It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. To Be Continued? Long-Term Treatment Effects of Antidepressant Drug Classes and Individual Antidepressants on the Risk of Developing Dementia: A German Case-Control Study

Claudia Bartels, PhD^{a,*}; Michael Belz, PhD^a; Jonathan Vogelgsang, MD^a; Philipp Hessmann, MD^a; Jens Bohlken, MD, PhD^b; Jens Wiltfang, MD^{a,c,d,‡}; and Karel Kostev, PhD^{e,‡}

ABSTRACT

Background: Given the need for disease-modifying therapies for dementia, drug repurposing appears to be a promising approach, at least as a risk reduction treatment. Preclinical studies suggest that antidepressants—in particular selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors—have beneficial effects on dementia-related biomarkers and functional outcomes, although clinical data are inconclusive. The present case-control study aimed to evaluate the effects of antidepressant drug classes and individual compounds with different treatment durations on the risk of developing dementia.

Methods: Analyses are based on data from the German Disease Analyzer database (owned and maintained by IQVIA) and included 62,317 subjects with an incident dementia diagnosis (*ICD-10*: F01, F03, G30, F06.7) and controls matched by age, sex, and physician between January 2013 and December 2017. Logistic regression analyses adjusting for health insurance status and comorbid diseases associated with dementia or antidepressant use were performed to investigate the association between dementia incidence and treatment with 4 major antidepressant drug classes and 14 of the most frequently prescribed individual substances.

Results: In 17 of 18 comparisons, long-term treatment (\ge 2 years) with any antidepressant was associated with a lower incidence of dementia than short-term treatment. Tricyclic and herbal antidepressants were associated with a decrease in dementia incidence, especially with long-term treatment. The lowest risks for dementia on an individual substance basis were identified for long-term treatment with escitalopram (odds ratio [OR] = 0.66; 95% CI, 0.50–0.89) and *Hypericum perforatum* (OR = 0.6; 95% CI, 0.51–0.70).

Conclusions: Long-term treatment with tricyclic antidepressants, *Hypericum perforatum*, or escitalopram may be associated with reduced incidence of dementia. If antidepressant therapy is well tolerated, continuation—even if depressive symptoms have resolved—may be considered even beyond the purpose of relapse prevention. Future combined analyses of multinational registries and long-term clinical trials are needed to substantiate these findings.

J Clin Psychiatry 2020;81(5):19m13205

To cite: Bartels C, Belz M, Vogelgsang J, et al. To be continued? Long-term treatment effects of antidepressant drug classes and individual antidepressants on the risk of developing dementia: a German case-control study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2020;81(5):19m13205. *To share:* https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.19m13205

© Copyright 2020 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

^aDepartment of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center Goettingen, Goettingen, Germany

^bInstitute for Social Medicine, Occupational Health and Public Health (ISAP), Medical Faculty of the University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

^cGerman Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Goettingen, Germany

^diBiMED, Medical Sciences Department, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, Aveiro, Portugal

^eEpidemiology, IQVIA, Frankfurt, Germany

‡Both authors contributed equally to the work as senior authors.

*Corresponding author: Claudia Bartels, PhD, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center Goettingen, Von-Siebold-Str. 5, D-37075 Goettingen, Germany (claudia.bartels@med.uni-goettingen.de).

riven primarily by demographic change, the prevalence of dementia is steadily increasing,¹ causing an urgent need for the development of effective disease-modifying, preventive, or risk-reduction treatments. For Alzheimer's disease (AD), the most frequent cause of dementia, available symptomatic medication is approved only for conditions of dementia in which patients already suffer from severe cognitive decline. Since molecular pathophysiology in AD already occurs years to decades before first clinical symptoms arise,² more recent approaches have focused on earlier stages of AD and preventive strategies.³ Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is a pre-dementia stage in which pathologic features of AD can already be detected by biomarkers.⁴ Overall, a treatment that delays dementia onset would reduce dementia prevalence noticeably.³ In particular, interventions addressing modifiable dementia risk factors may have considerable impact in terms of risk reduction, prevention, or delayed progression. To accelerate accessibility, repurposing already approved medication for additional indications has been recognized as a promising strategy.⁵

Depression could represent one of these potentially modifiable risk factors for allcause dementia.³ Numerous studies^{6–10} have concordantly demonstrated a strong association between depression and an increased risk of subsequent dementia. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) are commonly used to treat depressive symptoms in AD dementia. Preclinical research in recent years has suggested that SSRIs reduce amyloid plaque burden in transgenic mouse models of AD and in cognitively healthy humans,^{11–13} attenuate amyloid- β_{1-42} -induced tau hyperphosphorylation in cell culture,¹⁴ and improve cognition in mice.^{13,15}

However, randomized clinical trials testing effects of SSRIs on cognition in AD dementia have mostly yielded negative results.^{16–22} As the

For reprints or permissions, contact permissions@psychiatrist.com. • © **2020 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.** J Clin Psychiatry 81:5, September/October 2020 PSYCHIATRIST.COM I e 1

Bartels et al It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.

Clinical Points

- Depression is a potentially modifiable risk factor for dementia, but effects of antidepressants-both drug classes and individual substances—and treatment duration on the progression to dementia are unclear so far.
- If due caution is observed, continuation of antidepressant therapy even beyond remission of depression may be considered in old-age depression and may be associated with a reduced risk of developing dementia.

only exceptions, 1 clinical trial²³ with 12-week sertraline treatment found favorable effects, and the only study²⁴ with MCI subjects showed positive results on cognition with fluoxetine treatment.

In addition to clinical trials, a growing body of evidence has accumulated using registries and epidemiologic databases. When investigating the general impact of antidepressants on cognitive decline or dementia, these studies found either no association,^{25,26} a 2-fold risk increase,²⁷ or a lower mortality risk.²⁸ To test for effects of differential treatments, various antidepressants were grouped into drug classes, and-in most cases-subjects without antidepressant medication were compared to those with SSRI or tricyclic antidepressant (TCA) use. Thus, controversial findings have been collected with (1) both SSRIs and TCAs increasing the risk of MCI or dementia,²⁹⁻³² (2) both exerting no effect on dementia risk,³³ or (3) mixed results favoring TCA use,^{34,35} with an increased dementia risk with SSRIs³⁶ or outcomes favoring SSRI use.37-39

Few studies have addressed which antidepressants may influence the risk of developing dementia. Burke et al⁴⁰ reported that individual antidepressants (mainly SSRIs) reversed the increased dementia risk to a nonsignificant level, with the lowest hazard ratios for escitalopram. In a recent study⁴¹—with SSRIs and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) but without TCAs-only venlafaxine significantly decreased dementia risk.

Furthermore, evidence for treatment duration effects on the risk of developing dementia is particularly rare. Data from Danish registries suggest that long-term antidepressant treatment decreases the overall dementia rate,⁴² mainly attributable to protective effects of TCAs, whereas no effects could be found for SSRIs or newer non-SSRIs.⁴³ In contrast, Bartels et al⁴⁴ were able to demonstrate that long-term SSRI treatment (>4 years) could delay clinical progression from MCI to AD dementia in patients with a history of depression by 3 years.

On the basis of these promising effects of long-term SSRI treatment, we aimed to analyze the effects of different antidepressants on the risk of developing dementia in a case-control study. Specifically, we investigated the effects of (1) 4 major antidepressant drug classes (SSRIs, SNRIs, TCAs, herbal antidepressants), (2) 14 of the most commonly prescribed individual antidepressants, and (3) short-term and long-term treatment.

Study Population

This observational study included patients with an incident diagnosis of dementia (ICD-10: F01, F03, G30, F06.7) in 1,203 general practices in Germany between January 2013 and December 2017 (index date; Figure 1). Incident dementia diagnosis is defined as the time point of the first documentation of the diagnosis by a general practitioner. Inclusion criteria were age ≥ 65 years at the index date and observation period of at least 12 months prior to the index date. Patients with psychotic (ICD-10: F20-F25), manic (F30), bipolar (F31), or psychoactive substance use disorders (F10-F19, except for F17) prior to the index date were excluded.

Dementia patients were matched to non-dementia controls by age, sex, physician, and index year. For the controls, the index date was that of a randomly selected visit between January 2013 and December 2017 (Figure 1). In total, 62,317 dementia patients and 62,317 controls were analyzed.

Database

This case-control study was based on data from the German Disease Analyzer database (proprietary dataset of the contract research organization IQVIA; Frankfurt, Germany), which compiles drug prescriptions, diagnoses, basic medical and demographic data obtained directly and in an anonymous format from computer systems used in the practices of general practitioners and specialists.45 The database covers approximately 3% of all outpatient practices in Germany. Diagnoses (according to ICD-10), prescriptions (according to the Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical classification system), and the quality of reported data are monitored by IQVIA. The sampling methods used to select physicians' practices are appropriate for obtaining a representative database of general and specialized practices.45

Because patients were queried only as aggregates and no protected health information was available for queries, according to German law, no IRB approval was required.

Study Outcomes

The main outcome of this study was the incidence of dementia as a function of the use of antidepressant drug classes (SSRIs, SNRIs, TCAs, herbal antidepressants) and most frequently prescribed antidepressants (citalopram, escitalopram, fluoxetine, paroxetine, sertraline, venlafaxine, duloxetine, amitriptyline, doxepin, opipramol, trimipramine, Hypericum perforatum, lithium, mirtazapine). Covariates included codiagnoses associated with dementia or antidepressant drug use and were tested for between-group differences (diabetes mellitus [E10-E14], hyperlipidemia [E78], ischemic heart disease [I20-I25], hypertension [I10], heart failure [I50], renal failure [N18, N19], stroke including transient ischemic attack [I60-I64, G45], intracranial injury [S06], epilepsy [G40, G41], Parkinson's disease [G20, G21],



osteoporosis [M80, M81], rheumatoid arthritis [M05, M06], depression [F32, F33] of varying severity [F32.0–F32.3, F33.0–F33.3], depression without severity information [F32.8, F32.9, F33.8, F33.9], anxiety [F41], adjustment disorder [F43], and somatoform disorder [F45]). Due to prescription practice for antidepressants in Germany, the status of health insurance coverage was also examined. Each codiagnosis relevant to dementia or antidepressant use was used equally weighted as a binary variable (with values yes = 1 or no = 0) in both regression analyses.

Statistical Analyses

Differences in the sample characteristics between those with and those without dementia were tested using χ^2 tests for categorical variables and Wilcoxon tests for continuous variables. Two logistic regression models were then conducted to study the association between antidepressant use and dementia incidence after adjusting for covariates (health insurance status and codiagnoses associated with dementia or antidepressant use). In the first model, general treatment effects of antidepressants were analyzed (ever used vs never used). To investigate effects of treatment duration on dementia risk, the study sample was divided by median split (median = 710 days of treatment duration) into short-term and long-term antidepressant treatment groups in the second model. Treatment duration was defined as the

number of days starting from the first prescription date of the defined individual antidepressant or drug class to the last day of supply with the last prescription.

Due to the large number of covariates in the regression models, Bonferroni correction was carried out to counteract the problem of α error accumulation, resulting in $P \le .001$ being considered statistically significant. Analyses were carried out using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute; Cary, North Carolina; release date: July 2013).

RESULTS

Basic Characteristics of the Study Sample

The present observational study included 62,317 patients with and 62,317 patients without dementia. The basic characteristics of the study patients are displayed in Table 1 (mean [SD] age = 80.9 [6.9] years; 60.9% women). Dementia patients had private health insurance coverage less frequently than non-dementia controls (5.3% vs 9.6%, $P \le .001$). Diagnoses associated with dementia or antidepressant use differed significantly between dementia patients and controls ($P \le .001$) in all but one instance (ischemic heart disease: P = .005). Most importantly, since the rate of having depression was much higher in dementia patients compared to controls (31.1% vs 24.0%, $P \le .001$), and depression was thus associated with an increased dementia risk (odds

Table 1. Basic Characteristics of the Study Sample After 1:1 Matching by Age, Sex, Physician, and Index Year^a

	Patients With	Patients Without		
	Dementia	Dementia		
Variable	(n=62,317)	(n=62,317)	P Value	
Sociodemographic Variables				
Age, mean (SD), y	80.9 (6.9)	80.9 (6.9)	1.000	
65–69 y	4.8	4.8		
70–79 y	38.6	38.6		
80–89 y	45.2	45.2		
≥90 y	11.5	11.5		
Women	60.9	60.9	1.000	
Men	39.1	39.1		
Private health insurance coverage	5.3	9.6	<.001	
Statutory health insurance coverage	94.7	90.4		
Variables Associated With Dementia (diagnos	ses documented	prior to index of	date) ^b	
Diabetes mellitus (E10–E14)	40.3	35.2	<.001	
Hyperlipidemia (E78)	55.7	58.6	<.001	
Ischemic heart disease (I20–I25)	38.0	37.3	.005	
Hypertension (I10)	80.6	81.8	<.001	
Heart failure (I50)	26.4	22.8	<.001	
Renal failure (N18, N19)	19.8	15.8	<.001	
Stroke including TIA (I60–I64, G45)	28.9	23.7	<.001	
Intracranial injury (S06)	2.0	1.4	<.001	
Epilepsy (G40, G41)	3.0	1.7	<.001	
Parkinson's disease (G20, G21)	4.5	2.7	<.001	
Osteoporosis (M80, M81)	19.7	18.9	<.001	
Rheumatoid arthritis (M05, M06)	5.2	4.9	<.001	
Variables Associated With Antidepressant Drug Use (diagnoses documented prior to index date)				
Depression (F32, F33)	31.1	24.0	<.001	
Mild depression (F32.0, F33.0)	1.6	1.4	.001	
Moderate depression (F32.1, F33.1)	4.3	3.4	<.001	
Severe depression (F32.2, F32.3, F33.2, F33.3)	2.1	1.3	<.001	
Depression without severity information (F32.8, F32.9, F33.8, F33.9)	23.2	18.0	<.001	
Anxiety disorder (F41)	9.9	7.4	<.001	
Adjustment disorder (F43)	9.7	8.8	<.001	
Somatoform disorder (F45)	16.8	15.7	<.001	

^aValues are shown as percentages unless otherwise indicated.

