# Original Research

# Four-Year Outcome in Psychopharmacologically Treated Adults With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Questionnaire Survey

Michael B. Lensing, MA; Pål Zeiner, MD, PhD; Leiv Sandvik, PhD; and Stein Opjordsmoen, MD, PhD

# ABSTRACT

**Objective:** In adults with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), pharmacotherapy is a recommended treatment option. However, research on long-term outcome with such treatment has been scarce.

Method: A questionnaire survey was completed by adults with ADHD, diagnosed according to ICD-10/ DSM-IV criteria and approved for pharmacotherapy during 2003 to 2005, living in southeastern Norway. The questionnaire was conducted from November 2008 to April 2009. Of an eligible number of 1,096 subjects, 1,080 remained at follow-up; 371 subjects (34.4%) agreed to participate, and 368 of these reported having ever been treated with ADHD medication. Baseline characteristics and self-reported outcome were studied by time on psychopharmacologic treatment. Primary outcome measures were the Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale version 1.1 (ASRS) Screener and the Mental Health Index-5 (MHI-5). Based on cutoff scores for these instruments, 2 groups (favorable outcome vs others) were created to study possible predictors of outcome status.

**Results:** Self-reported baseline ADHD symptoms and impairment did not differ between participants and nonparticipants. Mean observation time was 4.5 years (range, 3.5–6.0 years). At follow-up, mean age was 36.5 years. Altogether, 270 patients (73.4%) had been treated for more than 24 months. They reported better outcome on all measures compared to those treated for 24 months or less (mean values: ASRS Screener score: 12.8 vs 15.3; MHI-5 score: 63.7 vs 57. 7). The favorable outcome group consisted of 79 participants (21.5%). Comorbidity at baseline predicted poorer outcome than did no comorbid illness.

**Conclusions:** In adults with ADHD, pharmacologic treatment for more than 2 years was associated with better functioning than treatment for 2 years or less. Comorbidity at baseline predicted poorer outcome.

J Clin Psychiatry 2013;74(1):e87–e93 © Copyright 2013 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

Submitted: February 10, 2012; accepted August 27, 2012 (doi:10.4088/JCP.12m07714).

A ttention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a well-established childhood disorder, which persists into adolescence and adulthood in about 40% to 60% of cases.<sup>1-4</sup> In a cross-national study, Fayyad et al<sup>5</sup> found an ADHD prevalence of 3.4% in adults. Outcome studies show that adults with ADHD have fewer years of education, lower occupational achievement, poorer self-esteem, more deficits in social skills, and higher rates of anxiety, mood, and substance use disorders when compared to the general population.<sup>6–8</sup>

Pharmacotherapy, mainly with stimulant medication, is one of the recommended treatment options.<sup>9</sup> Its efficacy on core symptoms of ADHD has been demonstrated in adults,<sup>10</sup> but most studies have so far been of short duration, and long-term outcome has been questioned.<sup>11,12</sup>

Recently, some relevant studies have been published. Bejerot et al<sup>13</sup> reported on a 2-year follow-up study of 133 adults with ADHD, mainly treated with stimulant medication and seen at a tertiary care outpatient clinic. Fifty percent of the sample remained in treatment for 2 years or more. Wender et al<sup>14</sup> studied a sample of 116 adults with ADHD in which only subjects with response on short-acting methylphenidate treatment and at least a 50% decrease of ADHD symptoms were included in a 1-year open study (N = 78). Among these, a marked improvement in ADHD symptoms and psychosocial functioning was found. However, as the majority of adults with ADHD differ substantially from subjects included in treatment trials from specialized university clinics, results from these studies cannot be generalized.<sup>15,16</sup>

Systematic chart reviews of patients with ADHD have shown that they often drop out of treatment very early<sup>17</sup> and are mostly compliant for less than 2 months.<sup>18</sup> High rates of attrition (50%–82%) have also been reported in recently published open–label treatment studies in adults with ADHD.<sup>19–21</sup>

As the impact on outcome of time on treatment before discontinuation still is an unresolved question, and long-term follow-up studies of naturalistic samples of adults with ADHD are warranted, we decided to study a fairly representative sample during an observation time of more than 4 years. Taking recent findings on long-term treatment into account,<sup>13,14</sup> we arbitrarily drew a line at 24 months of treatment or less on one hand and more than 24 months of treatment on the other to study the impact of time on treatment on outcome. The study aims were

- (1) to investigate current use of ADHD pharmacotherapy,
- (2) to measure ADHD symptomatology and mental health functioning at follow-up,
- (3) to investigate the relationship between time on treatment and outcome, and
- (4) to identify possible predictors of outcome.

To our knowledge this is the first study of long-term outcome of psychopharmacologically treated adults with ADHD in a naturalistic setting.

Corresponding author: Michael B. Lensing, MA, Women and Children's Division, Oslo University Hospital, Ullevål, Bldg 31B, 2nd floor, PO Box 4956 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway (miclen@ous-hf.no).

