

Overlap of Anxiety and Depression in a Managed Care Population: Prevalence and Association With Resource Utilization

Trent P. McLaughlin, Ph.D.; Rezaul K. Khandker, Ph.D., M.B.A.;
Denise T. Kruzikas, Ph.D.; and Raj Tummala, M.D., M.B.A.

Objective: To characterize the diagnosis of anxiety and depression within a large managed care population and to measure the impact of having both of these conditions on treatment patterns, health care utilization, and cost. Further, to compare the impact of having both conditions with having neither or either condition alone.

Method: A retrospective, cross-sectional analysis of population-level anxiety-related and depression-related utilization over a 12-month study period was conducted. Data were from the PharMetrics Patient-Centric database, which is composed of medical and pharmaceutical claims for approximately 36 million patients from 61 health plans across the United States. Patients 18 years and older were included as cases in the analysis if they had a diagnosis of depression or anxiety during 2002. Four groups were identified based on the presence of anxiety and/or depression diagnosis: anxiety only, depression only, anxiety and depression, and controls. Controls were matched to the anxiety and depression cohort using a 4:1 ratio, based on patient age, gender, and similarity of health coverage. Cohorts were compared with respect to patient demographics, comorbid diagnoses, medication use, specialist care, utilization of health care services, and treatment costs, using both univariate and multivariate statistics.

Results: Significant differences in comorbid diagnoses, medication use, health care utilization, and treatment costs existed between the study groups. Specifically, patients with both anxiety and depression tended to have more somatic complaints such as abdominal pain, malaise, or chest pain than patients with either condition alone or the control group. Antidepressant use was highest among the anxiety and depression cohort, while anxiolytic use was as prevalent in the anxiety and depression cohort as in the anxiety only cohort. Patients in the anxiety only, depression only, or anxiety plus depression groups had a higher number of anxiety- and/or depression-related visits as well as visits not related to depression or anxiety than the control group, with the anxiety and depression cohort incurring the highest utilization of medical services. Similarly, in terms of cost, the disease cohorts incurred significantly higher cost than their control counterparts, with the anxiety and depression cohort incurring higher cost than those with either condition alone, even after accounting for differences in patient characteristics.

Conclusions: Combination of anxiety and depression is fairly common in a managed care population as evidenced by diagnosis and treatment. The combination of both diagnoses appears to increase the complexity of these patients with respect to comorbid conditions as well as increases the economic cost to payers.

(*J Clin Psychiatry* 2006;67:1187–1193)

Received Sept. 13, 2005; accepted May 10, 2006. From NDCHealth, Phoenix, Ariz. (Drs. McLaughlin and Kruzikas); and Wyeth Research, Collegeville, Pa. (Drs. Khandker and Tummala). Drs. McLaughlin and Kruzikas are no longer employed by NDCHealth. Dr. Kruzikas is now an employee of GlaxoSmithKline.

Funding for this research project provided by Wyeth Research, Collegeville, Pa.

The authors report no additional financial or other relationship relevant to the subject of this article.

Corresponding author and reprints: Trent P. McLaughlin, Ph.D., Stanford Hospital and Clinics, Department of Clinical Analytics, 1101 Welch Rd., Suite A-3, Stanford, CA 94305 (e-mail: TMclaughlin@stanfordmed.org).

Depression and the anxiety disorders are among the most prevalent psychiatric disorders in the United States. While findings from studies vary, it is estimated that the lifetime prevalence of anxiety disorders ranges from 13.9% to 28.8% for anxiety disorder,^{1,2} while the World Health Organization (WHO) Global Burden of Disease Survey estimates that by the year 2020, major depressive disorder will be second only to ischemic heart disease in the amount of disability experienced by sufferers.³

Numerous studies have shown that symptoms of anxiety are frequent in patients with major depressive disorder, and the presence of anxiety symptoms has been shown to be associated with a more severe and chronic course.^{4–8} Conversely, the WHO Collaborative Study found that depression was 9 times more likely to develop in patients with anxiety disorders compared with those with no mental illness, with 39% of patients with current depression also having an anxiety disorder and 44% with a current anxiety disorder having comorbid depression.⁹

Table 1. ICD-9-CM Codes and Inclusion Diagnoses for Anxiety and Depression

Anxiety	Panic disorder without agoraphobia	300.01
	Panic disorder with agoraphobia	300.21
	Agoraphobia without history of panic disorder	300.22
	Social phobia	300.23
	Obsessive-compulsive disorder	300.3
	Posttraumatic stress disorder	309.81
	Acute stress disorder	308.3
	Generalized anxiety disorder	300.02
	Anxiety disorder due to general medical condition	293.89
	Anxiety states, other	300.09
	Anxiety disorder not otherwise specified	300.00
Depression	Major depressive disorder, single episode	296.2
	Major depressive disorder, recurrent episode	296.3
	Neurotic depression	300.4
	Depressive disorder, not elsewhere classified	311

Abbreviation: ICD-9-CM = *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification*.

The degree of disability caused by psychiatric illness has been shown to be comparable with the disability caused by chronic somatic disorders.¹⁰ More importantly, comorbidity between different psychiatric disorders, such as comorbid anxiety and depression, has been shown to induce rates of disability that are significantly greater than those of the “pure” condition alone.¹¹

Given the potential comorbidity of anxiety and depression, increased focus has been placed on the appropriate treatment of each condition.^{12,13} Antidepressants and benzodiazepines have individually demonstrated some success in treating both depression and anxiety.

While there are several reports from epidemiologic studies on the frequency of anxiety disorders and/or depression in individuals, many of these analyses were conducted in either the early 1980s or 1990s and therefore may have limited utility in describing current trends, especially given the changes in health care insurance and diagnosis/treatment of mental health conditions that have occurred in the last few decades.¹⁴ Additionally, little is currently known concerning the impact of the association between anxiety, depression, and the combination of the 2 on treatment patterns or resource utilization. Therefore, the objective of this analysis was to characterize anxiety and depression diagnosis, treatment, and impact on resource utilization within a managed care population distributed across the United States.

METHOD

Database

Data were obtained from the PharMetrics Patient-Centric Database, which is composed of medical and pharmaceutical claims for approximately 36 million

unique patients from 61 health plans across the United States. The database includes both inpatient and outpatient diagnoses (in ICD-9-CM format) and procedures (in *Current Procedural Terminology*, Fourth Edition [CPT-4] and Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System [HCPCS] formats), as well as both standard and mail order prescription records; available data on prescription records include the National Drug Code (NDC) as well as days supplied and quantity dispensed. All medical and pharmaceutical claims include dates of service. Additional data elements include demographic variables (age, gender, geographic region), health plan type (e.g., health maintenance organization [HMO], preferred provider organization [PPO]), payer type (e.g., commercial, self-pay), provider specialty, and start and stop dates for plan enrollment.

Because all pertinent patient information in the database is encrypted and privacy-protected, no informed consent or approval by institutional review boards was required.

Sample Selection

This was a retrospective, cross-sectional analysis of patients' utilization rates during 2002. Patients 18 years or older were included in the analysis if they had a diagnosis of depression or anxiety (refer to Table 1 for complete list of ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes) during 2002. Study patients were further required to have been continuously enrolled for the entire year (2002).

A comparison group was selected from the same time period. To be eligible for inclusion in this comparison (control) group, patients must have been at least 18 years of age and have had at least 1 medical claim (for any condition) and continuous enrollment for 2002 and could not have had a diagnosis of either anxiety or depression during 2002.

For both cases and controls, patients with a claim for a number of other mental health conditions (refer to Table 1 for list of diagnoses and their corresponding ICD-9-CM codes) or a prescription claim for lithium and/or any antipsychotic medications during 2002 were excluded from all analyses.