^bDiagnoses made according to *ICD-10* criteria (*ICD-10* codes shown in parentheses). Abbreviation: SD = standard deviation.

ratios [ORs] = 1.26 - 1.78 for depression of varying severity, $P \le .001$ for all), a significantly higher number of dementia patients were treated with antidepressants. To minimize bias by indication, depression was integrated as a covariate into both adjusted logistic regression models among others.

Association Between Antidepressant Drug Classes

and the Risk of Developing Dementia

Table 2 presents the proportions of dementia patients and controls who received different antidepressants grouped into 4 major antidepressant drug classes (SSRIs, SNRIs, TCAs, herbal antidepressants).

In an overall comparison of antidepressant drug classes, the results of the first adjusted logistic regression model (ever vs never used) revealed that (1) SSRIs (OR=1.29; 95% CI, 1.24–1.35; $P \le .001$) and SNRIs (OR=1.33; 95% CI, 1.14–1.31; $P \le .001$) were significantly associated with an increase in dementia incidence, whereas (2) use of TCAs (OR=0.83; 95% CI, 0.80–0.86) and herbal antidepressants (OR=0.80; 95% CI 0.74–0.86) was significantly associated with a decreased dementia risk (Figure 2).

Association Between Individual Antidepressants and the Risk of Developing Dementia

The first adjusted logistic regression model was also used to address the general impact of individual antidepressants (ever vs never used; Figure 2). Among SSRIs, an increased dementia risk was identified exclusively for citalopram $(OR = 1.33; 95\% CI, 1.27-1.40; P \le .001)$. All remaining associations with SSRIs did not reach significance. Furthermore, neither individual SNRI (ie, venlafaxine and duloxetine) exerted any significant influence on the risk of developing dementia. All TCAs (amitriptyline: OR = 0.91; 95% CI, 0.86–0.95; doxepin: OR = 0.82; 95% CI, 0.77-0.88; opipramol: OR = 0.83; 95% CI, 0.78-0.87; trimipramine: OR = 0.87; 95% CI, 0.81–0.93; $P \le .001$ for all) and *Hypericum perforatum* as an herbal antidepressant (OR = 0.77; 95% CI, 0.72-0.83; $P \le .001$) were associated with a decreased dementia incidence. For other frequently prescribed antidepressants, no association was found for lithium (OR = 1.37; 95% CI, 1.01-1.86; P = .043), but a higher dementia rate was associated with treatment with mirtazapine $(OR = 1.14; 95\% CI, 1.08 - 1.19; P \le .001).$

Association Between Short-Term and Long-Term Antidepressant Treatment and the Risk of Developing Dementia

To analyze the hypothesized effect of treatment duration on dementia risk, we further divided antidepressant use by median-split into short-term (<710 days, ie, approximately 2 years or less) and long-term (\geq 710 days) treatment groups in the second adjusted logistic regression model (Table 2, Figure 3).

In general, SSRIs and SNRIs were associated with increased dementia rates with short-term treatment (SSRIs: OR = 1.42; 95% CI, 1.35-1.50; SNRIs: OR = 1.25; 95% CI, 1.14-1.37). These effects were attenuated by long-term therapy (SSRIs: OR = 1.18; 95% CI, 1.10–1.27; SNRIs: OR = 1.16; 95% CI, 1.00-1.37), but still yielded significant negative effects. In TCAs, the risk of developing dementia was significantly reduced by long-term treatment (OR=0.78; 95% CI, 0.75-0.82), whereas short-term treatment (<2 years) did not lead to any significant effect (OR = 1.03; 95% CI, 0.99-1.07). Short-term treatment with herbal antidepressants was associated with a significantly lowered dementia incidence (OR = 0.86; 95% CI, 0.79–0.93), which further decreased with long-term treatment (OR = 0.59; 95% CI, 0.51-0.69).

Investigation of individual substances found a similar pattern (Figure 3), in which long-term

Table 2. Proportions of Patients With and Without Dementia Who Received Antidepressant Therapy in General Practices in Germany^a

	Patients	Patients
	With Dementia	Without Dementia
	(total n=62,317),	(total n=62,317),
Variable	n (%)	n (%)
Antidepressant Drug Classes		
SSRI	6 279 (10 08)	4 062 (6 52)
Short term	4 234 (6 79)	2 590 (4 16)
Long term	2 045 (3 28)	1 472 (2 36)
SNBI	1 683 (2 70)	1 1 2 (2.50)
Short term	1,003 (2.70)	783 (1.26)
Long term	482 (0 77)	337 (0 54)
	13 077 (20 98)	11 655 (18 70)
Short term	7 794 (12 51)	6 431 (10 32)
Long term	5 283 (8 48)	5 224 (8 38)
Herbal antidepressants	1 602 (2 57)	1 779 (2 85)
Short term	1 321 (2 12)	1 367 (2.00)
Long term	281 (0.45)	412 (0.66)
Individual Antidepressants	201 (0.13)	112 (0.00)
	4 706 (7 70)	2 0 6 5 (4 7 6)
Citalopram (SSRI)	4,796 (7.70)	2,965 (4.76)
Short term	3,347 (5.37)	2,025 (3.25)
Long term	1,449 (2.33)	940 (1.51)
Escitalopram (SSRI)	6/5 (1.08)	437 (0.70)
Short term	586 (0.94)	328 (0.53)
Long term	89 (0.14)	109 (0.17)
Fluoxetine (SSRI)	350 (0.56)	285 (0.46)
Short term	264 (0.42)	208 (0.33)
Long term	86 (0.14)	77 (0.12)
Chartharma	375 (0.60)	328 (0.53)
Short term	200 (0.42)	215 (0.35)
Long term	115 (0.18)	TT3 (0.18)
Sertraine (SSRI)	721 (1.10)	519 (0.83)
Short term	535 (0.80) 196 (0.20)	370 (0.59)
Long term	180 (0.30)	149 (0.24)
Chartharma	1,001 (1.01)	641 (1.03)
Short term	703 (1.13)	440 (0.72) 105 (0.21)
Dulovating (SNDI)	290 (0.40) 720 (1.10)	195 (0.51) E22 (0.9E)
Short torm	/ 30 (1.10) E69 (0.01)	332 (0.63) 200 (0.64)
	200 (0.91) 170 (0.27)	599 (0.04) 122 (0.21)
Amitrintuling (TCA)	170 (0.27)	155 (0.21) 2 EGO (E 72)
Amicipityine (TCA)	2,009 (0.11)	5,509 (5.75) 2,425 (2,01)
	2,070 (4.30)	2,455 (5.91)
Dovopin (TCA)	1,131(1.01)	1,134 (1.02)
Short form	2,000 (5.21)	2,001 (3.34)
Long torm	665 (1.07)	1,308 (2.10)
Opipromol (TCA)	2 6 2 2 (5 9 1)	2 707 (5 05)
Short torm	2,022 (3.01)	3,707 (3.93)
Long torm	2,304 (4.02)	2,494 (4.00)
Triminramina (TCA)	1,119(1.00)	1,213 (1.93)
Short torm	1,039 (2.93)	1,795 (2.00)
Long term	500 (0.05)	646 (1.04)
Long term	1 507 (2 56)	1 774 (2 95)
(borbal antidoproscant)	1,397 (2.30)	1,774 (2.03)
(herbai antidepressant)	1 217 (2 11)	1 2(5 (2 10)
Short term	1,317 (2.11)	1,365 (2.19)
Long term	280 (0.45)	409 (0.66)
Lithium (other)	123 (0.20)	66 (U.11)
Short term	55 (0.09)	31 (0.05)
Long term	68 (0.11)	35 (0.06)
wirtazapine (other)	4,6/4 (7.50)	3,619 (5.81)
Short term	3,407 (5.56)	2,301 (3.79)
Long term	1,207 (1.94)	988 (1.59)

^aShort term = treatment duration < 2 years, long term = treatment duration \ge 2 years.

