone