# Neurocognitive Functioning as Intermediary Phenotype and Predictor of Psychosocial Functioning Across the Psychosis Continuum: Studies in Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder

Nienke Jabben, MSc; Baer Arts, MD; Jim van Os, MD, PhD; and Lydia Krabbendam, PhD

**Objective:** Neurocognitive functioning may represent an indicator of genetic risk and poor outcome in both schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. In this study, shared and nonshared characteristics in the cognitive domain in both disorders were analyzed to determine to what degree neurocognitive functioning may represent a predictor of the familial vulnerability and poor functioning that schizophrenia spectrum disorders and bipolar disorder share.

**Method:** Neurocognition, psychopathology, and psychosocial functioning were assessed in samples of patients with a schizophrenia spectrum disorder (n = 345) and bipolar disorder (n = 76) meeting DSM-IV criteria, first-degree relatives of both patient groups (n = 331 and n = 37, respectively), and healthy controls (n = 260 and n = 61, respectively). Multiple regression models were used to investigate the effect of group status on neurocognition and to explore associations between cognition, symptoms, and psychosocial functioning in the 2 groups. The schizophrenia spectrum study sample was recruited between September 2004 and January 2008, and the bipolar study sample was recruited between June 2004 and July 2007.

**Results:** Cognitive deficits were more severe and more generalized in patients with a schizophrenia spectrum disorder compared to patients with bipolar disorder; cognitive alterations were present in relatives of patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders but not in relatives of bipolar patients. The association between neurocognitive dysfunction and psychosocial functioning was more generalized in schizophrenia spectrum disorders than in bipolar disorder; for both disorders, associations were only partly mediated by symptoms.

**Conclusions:** The evidence for cognitive dysfunction as a marker of familial vulnerability is stronger for schizophrenia than for bipolar disorder. Although the presence of multiple cognitive deficits is shared by the 2 groups, the severity of cognitive deficits and its consequences appear to partly differ between schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, which is in line with a model that implies the specific presence of a neurodevelopmental impairment in the former but not in the latter.

J Clin Psychiatry 2010;71(6):764–774 © Copyright 2010 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

Submitted: October 30, 2008; accepted February 2, 2009. Online ahead of print: January 26, 2010 (doi:10.4088/JCP.08m04837yel). Corresponding author: Lydia Krabbendam, PhD, Department of Psychiatry and Neuropsychology, Maastricht University, PO Box 616 (VIJV), 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands (Lkrabbendam@sp.unimaas.nl). The question whether schizophrenia and bipolar disorder are truly distinct diseases is becoming increasingly important now that diagnostic boundaries are being reevaluated during the development of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, Fifth Edition (*DSM-V*). Investigating similarities and differences between both illnesses may help to elucidate this issue. One area of interest is neurocognitive functioning, given its putative roles as an intermediary phenotype and functional outcome predictor in both disorders.

Both schizophrenia and bipolar disorder are characterized by the presence of neurocognitive impairment. In schizophrenia, cognitive impairment is considered a core and stable feature of the illness that is present across a broad range of neuropsychological domains but most consistently reported in the domains of memory, executive functioning, and attention.<sup>1,2</sup> Evidence that the cognitive performance of first-degree relatives is intermediate to the performance of schizophrenia patients and controls suggests that neurocognitive impairment may represent a marker of the genetic vulnerability to the disease.<sup>3-5</sup> In bipolar disorder, it has long been assumed that cognitive impairments are transient and limited to periods of affective disturbance. This has been contradicted by recent studies indicating that cognitive deficits, particularly in the domains of verbal memory and executive functioning, may persist in euthymic, stable bipolar patients.<sup>6,7</sup> Some studies have reported cognitive alterations in first-degree bipolar relatives,<sup>8-10</sup> suggesting that in bipolar disorder, neurocognitive impairment may similarly be a trait marker of genetic vulnerability to the disease.<sup>11</sup>

Although cognitive impairments overlap in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder and may be a marker of genetic vulnerability for both disorders, only a few studies have compared neurocognitive performance in bipolar and schizophrenia patients and their relatives. McIntosh and colleagues<sup>12</sup> showed that whereas alterations in memory functioning were related to an increased liability to psychosis in general, abnormalities in intellectual functioning were related to liability to schizophrenia more specifically. In a study that examined executive functioning in bipolar and schizophrenia families,13 there were no deficits specifically related to one of both disorders. Therefore, the first goal of this study was to extend this literature by investigating the role of neurocognitive functioning as a potential genetic vulnerability marker for both disorders in 2 large samples of subjects and to investigate shared and nonshared characteristics in the cognitive domain.

A second key reason for studying neurocognition in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder is its putative role in functional outcome. In schizophrenia, cognitive deficits are consistently related to functional outcome,<sup>14</sup> and it has been suggested that cognition predicts social and occupational functioning equally well as negative symptoms do<sup>15-17</sup> and even better than positive symptoms.<sup>18,19</sup> Predictors of functional recovery in bipolar disorder are less investigated because of the longheld assumption that bipolar disorder is an episodic illness with full recovery between episodes. In line with growing insight that symptom recovery does not necessarily imply functional recovery, recent studies have suggested that cognitive functioning may contribute substantially to psychosocial functioning in bipolar disorder.<sup>20-22</sup> Therefore, the second aim of this study was to explore the relative contribution of symptoms and cognitive functioning to psychosocial functioning in schizophrenia and in bipolar disorder.

### **METHOD**

### Subjects

The subjects in this study were recruited in the context of 2 related projects: the schizophrenia spectrum study and the bipolar study.

Schizophrenia spectrum study. The study sample consisted of patients with a schizophrenia spectrum disorder, their first-degree relatives, and controls from the general population; the sample was recruited between September 2004 and January 2008, during the course of the baseline measurement of the Maastricht site Genetic Risk and Outcome of Psychosis (GROUP) project.

Inclusion criteria for the Maastricht GROUP project were fluency in the Dutch language, aged 16 to 55 years (with the exception of patients' parents), and, for patients, a diagnosis of schizophrenia spectrum psychosis according to *DSM-IV*.<sup>25</sup> For a patient to participate, at least 1 of his or her siblings had to take part in the study. Siblings had to be free of any lifetime nonaffective psychotic disorder and have at least 1 brother or sister with a diagnosis of schizophrenia spectrum psychosis participating in the study. For the control subjects, the occurrence of any psychotic disorder in either the subject or a first-degree family member constituted an exclusion criterion.

Patients were recruited through community mental health centers and psychiatric hospitals in the catchment area, namely South Limburg (The Netherlands) and Flanders (Belgium). All first-degree relatives were sampled through participating patients. For the purpose of the current analyses, only siblings were included in the relatives group. Control subjects were recruited through newspaper advertisements and random mailings in nearby municipalities. The Comprehensive Assessment of Symptoms and History (CASH)<sup>27</sup> sections on affective and psychotic disorders were used to confirm the presence of a diagnosis of schizophrenia spectrum psychosis in patients, the absence of such a diagnosis in siblings, and the absence of a lifetime diagnosis of any psychotic disorder in the healthy controls.

Healthy controls were additionally interviewed using the Family Interview for Genetic Studies (FIGS)<sup>23</sup> to confirm the absence of family histories of psychotic or bipolar disorders in their first-degree relatives.