Abbreviations: SNRI = serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor, SSRI = selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, TCA = tricyclic antidepressant. **check PDF on any website**, treatment was associated with lower dementia incidence when compared with short-term treatment in the majority of cases. Here, the most pronounced differences were found for escitalopram (Δ OR for long-term from shortterm treatment with escitalopram = 0.75). Furthermore, the lowest dementia incidence rates were documented for longterm treatment with *Hypericum perforatum* (OR = 0.60; 95% CI, 0.51–0.70; $P \le .001$), escitalopram (OR = 0.66; 95% CI, 0.50–0.89; P = .006), and all TCAs (doxepin: OR = 0.72; 95% CI, 0.65–0.81; trimipramine: OR = 0.75; 95% CI, 0.67–0.84; opipramol: OR = 0.77; 95% CI, 0.70–0.84; amitriptyline: OR = 0.81; 95% CI, 0.74–0.88; $P \le .001$ for all).

Therefore, long-term treatment with such antidepressants as escitalopram, SNRIs, or mirtazapine either reversed increased risk rates to a level of antidepressant nonusers or further decreased dementia incidence below the rate of nonusers (for TCAs: drug class and individual TCAs; for herbal antidepressants: drug class and *Hypericum perforatum*). As illustrated in Figure 3, the same pattern of a reduction in dementia incidence by long-term versus shortterm treatment was determined for 17 of 18 comparisons (lithium as the only exception).

DISCUSSION

In this retrospective analysis of longitudinal-observational data, we showed that long-term antidepressant treatment $(\geq 2 \text{ years})$ with the majority of the most commonly prescribed antidepressants (17 of 18 substances or classes) was associated with a reduction in dementia incidence compared to short-term treatment. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the impact of different antidepressant drug classes and individual antidepressants on the risk of developing dementia in a time-dependent manner. The positive effects of longterm treatment found here were most pronounced for escitalopram, TCAs, and Hypericum perforatum. By implementing covariates associated with dementia or antidepressant use, the impact of comorbidities, such as depression, should be attenuated, and results should rather reflect effects of antidepressant use.

Strengths and Limitations

The IQVIA Disease Analyzer database longitudinally covers time spans of up to 26 years (1992–2018), has already been used for publications in various international journals with multifaceted topics, comprises about 2,500 practices with approximately 20 million patients, and contains closely monitored data. Overall, it can be regarded as a representative data collection of primary health care in Germany.⁴⁵ In this study, sample sizes of 62,317 dementia patients and 62,317 controls allowed for in-depth analyses not only of antidepressant drug classes but also of the most commonly prescribed individual antidepressants. Until now, most studies have been based on heterogeneous substance classes—at least partly contributing to the currently inconclusive empirical body of evidence.

SSRIs	OR=1.29; 95% CI, 1.24–1.35; <i>P</i> ≤.001*		+	
Citalopram	OR = 1.33; 95% CI, 1.27–1.40; P ≤ .001*			
Escitalopram	OR = 1.23; 95% CI, 1.08–1.39; P = .002			
Fluoxetine	OR=0.96; 95% Cl, 0.82-1.13; P=.656			
Paroxetine	OR=0.91; 95% Cl, 0.78-1.06; P=.234		<u> </u>	
Sertraline	OR = 1.05; 95% Cl, 0.93-1.18; P = .433	_		
SNRIs	OR=1.21; 95% CI, 1.14–1.31; P≤.001*			
Venlafaxine	OR = 1.16; 95% CI, 1.05–1.29; P = .005			
Duloxetine	OR = 1.09; 95% Cl, 0.97–1.22; P = .162	-		
TCAs	OR=0.83; 95% Cl, 0.80-0.86; P≤.001*	-		
Amitriptyline	OR=0.91; 95% CI, 0.86–0.95; P≤.001*	-#+		
Doxepin	OR=0.82; 95% CI, 0.77–0.88; P≤.001*			
Opipramol	OR=0.83; 95% Cl, 0.78–0.87; P≤.001*			
Trimipramine	OR=0.87; 95% CI, 0.81–0.93; P≤.001*			
Herbal antidepressants	OR=0.80; 95% Cl, 0.74–0.86; P≤.001*			
Hypericum perforatum	OR=0.77; 95% CI, 0.72–0.83; P≤.001*		1	
Lithium	OR = 1.37; 95% CI, 1.01–1.86; P = .043			
Mirtazapine	OR = 1.14; 95% CI, 1.08−1.19; P≤.001*			
	0		1	2
		Odds	Ratio	

^aMultivariate regression model 1, comparisons to no antidepressant treatment; model adjusted for comorbid diagnoses associated with dementia or antidepressant use, and health insurance coverage. *Statistically significant.

Abbreviations: OR = odds ratio, SNRI = serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor, SSRI = selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, TCA = tricyclic antidepressant.

Most importantly, in our analyses, depression and other comorbidities associated with dementia have been included as covariates to mitigate bias by indication. Nonadjustment for depression and depression-mediated effects may explain missing, negative, or otherwise conflicting results in previous studies.

The limitations of the present work are mostly related to its nature as a registry-based study, prohibiting causal inference in the absence of experimental manipulation and allowing only for discerning associations. These restrictions also affect concomitant medication records and medical history prior to database entry. Furthermore, over-thecounter (OTC) sales, eg, for Hypericum perforatum or Ginkgo biloba products, were not surveyed. In this study, we primarily used categorical variables due to structure of our dataset and the high number of covariates. Discrete data can be criticized due to a reduced sensitivity and limited analysis methods concerning sources of variation if compared to continuous data. More continuous information should be integrated in future analyses of routine datasets (eg, for antidepressant use) to allow for more precise conclusions. As in comparable studies, more detailed information on depression history or prior treatment is not available. Especially for early- versus late-onset depression, different biological mechanisms have been suggested, resulting in different associations with dementia.⁷ In this respect, earlyonset depression may denote a long-term risk factor whereas late-onset depression may be a prodrome of dementia in a subphenotype of cases. Accordingly, it is not possible to rule out completely that our results reflect a potential disproportion in prodromal dementia burden by depression rather than protective effects of long-term antidepressant therapy. Altogether, characteristics of depression such as timing and type of depression and severity and number of episodes as indicators of accumulating disease pathology may have an impact on the risk of developing dementia.¹⁰ Furthermore, it is still unclear whether specific subtypes of depression are connected to specific types of dementia.