- This is the first naturalistic outcome study of psychopharmacologically treated adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) after more than 4 years of observation.
- Among participants, a majority reported current pharmacologic treatment for ADHD. Adults with ADHD treated for more than 24 months reported more favorable outcome compared to those treated for 24 months or less.
- Comorbidity at baseline predicted poorer outcome.

#### METHOD

#### Design

A follow-up questionnaire survey was conducted from November 2008 to April 2009. The Regional Ethics Committee for Southeastern Norway and the Norwegian Data Inspectorate approved the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all included subjects. One reminder was sent.

#### **Subjects**

Treatment of adults with ADHD with stimulant medication in Norway was not permitted until 1997. Then, National Health Authorities appointed expert teams to secure assessment, diagnosis, and treatment for a period until spring 2005. The diagnoses by the expert teams were primarily based on written information provided by local specialists (psychiatrists or clinical psychologists) responsible for the assessment of ADHD, as well as psychiatric comorbidity and substance use. Treatment with stimulant medication provided by local specialists (psychiatrists or general practitioners) could only be started when (1) the diagnosis of ADHD was confirmed, (2) safety was secured with respect to medical and psychiatric concerns (eg, blood pressure, current substance use), and (3) health authorities had licensed its use.

From a defined region in southeastern Norway with an adult population of approximately 2,000,000 inhabitants, 1,096 adults with confirmed ADHD approved for psychopharmacologic treatment between autumn 2003 and spring 2005 were eligible for this study. None of these subjects had been included in earlier reports.<sup>22,23</sup> The mean observation time was 4.5 years (standard deviation [SD] = 0.5 years; range, 3.5–6.0 years). At follow-up, 16 persons were reported dead.

#### **Baseline Assessment**

The diagnoses hyperkinetic disorder and ADHD combined type were based *on International Statistical Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10)* research criteria<sup>24</sup> and *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders,* Fourth Edition (*DSM-IV*)<sup>25</sup> criteria. For subjects with primarily inattention problems, criteria for ADHD predominantly inattentive subtype in *DSM-IV* were applied.

Baseline diagnostic conclusions were reassessed by checking the interrater reliability for 2 independent raters (M.B.L. and P.Z.) of records from 54 randomly selected subjects. Cohen  $\kappa$  for ADHD combined and predominantly inattentive subtypes was 0.94 and 0.87, respectively. For diagnoses of anxiety and depression Cohen's  $\kappa$  was 0.58 and 0.59, respectively.

Psychiatric symptoms at baseline were assessed with the Symptom Checklist 90-Revised (SCL-90-R).<sup>26</sup> This instrument consists of 90 statements scored on a 5-point scale from 0 (not at all) to 4 (very much). Scoring yields 9 subscales and a Global Severity Index (GSI), which is the mean of all 90 statements.

ADHD symptoms at baseline were initially assessed with the General Adult ADD Questionnaire.<sup>27</sup> While the original form consists of 77 questions, a short version with 29 questions was used that yielded 5 subscales (inattention, restlessness, impulsivity, organization difficulties, and procrastination). In 2004, a pilot version of the Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS)<sup>28</sup> was introduced, and the ASRS was subsequently used to assess baseline ADHD symptoms. This version of the ASRS consists of 18 questions (9 for inattention and 9 for hyperactivity/impulsivity). All items were scored on a 5-point scale from 0 (never) to 4 (very often).

#### Follow-Up Assessment

The follow-up questionnaire contained sections on ADHD treatment (pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic), self-reported symptoms, and functioning.

Current symptoms were assessed with the ASRS Screener.<sup>29</sup> It consists of 4 items on inattention and 2 items on hyperactivity/impulsivity. Symptom frequency was rated on a 5-point scale from 0 (never) to 4 (very often) with a cutoff score of  $14.^{30}$  Subjects with 3 or more missing items were excluded. Missing item values were otherwise replaced by the mean of the available item values. Cronbach  $\alpha$  of the ASRS Screener in our study was 0.79.

Current mental health status was assessed with the Mental Health Index-5 (MHI-5), which is one of 8 subscales of the Short Form 36 (SF-36).<sup>31</sup> In the Norwegian validation study of the SF-36,<sup>32</sup> Cronbach a for the MHI-5 was 0.84, while in our study it was 0.87. Items are scored on 6 possible alternatives from "all of the time" to "not at all," with a score between 5 and 30, which is transformed linearly to a scale from 0 to 100.<sup>31</sup> Higher scores indicate better mental health. Subjects with 3 or more missing items were excluded. Otherwise, missing item values were replaced by the mean of the available item values. The MHI-5 is found to be especially sensitive for anxiety and depression, 2 conditions that occur frequently in adults with ADHD. Moreover, the questionnaire has been widely used in general health surveys.<sup>33,34</sup> Recently, Kelly et al concluded that a score below 77 indicated the presence of common mental disorders.<sup>35</sup>

ADHD-related improvement during psychopharmacologic treatment was assessed with a self-reported improvement question (SRIQ) (ie, "Have you experienced improvement during treatment for ADHD?"). The question



is scored on a 10-point visual analog scale from 0 (no) to 1-3 (little), 4-6 (moderate), 7-9 (much) to 10 (very great) improvement.

#### Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed with the PASW statistics 18.0 for Windows (IBM, Armonk, New York). The interrater reliability for diagnostic categories was estimated by using Cohen  $\kappa$ .  $\chi^2$  statistics were calculated to assess pairwise associations between categorical variables. Associations between a binary and a continuous variable were assessed by independent samples *t* test. One-way analysis of variance with Scheffé post hoc analysis was performed to assess group differences. Binary logistic regression was performed to identify predictive factors. A significance level of 5% was used.

#### RESULTS

In Figure 1, the study flow is presented. Altogether, 376 persons (34.8%) answered the questionnaire, of whom 371 (98.7%) agreed to participate. Among these, 368 (99.2%) stated that they, at least at one time, had been treated with ADHD medication.

A comparison of participants (N = 368) versus nonparticipants with available information (n = 661) did not reveal statistically significant differences as to hyperactivity, impulsivity, or inattention scores at baseline. Participants were older (mean ± SD age = 31.6 ± 10.7 years vs 28.8 ± 10.1 years;  $t_{1027}$  = -4.6, *P* < .001), more often female (44.0% vs 32.0%;  $\chi^2_1$  = 16.2, *P* < .001), and had a slightly higher mean ± SD score on the SCL-90-R depression subscale at baseline (1.6 ± 1.0 vs 1.5 ± 0.9;  $t_{623}$  = 2.1, *P* < .05) than nonparticipants. Scores on other subscales and the GSI showed no significant differences

# Table 1. Population Characteristics of 368 Adults With ADHD at Baseline<sup>a</sup>

Population Characteristic	Value
Age, mean ± SD, y	31.9±10.7
Sex	
Female	162 (44.0)
Male	206 (56.0)
Highest educational level	
Junior high school	168 (45.7)
Senior high school	119 (32.3)
College/university	46 (12.5)
Missing	35 (9.5)
Civil status	
Single	190 (51.6)
Married/cohabiting	133 (36.2)
Missing	45 (12.2)
Employment	
Employed/student or apprentice <sup>b</sup>	182 (49.5)
Unemployed/disability pension <sup>c</sup>	157 (42.7)
Missing	29 (7.9)
ADHD diagnosis, subtype	
Combined	155 (42.1)
Predominantly inattentive	132 (35.9)
Predominantly hyperactive-impulsive	22 (6.0)
Residual	28 (7.6)
Insecure	31 (8.4)
Diagnosis of ADHD during childhood/adolescence	87 (23.6)
One or more comorbid psychiatric disorders at baseline <sup>d</sup>	224 (60.9)
Substance use	142 (38.6)
<sup>a</sup> Data are presented as n (%) unless otherwise noted.	
<sup>b</sup> Student or apprentice: $n = 82$ .	
<sup>c</sup> Disability pension: $n = 126$ .	
"Most traduont comorbid novehistric disordors oveluding a	rela atama a a

<sup>a</sup>Most frequent comorbid psychiatric disorders, excluding substance use: mood disorders (n = 146), anxiety disorders (n = 107), personality disorders (n = 44), other psychiatric disorders (n = 42). Abbreviation: ADHD = attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder.

between participants and nonparticipants at baseline. Neither did self-reported ADHD impairment differ between groups.

Sample baseline characteristics for participants are presented in Table 1. In addition to these data, women had a higher mean age  $(33.5 \pm 10.4 \text{ years vs } 30.6 \pm 10.4 \text{ years};$  $t_{366} = -2.7$ , P < .05) and more often finished senior high school (46.2% vs 27.9%;  $\chi^2_2 = 16.6$ , *P*<.001) than men. For the entire sample (n = 368), the mean  $\pm$  SD ADHD baseline number of inattentive and hyperactivity/impulsivity symptoms was  $7.2 \pm 1.6$  and  $5.6 \pm 2.4$ , respectively. No statistically significant differences in ADHD subtype distribution were found between women and men and across age. More men than women had been diagnosed with ADHD before adulthood (30.1% vs 13.0%;  $\chi^2_1 = 15.2$ ; *P* < .001). Comorbidity was significantly more frequent in women than men (82.1% vs 64.6%;  $\chi^2_1 = 14.6$ , *P*<.001), but substance use was reported more often in men than women (44.2% vs 31.5%;  $\chi^2_1 = 14.6, P < .05).$ 