The initial sample consisted of 353 patients with a schizophrenia spectrum disorder, 342 siblings of patients with a schizophrenia spectrum disorder, and 263 control subjects. As data on neuropsychological performance and/or diagnosis were missing for some subjects, the risk set comprised 345 patients, 331 siblings, and 260 controls. In the patient group, 266 had a diagnosis of schizophrenia, 38 had a diagnosis of schizo-affective disorder, 5 had a diagnosis of delusional disorder, 8 had a diagnosis of brief psychotic disorder, and 28 had a diagnosis of psychotic disorder not otherwise specified. Of the 331 siblings, 43 had a diagnosis of a single episode of major depressive disorder (of whom 38 were in full and 5 were in partial remission), 13 had a diagnosis of recurrent major depressive disorder (of whom 6 were in partial and 7 were in full remission), and 275 received no diagnosis. Of the controls, 29 had a diagnosis of a single episode of major depressive disorder (in full remission), 8 had a diagnosis of recurrent major depressive disorder (in full remission), and 223 received no diagnosis. Written informed consent conforming to the local ethics committee guidelines was obtained from all subjects.

**Bipolar study.** This study sample consisted of patients with bipolar disorder, healthy first-degree relatives of patients with bipolar disorder, and controls from the general population. The sample was recruited between June 2004 and July 2007, during the baseline measurement of the BIPOLCOG study,<sup>24</sup> which focused on cognitive functioning in bipolar disorder.

Inclusion criteria for the BIPOLCOG study were fluency in the Dutch language, aged 18 to 60 years, and, for patients, a diagnosis of bipolar disorder according to *DSM-IV*.<sup>25</sup> Relatives had to be free of any lifetime bipolar or psychotic disorder and have at least 1 first-degree relative with a diagnosis of bipolar disorder. For the control subjects, the occurrence of any psychotic or bipolar disorder in either the subject or a firstdegree family member constituted an exclusion criterion.

Patients were recruited through inpatient and outpatient mental health service facilities in South Limburg and through the local association of bipolar patients and their families. First-degree relatives were sampled through participating patients. Control subjects were recruited from the general population through a random mailing in the local area from a listing of all eligible individuals in the general population.

The computer program OPCRIT (occupational criteria for psychotic illness)<sup>26</sup> was used to derive and confirm *DSM-IV* diagnoses on the basis of current and lifetime recorded symptomatology listed in the Operational Criteria Checklist for Psychotic Illness (OCCPI).<sup>26</sup> First-degree relatives and controls were clinically and diagnostically interviewed using the CASH and OPCRIT criteria to exclude individuals with a diagnosis of bipolar disorder or psychotic disorder. Healthy controls were additionally interviewed using the FIGS to confirm the absence of family histories of psychotic or bipolar disorders in their first-degree relatives.

The initial sample consisted of 81 patients, 39 first-degree relatives, and 61 healthy control subjects. Due to missing data on diagnosis or neuropsychological performance, the final risk set comprised 76 patients with bipolar disorder, 37 relatives, and 61 controls. In the patient group, 57 had a diagnosis of bipolar I disorder, 17 received a diagnosis of bipolar II disorder, and 2 were diagnosed with schizoaffective disorder, bipolar type. In the group of relatives, 4 had a diagnosis of major depressive disorder (in full remission). The other relatives had no history of psychiatric disorder. One control subject had a history of major depressive disorder but was in full remission at the time of the study. Written informed consent conforming to the local ethics committee guidelines was obtained from all subjects.

### **Psychiatric Assessment**

In both study samples, the presence of psychiatric symptoms at the time of testing was assessed using the expanded Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS-E).<sup>28</sup> This scale assesses a wide range of current psychopathology, including symptoms of depression, mania, psychosis, anxiety, and withdrawal, in the previous 2 weeks.

In the schizophrenia spectrum study, patients' current symptoms were quantified using the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS).<sup>29</sup> A 5-factor model was used, generating scores on positive, negative, disorganization, excitement, and emotional distress symptom dimensions.<sup>29</sup> In the bipolar study, participants' current depressive and manic symptomatology was assessed using the 21-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS)<sup>30</sup> and the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS),<sup>31</sup> respectively.

Psychosocial functioning in both patient groups was assessed using the Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF).<sup>25</sup> In the original instructions, the GAF rating encompasses functioning as well as symptom ratings, but in the current study, the version of the GAF in which functioning can be rated as a separate score was used.

### **Neurocognitive Assessment**

In the schizophrenia spectrum study, intellectual functioning was estimated using the 4-subtest version (information, block design, digit symbol coding, arithmetic) of the Dutch version of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-III.<sup>32,33</sup> Overall intellectual functioning in the bipolar study was estimated using 3 Groningen Intelligence Test (GIT-2) subtests (mental rotation, word analogies, mental arithmetic),<sup>34</sup> yielding results that are comparable to those of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-III.

The following neurocognitive tests were administered in both study samples.

*Visual Verbal Learning Test.* The Dutch version of the Visual Verbal Learning Test<sup>35</sup> was administered as a measure of verbal memory. In 3 consecutive trials, 15 monosyllabic nonrelated words had to be memorized and reproduced. The total number of words recalled over the 3 trials was used as a measure of immediate recall. Delayed recall was measured after a 20-minute delay.

Continuous Performance Test-HQ. Sustained attention was measured with the Continuous Performance Test-HQ (CPT-HQ)—a version of the continuous performance test that is also known in the literature as CPT-3-7 or CPT-AX, in which the participant should respond to the letter Q only if it was preceded by the letter H. In the CPT-HQ, 300 stimuli (ie, letters) were presented in a randomized sequence at a rate of 1 per second. Each letter was presented for 150 milliseconds, after which an empty screen was presented for 850 milliseconds. The participant responded to a target by pressing the space bar of the computer's keyboard. Presentation of an H-Q target pair had a probability of .18 (n=28)among the 150 sequential letter pairs. In a similar number of sequential letter pairs, the letter Q was presented following a letter other than H (I, L, J, or T). In another 28 pairs, the letter H was presented, followed by a letter other than Q (I, L, J, or T). For further information, see Smid and colleagues<sup>36</sup> (the present CPT-HQ is the nonchoice version mentioned in the Discussion). Outcome measures were expressed as the proportion of correct detections and the reaction time of correct detections.37

Flanker CPT. The Flanker continuous performance test (Flanker CPT)<sup>38,39</sup> is a measure of selective visual control of attention. Subjects were instructed to respond by pressing the right or left mouse button depending on whether the middle element in a display of 5 lines has an arrowhead pointing to the right or the left. There are 3 trial types: (1) neutral trials, in which the flankers are horizontal lines without arrowheads, (2) congruent trials, in which all flankers have an arrowhead pointing in the same direction as the target, and (3) incongruent trials, in which the flankers point in the direction opposite that of the target. The incongruent condition involves more cognitive effort because the flankers are associated with a response that needs to be suppressed. Half of the stimuli are presented above the fixation cross, and the other half are presented below it to prevent the subjects from keeping their gaze fixed in one position. The test consists of 144 trials of neutral, congruent, and incongruent flankers, which are presented randomly.

Outcome measures are the mean reaction time for correct responses and the sum of correct trials in each condition.

### Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using STATA 10.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas).<sup>40</sup> For convenience of interpretation of the data, cognitive reaction time variables were recoded so that in the analyses, a higher score on all neurocognitive variables indicated better performance. In both study samples, a dummy variable indicating disorder vulnerability was constructed with a value of 1 for controls, 2 for relatives, and 3 for patients (hereafter: "group").

*Neurocognitive functioning of schizophrenia spectrum and bipolar patients and their first-degree relatives.* First, to investigate the presence of cognitive dysfunctions in patients and their relatives, multiple regression models with "group" entered as a dummy variable were used to investigate the effect of group status on neurocognitive performance. The nonindependence of observations within families was addressed by the use of the "robust" command in STATA a procedure that calculates robust estimates of variance that are suitable for clustered data. Analyses were performed separately in the bipolar and schizophrenia studies and a priori adjusted for age, sex, and education by entering these variables into the equation. In bipolar disorder, these analyses were repeated excluding bipolar patients without strictly defined euthymia (euthymia: HDRS score < 8 and YMRS score < 8).