Study Groups

Four study groups were identified based on the presence of anxiety and/or depression diagnosis: those with a diagnosis of anxiety but not depression (“anxiety only”), those with a diagnosis of depression but not anxiety (“depression only”), those with both anxiety and depression (“anxiety and depression”), and controls. These cohorts were mutually exclusive and based on the presence/absence of these respective diagnosis codes during the study period. Controls were matched to the anxiety and depression cohort using a 4:1 ratio, based on patient age and gender and an indicator of similar managed care organization.

Table 2. Sample Demographics

Characteristic	Anxiety Only (N = 119,849)		Depression Only (N = 224,132)		Anxiety + Depression (N = 66,548)		Control Group (N = 266,192)	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Age group ^a								
18–39 y	48,297	40	85,512	38	28,612	43	99,647	37
40–59 y	59,806	50	119,053	53	33,030	50	150,009	56
60+ y	11,746	10	19,567	9	4906	7	16,536	6
Gender								
Female	79,381	66	160,587	72	48,062	72	192,985	72
Male	40,468	34	63,544	28	18,486	28	73,207	28
Census region								
East	26,420	22	33,641	15	11,462	17	38,452	14
Midwest	45,617	38	109,464	49	30,062	45	129,064	48
South	33,409	28	47,345	21	14,789	22	57,834	22
West	14,403	12	33,682	15	10,235	15	40,842	15
Physician specialty								
General practice/ family practice	71,324	60	142,551	64	45,328	68	140,805	53
Internal medicine	44,879	37	75,802	34	23,386	35	63,016	24
Obstetrics/gynecology	31,862	27	59,637	27	18,208	27	70,593	27
Psychiatry	11,424	10	42,592	19	15,792	24	1568	1
Neurology/neurosurgery	8422	7	16,255	7	5513	8	9165	3
Psychology	4487	4	15,308	7	5700	9	832	0
All other specialties	93,329	78	174,919	78	54,409	82	178,363	67

^aMean (SD) ages were 42.87 (12.99) years for the anxiety only cohort, 43.03 (12.82) years for the depression only cohort, 41.68 (12.64) years for the anxiety plus depression cohort, and 42.69 (11.72) years for the control group.

Metrics

A descriptive retrospective analysis focusing on population-level anxiety-related and depression-related utilization over the 12-month study period (i.e., 2002) was conducted. Study groups were compared with respect to patient demographics, comorbid diagnoses, medication use, specialist care, utilization of health care services, and treatment costs (anxiety/depression-related and all cause).

Comorbid diagnoses were identified based on medical claims for any diagnosis during the study year. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's Clinical Classifications Software for ICD-9 was used to group similar diagnosis codes into clinically meaningful groups.¹³

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses included univariate techniques. Continuous variables are presented as mean values, while categorical variables are presented as percent of patients who were true to the criterion in question. All contrasts were conducted at a family-wise level of significance of .05. Bonferroni's adjustment was used in the case of multiple contrasts of the same variable (level of significance = 0.05/6 = 0.00833).

RESULTS

After all of the above criteria were applied, 410,529 patients were included in the disease cohorts (anxiety only: 119,849 [29.2%], depression only: 224,132 [54.6%], anxiety and depression: 66,548 [16.2%]), and 266,192

patients were included in the control cohort (based on 4:1 match to anxiety and depression cohort).

As shown in Table 2, the nondisease control group matched the anxiety and depression group with respect to age, gender, and region. However, the anxiety only group had a significantly higher proportion of male patients (34% vs. 28%, $p < .0001$) than all other groups. Within the disease groups, patients with anxiety and depression were significantly more likely to have visited a psychiatrist during the year (anxiety and depression vs. anxiety only: 24% vs. 10%, $p < .0001$; anxiety and depression vs. depression only: 24% vs. 19%, $p < .0001$). While the control group was similar with respect to the matching variables, patients in that cohort were significantly less likely to visit any of the specialties of interest during the study period: for example, while 10% to 24% of the disease cohorts had at least 1 psychiatrist visit during 2003, only 1% of the control group had such a visit ($p < .0001$).