# **Current Use of ADHD Pharmacotherapy**

At follow-up, 232 subjects (63.0%) reported current psychopharmacologic treatment, and, of these, 116 subjects (50.0%) reported use of long-acting methylphenidate, while 77 subjects (33.2%) were treated with short-acting methylphenidate. Thirty-nine subjects used other ADHD medications (mostly dextroamphetamine sulfate or

Treatment Before Discontinuation"											
	Time on Treatment Before Discontinuation, mo										
	$0.25 \text{ to } 6.0 \text{ [A]} > 6.0 \text{ to } \le 24.0 \text{ [B]} > 24.0 \text{ to } 72.0 \text{ [C]}$ Group Comparison Sta					Statistic					
Self-Report Measure	(n=43)	(n=43)	(n=38)	F	df	Р	Scheffé Post Hoc				
ASRS-v1.1 Screener	$15.7 \pm 4.6$	$14.9 \pm 4.9$	$12.0 \pm 4.9$	6.7	2, 119	.002	C < A,B				
MHI-5	$55.9 \pm 20.7$	$57.5 \pm 21.2$	$65.9 \pm 19.3$	2.7	2,121	.069	NS				
SRIQ	$2.5\pm2.7$	$4.9 \pm 2.7$	$6.2 \pm 2.4$	21.3	2, 120	<.001	B,C < A				
az 60 a a 6 a	C										

Table 2. Self-Report Measurement Scores for 124 <sup>a</sup> Adults With ADHD in the Off-Medication Group by Time	on
Treatment Before Discontinuation <sup>b</sup>	

<sup>a</sup>Insufficient information for 12 subjects.

<sup>b</sup>Data are presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise noted.

Abbreviations: ADHD = attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, ASRS Screener = Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale version 1.1 Screener, MHI-5=Mental Health Index-5, NS=not significant, SD=standard deviation, SRIQ=self-reported improvement question.

#### Table 3. Follow-Up Data for 356<sup>a</sup> Adults With ADHD by Time on Treatment<sup>b</sup>

	Time on Tr				
Self-Report Messure	$\leq 24$ (n - 86)	>24 to $\leq$ 72 (n = 270)	+	df	D
Self-Report Measure	(11-80)	(11 = 270)	1	uj	F
ASRS-v1.1 Screener (range, 0–24)	$15.3 \pm 4.7$	$12.8 \pm 4.8$	4.2	352	<.001
MHI-5 (range, 0-100)	$57.7 \pm 20.8$	$63.7\pm21.0$	-2.7	354	.007
SRIQ (range, 0-10)	$3.7\pm2.9$	$7.4\pm2.3$	-12.0	352	<.001
aInsufficient information	n for 12 subia	cto			

nsufficient information for 12 subjects.

<sup>b</sup>Data are presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise noted.

Abbreviations: ADHD = attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, ASRS-v1.1 Screener = Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale Screener,

MHI-5 = Mental Health Index-5, SD = standard deviation,

SRIQ = self-reported improvement question.

atomoxetine). Self-reported treatment adherence, defined as not missing a single dose during the last week, was significantly higher when patients were treated with long-acting than short-acting stimulant medication (75.7% vs 42.9%;  $\chi^2_4 = 28.0, P < .001$ ). Among patients taking atomoxetine (n = 13), 50.0% reported not having missed a single dose during the last week.

At follow-up, 136 subjects (37.0%) had discontinued drug treatment (off-medication group). Nearly half of this group had used short-acting methylphenidate as the last medication before discontinuation. Most frequent reasons for discontinuation were adverse events (55.1%), lack of efficacy (32.4%), and misuse of medication (16.9%, reported by men only). Eleven subjects (8.1%) reported remission as reason for stopping medication.

# ADHD Symptomatology, Mental Health Functioning, and Self-Reported Improvement

The mean ± SD ASRS Screener score at follow-up for the entire sample was  $13.5 \pm 4.9$ . A comparison between the current-treatment and the off-medication group revealed a significant difference in favor of the first-mentioned group  $(13.0 \pm 4.8 \text{ vs } 14.4 \pm 5.1; t_{363} = -2.7, P = .008)$ . In the currenttreatment group, 130 subjects (56.0%) had a score below cutoff, while the corresponding number was 47 subjects (34.6%) in the off-medication-group.

The mean  $\pm$  SD MHI-5 score was 61.7  $\pm$  21.4. The difference between the current-treatment and the offmedication group was not statistically significant  $(63.3 \pm 21.3)$ vs  $58.9 \pm 21.2$ ; P = .052). Altogether, 263 subjects (71.5%) reported below cutoff.

The mean  $\pm$  SD SRIQ score for the entire sample was  $6.4 \pm 3.0$ . The current-treatment group had a more favorable score than the off-medication group  $(7.6 \pm 2.3 \text{ vs } 4.3 \pm 3.0;$  $t_{366} = 2.0, P < .001$ ).