Then, in both groups, associations between neurocognition and current symptomatology were examined by means of Pearson correlation coefficients. In schizophrenia spectrum patients, current symptomatology was measured by the 5 dimensions obtained in a previous factor analysis on the PANSS.<sup>29</sup> In bipolar patients, current depressive and manic/ hypomanic symptomatology was measured using total HDRS and YMRS scores, respectively.

To examine the specificity of cognitive impairment across diagnostic category, standardized neurocognitive scores were generated by calculating individual *z* scores for each variable using the respective control groups as the reference. This allows for direct comparisons of neurocognitive functioning of groups that differ in demographic and illness characteristics. Again, multiple regression models adjusted for clustering within family were used to investigate the effect of group status on the standardized cognitive test scores, focusing on the relevant contrasts between (1) schizophrenia spectrum and bipolar patients and (2) relatives of schizophrenia spectrum or bipolar patients.

Association between cognition and psychosocial functioning in schizophrenia spectrum and bipolar disorder. To investigate associations between neurocognition and psychosocial functioning, multiple regression analyses, a priori adjusted for age, sex, and education, were applied. Associations were investigated in schizophrenia spectrum and bipolar patients separately. A single neurocognitive variable was entered as predictor of GAF score; in the case of a significant association, symptomatology measures were additionally entered into the equation to investigate the impact of neurocognition on functioning in addition to current symptoms. In schizophrenia spectrum patients, current symptomatology was controlled for using PANSS symptom dimensions,<sup>29</sup> whereas in the bipolar sample, total HDRS and YMRS scores were entered into the equation.

Analyses were then recomputed excluding those patients who did not have a narrow diagnosis of schizophrenia in the schizophrenia spectrum study (n = 79) or bipolar disorder in the bipolar study (n = 2) and controls in the schizophrenia spectrum study who were using antidepressants (n = 6).

### RESULTS

### Neurocognitive Functioning in Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders

Demographic characteristics, symptom scores, and neurocognitive test scores of the sample in the schizophrenia

study are presented in Table 1. Multiple regression analyses showed that schizophrenia spectrum patient status was associated with a significantly worse neurocognitive performance on all the administered tests compared to controls (Table 2). Relatives of schizophrenia spectrum patients performed significantly worse than controls on tests of Word List Learning, accuracy and reaction time of the CPT-HQ, and the reaction time measures on all 3 conditions of the Flanker CPT. For all tests, the degree of cognitive impairment was related to degree of psychosis vulnerability, with relatives of schizophrenia spectrum patients scoring intermediate to patients and controls (Table 2).

Pearson correlation coefficients indicated that correlations between positive symptoms and neurocognitive test scores were between -0.02 and -0.13 and significant only for CPT-HQ reaction time (r = -0.13, P = .03) and Flanker CPT congruent condition reaction time (r = -0.12, P = .05) performance. For negative symptoms, Pearson coefficients were significant for all tests (r between -0.11 and -0.27, P < .05). Disorganization symptoms were also significantly correlated with most neurocognitive tests (r between -0.13and -0.22, P < .05), with the exception of CPT-HQ reaction time (r = -0.04, P = .48). Symptoms of excitement only correlated significantly with CPT-HQ accuracy (r = -0.12, P = .03, other coefficients between 0.01 and -0.09). Emotional distress symptoms correlated significantly with none of the neurocognitive variables idem (r between -0.00 and -0.08). For all significant associations, a higher symptom score was associated with worse cognitive performance.

### Neurocognitive Functioning in Bipolar Disorder

Demographic characteristics, symptom scores, and neurocognitive test scores of the bipolar study sample are presented in Table 3. Multiple regression analyses showed that bipolar patient status was associated with a significantly worse neurocognitive performance on the majority of administered tests (Table 4). Patients did not differ significantly from controls on CPT-HQ reaction time and Flanker CPT reaction time neutral and incongruent conditions only. However, neurocognitive performance in relatives of bipolar patients was comparable to that of controls. Effect sizes were in the expected direction but very small, with the exception of the Word List Learning test, on which relatives of bipolar patients performed slightly better than controls.

To investigate whether cognitive dysfunction is a true trait characteristic in bipolar disorder, post hoc analyses were performed excluding bipolar patients without strictly defined euthymia (euthymia: HDRS score < 8 and YMRS score < 8). Twelve bipolar patients were excluded and investigation of cognitive dysfunctions in this new sample yielded similar results, the only exception being that for the number of correct responses on the Flanker CPT in the neutral condition, the association slightly reduced and was no longer significant ( $\beta = -0.18$ , P = .07).

Pearson coefficients for the correlation between HDRS depression score and neurocognitive performance ranged between 0.00 and -0.26 but were significant only for the

Table 1. Demographics, Symptom Scores, and Neurocognitive Test Results of the Schizophrenia Spectrum Study Sample <sup>a</sup>						
	Controls	Relatives of Schizophrenia Spectrum Patients	Schizophrenia Spectrum Patients			
Variable	(n = 260)	(n=331)	(n=345)			
Demographic characteristic <sup>b</sup>						
Gender, male/female, n/n	87/173	154/177	245/100			
Age range, y	16-55	16–55	16-55			
Age, y	32.0 (11.9)	29.2 (9.5)	29.5 (9.4)			
Level of education <sup>c</sup>	5.3 (1.8)	5.0 (2.1)	4.3 (2.0)			
IQ	110.3 (16.6)	104.9 (17.0)	95.2 (16.9)			
Medication, no. of cases						
Atypical antipsychotics	0	1	236			
Typical antipsychotics	0	0	73			
Antidepressants	6	9	76			
Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale score			35.9 (13.6)			
Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale score						
Positive			13.3 (6.8)			
Negative			12.5 (6.2)			
Disorganization			14.3 (6.2)			
Excitement			10.6 (3.9)			
Emotional distress			14.5 (6.3)			
Global Assessment of Functioning score			57.4 (16.2)			
Word List Learning						
Immediate recall	28.6 (5.6)	27.1 (5.5)	23.0 (6.6)			
Delayed recall	9.9 (2.7)	9.4 (2.6)	7.6 (3.0)			
Continuous Performance Test-HQ						
Correct detections, %	0.99 (0.04)	0.98 (0.07)	0.93 (0.12)			
Reaction time correct detections	411.5 (76.5)	421.7 (78.6)	440.8 (84.8)			
Flanker CPT						
Correct-neutral	46.0 (2.5)	45.8 (2.7)	43.3 (5.9)			
Correct-congruent	46.1 (2.6)	46.0 (3.0)	43.0 (6.4)			
Correct-incongruent	42.7 (4.6)	42.4 (4.9)	38.2 (7.8)			
Reaction time-neutral	511.1 (63.2)	521.7 (68.9)	553.0 (94.0)			
Reaction time-congruent	513.8 (65.0)	523.1 (71.4)	559.3 (98.1)			
Reaction time-incongruent	569.4 (62.7)	579.8 (71.4)	611.0 (101.2)			

<sup>a</sup>Results are presented as mean (SD) unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup>Between-group differences for demographic characteristics: gender,  $\chi^2 = 89.73$ , P = .00; age, F = 6.34, P = .00; educational level, F = 22.69, P = .00; and IQ, F = 62.06, P = .00.

<sup>c</sup>A 7-level variable with 1 representing lowest education and 7 highest education.

delayed recall condition of Word List Learning (r=-0.26, P=.03, other coefficients between 0.00 and -0.23). A higher HDRS depression score was associated with a worse verbal memory performance. Hypomanic symptoms were not significantly correlated with any of the neurocognitive tests (coefficients between 0.14 and -0.20).