Comorbid diagnoses are presented in Table 3. Noteworthy are the disparities around somatic complaints such as abdominal pain, malaise, or chest pain: patients in the anxiety and depression cohort were twice as likely to have an abdominal pain diagnosis (19% vs. 10%, $p < .0001$), 3 times as likely to have malaise/fatigue (19% vs. 6%, $p < .0001$), and 2.5 times as likely to have a diagnosis of chest pain (16% vs. 7%, $p < .0001$) as their control group peers during the study period. Similarly, anxiety and depression patients were also more likely to have received diagnoses such as abdominal pain, malaise, or substance-related medical disorders than

Table 3. Most Frequent Diagnoses Identified During Study Period

CCS Category ^a	Anxiety Only (N = 119,849)		Depression Only (N = 224,132)		Anxiety + Depression (N = 66,548)		Control Group (N = 266,192)	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Abdominal pain	20,129	17	33,863	15	12,818	19	25,484	10
Acute bronchitis	11,923	10	20,838	9	7478	11	16,569	6
Affective disorders	95,018	42	30,297	46
Alcohol-related mental disorders	1495	1	4126	2	2196	3	754	0
Anxiety, somatoform, dissociative, and personality disorders	117,569	98	9160	4	64,414	97	2355	1
Asthma	8297	7	15,349	7	5460	8	10,652	4
Blindness and vision defects	12,106	10	25,579	11	8076	12	28,167	11
Cardiac dysrhythmias	13,646	11	12,480	6	5903	9	9460	4
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and bronchiectasis	8061	7	14,414	6	5111	8	9768	4
Conditions associated with dizziness or vertigo	9539	8	12,353	6	5369	8	7983	3
Coronary atherosclerosis and other heart disease	6063	5	8895	4	2731	4	6023	2
Diabetes mellitus with complications	2688	2	7486	3	1649	2	5484	2
Diabetes mellitus without complication	6907	6	17,174	8	4008	6	14,218	5
Disorders of lipid metabolism	26,608	22	48,392	22	13,551	20	43,139	16
E codes: adverse effects of medical drugs	620	1	919	0	412	1	583	0
E codes: poisoning	191	0	553	0	496	1	93	0
Esophageal disorders	15,683	13	24,108	11	9221	14	14,490	5
Essential hypertension	29,229	24	47,632	21	14,472	22	41,617	16
Gastritis and duodenitis	5388	4	7406	3	3212	5	4662	2
Gastroduodenal ulcer (except hemorrhage)	1074	1	1614	1	691	1	954	0
Gastrointestinal hemorrhage	4298	4	7360	3	2441	4	5624	2
Headache, including migraine	16,868	14	30,571	14	12,165	18	18,758	7
Hypertension with complications and secondary hypertension	3316	3	4125	2	1319	2	3421	1
Malaise and fatigue	16,800	14	34,926	16	12,509	19	17,113	6
Nausea and vomiting	6715	6	11,431	5	5098	8	6226	2
Nonspecific chest pain	22,496	19	24,980	11	10,901	16	17,932	7
Other connective tissue disease	30,047	25	60,676	27	19,570	29	49,044	18
Other lower respiratory disease	23,725	20	36,122	16	13,484	20	25,813	10
Other nontraumatic joint disorders	21,678	18	44,947	20	14,231	21	35,179	13
Other upper respiratory disease	20,598	17	35,453	16	12,536	19	28,147	11
Other upper respiratory infections	41,039	34	75,137	34	25,839	39	68,572	26
Spondylosis, intervertebral disc disorders, other back problems	29,175	24	56,256	25	19,049	29	43,894	16
Substance-related mental disorders	7073	6	13,704	6	5807	9	6896	3
Thyroid disorders	11,007	9	23,049	10	6875	10	19,282	7
Urinary tract infections	12,062	10	22,305	10	7875	12	18,660	7
Viral infection	9329	8	17,197	8	6076	9	14,378	5