#### Time on Treatment in the Off-Medication Group

The off-medication group was divided into 3 subgroups based on time on treatment before termination. Mean time on treatment before discontinuation in the off-medication group was 23.6 months (median = 12.0 months; range, 0.3-72.0 months). Those treated for more than 24 months before discontinuation (n = 38) were younger (mean  $\pm$  SD age = 26.4 ± 8.9 years vs 31.8 ± 10.2 years;  $t_{122}$  = 2.8, P = .006) and had more often been treated for ADHD before adulthood (52.6% vs 10.6%;  $\chi^2_1 = 25.8$ , P < .001) than those treated for 24 months or less (n=86). They also reported more favorable outcomes with respect to ADHD symptomatology, mental health functioning, and self-reported improvement (Table 2).

### **Relationship Between Time** on Treatment and Outcome

Two groups were created to further investigate the relationship between time on treatment and outcome. One group contained the current-treatment group and subjects treated for more than 24 months before discontinuation (n = 270). The mean  $\pm$  SD time on treatment for this group was  $53.5 \pm 8.1$  months (median = 52.9 months; range, 30.0-72.0 months) versus  $9.7 \pm 7.7$  months (median = 6.5 months; range, 0.3-24.0 months) in the other group that had been treated for 24 months or less (n=86). Significantly more subjects in the long-term treatment group had been treated for ADHD before adulthood compared to those treated for 24 months or less ( $\chi^2_1$  = 10.5; *P* = .001). Otherwise, baseline characteristics did not differ significantly between groups. Those treated for more than 24 months showed most favorable outcome when compared to the group treated for 24 months or less (Table 3).

# **Prediction Analysis**

Based on self-reported level of functioning on ASRS Screener and MHI-5, 2 groups (favorable outcome vs others) were created for a prediction analysis. Favorable outcome was defined with an ASRS Screener score below the cutoff of 14 and an MHI-5 score above the cutoff of 76.

We compared the favorable outcome group (n = 79, 21.5%) to the rest of the sample (n = 276, 75.0%); see Tables 2 and 3). Mean ± SD ASRS Screener and mean ± SD MHI-5 scores for the favorable outcome group and the other group were  $8.2 \pm 3.3$  vs  $14.9 \pm 4.3$  and  $85.9 \pm 5.5$  versus  $55.3 \pm 19.1$ , respectively. Sixty-seven (84.8%) of the 79 favorable outcome subjects had been treated for more than 24 months versus 203 (73.6%) of the 276 (P < .05). Current use of ADHD pharmacotherapy (70.9% vs 61.5%) was not significantly different between groups. In a binary stepwise logistic regression analysis, the baseline variables sex, age, number of ADHD symptoms, ADHD treatment before adulthood, and comorbidity were selected as possible predictive factors. Only psychiatric comorbidity predicted poorer outcome (odds ratio = 0.34, 95% CI, 0.19–0.61, P < .001).

#### DISCUSSION

In this naturalistic follow-up study of adults with ADHD, one-third of eligible subjects agreed to participate. However, participants and nonparticipants did not differ on core ADHD symptoms nor substantially on mental distress as measured by SCL-90-R scores at baseline. Health services are publicly funded, and no selection of subjects referred for assessment was done. Baseline characteristics of the study sample are similar to other studies of adults with ADHD.<sup>36-38</sup> Therefore, we find the study to be fairly representative.

Our study has 4 main findings. First, among participants, a majority reported ongoing treatment with ADHD medication after more than 4 years. Second, current treatment was associated with fewer self-reported ADHD symptoms and better mental health functioning. Third, pharmacologic treatment for more than 2 years was associated with better outcome. Fourth, psychiatric comorbidity at baseline predicted poorer outcome.

One of the main challenges in treatment is that "drugs don't work in patients who don't take them."<sup>39(p487)</sup> In studies of ADHD samples, difficulties with compliance with drug treatment over time have been reported frequently.<sup>40–43</sup> Although based on self-report, the high percentage of current treatment among participants in our study is striking. However, in a study on medication adherence in outpatients with severe mental disorders, Jónsdóttir et al<sup>44</sup> found patients' self-report to be a fairly reliable method for measuring adherence. Possible explanations for our finding can be found in the Nordic welfare system, which is based on equal treatment conditions, eg, the availability of specialists for all inhabitants, and expenses for necessary medical treatment are mostly covered by the national welfare system.