### Comparing Cognitive Performance in Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders and Bipolar Disorder

The mean *z* scores for neurocognitive variables in both patient and relative groups and the results of regression analyses are presented in Table 5. Multiple regression analyses showed that schizophrenia spectrum patients performed significantly worse than bipolar patients on all administered tests, with the exception of CPT-HQ accuracy. Relatives of schizophrenia spectrum patients performed significantly worse than relatives of bipolar patients on both conditions of Word List Learning and Flanker CPT reaction time neutral condition. Trends toward significance were found for reaction time in the other Flanker CPT conditions (see Table 5).

# Associations Between Cognitive Functioning and Psychosocial Functioning

In schizophrenia spectrum patients, neurocognitive test performance on all cognitive tests was significantly associated with GAF score. Associations were consistently in the direction of a better cognitive performance being predictive of a higher GAF score (Table 6).

After additional adjustment for current symptomatology, associations of immediate and delayed recall conditions of Word List Learning with GAF remained significant (immediate recall:  $\beta$ =0.16, *P*=.00; delayed recall:  $\beta$ =0.20, *P*=.00). Accuracy on the CPT-HQ was no longer significantly predictive of GAF ( $\beta$ =.06, *P*=.18), whereas the association with the reaction time was reduced but still significant ( $\beta$ =0.13, *P*=.01). The previously significant associations of Flanker CPT with GAF disappeared (all beta values between 0.03 and 0.07, *P* values > .15).

Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale negative (beta values between -0.23 and -0.29, *P* values < .00), disorganization (beta values between -0.14 and -0.21, *P* values < .02), and emotional distress (beta values between -0.16 and -0.18, *P* values < .02) symptom scores were significantly associated with outcome in the above-mentioned models in the direction that higher symptom scores predicted lower GAF scores.

In bipolar disorder patients, significant associations were found between neurocognitive performance and GAF score for reaction time in the neutral and congruent conditions of the Flanker CPT (neutral:  $\beta = 0.27$ , P = .03; congruent:  $\beta = .23$ ,

	Relatives of Sc Spectrum	hizophrenia Patients	Schizophrenia Spectrum Patients		
Cognitive Variable <sup>b</sup>	β	Р	β	Р	
Word List Learning					
Immediate recall	-0.10	.01	-0.33	.00	
Delayed recall	-0.07	.04	-0.28	.00	
Continuous Performance Test-HQ					
Correct detections, %	-0.06	.04	-0.24	.00	
Reaction time correct detections	-0.12	.00	-0.25	.00	
Flanker CPT					
Correct-neutral	-0.01	.69	-0.26	.00	
Correct-congruent	-0.01	.62	-0.29	.00	
Correct-incongruent	-0.02	.63	-0.29	.00	
Reaction time-neutral	-0.13	.00	-0.34	.00	
Reaction time-congruent	-0.12	.00	-0.35	.00	
Reaction time-incongruent	-0.12	.00	-0.33	.00	

Table 2. Associations Between Neurocognitive Performance and Group Status in the Schizophrenia Spectrum Study<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Controls were used as reference category. All analyses adjusted for age, sex, and education. <sup>b</sup>Higher values indicate better performance.

Table 3. Demographics,	Symptom Scores,	and Neurocognitive	Test Results of the Bipolar
Study Sample <sup>a</sup>			

	Controls	Relatives of Bipolar Patients	Bipolar Patients
Variable	(n=61)	(n=37)	(n=76)
Demographic characteristic <sup>b</sup>			
Gender, male/female, n/n	23/38	20/17	35/41
Age range, y	25-56	18-58	27-60
Age, y	45.3 (8.7)	40.0 (12.1)	44.4 (7.9)
Educational level	5.8 (1.7)	6.5 (1.7)	5.6 (2.1)
IQ	103.4 (13.5)	107.8 (15.7)	97.9 (14.6)
Medications, no. of cases			
Antipsychotics	0	0	23
Anticonvulsants	0	0	43
Lithium	0	0	37
Antidepressants	0	2	14
Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale score	25.0 (1.7)	26.8 (3.2)	33.4 (6.4)
Hamilton Depression Rating Scale score	0.23 (0.9)	0.41 (1.0)	4.03 (4.3)
Young Mania Rating Scale score	0.07 (0.3)	0.30 (0.9)	1.61 (2.5)
Global Assessment of Functioning score	89.7 (3.3)	84.7 (5.5)	67.2 (10.7)
Previous psychotic, n (%) <sup>c</sup>			38 (50.7)
Word List Learning			
Immediate recall	25.7 (4.9)	26.9 (6.2)	23.2 (5.2)
Delayed recall	8.6 (2.5)	9.3 (2.8)	7.2 (2.9)
Continuous Performance Test-HQ			
Correct detections, %	0.99 (0.02)	0.99 (0.02)	0.95 (0.07)
Reaction time correct detections	473.1 (78.0)	487.4 (88.0)	476.3 (86.6)
Flanker CPT			
Correct-neutral	44.9 (4.0)	45.8 (2.3)	43.1 (6.2)
Correct-congruent	45.7 (3.3)	45.7 (2.7)	43.3 (6.4)
Correct-incongruent	42.1 (5.1)	43.2 (3.4)	38.9 (8.9)
Reaction time-neutral	647.2 (65.1)	636.6 (65.6)	669.1 (86.9)
Reaction time-congruent	644.2 (55.8)	640.7 (60.8)	669.7 (85.3)
Reaction time-incongruent	706.3 (64.6)	704.0 (75.5)	721.7 (82.7)

<sup>a</sup>Results are presented as mean (SD) unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup>Between-group differences for demographic characteristics: gender,  $\chi^2 = 2.57$ , P = .28; age, F = 4.09,

P = .02; educational level, F = 3.14, P = .05; and IQ, F = 6.23, P = .00.

<sup>c</sup>Based on 75 patients.

*P*=.05), whereas trends toward significance were reported for reaction time in the incongruent condition ( $\beta$ =0.23, *P*=.06) and for CPT-HQ reaction time ( $\beta$ =0.20, *P*=.09). A better cognitive performance was associated with a higher GAF score.

Associations were reduced but did not disappear after additionally entering symptom measures into the equation (CPT-HQ reaction time:  $\beta = 0.17$ , P = .10; Flanker CPT

reaction time-neutral:  $\beta = .27$ , P = .03; Flanker CPT reaction time-congruent:  $\beta = 0.20$ , P = .07; Flanker CPT reaction time-incongruent:  $\beta = 0.22$ , P = .10). Higher HDRS depression ratings were consistently associated with lower GAF scores (beta values between -0.42 and -0.46, P values < .01), whereas YMRS mania/hypomania symptoms were not significantly predictive of GAF scores (beta values between -0.05 and -0.17, P values > .12).

Table 4. Associations Between Neurocognition and Group Status in the Bipolar Study							
	Relatives of Bi	polar Patients	Bipolar Patients				
Cognitive Variable <sup>b</sup>	β	Р	β	Р			
Word List Learning							
Immediate recall	0.03	.70	-0.20	.01			
Delayed recall	0.07	.43	-0.23	.01			
Continuous Performance Test-HQ							
Correct detections, %	-0.02	.60	-0.37	.00			
Reaction time correct detections	-0.09	.31	-0.03	.76			
Flanker CPT							
Correct-neutral	-0.04	.53	-0.20	.03			
Correct-congruent	-0.10	.13	-0.26	.01			
Correct-incongruent	-0.04	.43	-0.24	.01			
Reaction time-neutral	-0.03	.66	-0.16	.07			
Reaction time-congruent	-0.06	.39	-0.20	.03			
Reaction time-incongruent	-0.05	.48	-0.12	.19			

<sup>a</sup>Controls were used as reference category. All analyses adjusted for age, sex, and education. <sup>b</sup>Higher values indicate better performance.