In light of the promising preclinical results of antidepressants on AD pathology, a subgroup analysis of AD dementia would have been of great interest. Unfortunately, sample sizes of AD dementia patients were too small, thus preventing such analyses, so that our results are restricted to all-cause dementia.

Accordingly, additional information on depression history and dementia subtypes would have helped to better understand the differential effects of antidepressants. As an alternative, we statistically tried to attenuate the confounding effects of depression on the risk of developing dementia, thereby focusing more on the pharmacologic effects of antidepressants alone. Still, even with depression as a covariate, the variables "depression" and "antidepressant therapy" cannot be completely statistically separated.

Differential Effects of Antidepressant Treatment

In line with previous findings,^{29–32,34,36} we found elevated risks of developing dementia associated with SSRI and SNRI treatment, especially with short-term treatment. This is surprising, since a compelling body of preclinical evidence has suggested that SSRIs may reduce amyloid plaque burden and may positively affect neuroinflammation, acetylcholine release, neurodegeneration, or neurogenesis, all of which are factors relevant to dementia.^{37,46} Furthermore, clinical

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. Figure 3. Association Between the Duration of Antidepressant Treatment (Short Term [ST; < 2 years] and Long Term [LT; ≥ 2 years]) and Risk of Dementia^a

	OP-1 42:05% CL 1 35-1 50: P< 001*	
	$OR = 1.42, 95\%$ Cl, $1.33 = 1.30, 7 \le .001$	
ST citalonram	OR = 1.39,95% Cl 1.31=1.48, P < 0.01*	
IT citalopram	$OP = 1.25, 95\%$ Cl, $1.31 = 1.46, T \le .001$	
CT assitalanram	OP = 1.41, OE0(Cl = 1.22, 1.62, P = 0.01*)	
	OR = 1.41; 95% CI, 1.25 - 1.05; P = .001	
	OR = 0.00; 95% CI, 0.50-0.89; P = .000	
ST fluoxetine	OR = 0.99; 95% CI, 0.82 - 1.20; P = .935	
	OR=0.93; 95% CI, 0.67–1.27; P=.632	
SI paroxetine	OR=1.01; 95% CI, 0.84–1.22; P=.916	
LI paroxetine	OR=0.84; 95% CI, 0.64–1.09; P=.187	
ST sertraline	OR=1.12; 95% Cl, 0.98–1.28; P=.111	
LT sertraline	OR=0.94; 95% Cl, 0.75–1.17; P=.586	
ST SNRIs	OR=1.25; 95% Cl, 1.14–1.37; P≤.001*	
LT SNRIs	OR=1.16; 95% Cl, 1.00–1.37; P=.044	
ST venlafaxine	OR=1.21; 95% Cl, 1.07–1.37; P=.003	
LT venlafaxine	OR=1.15; 95% Cl, 0.95-1.39; P=.147	
ST duloxetine	OR=1.15; 95% CI, 1.00-1.31; P=.046	
LT duloxetine	OR=1.03; 95% Cl, 0.81-1.30; P=.811	
ST TCAs	OR=1.03; 95% Cl, 0.99–1.07; P=.095	
LT TCAs	OR=0.78; 95% Cl, 0.75–0.82; P≤.001*	
ST amitriptyline	OR=0.94; 95% CI, 0.89–1.00; P=.046	-
LT amitriptyline	OR=0.81; 95% CI, 0.74–0.88; P≤.001*	
ST doxepin	OR=0.86; 95% CI, 0.80–0.94; P≤.001*	
LT doxepin	OR=0.72; 95% Cl, 0.65–0.81; P≤.001*	
ST opipramol	OR=0.85; 95% Cl, 0.80-0.91; P≤.001*	÷.
LT opipramol	OR=0.77; 95% Cl, 0.70–0.84; P≤.001*	+
ST trimipramine	OR=0.93; 95% Cl, 0.85-1.01; P=.087	
LT trimipramine	OR=0.75; 95% CI, 0.67–0.84; P≤.001*	
ST herbal antidepressants	OR=0.86; 95% CI, 0.79–0.93; P≤.001*	-
LT herbal antidepressants	OR=0.59; 95% CI, 0.51–0.69; P≤.001*	
ST Hypericum perforatum	OR=0.87; 95% Cl, 0.80–0.94; P≤.001*	-#-
LT Hypericum perforatum	OR=0.60; 95% Cl, 0.51–0.70; P≤.001*	
ST lithium	OR=1.40: 95% Cl. 0.89–2.20; P=.150	
LT lithium	OR = 1.46: 95% CI. 0.96-2.23: P = .079	
ST mirtazapine	OB=123:95% CL 116-130: P < 001*	-
IT mirtazapine	OB = 0.95; 95% Cl. 0.87 - 1.04; P = 232	
	0	1 2
		Odds Ratio

^aMultivariate regression model 2, comparisons to no antidepressant treatment; model adjusted for comorbid diagnoses associated with dementia or antidepressant use, and health insurance coverage. *Statistically significant.

Abbreviations: OR = odds ratio, SNRI = serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor, SSRIs = selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, TCA = tricyclic antidepressant.

trials with fluoxetine²⁴ and sertraline²³ reported positive outcomes. However, other SSRI trials revealed negative results, but started treatment in an advanced disease stage, administered SSRIs only for short time spans, and used insensitive cognitive screening as outcomes.¹⁶⁻²² Some epidemiologic/registry-based studies also showed results in favor of SSRI treatment.^{37,38,44} In summary, clinical data have been inconclusive and require further clarification. A rationale for SNRI treatment in dementia prevention can be deduced from the well-documented pathologic hallmark of neurodegeneration of the locus ceruleus, resulting in low levels of norepinephrine.⁴⁷ However, a recent review⁴⁸ suggests that changes of the integrity of the noradrenergic system instead of reduced norepinephrine input might be a key contributor driving AD pathology and might at least partly explain why SNRIs failed to exert protective or preventive effects. From this point, it also seems logical that different pharmaceutical compounds with different modes of action—even if assigned to the same drug class—exert different effects on different molecular targets. As such, the impact of individual antidepressants on the risk of developing dementia should be investigated rather than the outcomes of heterogeneous antidepressant drug classes.

Regarding individual SSRIs, the overall negative effect of SSRIs seems to be mainly attributable to citalopram. In contrast, long-term escitalopram treatment yielded the lowest risk estimates for developing dementia as similarly described by Burke et al,⁴⁰ even if level of significance was narrowly missed due to a conservative correction for multiple comparisons. This finding is remarkable because citalopram is a mixture of the two stereoisomers *S*- and *R*-citalopram while escitalopram contains the therapeutically active *S*-enantiomer only. Thus, both share 50% of their composition. Furthermore, preclinical and favorable results **It is illegal to post this copy** are mostly based on treatment with both compounds, citalopram^{11–13,15} and escitalopram.¹⁴ Also, additional analyses on differences between these two groups did not contribute to the understanding of the dissociated effects of escitalopram and citalopram: No significant sex differences along with a clinically irrelevant age difference were found between citalopram (81.2 years) and escitalopram users (80.3 years, $P \le .001$; relevant comorbidities and health insurance coverage were adjusted for in both regression models). Taken together, these diverging findings may indicate a differential response of different clinical subphenotypes or may point to the involvement of specific target polymorphisms.