The efficacy of short-term ADHD pharmacotherapy in adults on core symptoms is well documented.<sup>10,45,46</sup> Openlabel studies of stimulant medication in adolescents and adults with ADHD lasting up to 24 months have, despite high attrition rates, underpinned this finding.<sup>20,21,47</sup> We found our results to be in line with this. Interestingly, Volkow et al<sup>48</sup> recently provided neurobiological findings that increase of dopamine enhancement in specific brain areas was associated with response to long-term treatment with methylphenidate. However, most subjects still on medication reported significant ADHD symptoms. Thus, the overall impact of ADHD pharmacotherapy on academic, occupational, or social functioning in adults with ADHD is uncertain in our sample, as it is in studies reported by others.<sup>10</sup> One possible way to improve outcome may be found in more individualized and optimized treatment of ADHD, which has been highlighted recently.<sup>49</sup>

The mean MHI-5 score of 62 for the entire sample was well below an optimized cutoff score of 76. Along with the relatively high symptom load, the high proportion of comorbidity may explain this finding. Indeed, a score of 62 is more in line with the mean MHI-5 score of 67, which was found for any anxiety disorder in a large population-based study among 7,000 adults.<sup>33</sup> Overall, the result underscores the importance of assessment of daily functioning and comorbid conditions. Only a minority of our patients reported a level of ADHD symptomatology and mental health functioning that is in line with remission as a proposed goal for treatment.<sup>50,51</sup>

We lack empirical data for making decisions about time on treatment for ADHD in patients at different ages. Earlier, the common opinion was that treatment should be lifelong. However, adherence to medication over time is challenging.<sup>52</sup> Our study provides some information suggesting that treatment of adults with ADHD in many cases should be continued for at least 2 years.

In keeping with the findings of our study, psychiatric comorbidity has been identified as an important factor for impairment and persistence of ADHD symptoms in other studies.<sup>53,54</sup>

#### Limitations

Several limitations of our study have to be considered. First, of the eligible patients, only a minority agreed to participate, which may have led to a selection bias. There was, however, no difference in main sample characteristics between participants and nonparticipants. Second, the cross-sectional nature of the study does not permit causal conclusions. Third, unlike other studies, our study sample comprised a quite balanced gender distribution, which may have influenced the findings. However, as documented by others, sex differences as to severity of symptoms and clinical presentations are limited in adults with ADHD.<sup>55,56</sup> Fourth, our finding regarding current use of ADHD medication is cross-sectional, and we have not been able to investigate continuous use or switch of medication during the observation period. Fifth, study results are based on self-report alone, and the reliability can be questioned. However, other studies have documented that adults with ADHD are reliable reporters of their current symptoms<sup>57</sup> and even are at risk to underestimate their own ADHD-related impairment.58

#### Strengths

The naturalistic design, length of observation time, and the fairly representative study sample reflect common clinical challenges with respect to follow-up of adults with ADHD.

# CONCLUSION

Pharmacologic treatment of ADHD for more than 2 years was associated with better functioning. Comorbidity at baseline predicted poorer outcome.

*Drug names:* atomoxetine (Strattera), methylphenidate (Concerta, Ritalin LA, and others).

*Author affiliations:* Women and Children's Division (Mr Lensing), Division of Mental Health and Addiction (Drs Zeiner and Opjordsmoen), and Unit of Biostatistics and Epidemiology (Dr Sandvik), Oslo University Hospital; and Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo (Dr Opjordsmoen), Oslo, Norway.

**Potential conflicts of interest: Mr Lensing** has been a member of the Scientific Committee of the Annual Nordic Psychiatric Academy ADHD Meeting, organized and financially supported by Janssen. **Drs Zeiner**, **Sandvik**, and **Opjordsmoen** have no personal affiliations or financial relationships with any commercial interest to disclose relative to the article.

*Funding /support:* The study has been made possible through financial support from the Norwegian Directorate of Health, Oslo, Norway. *Role of sponsor:* The sponsor had no further role in study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Additional information: Eligible subjects were identified from the register of the Regional Expert Team for southeastern Norway. The database is owned by Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway. For information on accessing the database, contact Michael B. Lensing (miclen@ous-hf.no).

#### REFERENCES

- Biederman J, Petty CR, Monuteaux MC, et al. Adult psychiatric outcomes of girls with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: 11-year follow-up in a longitudinal case-control study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2010;167(4):409–417.
- Biederman J, Petty CR, Clarke A, et al. Predictors of persistent ADHD: an 11-year follow-up study. J Psychiatr Res. 2011;45(2):150–155.
- 3. Polanczyk G, de Lima MS, Horta BL, et al. The worldwide prevalence of ADHD: a systematic review and metaregression analysis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2007;164(6):942–948.
- Remschmidt H; Global ADHD Working Group. Global consensus on ADHD/HKD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005;14(3):127–137.
- Fayyad J, De Graaf R, Kessler R, et al. Cross-national prevalence and correlates of adult attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2007;190(5):402–409.
- Able SL, Johnston JA, Adler LA, et al. Functional and psychosocial impairment in adults with undiagnosed ADHD. *Psychol Med.* 2007;37(1): 97–107.
- Barkley RA, Fischer M, Smallish L, et al. Young adult follow-up of hyperactive children: antisocial activities and drug use. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2004;45(2):195–211.
- Mannuzza S, Klein RG. Long-term prognosis in attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. *Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am.* 2000;9(3): 711–726.
- Kendall T, Taylor E, Perez A, et al; Guideline Development Group. Diagnosis and management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children, young people, and adults: summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ*. 2008;337(1):a1239.
- Santosh PJ, Sattar S, Canagaratnam M. Efficacy and tolerability of pharmacotherapies for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in adults. *CNS Drugs.* 2011;25(9):737–763.
- 11. Godfrey J. Safety of therapeutic methylphenidate in adults: a systematic review of the evidence. J Psychopharmacol. 2009;23(2):194–205.
- Torgersen T, Gjervan B, Rasmussen K. Treatment of adult ADHD: is current knowledge useful to clinicians? *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2008; 4(1):177–186.
- Bejerot S, Rydén EM, Arlinde CM. Two-year outcome of treatment with central stimulant medication in adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a prospective study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010;71(12):1590–1597.
- Wender PH, Reimherr FW, Marchant BK, et al. A one year trial of methylphenidate in the treatment of ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2011; 15(1):36–45.
- 15. Surman CB, Monuteaux MC, Petty CR, et al. Representativeness of participants in a clinical trial for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? comparison with adults from a large observational study.