#### Table 5. Mean z Scores of Neurocognitive Performance in the Research Groups and Schizophrenia-Bipolar Comparisons<sup>a</sup>

			Relativ	res of								
	Relati	ives	Schizop	hrenia	Relatives of	f Bipolar			Schizopl	hrenia		
	of Bip	olar	Spect	rum	Patients vs	Relatives	Bipo	lar	Specti	rum	Bipolar F	Patients
	Patie	nts	Patie	nts	of Schizoj	phrenia	Patie	nts	Patie	nts	vs Schizo	phrenia
	(n=3	37)	(n=3)	29)	Spectrum	Patients <sup>c</sup>	(n=7	76)	(n=3)	45)	Spectrum	Patients <sup>a</sup>
Cognitive Variable <sup>b</sup>	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	β	Р	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	β	Р
Word List Learning												
Immediate recall	0.23	1.3	-0.25	1.0	-0.23	.00	-0.52	1.1	-0.98	1.2	-0.32	.00
Delayed recall	0.28	1.1	-0.19	0.9	-0.28	.00	-0.57	1.2	-0.85	1.1	-0.18	.01
Continuous Performance Test-HQ												
Correct detections, %	0.06	0.9	-0.23	1.8	-0.06	.34	-1.59	2.9	-1.34	3.2	-0.04	.61
Reaction time correct detections	-0.18	1.1	-0.13	1.0	-0.01	.94	-0.04	1.1	-0.38	1.1	-0.21	.01
Flanker CPT												
Correct-neutral	0.20	0.6	-0.06	1.1	0.02	.75	-0.46	1.6	-1.07	2.3	-0.18	.00
Correct-congruent	-0.01	0.8	-0.04	1.2	0.08	.14	-0.74	1.9	-1.22	2.5	-0.14	.02
Correct-incongruent	0.22	0.7	-0.06	1.1	-0.00	.94	-0.61	1.7	-0.99	1.7	-0.15	.02
Reaction time-neutral	0.16	1.0	-0.17	1.1	-0.17	.02	-0.34	1.3	-0.66	1.5	-0.33	.00
Reaction time-congruent	0.06	1.1	-0.14	1.1	-0.14	.08	-0.46	1.5	-0.70	1.5	-0.28	.00
Reaction time-incongruent	0.04	1.2	-0.17	1.1	-0.14	.08	-0.24	1.3	-0.66	1.6	-0.33	.00

<sup>a</sup>All analyses adjusted for age, sex, and education.

<sup>b</sup>Higher values indicate better performance.

For relatives of bipolar patients versus relatives of schizophrenia spectrum patients, the former were used as the reference category.

<sup>d</sup>For bipolar patients versus schizophrenia spectrum patients, the former were used as the reference category.

#### Table 6. Associations Between Neurocognitive Performance and Psychosocial Functioning Measured by the Global Assessment of Functioning in the Schizophrenia Spectrum and **Bipolar** Patient Groups<sup>a</sup>

	Schizop	hrenia				
	Spect	rum	Bipo	Bipolar		
	Patie	ents	Patie	ents		
Cognitive Variable <sup>b</sup>	β	Р	β	Р		
Word List Learning						
Immediate recall	0.21	.00	0.10	.45		
Delayed recall	0.22	.00	0.16	.27		
Continuous Performance Test-HQ						
Correct detections, %	0.19	.01	0.13	.23		
Reaction time correct detections	0.20	.00	0.20	.09		
Flanker CPT						
Correct-neutral	0.13	.02	0.05	.63		
Correct-congruent	0.12	.02	0.05	.71		
Correct-incongruent	0.14	.02	0.09	.47		
Reaction time-neutral	0.19	.00	0.27	.03		
Reaction time-congruent	0.23	.00	0.23	.05		
Reaction time-incongruent	0.20	.00	0.23	.06		
<sup>a</sup> All analyses adjusted for age, sex, and	d educatio	n.				

<sup>b</sup>Higher values indicate better performance.

Repeating the analyses, excluding patients who did not have a narrow diagnosis of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder and controls who were using antidepressants, yielded comparable results for the analyses on neurocognitive performance in both disorders (data not shown). Regarding neurocognition-outcome relationships, in schizophrenia spectrum patients, the associations between neurocognition and GAF were significant only for tests of verbal memory and reaction time on the CPT-HQ, mirroring the results obtained in previous analyses after additional adjustment for symptoms. In the bipolar study, associations did not change.

## DISCUSSION

Schizophrenia spectrum patients showed a generalized impairment across the cognitive domains that were studied. Their relatives performed intermediately and significantly differently from controls on tasks of verbal memory, sustained attention, and reaction time components of selective attention. Bipolar patients similarly showed impairment in all 3 domains, although not consistently on all the task parameters. The cognitive performance of their first-degree relatives was comparable to that of controls. Comparison of schizophrenia spectrum and bipolar study samples indicated that patients were impaired in overlapping cognitive domains but that the impairments were more severe in patients with schizophrenia spectrum diagnoses. Relatives of schizophrenia spectrum patients were cognitively more impaired than bipolar relatives on tasks of verbal memory and reaction time components of selective attention.

In schizophrenia spectrum patients, performance on most neurocognitive tests was associated with psychosocial functioning, whereas in bipolar patients, this was true for reaction time components of selective attention only. For both groups, associations between neurocognition and psychosocial functioning were partly mediated by symptoms. In the schizophrenia spectrum sample, negative, disorganization, and emotional distress symptoms were associated with psychosocial functioning to a similar degree as neurocognition, whereas in the bipolar sample, depressive symptoms were strongly associated with psychosocial functioning.

### Neurocognition as Vulnerability Marker in Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders and Bipolar Disorder

The finding of cognitive deficits in multiple domains in schizophrenia spectrum patients is consistent with many previous studies that showed moderate to large effect sizes of deficits in verbal memory, sustained attention, and selective attention<sup>1,41,42</sup> and confirms the idea of cognitive impairment as a core feature of the disorder.<sup>43,44</sup> The finding that first-degree relatives of schizophrenia spectrum patients performed intermediate to patients and controls on most cognitive tests and differed significantly from controls on tasks of verbal memory, sustained attention, and reaction time components of selective attention is in accordance with previous studies<sup>45–47</sup> and adds further evidence to the suggestion that neurocognitive impairments are putative markers of the genetic vulnerability to schizophrenia.<sup>48,49</sup>

Bipolar disorder patients differed significantly from controls on most cognitive tests, as previously reported in studies showing cognitive impairment in stable bipolar patients in verbal memory and attentional domains.<sup>22,50-52</sup> Although cognitive alterations in verbal memory and attention in relatives of bipolar patients have been found in some studies,<sup>8,10</sup> in the current study, cognitive performance of bipolar relatives was comparable to that of controls. This is in contradiction to the conclusion of a recent literature review<sup>11</sup> that neurocognitive impairments represent candidate intermediary phenotypes for bipolar disorder. However, the more recent systematic review of Balanzá-Martínez et al53 showed that the evidence in support of neurocognitive deficits in bipolar relatives is sparse, and a recent quantitative meta-analysis<sup>6</sup> showed that differences between relatives and controls were generally small and significant only for the domain of executive control.

Comparison of schizophrenia spectrum and bipolar patients indicated that although both groups performed worse than controls in the domains of verbal memory and selective attention, schizophrenia spectrum patients were significantly more impaired than bipolar patients. Patients were equally impaired in the accuracy measure of sustained attention but differed in their reaction times on this task, as only schizophrenia spectrum patients were slower than controls on this task component.

Previous studies<sup>43,54-57</sup> comparing neurocognitive functioning in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder have yielded variable results but the broad conclusion that cognitive impairment in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder is qualitatively similar but quantitatively more marked in schizophrenia<sup>58,59</sup> seems justified.