For TCAs in general and for individual TCAs, we detected a reduced risk of developing dementia, especially with long-term treatment. At first glance, this finding seems counterintuitive since TCAs-due to their anticholinergic properties-are known for neuropsychiatric complications, ranging from cognitive decline to delirium.^{49–51} Accordingly, a recent nested case-control study⁵² reported an increased risk of dementia associated with the long-term use of anticholinergic drugs, including antidepressants. However, in the group of anticholinergic antidepressants, TCAs and SSRIs with anticholinergic activity were intermixed. Epidemiologic or registry studies comparing different antidepressant drug classes also revealed no^{33,37} or detrimental effects of TCAs.²⁹⁻³² Bartels et al⁴⁴ reported an accelerated progression of MCI to dementia in a non-SSRI treatment group, but this group combined TCAs, SNRIs, and other non-SSRI antidepressants. Nevertheless, similar results showing a reduction of dementia incidence by TCAs were also observed by others.^{34,35,43} In this context, it is also noteworthy that TCAs are most commonly prescribed in low dosages for sleeping difficulties in clinical practice, potentially reflecting subsyndromal to mild depressive states. Poor sleep has been shown to negatively affect the glymphatic clearance of amyloid- β peptides,⁵³ a mechanism that may be targeted by antidepressants like TCAs, thereby attenuating the risk of developing dementia. In contrast, Kessing¹⁰ hypothesized that long-term TCA therapy may prevent progression to dementia in severe depression,⁴³ whereas continued treatment with SSRIs or newer non-SSRIs may be beneficial for less severe depressive disorders.^{10,42} Taken together, both observations may suggest a differential response of specific depression subphenotypes to antidepressants.

Among herbal antidepressants, *Hypericum perforatum* was strongly and consistently associated with a reduced risk of developing dementia with short-term and long-term therapy. In preclinical studies,^{54–57} *Hypericum perforatum* has been shown to exert antioxidative and anti-inflammatory effects, to attenuate amyloid- β -mediated toxicity, and to reverse amyloid- β accumulation. As a potential causal link between depression and dementia, *Hypericum perforatum* directly activates the ABCC1 transporter, one of the major β -amyloid–exporting molecules at the blood-brain barrier.^{58,59} Interestingly, recent evidence has indicated that citalopram also demonstrates a high affinity to the ABCC1 transporter.⁶⁰ Accordingly,

check PDF on any website. The potential dementia-preventive, long-term treatment effects of *Hypericum perforatum* and escitalopram—as the therapeutically active S-enantiomer of citalopram—may be promoted by increased brain amyloid- β peptide efflux due to ABCC1 transporter activation. Further investigations have to analyze in more detail how additional parameters might mediate these potentially protective effects. This is of particular importance, since *Hypericum perforatum*, as a typical OTC drug, is usually prescribed for less severely depressed patients. Thus, low depression severity and other protective characteristics could have biased the results for *Hypericum perforatum* in terms of a favorable treatment effect. Clearly, further empirical research has to be done before any conclusion for clinical practice should be drawn in this matter.

In recent years, hypothesized neuroprotective effects of lithium through inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK-3) have been explored. For example, Kessing et al⁶¹ reported that continued lithium treatment normalized dementia rates. Since lithium is mainly used to treat bipolar disorders, and subjects with bipolar disorders were excluded in the present study, this selection or indication bias mightat least partly-explain the absence of a protective effect on the risk of developing dementia. Concordantly, Angst et al⁶² investigated a mixed group of bipolar and unipolar depressed patients and failed to present a preventive effect of lithium on dementia. However, the long-term use of lithium was also found to positively influence clinical and cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in amnestic MCI without any affective disorder.⁶³ Still, in this clinical trial,⁶³ lithium was administered to reach only subtherapeutic concentrations, also limiting comparability to our naturalistic data.

Effects of Antidepressant Treatment Duration

For all but 1 comparison, our data showed that long-term treatment with any antidepressant was associated with lower dementia rates than short-term treatment. This finding is in accordance with previous studies^{42,43} of Danish registry data analyzing the effects of continued antidepressant treatment. Recently, long-term SSRI treatment (>4 years) has been reported to potentially delay progression from MCI to AD dementia.44 An exploratory analysis also yielded similar long-term treatment effects for all but 1 antidepressant when a cutoff of 4 treatment years was used (data not shown). Here, we additionally provide data for the impact of individual antidepressants and found that (1) longterm treatment with escitalopram, SNRIs, or mirtazapine is linked to risk rates at levels of nonusers and (2) longterm treatment with Hypericum perforatum and TCAs is associated with decreased dementia incidence (below rates of nonusers). Moreover, our observation of positive longterm treatment effects (≥ 2 years) may explain why most randomized clinical trials-mostly testing antidepressants for only several weeks-failed to find similar results. On the downside, there is considerable evidence in geriatric depression that maintenance of antidepressant therapy with TCAs, SNRIs,⁶⁴ and SSRIs⁶⁵ finally led to response, **It is illegal to post this copy** remission, or significantly reduced recurrence of depression and thereby reduced emergence of treatment resistance. These findings might be of importance in the present context since the recurrence of depressive episodes⁷ and subsequent treatment resistance could additionally enhance the risk of developing dementia. Besides lower mortality risks,⁶⁶ longterm (and improved) depression treatment may contribute to dementia risk modification (1) by addressing cellular and molecular drivers of pathological aging and (2) by enabling depressed patients to better engage in their treatment and adopt favorable lifestyle behaviors.

It remains a question for future research which additional characteristics—eg, different subphenotypes or genetic polymorphisms—distinguish short-term from long-term users. It may be hypothesized that antidepressant treatment was discontinued prematurely because of remission of depressive symptoms or side effects and, thus, antidepressants were not able to exert their potentially protective effects.

Clinical Implications and Conclusions

Our findings—especially for long-term antidepressant treatment—may bear important clinical implications. The availability of a secondary prevention strategy notably active of the present study and may serve as potential incidence in the present study and may serve as potential with a reactive of the present study and may serve as potential incidence in the present study and may serve as potential incidence in the present study and may serve as potential candidate compounds to be further pursued for proof-of-concept studies of long-term treatment in geriatric depression.

Clinical trials—although well acknowledged as the gold standard procedure—have debunked numerous promising compounds and become increasingly challenging with longer treatment durations. Thus and in awareness of the controversy of this suggestion, analyzing data from registries in a naturalistic setting may be an attractive and feasible alternative. If individual datasets could be combined in a multinational effort, even more powerful analyses of merged big databases could be performed and an additive contribution with naturalistic data could be made.

Submitted: December 10, 2019; accepted April 21, 2020.

Published online: August 25, 2020.

Author contributions: All authors contributed to the conception and design of the research and interpretation of the data and provided critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Dr Bartels drafted the manuscript. Dr Kostev performed statistical analysis and had full access to all of the data in the study. Drs Wiltfang and Kostev contributed equally to the work as senior authors. All authors gave approval for the final version of the manuscript to be published.