J Clin Psychiatry. 2010;71(12):1612-1616.

- Weiss MD, Gibbins C, Goodman DW, et al. Moderators and mediators of symptoms and quality of life outcomes in an open-label study of adults treated for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2010;71(4):381–390.
- Olfson M, Marcus SC, Zhang HF, et al. Continuity in methylphenidate treatment of adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Manag Care Pharm. 2007;13(7):570–577.
- Perwien A, Hall J, Swensen A, et al. Stimulant treatment patterns and compliance in children and adults with newly treated attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. J Manag Care Pharm. 2004;10(2):122–129.
- Adler LA, Spencer TJ, Williams DW, et al. Long-term, open-label safety and efficacy of atomoxetine in adults with ADHD: final report of a 4-year study. J Atten Disord. 2008;12(3):248–253.
- Adler LA, Orman C, Starr HL, et al. Long-term safety of OROS methylphenidate in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: an open-label, dose-titration, 1-year study. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2011;31(1):108–114.
- Biederman J, Spencer TJ, Wilens TE, et al; SLI381.304 study group. Long-term safety and effectiveness of mixed amphetamine salts extended release in adults with ADHD. CNS Spectr. 2005; 10(suppl 20):16–25.
- 22. Aanonsen NO, Prietz R, Sandven I, et al. Rapport til Sosial- og Helsedirektoratet. Utprøvende behandling med sentralstimulerende legemidler til voksne med hyperkinetisk forstyrrelse/ADHD. Erfaringer fra prøveperioden oktober 1997 til august 2003. (Report to the Norwegian Directorate of Health. Testing treatment with stimulant medication in adults with hyperkinetic disorder/ADHD. Experiences from the test-period from October 1997 to August 2003). Oslo, Norway: Ullevaal University Hospital; 2004.
- 23. Aanonsen NO, Prietz R, Gørvell PF, et al. Utprøvende behandling med sentralstimulerende legemidler til voksne med hyperkinetisk forstyrrelse/ ADHD. Supplement til "Rapport til Sosial- og helsedirektoratet. Erfaringer fra prøveperioden oktober 1997 til august 2003. (Testing treatment with stimulant medication in adults with hyperkinetic disorders/ADHD. Supplement to "Report to the Norwegian Directorate of Health. Experiences from the test-period from October 1997 to August 2003). Oslo, Norway: Ullevaal University Hospital; 2005.
- World Health Organization (WHO). The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders: Clinical Descriptions and Diagnostic Guidelines. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1992.
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual* of *Mental Disorders*, Fourth Edition. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
- 26. Vassend O, Lian L, Andersen HT. Norske versjoner av NEO personality inventory, symptom checklist 90 revised og Giessen symptom checklist. Del 1 (Norwegian versions of NEO personality inventory, symptom checklist 90 revised and Giessen symptom checklist, pt 1). *Tidsskr Nor Psykol foren*. 1992;29:1150–1160.
- Amen D. General Adult ADD Symptom Checklist. In: Clark C, ed. *ADHD Throughout The Life Span*. Las Vegas, NV: Randon Clark Publications; 1997:28–33.
- World Health Organization (WHO) and Workgroup on Adult ADHD. Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS) Symptom Checklist; 2003 http://misc.medscape.com/pi/editorial/clinupdates/2003/2499/ adler-adhdscreen.pdf. Accessed August 29, 2012.
- 29. Kessler RC, Adler LA, Gruber MJ, et al. Validity of the World Health Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS) Screener in a representative sample of health plan members. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* 2007;16(2):52–65.
- 30. Taylor A, Deb S, Unwin G. Scales for the identification of adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a systematic review. *Res Dev Disabil.* 2011;32(3):924–938.
- Ware JE Jr, Kosinski M, Gandek B. SF-36 Health Survey: Manual & Interpretation Guide. 2nd ed. Lincoln, RI: Quality Metric Incorporated; 2000.
- Loge JH, Kaasa S. Short form 36 (SF-36) health survey: normative data from the general Norwegian population. *Scand J Soc Med.* 1998;26(4): 250–258.
- 33. Cuijpers P, Smits N, Donker T, et al. Screening for mood and anxiety disorders with the five-item, the three-item, and the two-item Mental Health Inventory. *Psychiatry Res.* 2009;168(3):250–255.
- 34. Strand BH, Dalgard OS, Tambs K, et al. Measuring the mental health status of the Norwegian population: a comparison of the instruments SCL-25, SCL-10, SCL-5 and MHI-5 (SF-36). Nord J Psychiatry. 2003; 57(2):113–118.

