Stabilization of Mood From Below Versus Above Baseline in Bipolar Disorder: A New Nomenclature

Terence A. Ketter, M.D., and Joseph R. Calabrese, M.D.

Management of bipolar disorder has traditionally emphasized the acute treatment of mania. Although acute treatment of mania is a critical aspect of care, this emphasis has tended to overshadow other important phases of bipolar disorder, such as depression, hypomania, and subsyndromal symptoms. We offer a reconceptualization of bipolar disorder that highlights unmet needs and the importance of differential spectra of efficacy. In this reconceptualization, bipolar disorder can be viewed as an aberration of mood, behavior, and cognition from baseline (euthymia). "Below baseline" is characterized by depression and subsyndromal depression. "Above baseline" is characterized by mania, mixed states, hypomania, and subsyndromal mood elevation. In contrast to the treatment options for mania, the options for depression are limited. This new nomenclature emphasizes the need to develop mood stabilizers that possess the ability to stabilize mood "from below baseline," either alone or in combination with other agents. In this article, the treatment options for bipolar disorder, with a focus on depression and rapid cycling, are discussed according to this new conceptualization of management from below and above baseline.

(J Clin Psychiatry 2002;63:146–151)

Received May 7, 2001; accepted Oct. 2, 2001. From the Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, Calif. (Dr. Ketter); and the Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio (Dr. Calabrese).

Funded by an unrestricted educational grant from GlaxoSmithKline, Inc.

The authors have financial associations with companies that produce psychoactive pharmaceutical agents. The associations include consultancies, receipt of grant/research support and honoraria, consulting agreements, and participation on speakers and/or advisory boards.

Corresponding author and reprints: Terence A. Ketter, M.D., Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Science, Stanford University School of Medicine, 401 Quarry Rd., Rm. 2124, Stanford, CA 94305-5723 (e-mail: tketter@leland.stanford.edu).

he depressive phase of bipolar disorder is associated with considerable morbidity. Indeed, the magnitude of the morbidity associated with the depressed phase of bipolar disorder tends to be underappreciated. Since manic episodes frequently present as medical emergencies requiring hospitalizations, the acute management of this phase consumes significant health care resources and, as a result, tends to receive a great deal of attention. Although these highly acute, and sometimes dramatic, presentations lead to substantial human suffering and cost, the mean duration of the depressive phase of bipolar disorder is longer than that of manic episodes, and runs a chronic course in more than 20% of cases.¹ A recent study² evaluating quality-of-life measures documented significantly lower scores for patients with bipolar disorder with depressed or mixed episodes on both the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-12 (SF-12) mental subscale and the EuroQol when compared with euthymic patients or with patients with a manic or hypomanic episode. In addition, more suicides occur during depressed and mixed episodes.³ Thus more effective treatments for bipolar depression are needed and are critical for reducing suffering associated with the disorder and for decreasing the risk of adverse consequences, such as suicide. These observations suggest the need for a mood stabilizer with a broader spectrum of efficacy or combinations of mood stabilizers that collectively result in a bimodal response, managing not only the acute manifestations of mania, but also the morbidity and mortality associated with the depressed and mixed phases of the illness over its natural history.

The "bias" toward the importance of the management of mania over the last 50 years may also have in part been due to the spectrum of efficacy of the available medications. Most of the recently introduced medications (anticonvulsants and atypical antipsychotics) have initially been investigated for use in the management of mania. At present, most of these agents have not been studied compared with placebo in the acute treatment of bipolar depression. Until recently, there has been no systematic effort to develop mood stabilizers for use in the depressed phase of this disorder. Thus, there has been a lack of wellevaluated treatment options for bipolar depression, a clinical dilemma especially problematic for patients with rapid cycling, whose hallmark has been described as the frequent recurrence of depression.⁴

This review will be organized around a proposed reconceptualization of the treatment of bipolar disorder and a nomenclature for mood stabilizers that emphasizes differences in spectrum of efficacy. We propose a nomenclature that describes these agents as either stabilizing mood "from above baseline" or "from below baseline." We will discuss the currently available treatments accordingly and place special emphasis on how these agents meet unmet needs in the management of depressive symptoms and the rapid-cycling variant of bipolar disorder.