^aAgency for Healthcare Research and Quality's Clinical Classifications Software (CCS) category.

patients in the anxiety only or depression only groups. In addition to the aforementioned trends, rates of other somatic complaints such as headache and nausea and vomiting were also observed to be significantly higher in the disease groups than in the control group and, within the disease groups, higher in the anxiety and depression patients than in those with either anxiety only or depression only.

As expected, use of anxiolytics and antidepressants was significantly higher in the disease cohorts compared to the control group (Table 4). Patients with anxiety and/or depression were significantly more likely than control cohort patients to receive narcotic analgesics, proton-pump inhibitors ("Antispasm/Antisecr, Other"), and muscle relaxants, suggesting that these patients are more likely to present to their physician with somatic complaints (pain, gastrointestinal discomfort, etc.). Within the disease groups, medication use tended to be most prevalent in the anxiety and depression group: narcotic analgesics, anxiety and depression > depression only > anxiety

only; anticonvulsants, anxiety and depression > anxiety only > depression only; muscle relaxants, anxiety and depression > anxiety only = depression only.

In addition to anxiety- and/or depression-related visits, patients in the disease cohorts also incurred significantly more visits not related to depression or anxiety than their control group counterparts (Table 5). For example, the anxiety and depression cohort, on average, had 0.58 inpatient stays during 2003, which was almost 3 times the rate observed in the control group (0.20). This, coupled with the higher emergency department (ED) visit rate (0.55 vs. 0.19), suggests that these patients were much more likely to incur significant acute events than patients in the control group, even after events with a primary diagnosis of anxiety or depression were excluded.

When the disease groups were compared, anxiety and depression patients, on average, had higher utilization of all medical services compared to anxiety only or depression only patients. With respect to high-cost, avoidable events such as ED visits and hospitalizations, anxiety and

Table 4. Other Medication Utilization

Drug Class	Anxiety Only (N = 119,849)		Depression Only (N = 224,132)		Anxiety + Depression (N = 66,548)		Control Group (N = 266,192)	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Analgesics, narcotic	29,953	25.0	60,662	27.1	19,954	30.0	47,335	17.8
Analgesics, nonnarcotic	14,721	12.3	29,223	13.0	10,320	15.5	20,036	7.5
Antiarthritics, systemic	24,143	20.1	49,134	21.9	15,284	23.0	41,041	15.4
Antibiotics, broad and medium	50,132	41.8	93,898	41.9	29,900	44.9	90,864	34.1
Anticonvulsants	9754	8.1	17,290	7.7	9082	13.6	5239	2.0
Antidepressant	54,691	45.6	154,273	68.8	48,463	72.8	22,389	8.4
Antihistamines, caps and tabs	15,372	12.8	28,429	12.7	9684	14.6	23,230	8.7
Antihypertensive drugs	14,915	12.4	27,364	12.2	7212	10.8	25,731	9.7
Antinauseants	5430	4.5	9413	4.2	3535	5.3	6439	2.4
Antispasm/antiseccr, other	20,332	17.0	35,216	15.7	11,886	17.9	23,519	8.8
Beta-blocking agents	13,166	11.0	17,802	7.9	5938	8.9	16,233	6.1
Calcium channel blockers	5884	4.9	9961	4.4	2915	4.4	9151	3.4
Cholesterol reducers	12,651	10.6	25,920	11.6	6529	9.8	22,016	8.3
Corticoids, plain	19,723	16.5	36,755	16.4	11,718	17.6	33,055	12.4
Cough/cold preparations	8911	7.4	15,236	6.8	5446	8.2	14,047	5.3
Diabetes therapy, insulin	762	0.6	2918	1.3	550	0.8	1939	0.7
Diabetes therapy, oral	3644	3.0	9837	4.4	2095	3.1	8790	3.3
Muscle relaxants, nonsurgical	12,721	10.6	24,450	10.9	8992	13.5	15,944	6.0
Oral contraceptives	10,896	9.1	22,666	10.1	7083	10.6	25,300	9.5
Penicillins	4408	3.7	8650	3.9	2767	4.2	8806	3.3
Tranquilizers	41,412	34.6	33,389	14.9	23,710	35.6	11,715	4.4