- Kelly MJ, Dunstan FD, Lloyd K, et al. Evaluating cutpoints for the MHI-5 and MCS using the GHQ-12: a comparison of five different methods. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2008;8(1):10.
- 36. Halmøy A, Fasmer OB, Gillberg C, et al. Occupational outcome in adult ADHD: impact of symptom profile, comorbid psychiatric problems, and treatment: a cross-sectional study of 414 clinically diagnosed adult ADHD patients. J Atten Disord. 2009;13(2):175–187.
- Kessler RC, Adler L, Barkley R, et al. The prevalence and correlates of adult ADHD in the United States: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2006;163(4):716–723.
- Torgersen T, Gjervan B, Rasmussen K. ADHD in adults: a study of clinical characteristics, impairment and comorbidity. *Nord J Psychiatry*. 2006;60(1):38–43.
- Osterberg L, Blaschke T. Adherence to medication. N Engl J Med. 2005; 353(5):487–497.
- 40. Adler LD, Nierenberg AA. Review of medication adherence in children and adults with ADHD. *Postgrad Med.* 2010;122(1):184–191.
- McCarthy S, Asherson P, Coghill D, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: treatment discontinuation in adolescents and young adults. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2009;194(3):273–277.
- 42. Charach A, Ickowicz A, Schachar R. Stimulant treatment over five years: adherence, effectiveness, and adverse effects. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2004;43(5):559–567.
- Winterstein AG, Gerhard T, Shuster J, et al. Utilization of pharmacologic treatment in youths with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Medicaid database. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2008;42(1):24–31.
- 44. Jónsdóttir H, Opjordsmoen S, Birkenaes AB, et al. Medication adherence in outpatients with severe mental disorders: relation between self-reports and serum level. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2010;30(2):169–175.
- Faraone SV, Glatt SJ. A comparison of the efficacy of medications for adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder using meta-analysis of effect sizes. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010;71(6):754–763.
- Wilens TE, Morrison NR, Prince J. An update on the pharmacotherapy of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adults. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2011;11(10):1443–1465.
- 47. Wilens T, McBurnett K, Stein M, et al. ADHD treatment with once-daily

OROS methylphenidate: final results from a long-term open-label study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005;44(10):1015–1023.

- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Tomasi D, et al. Methylphenidate-elicited dopamine increases in ventral striatum are associated with long-term symptom improvement in adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Neurosci. 2012;32(3):841–849.
- Powell SG, Thomsen PH, Frydenberg M, et al. Long-term treatment of ADHD with stimulants: a large observational study of real-life patients. *J Atten Disord*. 2010;15(6):439–451.
- Ramos-Quiroga JA, Casas M. Achieving remission as a routine goal of pharmacotherapy in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *CNS Drugs*. 2011;25(1):17–36.
- Steele M, Jensen PS, Quinn DM. Remission versus response as the goal of therapy in ADHD: a new standard for the field? *Clin Ther.* 2006; 28(11):1892–1908.
- van de Loo-Neus GH, Rommelse N, Buitelaar JK. To stop or not to stop? how long should medication treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder be extended? *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2011;21(8):584–599.
- 53. Biederman J, Petty CR, Evans M, et al. How persistent is ADHD? a controlled 10-year follow-up study of boys with ADHD. *Psychiatry Res.* 2010;177(3):299–304.
- Biederman J, Petty CR, O'Connor KB, et al. Predictors of persistence in girls with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: results from an 11-year controlled follow-up study. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2012;125(2):147–156.
- Biederman J, Faraone SV, Monuteaux MC, et al. Gender effects on attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adults, revisited. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2004;55(7):692–700.
- Rasmussen K, Levander S. Untreated ADHD in adults: are there sex differences in symptoms, comorbidity, and impairment? *J Atten Disord*. 2009;12(4):353–360.
- Murphy P, Schachar R. Use of self-ratings in the assessment of symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adults. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000;157(7):1156–1159.
- Manor I, Vurembrandt N, Rozen S, et al. Low self-awareness of ADHD in adults using a self-report screening questionnaire. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2012;27(5):314–320.