STABILIZING MOOD

A consensus definition of a mood stabilizer remains to be established. Some have defined mood stabilizers as medications that possess direct efficacy, defined as a clinically significant decrease in episode severity, duration, or frequency, in both phases of the illness.⁵ Others have proposed that a mood stabilizer be liberally defined as a medication that is effective in decreasing episode severity, duration, or frequency in one phase of bipolar disorder without causing a negative effect on other phases (i.e., without switching or cycle acceleration).^{6,7} Treatments that satisfy the first, more conservative definition include lithium and possibly carbamazepine, divalproex sodium, and electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) as well. Treatments satisfying the second definition would include lithium, carbamazepine, divalproex, some of the atypical antipsychotic agents, ECT, and lamotrigine. In this article, the more inclusive definition of mood stabilization is used.

Bipolar rapid cycling, recognized since 1994 as being a distinct course modifier,⁸ is a major clinical challenge, and the use of mood stabilizers is especially important because of the potential for antidepressants to destabilize the course of the illness.⁹ Not only is this variant of bipolar disorder more difficult to treat, the depressive episodes in bipolar rapid cycling appear to be 2 to 3 times more frequent than hypomanic episodes.¹⁰ The treatmentresistant nature of bipolar rapid cycling was first reported by Dunner and Fieve¹¹ in 1974 and then later replicated by Kukopulos et al.¹² in 1980. In the 1990s, the studies of divalproex by Calabrese and associates^{4,13,14} showed that hypomania and mania in rapid cycling were relatively easy to control, but that depression was more frequently refractory to treatment.

NEW CONCEPTUALIZATION

Bipolar disorder can be viewed as an aberration of mood, behavior, and cognition from baseline (euthymia). "Above baseline" are mania, mixed states, hypomania, and subsyndromal mood elevation. "Below baseline" are

Table 1. Proposed Nomenclature for Mood Stabilizers

| Class A | 1. Agents that stabilize mood "from <u>A</u> bove baseline" |
|---------|---|
| | 2. Agents that possess marked antimanic properties without |
| | causing a worsening of depression; ie, lithium, |
| | carbamazepine, divalproex, the atypical antipsychotics, |
| | and electroconvulsive therapy |
| Class B | 1. Agents that stabilize mood "from B elow baseline" |

| |
|---|
| 2. Agents that possess marked antidepressant properties |
| without destabilizing the course of the illness by |
| inducing switches into mania or episode acceleration, ie, |
| lamotrigine, lithium, and electroconvulsive therapy |

depression and subsyndromal depression. We propose that agents stabilizing mood "from above baseline" (Class A) would treat mania or hypomania and would not exacerbate depressive symptoms. In contrast, agents stabilizing mood "from below baseline" (Class B) would effectively treat depressive symptoms without inducing mania or hypomania. Thus, the conceptualization of bipolar disorder proposed provides a novel nomenclature that highlights unmet need and helps to refocus management of the disease by emphasizing the relative efficacy of mood stabilizers to treat different phases of the illness (Table 1).

STABILIZING MOOD FROM ABOVE BASELINE

Stabilizing mood "from above baseline" refers to the management of mania, hypomania, psychotic symptoms associated with manic episodes, and subsyndromal mood elevation. These symptoms can be acutely and prophylacfically managed with lithium, divalproex, and carbamazepine, and they are acutely responsive to ECT. Atypical antipsychotics (such as olanzapine, risperidone, and ziprasidone) may also be used, not only for the management of psychotic symptoms in mania, but as specific acute treatments for mania. Many controlled studies have evaluated the antimanic effectiveness of lithium,15-18 divalproex,^{18,19} and carbamazepine,²⁰⁻²² and these agents appear to meet specifically our definition of effective mood stabilizers "from above," i.e., they are agents that effectively treat mania and hypomania without inducing depressive episodes. Likewise, there is evidence supporting the classification of ECT and the atypical antipsychotics^{23,24} as effective mood stabilizers from above. Conventional antipsychotics do not meet our definition of agents that stabilize mood "from above baseline," as they may worsen the depressive component of the illness.²⁵

STABILIZING MOOD FROM BELOW BASELINE

Stabilizing mood "from below baseline" refers to the management of the depressive symptoms of bipolar disorder by agents that have marked antidepressant properties but low risk of switching or cycle acceleration. Currently available agents that may fit this definition include lithium, lamotrigine, and ECT. Other options that have been explored include carbamazepine and the atypical antipsychotics. Antidepressants do not meet our definition because of their risk of inducing mania or cycle acceleration.