depression patients averaged 4 times more anxiety- or depression-related hospitalizations and ED visits than depression only patients and 8 times more anxiety- or depression-related hospitalizations than anxiety only patients (although the ED visit rate for anxiety and depression patients was only 25% higher than that observed for anxiety only patients). Similar results were observed when the measure was broadened to encompass events not identified as “anxiety-related” or “depression-related” based on ICD-9-CM codes: while the anxiety and depression group averaged 0.6 hospital claims per patient (not related to anxiety or depression) during the study period, anxiety only patients averaged 0.4 per patient, and depression only patients averaged 0.4 per patient.

Similarly, patients in the disease cohorts also incurred significantly higher total cost than the control group; while a portion of this could be attributed directly to anxiety or depression, there was still a \$2000 to \$3000 per patient difference in nonanxiety, nondepression costs between the disease cohorts and control group (Table 6). Within the disease groups, anxiety and depression patients incurred significantly higher mean (anxiety- or depression-related) treatment costs than the anxiety only and depression only cohorts: mean costs were 2.5 times as high compared to the anxiety only group and 1.4 times as high compared to the depression only group. Similarly, the mean total (not anxiety- or depression-related) treatment costs were higher in the anxiety and depression group, though the differences, while statistically significant, were not as substantial: 1.2 times as high as in the anxiety only group and 1.1 times as high as in the depression only group.

As shown in Table 7, after potential confounding variables were controlled for, anxiety and/or depression were still associated with significantly higher total treatment costs (compared to lack of either condition), and the intersection of both conditions was associated with a doubling in health care expenditures during the study period. Other factors shown to be significant predictors of higher cost were female gender, increased age, and a higher chronic disease score.

Anxiety and/or depression diagnosis was also associated with a higher propensity for ED visits or hospitalizations, as indicated in Table 8. After other variables were controlled for, patients with both anxiety and depression were 2.3 times as likely as control group patients to have had an ED visit or hospitalization during the study period (OR = 2.278, 95% CI = 2.237 to 2.326).

DISCUSSION

Previous epidemiologic studies have documented the high degree of overlap between anxiety and depression.²⁻⁸ In this analysis, approximately 30% of patients with a depression diagnosis also had a diagnosis of an anxiety disorder, while 55.5% of patients with an anxiety disorder also had a claim for depression. These results are consistent with those presented by Sartorius and colleagues¹⁵ using the WHO Study on Psychological Disorders in Primary Care data, where 39% of patients with current depression also had an anxiety disorder and 44% with a current anxiety disorder also had comorbid depression.

In addition to showing a high degree of comorbidity between anxiety and depression, the analysis revealed that

Table 5. Utilization of Health Care Resources

Variable	Anxiety Only		Depression Only		Anxiety + Depression		Control Group	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Related to anxiety or depression								
Ancillary visit	0.11	0.54	0.15	0.80	0.25	1.04
ED visit	0.04	0.21	0.01	0.09	0.05	0.26
Inpatient visit	0.01	0.11	0.02	0.31	0.08	0.71
Outpatient visit	1.34	3.31	2.32	4.58	3.66	5.81
Prescriptions	4.17	5.77	5.54	6.35	7.03	7.47	0.58	2.33
Not related to anxiety or depression								
Ancillary visit	5.99	7.74	6.34	8.74	6.74	8.84	4.26	5.98
ED visit	0.46	1.18	0.35	0.98	0.55	1.65	0.19	0.61
Inpatient visit	0.43	2.62	0.53	3.38	0.58	3.22	0.20	1.46
Outpatient visit	8.38	9.26	8.70	9.65	9.84	10.40	5.54	7.15
Prescriptions	14.00	18.53	15.12	19.90	16.10	20.99	9.63	14.34

Abbreviation: ED = emergency department.