Potential conflicts of interest: All authors report no financial relationships with commercial interests related to this article. Beyond that, Dr Bartels receives honoraria as a diagnostic consultant for Boehringer Ingelheim. Dr Bohlken received honoraria from Firma Dr Willmar Schwabe and the Berufsverband Deutscher Nervenärzte (BVDN). Dr Wiltfang is supported by an Ilídio Pinho professorship, iBiMED (UID/BIM/04501/2013), and FCT project PTDC/DTP_PIC/5587/2014 at the University of Aveiro, Portugal; is an advisory board member of Abbott, Boehringer Ingelheim, Immunogenetics, Lilly, Merck Sharp & Dohme (MSD), and Roche Pharma; received honoraria for lectures from the Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Neuropsychopharmakologie und Pharmakopsychiatrie (AGNP), Actelion, Amgen, CSF-Society, Helios Klinikum Wuppertal, Janssen-Cilag, Med Update, Pfizer, Roche Pharma, and Vitos Kurhessen-Bad Emstal as well as funding from the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF), the European Union (EU), and the German Research Foundation (DFG); and holds the following patents: PCT/EP 2011 001724 and PCT/EP 2015 052945. Dr Kostev is an employee of IQVIA and has no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding/support: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Additional information: Original datasets from the German Disease Analyzer database (owned and

maintained by IQVIA) are not publicly available. Analysis data are available on reasonable request from Dr Kostev (karel.kostev@iqvia.com).

REFERENCES

- Prince M, Wimo AGM, Ali GC, et al. World Alzheimer Report 2015: The Global Impact of Dementia: an Analysis of Prevalence, Incidence, Cost and Trends. London, UK: Alzheimer's Disease International; 2015.
- Jack CR Jr, Knopman DS, Jagust WJ, et al. Hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers of the Alzheimer's pathological cascade. *Lancet Neurol*. 2010;9(1):119–128.
- 3. Livingston G, Sommerlad A, Orgeta V, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. *Lancet*. 2017;390(10113):2673–2734.
- Jack CR Jr, Bennett DA, Blennow K, et al; Contributors. NIA-AA Research Framework: toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement. 2018;14(4):535–562.
- Shoaib M, Kamal MA, Rizvi SMD. Repurposed drugs as potential therapeutic candidates for the management of Alzheimer's disease. *Curr Drug Metab.* 2017;18(9):842–852.
- Barnes DE, Yaffe K, Byers AL, et al. Midlife vs late-life depressive symptoms and risk of dementia: differential effects for Alzheimer disease and vascular dementia. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2012;69(5):493–498.
- Byers AL, Yaffe K. Depression and risk of developing dementia. *Nat Rev Neurol.* 2011;7(6):323–331.
- Jorm AF. History of depression as a risk factor for dementia: an updated review. Aust NZJ Psychiatry. 2001;35(6):776–781.
- Ownby RL, Crocco E, Acevedo A, et al. Depression and risk for Alzheimer disease: systematic review, meta-analysis, and metaregression analysis. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2006;63(5):530–538.
- Kessing LV. Depression and the risk for dementia. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2012;25(6):457–461.
- Cirrito JR, Disabato BM, Restivo JL, et al. Serotonin signaling is associated with lower

amyloid- β levels and plaques in transgenic mice and humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011;108(36):14968–14973.

- Sheline YI, West T, Yarasheski K, et al. An antidepressant decreases CSF Aβ production in healthy individuals and in transgenic AD mice. *Sci Transl Med*. 2014;6(236):236re4.
- Zhang Q, Yang C, Liu T, et al. Citalopram restores short-term memory deficit and noncognitive behaviors in APP/PS1 mice while halting the advance of Alzheimer's disease-like pathology. *Neuropharmacology*. 2018;131:475–486.
- Wang YJ, Ren QG, Gong WG, et al. Escitalopram attenuates β-amyloid-induced tau hyperphosphorylation in primary hippocampal neurons through the 5-HT1A receptor mediated Akt/GSK-3β pathway. Oncotarget. 2016;7(12):13328–13339.
- Wei Ż, Junhong G, Xiaoyuan N, et al. Citalopram ameliorates impairments in spatial memory and synaptic plasticity in female 3xTgAD mice. *BioMed Res Int.* 2017;2017:1238687.
- Porsteinsson AP, Drye LT, Pollock BG, et al; CitAD Research Group. Effect of citalopram on agitation in Alzheimer disease: the CitAD randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2014:311(7):682–691.
- An H, Choi B, Park KW, et al. The effect of escitalopram on mood and cognition in depressive Alzheimer's disease subjects. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2017;55(2):727–735.
- Choe YM, Kim KW, Jhoo JH, et al. Multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial of escitalopram on the progression-delaying effects in Alzheimer's disease. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2016;31(7):731–739.
- Shilyansky C, Williams LM, Gyurak A, et al. Effect of antidepressant treatment on cognitive impairments associated with depression: a randomised longitudinal study. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2016;3(5):425–435.
- Munro CA, Longmire CF, Drye LT, et al; Depression in Alzheimer's Disease Study–2 Research Group. Cognitive outcomes after sertaline treatment in patients with depression

For reprints or permissions, contact permissions@psychiatrist.com. ♦ © 2020 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc. J Clin Psychiatry 81:5, September/October 2020 PSYCHIATRIST.COM ■ e9

Bartels et al It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website of Alzheimer disease. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 37. Lee CW, Lin CL, Lin PY, et al. Antidepressants

- 2012;20(12):1036–1044.
 21. Banerjee S, Hellier J, Dewey M, et al. Sertraline or mirtazapine for depression in dementia (HTA-SADD): a randomised, multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2011;378(9789):403–411.
- Lyketsos CG, DelCampo L, Steinberg M, et al. Treating depression in Alzheimer disease: efficacy and safety of sertraline therapy, and the benefits of depression reduction: the DIADS. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2003;60(7): 737–746.
- Mokhber N, Abdollahian E, Soltanifar A, et al. Comparison of sertraline, venlafaxine and desipramine effects on depression, cognition and the daily living activities in Alzheimer patients. *Pharmacopsychiatry*. 2014;47(4-5):131–140.
- Mowla A, Mosavinasab M, Pani A. Does fluoxetine have any effect on the cognition of patients with mild cognitive impairment? a double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2007;27(1):67–70.
- Carrière I, Fourrier-Reglat A, Dartigues JF, et al. Drugs with anticholinergic properties, cognitive decline, and dementia in an elderly general population: the 3-city study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(14):1317–1324.
- Saczynski JS, Rosen AB, McCammon RJ, et al. Antidepressant use and cognitive decline: The Health and Retirement Study. *Am J Med.* 2015;128(7):739–746.
- Moraros J, Nwankwo C, Patten SB, et al. The association of antidepressant drug usage with cognitive impairment or dementia, including Alzheimer disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Depress Anxiety*. 2017;34(3):217–226.
- Enache D, Fereshtehnejad SM, Kåreholt I, et al. Antidepressants and mortality risk in a dementia cohort: data from SveDem, the Swedish Dementia Registry. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2016;134(5):430–440.
- Goveas JS, Hogan PE, Kotchen JM, et al. Depressive symptoms, antidepressant use, and future cognitive health in postmenopausal women: the Women's Health Initiative Memory Study. Int Psychogeriatr. 2012;24(8):1252–1264.
- Wang C, Gao S, Hendrie HC, et al. Antidepressant use in the elderly is associated with an increased risk of dementia. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2016;30(2):99–104.
- Then CK, Chi NF, Chung KH, et al. Risk analysis of use of different classes of antidepressants on subsequent dementia: a nationwide cohort study in Taiwan. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(4):e0175187.
- Wang YC, Tai PA, Poly TN, et al. Increased risk of dementia in patients with antidepressants: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Behav Neurol.* 2018;2018:5315098.
- Almeida OP, Hankey GJ, Yeap BB, et al. Depression as a modifiable factor to decrease the risk of dementia. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2017;7(5):e1117.
- 34. Lee CW, Lin CL, Sung FC, et al. Antidepressant treatment and risk of dementia: a populationbased, retrospective case-control study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2016;77(1):117–122, quiz 122.
- Jacob L, Bohlken J, Kostev K. Risk of dementia in German patients treated with antidepressants in general or psychiatric practices. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2017;55(4):322–328.
- Leng Y, Diem SJ, Stone KL, et al. Antidepressant use and cognitive outcomes in very old women. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2018;73(10):1390–1395.