Relatives of schizophrenia spectrum patients in this study had a poorer cognitive performance than relatives of bipolar patients, and differences reached significance for tests of verbal memory and reaction time components of selective attention. These findings are in line with the results of the few studies<sup>13,60-62</sup> that have compared the cognitive performance of relatives of schizophrenia and bipolar patients, namely that in general there is a more severe and generalized pattern of cognitive impairment in schizophrenia relatives.

This study showed that, contrary to widespread assumptions, associations between symptoms and cognition were equally present in both groups. Although the magnitude of the associations was larger in the bipolar sample, it lacked statistical precision possibly due to the smaller sample size in the latter study. Cognitive performance in the schizophrenia spectrum sample was related to both negative and disorganized symptoms but not to positive symptoms, as was shown in previous studies.<sup>63-65</sup> In line with previous suggestions,<sup>66</sup> our data indicate that cognition shows qualitatively differential relationships with symptom dimensions, whereas differences between diagnostic categories are only quantitative.

# Predictors of Psychosocial Functioning in Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder

In schizophrenia spectrum patients, a better cognitive performance was predictive of higher psychosocial functioning as measured by GAF, a finding that is consistent with previous reviews on neurocognition-outcome associations in schizophrenia.<sup>14,19</sup> The predictive value of a better performance in learning and verbal memory and reaction times of sustained attention was independent of the severity of current symptoms.<sup>15,67,68</sup> However, negative, disorganization, and emotional distress symptoms were also significantly associated with psychosocial functioning, and effect sizes for negative symptoms were comparable to those of the cognitive measures. Thus, specific symptom dimensions equal cognition in terms of their relevance in the prediction of functional outcome in schizophrenia.<sup>15,16</sup>

In the bipolar sample, faster reaction time on a selective attention task was significantly associated with better psychosocial functioning. Other neurocognitive variables, however, were unrelated to outcome in this study. Controlling for residual symptoms showed that the associations with attentional RT variables remained significant, but that depressive symptomatology was a stronger predictor of psychosocial functioning than neurocognition in the bipolar sample. Only a few studies have investigated neurocognition-outcome associations in bipolar disorder; some showed positive associations between cognitive functioning on memory and executive functioning tests and GAF score in bipolar patients.<sup>22,69,70</sup> On the basis of current and previous findings, it can be concluded that clinical state is a crucial variable in predicting outcome in bipolar disorder. Not only may clinical variables, including subsyndromal depression,<sup>71</sup> be more predictive of psychosocial outcome than cognition,<sup>72</sup> but cognition may also be more strongly related to functional outcome during depression or mania/hypomania than in the euthymic state.73

Neurocognition-outcome associations seem stronger in schizophrenia than in bipolar disorder,<sup>72,87</sup> and are possibly restricted to more selective cognitive deficits in bipolar disorder.<sup>74</sup> In contrast, residual symptomatology appears to make a larger contribution to functioning in bipolar disorder than in schizophrenia.

### **Explaining Similarities and Differences**

In sum, although the presence of multiple cognitive deficits is shared by the 2 groups, schizophrenia spectrum disorders are associated with more severe and more generalized deficits, which seems to reflect the genetic vulnerability as well as the impact on daily life to a greater extent than in bipolar disorder.

The current findings support a model that explains similarities and differences between schizophrenia and bipolar disorder by suggesting that the disorders have partly shared susceptibility genes predisposing to psychosis in general but are differentiated by the presence of a neurodevelopmental impairment in the former but not in the latter.<sup>75</sup> According to this model, the neurocognitive dysfunctions in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder have partly different origins. It is hypothesized that in schizophrenia, dysfunctions are a consequence of problems in early brain development, whereas in bipolar disorder, dysfunctions are more likely to be a consequence of the disease process itself. The presence of premorbid cognitive impairments in preschizophrenia children but not in prebipolar subjects,<sup>76</sup> findings of lower premorbid IQ estimation in schizophrenia as compared to bipolar disorder,<sup>77</sup> and other developmental delays, as well as pregnancy and birth complications in schizophrenia<sup>78</sup> but not in bipolar disorder,<sup>79</sup> are in line with this suggestion. The finding that in bipolar disorder, cognitive deficits are associated with severity and progression of the illness<sup>80</sup> and studies showing that the presence of cognitive alterations is more marked in relatives of schizophrenia patients than in relatives of bipolar patients adds further credence to this idea. A recent review<sup>81</sup> on the causes of neurocognitive dysfunction in bipolar disorder suggested that the evidence was more in favor of a neurodegenerative model rather than a neurodevelopmental one.

On the other hand, the qualitatively similar pattern of neurocognitive dysfunctions in both disorders may also suggest partial etiological overlap. Previous studies<sup>52,82</sup> have shown a large degree of cognitive heterogeneity within the group of bipolar patients. There appears to be a subgroup of bipolar patients who are cognitively more severely impaired, even to a similar degree as in schizophrenia patients, and whose relatives also show significant cognitive alterations. In the context of a continuum model spanning affective and nonaffective psychosis, it can be suggested that this subgroup of bipolar subjects may be more toward the nonaffective, neurodevelopmental side of the continuum and that for this subset of bipolar patients, cognitive impairments may reflect a genetic vulnerability that is also observable in their firstdegree relatives.

It is currently being argued that cognitive impairment should be included in the diagnostic criteria for schizophrenia (eg, Keefe<sup>83</sup>). Given the importance of neurocognition in terms of biology, function, and treatment of severe mental illnesses, it can be suggested that any dimensional representation of psychopathology should include variation in neurocognitive functioning in addition to other symptom dimensions. The present findings show that there is quantitative rather than qualitative variation in neurocognitive functioning across diagnostic boundaries, providing no specificity in diagnostic terms. However, current and previous findings suggest that there are valid developmental neurocognitive contrasts between schizophrenia and bipolar disorder that should be used for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition/International Classification of Diseases, Eleventh Revision.<sup>84</sup> Future studies should focus on methods of proper assessment of developmental cognitive deficits.

# **Methodological Considerations**

The current results should be interpreted in the context of several methodological issues.

First, the cognitive assessment was limited, and the broad domain of executive functioning could not be completely covered by the test battery. However, the study focused on domains that have been robustly associated with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Second, the sample sizes in the 2 studies were not balanced, which may have caused effect sizes in the larger sample of schizophrenia patients to be more statistically precise than in the sample of bipolar patients. Third, it cannot be excluded that the study had a bias toward inclusion of subjects who were functioning relatively well, yielding samples that are not representative of the entire population. Fourth, most patients were medicated, which may have confounded the results. However, studies investigating adverse cognitive side effects of psychotropic medication show that if negative effects are present, effect sizes are small,<sup>85</sup> and some atypical antipsychotic drugs even seem to improve cognitive functioning.<sup>86</sup> Finally, psychosocial functioning in this study was assessed by a global measure of psychosocial function (the GAF score), which may have caused results to be different from studies in which more explicit outcome measures were used.

Drug name: lithium (Eskalith, Lithobid, and others).

*Author affiliations:* Department of Psychiatry and Neuropsychology, South Limburg Mental Health Research and Teaching Network, EURON, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands (all authors) and Division of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, London, United Kingdom (Dr van Os).

Potential conflicts of interest: None reported.