Table 6. Health Care–Related Costs (\$)

Type of Cost	Anxiety Only		Depression Only		Anxiety + Depression		Control Group	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Costs related to anxiety or depression								
Visits								
Ancillary	12	110	16	297	31	282
ED visit	12	102	2	46	20	141
Inpatient	5	184	14	436	73	802
Outpatient	86	254	159	364	258	496
Total costs for visits	115	351	191	667	382	1071
Prescription	184	344	326	483	364	506	24	127
Total cost (anxiety or depression)	299	501	517	848	746	1218	24	127
Costs not related to anxiety or depression								
Visits								
Ancillary	1391	4040	1488	4441	1554	3921	857	2837
ED visit	239	981	172	683	275	1004	92	469
Inpatient	1641	11,415	2049	15,821	2124	13,418	871	6671
Outpatient	929	1924	989	2147	1089	2160	630	1669
Total costs for visits	4201	13,709	4697	17,958	5042	15,937	2451	8423
Prescription	613	1473	768	1996	729	1733	432	1220
Total cost (all cause)	4814	14,079	5465	18,374	5771	16,369	2882	8715

Abbreviation: ED = emergency department.

Table 7. Multivariate Comparison of Total Health Care Expenditures^a

Variable	Referent	β Coefficient ^b	Standard Error	p Value
Anxiety only	Control group	0.74379	0.00460	< .0001
Depression only	Control group	0.86229	0.00377	< .0001
Anxiety and depression	Control group	1.03698	0.00565	< .0001
Age	Unit increase	0.01344	0.00013377	< .0001
Chronic disease score	Unit increase	0.23363	0.00071751	< .0001
Male gender	Female gender	−0.37335	0.00349	< .0001

^aAlso controlled for dataset ID (proxy for managed care plan); adjusted $R^2 = 0.2844$, $N = 676,720$.^bDependent variable = natural log (total costs), therefore β coefficient represents percent difference—i.e., patients in anxiety only cohort averaged 74% higher total costs than the control group, after accounting for other variables in model.

the impact of having both of these conditions concomitantly appears to be greater than that of having either of these conditions alone, which concurs with previous findings.¹⁰ Specifically, there appears to be significant impact on health care utilization: compared to anxiety only or depression only patients, those with both diagnoses were significantly more likely to have had an ED visit or hospi-

talization during the study period. These higher rates of potentially avoidable events in turn lead to higher treatment costs, with anxiety and depression patients incurring 20% and 10% higher cost than anxiety only and depression only patients, respectively, during the study period.

This study, like any retrospective analysis of administrative claims data, has a number of limitations. First,

Table 8. Multivariate Comparison of Emergency Department (ED) Visit/Hospitalization Rates

Variable	Referent	Adjusted Odds Ratio ^a	95% CI	
			Lower	Upper
Anxiety only	Control group	1.934	1.901	1.965
Depression only	Control group	1.560	1.538	1.582
Anxiety and depression	Control group	2.278	2.237	2.326
Age	Unit increase	0.982	0.981	0.982
Chronic disease score	Unit increase	1.183	1.181	1.186
Male gender	Female gender	0.864	0.853	0.874

^aPredicting likelihood of having at least 1 ED visit/hospitalization during study period, after accounting for other variables in model.