CLASSIFICATION OF THE MEDICATIONS USED IN BIPOLAR DISORDER

Lithium

The effectiveness of lithium in the acute and prophylactic treatment of bipolar depression has been extensively studied. As noted by Goodwin and Jamison,³ early uncontrolled studies of the use of lithium in bipolar depression did not support its efficacy. However, later controlled studies revealed efficacy in bipolar depression. A review of 7 of these studies found an overall response rate of 79% in bipolar depression.⁵ Strakowski et al.²⁶ noted that studies of lithium in the acute treatment of bipolar depression have reported a wide range of response rates, from 44% to 100%. However, the authors note that many of these responses were not complete. Controlled studies have also confirmed the value of lithiun in prophylactic treatment of bipolar disorder.^{27,28} These studies suggest that lithium is effective at preventing both depressive and manic episodes. Clinical experience and naturalistic studies, however, suggest that efficacy in controlled studies cannot be duplicated to the same degree in the typical office practice, absent the vigilant follow-up and patient contact that play a large role in controlled trials.

Maj and colleagues,²⁹ for example, assessed 375 patients with bipolar type I disorder treated with lithium maintenance over a 5-year period, during which lithium levels were checked regularly. Consistent with the naturalistic design, the treating physician could adjust treatment as needed. Of the 337 patients (90%) available for followup at 5 years, 228 (68%) were still taking lithium. In these patients, 39% had no affective episode during the study period, and 47% had at least 1 affective episode, although with a considerable reduction in morbidity (50%) as compared with the 2-year period preceding the index episode. However, patients with rapid cycling were underrepresented in the marked responder group and overrepresented in the nonresponder group.

In a recent report by Tondo et al.³⁰ of lithium maintenance therapy for 360 patients with bipolar type I and bipolar type II disorder, lithium maintenance resulted in statistically significant reductions in (1) annual mean rates of mania, depression, and hospitalization; (2) percentage of time in a mood episode; and (3) episode duration. Specifically, annual mean rates of depression were reduced from 0.84 before lithium to 0.45 during lithium maintenance (46% reduction); percentage of time depressed was reduced from 25% to 12% (52% reduction); and duration of depressive episodes was reduced from 4.84 to 3.27 months (32% reduction). However, the generalizability of this study is complicated by its enrollment, which excluded patients who had comorbid alcohol and drug abuse or those that required extended treatment with concurrent antipsychotics or anticonvulsants.

The studies by Maj and colleagues and Tondo and colleagues thus suggest that lithium maintenance benefits a significant number of patients with bipolar depression, and even if breakthrough episodes are not suppressed, a reduction in morbidity may be achieved. However, dropout rates are high, and a significant number of patients have a suboptimal response, thus emphasizing the need for other options for bipolar depression. These data suggest lithium works well in classic bipolar patients, but less well in those with atypical variants such as rapid cycling. Of all of the mood stabilizers currently available, lithium probably comes closest to meeting our definitions of stabilizing mood from both "above" and "below" baseline.

Divalproex

The effectiveness of divalproex in the acute and prophylactic treatment of bipolar depression has not been extensively studied, and there are no published placebocontrolled acute bipolar depression studies reported to date. However, 2 controlled maintenance studies have shown some evidence of efficacy in preventing depressive episodes.^{31,32}