Lee CW, Lin CL, Lin PY, et al. Antidepressants and risk of dementia in migraine patients: a population-based case-control study. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2017;77:83–89.

- Tsiouris JA, Patti PJ, Flory MJ. Effects of antidepressants on longevity and dementia onset among adults with Down syndrome: a retrospective study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2014;75(7):731–737.
- Brendel M, Sauerbeck J, Greven S, et al; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Serotonin selective reuptake inhibitor treatment improves cognition and grey matter atrophy but not amyloid burden during twoyear follow-up in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease patients with depressive symptoms. J Alzheimers Dis. 2018;65(3):793–806.
- Burke SL, Maramaldi P, Cadet T, et al. Decreasing hazards of Alzheimer's disease with the use of antidepressants: mitigating the risk of depression and apolipoprotein E. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2018;33(1):200–211.
- Kóstev K, Bohlken J, Jacob L. Analysis of the effects of selective serotonin (and noradrenaline) reuptake inhibitors on the risk of dementia in patients with depression. J Alzheimers Dis. 2019;69(2):577–583.
- Kessing LV, Søndergård L, Forman JL, et al. Antidepressants and dementia. J Affect Disord. 2009;117(1–2):24–29.
- Kessing LV, Forman JL, Andersen PK. Do continued antidepressants protect against dementia in patients with severe depressive disorder? Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2011;26(6):316–322.
- 44. Bartels C, Wagner M, Wolfsgruber S, et al; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Impact of SSRI therapy on risk of conversion from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's dementia in individuals with previous depression. Am J Psychiatry. 2018;175(3):232–241.
- Rathmann W, Bongaerts B, Carius HJ, et al. Basic characteristics and representativeness of the German Disease Analyzer database. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2018;56(10):459–466.
- Butters MA, Young JB, Lopez O, et al. Pathways linking late-life depression to persistent cognitive impairment and dementia. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2008;10(3):345–357.
- Kelly SC, He B, Perez SE, et al. Locus coeruleus cellular and molecular pathology during the progression of Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2017;5(1):8.
- Gannon M, Wang Q. Complex noradrenergic dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease: low norepinephrine input is not always to blame. *Brain Res.* 2019;1702:12–16.
- 49. Fox C, Richardson K, Maidment ID, et al. Anticholinergic medication use and cognitive impairment in the older population: the medical research council cognitive function and ageing study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2011;59(8):1477–1483.
- Fox C, Smith T, Maidment I, et al. Effect of medications with anti-cholinergic properties on cognitive function, delirium, physical function and mortality: a systematic review. *Age Ageing*. 2014;43(5):604–615.
- Gerretsen P, Pollock BG. Drugs with anticholinergic properties: a current perspective on use and safety. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2011;10(5):751–765.
- Coupland CAC, Hill T, Dening T, et al. Anticholinergic drug exposure and the risk of dementia: a nested case-control study. JAMA

- Benveniste H, Liu X, Koundal S, et al. The glymphatic system and waste clearance with brain aging: a review. *Gerontology*. 2019;65(2):106–119.
- Cao Z, Wang F, Xiu C, et al. Hypericum perforatum extract attenuates behavioral, biochemical, and neurochemical abnormalities in Aluminum chloride-induced Alzheimer's disease rats. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2017;91:931–937.
- Brenn A, Grube M, Jedlitschky G, et al. St. John's Wort reduces beta-amyloid accumulation in a double transgenic Alzheimer's disease mouse model-role of P-glycoprotein. *Brain Pathol.* 2014;24(1):18–24.
- Kraus B, Wolff H, Heilmann J, et al. Influence of Hypericum perforatum extract and its single compounds on amyloid-beta mediated toxicity in microglial cells. *Life Sci.* 2007;81(11):884–894.
- Dinamarca MC, Cerpa W, Garrido J, et al. Hyperforin prevents beta-amyloid neurotoxicity and spatial memory impairments by disaggregation of Alzheimer's amyloidbeta-deposits. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2006;11(11):1032–1048.
- 2006, Minus Y Irohn M, Schumacher T, et al. Reduced Alzheimer's disease pathology by St. John's Wort treatment is independent of hyperforin and facilitated by ABCC1 and microglia activation in mice. Curr Alzheimer Res. 2013;10(10):1057–1069.
- Pahnke J, Fröhlich C, Paarmann K, et al. Cerebral ABC transporter-common mechanisms may modulate neurodegenerative diseases and depression in elderly subjects. Arch Med Res. 2014:45(8):738–743.
- Lee SH, Lee MS, Lee JH, et al. MRP1 polymorphisms associated with citalopram response in patients with major depression. *J Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2010;30(2):116–125.
- Kessing LV, Søndergård L, Forman JL, et al. Lithium treatment and risk of dementia. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2008;65(11):1331–1335.
- Angst J, Gamma A, Gerber-Werder R, et al. Does long-term medication with lithium, clozapine or antidepressants prevent or attenuate dementia in bipolar and depressed patients? Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract. 2007;11(1):2–8.
- Forlenza OV, Radanovic M, Talib LL, et al. Clinical and biological effects of long-term lithium treatment in older adults with amnestic mild cognitive impairment: randomised clinical trial [published online ahead of print April 5, 2019]. *Br J Psychiatry.* Kok RM, Nolen WA, Heeren TJ. Outcome of
- Kok RM, Nolen WA, Heeren TJ. Outcome of late-life depression after 3 years of sequential treatment. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2009;119(4):274–281.
- Reynolds CF 3rd, Dew MA, Pollock BG, et al. Maintenance treatment of major depression in old age. N Enal J Med. 2006;354(11):1130–1138.
- Gallo JJ, Morales KH, Bogner HR, et al. Long term effect of depression care management on mortality in older adults: follow-up of cluster randomized clinical trial in primary care. *BMJ*. 2013;346:f2570.

Editor's Note: We encourage authors to submit papers for consideration as a part of our Focus on Geriatric Psychiatry section. Please contact Jordan F. Karp, MD, at jkarp@psychiatrist.com, or Gary W. Small, MD, at gsmall@psychiatrist.com.