identification of patients with “anxiety disorder” and “depression” was based on medical claims with ICD-9 diagnoses for these conditions. As it was not possible to confirm this diagnosis with a review of the patient’s chart or collection of any clinical test results, it is possible that a number of patients were falsely identified as having either condition when indeed they did not. However, the high treatment rates present in the data (70% of depression patients received antidepressant therapy during the study period) would suggest that false-positives were the minority and would not fully explain the results observed here. A 4-fold larger nondisease control group was randomly selected from the same population and matched to the anxiety and depression cohort based on age, gender, and region. While this matching ensured that the control group was similar to the disease cohorts with respect to the matching variables, it is still highly likely that the cohorts differed with respect to other factors beyond the presence of anxiety or depression diagnosis. Attempts to adjust for these differences via multivariate analysis still confirmed the aforementioned trends: anxiety and/or depression was associated with a higher risk for ED visits or hospitalizations and higher total treatment costs, while the intersection of both conditions was associated with a doubling of both ED/hospitalization risk and total cost.

In conclusion, anxiety and depression appear not only to be commonly diagnosed in a managed care population, but also to be commonly diagnosed and treated concomitantly. This combination appears to increase the complexity of these patients with respect to symptomatic complaints and increases their economic cost to payers. Further research is necessary to determine the impact of adequate treatment on these other complaints and costs, but at the very least providers should be aware of the potential overlap of these conditions when prescribing therapy.

REFERENCES

- Stein MB. Attending to anxiety disorders in primary care. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2003;64(suppl 15):35–39
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, et al. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005;62:593–602
- Murray CJL, Lopez AD, eds. *The Global Burden of Disease: A Comprehensive Assessment of Mortality and Disability From Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors in 1990 and Projected to 2020*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard School of Public Health; 1996
- Clayton PJ, Grove WM, Coryell W, et al. Follow-up and family study of anxious depression. *Am J Psychiatry* 1991;148:1512–1517
- Coryell W, Endicott J, Andreasen NC, et al. Depression and panic attacks: the significance of overlap as reflected in follow-up and family study data. *Am J Psychiatry* 1988;145:293–300
- Van Valkenburg C, Akiskal HS, Puzantian V, et al. Anxious depressions: clinical, family history and naturalistic outcome: comparisons with panic and major depressive disorders. *J Affect Disord* 1984;6:67–82
- Lydiard RB, Brawman-Mintzer O. Anxious depression. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1998;59(suppl 18):10–17
- McLaughlin TP, Geissler EC, Wan GJ. Comorbidities and associated treatment charges in patients with anxiety disorders. *Pharmacotherapy* 2003;23:1251–1256
- Goldberg DP, Lecrubier Y. Form and frequency of mental disorders across cultures. In: Ustun TB, Sartorius N, eds. *Mental Illness in General Health Care*. Chichester, United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons; 1995: 323–334
- Maier W, Falkai P. The epidemiology of comorbidity between depression, anxiety disorders and somatic diseases. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 1999; 14(suppl 2):S1–S6
- Lepine JP. Epidemiology, burden and disability in depression and anxiety. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2001;62(suppl 13):4–10, discussion 11–12
- Rosenbaum JF, Fredman S. Treatment of anxiety disorders with comorbid depression. *Medscape*. Available at: http://www.medscape.com/viewprogram/1925_pnt. Accessibility verified June 27, 2006
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Clinical Classifications Software (CCS) for ICD-9-CM. Available at: <http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/toolssoftware/ccs/ccs.jsp>. Accessibility verified June 27, 2006
- US Dept Health and Human Services, National Institute of Mental Health. Epidemiologic Catchment Area study, 1980–1985 [computer file]. Rockville, Md: US Dept Health and Human Services, National Institute of Mental Health; 1992. Available at: <http://webapp.icpsr.umich.edu/cocoon/ICPSR-STUDY/06153.xml>. Accessibility verified June 27, 2006
- Sartorius N, Ustun TB, Lecrubier Y, et al. Depression comorbid with anxiety: results from the WHO Study on Psychological Disorders in Primary Health Care. *Br J Psychiatry* 1996;168:38–43