*Funding/support:* The Genetic Risk and Outcome of Psychosis (GROUP) project was financially supported by the ZonMW GeestKracht program, The Netherlands. The BIPOLCOG study was supported by an unrestricted grant from AstraZeneca and Eli Lilly, The Netherlands.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Heinrichs RW, Zakzanis KK. Neurocognitive deficit in schizophrenia: a quantitative review of the evidence. *Neuropsychology*. 1998;12(3): 426–445.
- Dollfus S, Lombardo C, Bénali K, et al. Executive/attentional cognitive functions in schizophrenic patients and their parents: a preliminary study. *Schizophr Res.* 2002;53(1–2):93–99.
- Keefe RS, Silverman JM, Roitman SE, et al. Performance of nonpsychotic relatives of schizophrenic patients on cognitive tests. *Psychiatry Res.* 1994;53(1):1–12.
- 4. Krabbendam L, Marcelis M, Delespaul P, et al. Single or multiple familial cognitive risk factors in schizophrenia? *Am J Med Genet*. 2001;105(2):183–188.
- Faraone SV, Seidman LJ, Kremen WS, et al. Neuropsychologic functioning among the nonpsychotic relatives of schizophrenic patients: the effect of genetic loading. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2000;48(2):120–126.
- Arts B, Jabben N, Krabbendam L, et al. Meta-analyses of cognitive functioning in euthymic bipolar patients and their first-degree relatives. *Psychol Med.* 2008;38(6):771–785.
- Robinson LJ, Thompson JM, Gallagher P, et al. A meta-analysis of cognitive deficits in euthymic patients with bipolar disorder. J Affect Disord. 2006;93(1–3):105–115.
- Antila M, Tuulio-Henriksson A, Kieseppä T, et al. Cognitive functioning in patients with familial bipolar I disorder and their unaffected relatives. *Psychol Med.* 2007;37(5):679–687.
- Clark L, Sarna A, Goodwin GM. Impairment of executive function but not memory in first-degree relatives of patients with bipolar I disorder and in euthymic patients with unipolar depression. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2005;162(10):1980–1982.
- Ferrier IN, Chowdhury R, Thompson JM, et al. Neurocognitive function in unaffected first-degree relatives of patients with bipolar disorder: a preliminary report. *Bipolar Disord*. 2004;6(4):319–322.
- Glahn DC, Bearden CE, Niendam TA, et al. The feasibility of neuropsychological endophenotypes in the search for genes associated with bipolar affective disorder. *Bipolar Disord*. 2004;6(3):171–182.
- McIntosh AM, Harrison LK, Forrester K, et al. Neuropsychological impairments in people with schizophrenia or bipolar disorder and their unaffected relatives. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2005;186(5):378–385.
- 13. Zalla T, Joyce C, Szöke A, et al. Executive dysfunctions as potential markers of familial vulnerability to bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res.* 2004;121(3):207–217.
- Green MF, Kern RS, Heaton RK. Longitudinal studies of cognition and functional outcome in schizophrenia: implications for MATRICS. *Schizophr Res.* 2004;72(1):41–51.
- Milev P, Ho BC, Arndt S, et al. Predictive values of neurocognition and negative symptoms on functional outcome in schizophrenia: a longitudinal first-episode study with 7-year follow-up. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2005;162(3):495–506.
- Norman RM, Malla AK, Cortese L, et al. Symptoms and cognition as predictors of community functioning: a prospective analysis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1999;156(3):400–405.
- Dickinson D, Coursey RD. Independence and overlap among neurocognitive correlates of community functioning in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 2002;56(1–2):161–170.
- Bryson G, Bell MD. Initial and final work performance in schizophrenia: cognitive and symptom predictors. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2003;191(2): 87–92.
- Green MF. What are the functional consequences of neurocognitive deficits in schizophrenia? *Am J Psychiatry*. 1996;153(3):321–330.
- Atre-Vaidya N, Taylor MA, Seidenberg M, et al. Cognitive deficits, psychopathology, and psychosocial functioning in bipolar mood disorder. *Neuropsychiatry Neuropsychol Behav Neurol.* 1998;11(3):120–126.

- 21. Dickerson FB, Boronow JJ, Stallings CR, et al. Association between cognitive functioning and employment status of persons with bipolar disorder. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2004;55(1):54–58.
- Martínez-Arán A, Vieta E, Colom F, et al. Cognitive impairment in euthymic bipolar patients: implications for clinical and functional outcome. *Bipolar Disord*. 2004;6(3):224–232.
- Maxwell ME. Manual for the Family Interview for Genetic Studies (FIGS). Bethesda, MD: National Institute of Mental Health; 1992.
- Jabben N, Arts B, Krabbendam L, et al. Investigating the association between neurocognition and psychosis in bipolar disorder: further evidence for the overlap with schizophrenia. *Bipolar Disord*. 2009;11(2):166–177.
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of* Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
- McGuffin P, Farmer A, Harvey I. A polydiagnostic application of operational criteria in studies of psychotic illness: development and reliability of the OPCRIT system. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1991;48(8):764–770.
- 27. Andreasen NC, Flaum M, Arndt S. The Comprehensive Assessment of Symptoms and History (CASH). an instrument for assessing diagnosis and psychopathology. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1992;49(8):615–623.
- Lukoff D, Nuechterlein KH, Ventura J. Manual for the expanded BPRS. Schizophr Bull. 1986;12:594–602.
- 29. van der Gaag M, Hoffman T, Remijsen M, et al. The five-factor model of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale II: a ten-fold cross-validation of a revised model. *Schizophr Res.* 2006;85(1–3):280–287.
- Hamilton M. Development of a rating scale for primary depressive illness. Br J Soc Clin Psychol. 1967;6(4):278–296.
- Young RC, Biggs JT, Ziegler VE, et al. A rating scale for mania: reliability, validity and sensitivity. Br J Psychiatry. 1978;133(5):429–435.
- 32. Wechsler D. WAIS-III, Nederlandse bewerking: Afname en scoringshandleiding. Lisse, The Netherlands: Swets and Zeitlinger B.V.; 2000.
- Blyler CR, Gold JM, Iannone VN, et al. Short form of the WAIS-III for use with patients with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 2000;46(2–3): 209–215.
- 34. Luteijn F, Barelds DPF. *GIT-2 Goninger Intelligentie Test 2. Handleiding*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Harcourt; 2004.
- 35. Rey A. *L'examen Clinique en Psychologie*. Paris, France: Presses Universitaires de France; 1964.
- Smid HG, de Witte MR, Homminga I, et al. Sustained and transient attention in the continuous performance task. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2006;28(6):859–883.
- Nestor PG, Faux SF, McCarley RW, et al. Measurement of visual sustained attention in schizophrenia using signal detection analysis and a newly developed computerized CPT task. *Schizophr Res.* 1990;3 (5–6):329–332.
- Posner MI, Inhoff AW, Friedrich FJ, et al. Isolating attentional systems: A cognitive-anatomical analysis. *Psychobiology*. 1987;15:107–121.
- Eriksen CW, Schultz DW. Information processing in visual search: a continuous flow conception and experimental results. *Percept Psychophys*. 1979;25(4):249–263.
- 40. STATA Statistical Software: Release 10.0. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP; 2007.
- Aleman A, Hijman R, de Haan EH, et al. Memory impairment in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1999;156(9):1358–1366.
- Bilder RM, Goldman RS, Robinson D, et al. Neuropsychology of firstepisode schizophrenia: initial characterization and clinical correlates. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000;157(4):549–559.
- Altshuler LL, Ventura J, van Gorp WG, et al. Neurocognitive function in clinically stable men with bipolar I disorder or schizophrenia and normal control subjects. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2004;56(8):560–569.
- Keefe RS. The contribution of neuropsychology to psychiatry. Am J Psychiatry. 1995;152(1):6–15.
- 45. Sitskoorn MM, Aleman A, Ebisch SJ, et al. Cognitive deficits in relatives of patients with schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *Schizophr Res.* 2004;71 (2–3):285–295.
- 46. Kremen WS, Hoff AL. Neurocognitive deficits in the biological relatives of individuals with schizophrenia. In: Stone WS, ed. *Early Clinical Intervention and Prevention in Schizophrenia*. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 2004.
- Heydebrand G. Cognitive deficits in the families of patients with schizophrenia. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2006;19(3):277–281.
- Snitz BE, Macdonald AW 3rd, Carter CS. Cognitive deficits in unaffected first-degree relatives of schizophrenia patients: a meta-analytic review of putative endophenotypes. *Schizophr Bull*. 2006;32(1):179–194.
- 49. Gur RE, Calkins ME, Gur RC, et al. The Consortium on the Genetics

of Schizophrenia: neurocognitive endophenotypes. *Schizophr Bull.* 2007;33(1):49–68.

- 50. Quraishi S, Frangou S. Neuropsychology of bipolar disorder: a review. *J Affect Disord*. 2002;72(3):209–226.
- Clark L, Iversen SD, Goodwin GM. Sustained attention deficit in bipolar disorder. Br J Psychiatry. 2002;180(4):313–319.
- Balanzá-Martínez V, Tabarés-Seisdedos R, Selva-Vera G, et al. Persistent cognitive dysfunctions in bipolar I disorder and schizophrenic patients: a 3-year follow-up study. *Psychother Psychosom*. 2005;74(2):113–119.
- Balanzá-Martínez V, Rubio C, Selva-Vera G, et al. Neurocognitive endophenotypes (endophenocognitypes) from studies of relatives of bipolar disorder subjects: a systematic review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2008;32(8):1426–1438.
- Tabarés-Seisdedos R, Balanzá-Martinez V, Salazar-Fraile J, et al. Specific executive/attentional deficits in patients with schizophrenia or bipolar disorder who have a positive family history of psychosis. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2003;37(6):479–486.
- Dickerson FB, Sommerville J, Origoni AE, et al. Outpatients with schizophrenia and bipolar I disorder: do they differ in their cognitive and social functioning? *Psychiatry Res.* 2001;102(1):21–27.
- Hawkins KA, Hoffman RE, Quinlan DM, et al. Cognition, negative symptoms, and diagnosis: a comparison of schizophrenic, bipolar, and control samples. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 1997;9(1):81–89.
- Rossi A, Arduini L, Daneluzzo E, et al. Cognitive function in euthymic bipolar patients, stabilized schizophrenic patients, and healthy controls. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2000;34(4-5):333–339.
- Krabbendam L, Arts B, van Os J, et al. Cognitive functioning in patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: a quantitative review. *Schizophr Res.* 2005;80(2–3):137–149.
- Schretlen DJ, Cascella NG, Meyer SM, et al. Neuropsychological functioning in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007;62(2):179–186.
- Kremen WS, Faraone SV, Seidman LJ, et al. Neuropsychological risk indicators for schizophrenia: a preliminary study of female relatives of schizophrenic and bipolar probands. *Psychiatry Res.* 1998;79(3): 227–240.
- Kéri S, Kelemen O, Benedek G, et al. Different trait markers for schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: a neurocognitive approach. *Psychol Med.* 2001;31(5):915–922.
- Pirkola T, Tuulio-Henriksson A, Glahn D, et al. Spatial working memory function in twins with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005;58(12):930–936.
- Harvey PD, Koren D, Reichenberg A, et al. Negative symptoms and cognitive deficits: what is the nature of their relationship? *Schizophr Bull*. 2006;32(2):250–258.
- O'Leary DS, Flaum M, Kesler ML, et al. Cognitive correlates of the negative, disorganized, and psychotic symptom dimensions of schizophrenia. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2000;12(1):4–15.
- 65. Dominguez Mde G, Viechtbauer W, Simons CJP, et al. Are psychotic psychopathology and neurocognition orthogonal? a systematic review of their associations. *Psychol Bull*. 2009;135(1):157–171.
- Kravariti E, Dixon T, Frith C, et al. Association of symptoms and executive function in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Schizophr Res.* 2005;74(2–3):221–231.
- Toulopoulouand T, Murray RM. Verbal memory deficit in patients with schizophrenia: an important future target for treatment. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2004;4(1):43–52.
- 68. Prouteau A, Verdoux H, Briand C, et al. Cognitive predictors of psychosocial functioning outcome in schizophrenia: a follow-up study

of subjects participating in a rehabilitation program. *Schizophr Res.* 2005;77(2–3):343–353.

- Torrent C, Martínez-Arán A, Daban C, et al. Cognitive impairment in bipolar II disorder. Br J Psychiatry. 2006;189(3):254–259.
- Martínez-Arán A, Vieta E, Reinares M, et al. Cognitive function across manic or hypomanic, depressed, and euthymic states in bipolar disorder. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004;161(2):262–270.
- 71. Marangell LB. The importance of subsyndromal symptoms in bipolar disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2004;65(suppl 10):24–27.
- Martínez-Arán A, Penadés R, Vieta E, et al. Executive function in patients with remitted bipolar disorder and schizophrenia and its relationship with functional outcome. *Psychother Psychosom*. 2002;71(1):39–46.
- 73. Malhi GS, Ivanovski B, Hadzi-Pavlovic D, et al. Neuropsychological deficits and functional impairment in bipolar depression, hypomania and euthymia. *Bipolar Disord*. 2007;9(1–2):114–125.
- Jaeger J, Vieta E. Functional outcome and disability in bipolar disorders: ongoing research and future directions. *Bipolar Disord*. 2007;9(1-2): 1–2.
- Murray RM, Sham P, Van Os J, et al. A developmental model for similarities and dissimilarities between schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Schizophr Res.* 2004;71(2–3):405–416.
- Cannon M, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, et al. Evidence for early-childhood, pan-developmental impairment specific to schizophreniform disorder: results from a longitudinal birth cohort. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2002;59(5):449–456.
- Gilvarry C, Takei N, Russell A, et al. Premorbid IQ in patients with functional psychosis and their first-degree relatives. *Schizophr Res.* 2000;41(3):417–429.
- Verdoux H, Geddes JR, Takei N, et al. Obstetric complications and age at onset in schizophrenia: an international collaborative meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1997;154(9):1220–1227.
- 79. Scott J, McNeill Y, Cavanagh J, et al. Exposure to obstetric complications and subsequent development of bipolar disorder: Systematic review. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2006;189(1):3–11.
- Robinson LJ, Ferrier IN. Evolution of cognitive impairment in bipolar disorder: a systematic review of cross-sectional evidence. *Bipolar Disord*. 2006;8(2):103–116.
- Goodwin GM, Martínez-Arán A, Glahn DC, et al. Cognitive impairment in bipolar disorder: neurodevelopment or neurodegeneration? an ECNP expert meeting report. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2008; 18(11):787–793.
- Sobczak S, Honig A, Schmitt JA, et al. Pronounced cognitive deficits following an intravenous L-tryptophan challenge in first-degree relatives of bipolar patients compared to healthy controls. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2003;28(4):711–719.
- 83. Keefe RS. Should cognitive impairment be included in the diagnostic criteria for schizophrenia? *World Psychiatry*. 2008;7(1):22–28.
- van Os J. A salience dysregulation syndrome. Br J Psychiatry. 2009;194(2):101–103.
- Goldberg FG. Adverse cognitive effects of psychotropic medications. In: Goldberg JF, Burdick KE, eds. *Cognitive Dysfunction in Bipolar Disorder*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc.; 2008:137–158.
- Keefe RS, Silva SG, Perkins DO, et al. The effects of atypical antipsychotic drugs on neurocognitive impairment in schizophrenia: a review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr Bull*. 1999;25(2):201–222.
- Laes JR, Sponheim SR. Does cognition predict community function only in Schizophrenia? a study of schizophrenia patients, bipolar affective disorder patients, and community control subjects. *Schizophr Res.* 2006;84(1):121–131.