Lamotrigine

Several double-blind, placebo-controlled trials have demonstrated the acute and prophylactic antidepressant activity of lamotrigine in bipolar disorder. 33-37 Its acute antidepressant efficacy has most clearly been demonstrated in patients with bipolar I disorder.33 Its prophylactic efficacy has been demonstrated in patients with treatmentrefractory³⁷ and rapid-cycling bipolar disorder,³⁴ as well as a cohort of recently manic patients with bipolar I disorder.³⁵ In 2 placebo-controlled acute mania studies, lamotrigine failed to show efficacy.³⁸ In 1 small double-blind comparison with lithium, no difference in acute antimanic efficacy was observed,³⁹ perhaps due to low statistical power. In 2 of 3 placebo-controlled studies, lamotrigine failed to show acute efficacy in recurrent major depressive episodes⁴⁰; there are, however, anecdotal reports of efficacy in treatment-refractory patients with recurrent major depression.37

Carbamazepine

Two controlled trials^{41,42} have evaluated the acute antidepressant efficacy of carbamazepine in 78 patients with either unipolar depression or bipolar depression. Carbamazepine appeared to be more effective than placebo, but only one third of patients were moderate-to-marked responders. About half of carbamazepine nonresponders appeared to respond to blinded lithium augmentation. Early reports suggested that rapid cycling was a predictor of positive response to carbamazepine⁴³; more recent data have refuted this observation.^{44–46} The only prospective, double-blind, random-assignment study of the efficacy of carbamazepine in the treatment of rapid-cycling bipolar disorder is that of Denicoff and colleagues.⁴⁶ In a post hoc analysis,⁴⁶ 52 rapid cyclers and outpatients without rapid cycling were evaluated in a 3-year crossover comparison of carbamazepine, lithium, and the combination of the two. More patients with rapid cycling receiving lithium monotherapy (28%) than carbamazepine monotherapy (19%) reported moderate-to-marked improvement; 56% of rapid cyclers receiving the combination reported similar improvement. There is a significant methodological issue that has complicated the interpretation of the data from these 4 reports, however: the reports have all evaluated the efficacy of carbamazepine in mixed cohorts of patients with and without rapid cycling. What remains unclear is whether carbamazepine would be more effective than lithium in a homogeneous rapid-cycling cohort.

Electroconvulsive Therapy

ECT has been shown in open prospective trials and retrospective studies to be an efficacious treatment for bipolar depression, with reported efficacy rates ranging from 50% to 100%.^{47–52} Consensus guidelines recommend ECT for patients with severe bipolar depression with psychosis or as second-line therapy for patients with severe depression without psychosis and patients at risk for suicide or with medical complications.⁵³ However, while ECT has been shown to be safe, its disadvantages include expense, inconvenience, a high relapse rate, and effects on recall and memory, which may limit its use in some patients.⁵⁴

Atypical Antipsychotics

Open-label studies suggest that atypical antipsychotics may treat depressive symptoms associated with the manic phase of bipolar disorder without worsening mania or causing cycle acceleration.⁵⁵ However, their efficacy in acute bipolar depression and depression prophylaxis remains to be determined in controlled trials. Open-label studies and case reports have suggested that atypical antipsychotics may be efficacious in the treatment of rapid-cycling disorder,^{56–58} but placebo-controlled studies are lacking.

Antidepressants

Traditionally, the depressed phase of bipolar disorder has been treated with antidepressants when lithium and the anticonvulsants have been insufficient. The adjunctive use of antidepressant medications in bipolar depression is common, but this practice can put patients with bipolar disorder at increased risk for the development of hypomania and mania.⁵⁹ There is only 1 large, placebo-controlled trial that has been published in bipolar I depression.⁶⁰ In that study, paroxetine augmentation of lithium was no more effective than lithium alone or imipramine plus lithium. However, switch rates with paroxetine were significantly lower than those with imipramine plus lithium, confirming prior suggestions that the tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) present the highest risk of switching. Indeed, Peet⁶¹ pooled data from several published studies and found that manic switch rates were similar for selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and placebo (3.7% and 4.2%, respectively), which were significantly lower than the rate for TCAs (11.2%)[°]

In a review of 9 clinical studies of switch rates in patients with bipolar I depression, Calabrese and colleagues⁶² concluded that low rates of switching were found with lamotrigine, paroxetine, and moclobemide, whereas TCAs and monoamine oxidase inhibitors had the highest rates of switching. Although mixed, the literature on antidepressants suggests a risk of rapid-cycling induction and shows that antidepressants, particularly TCAs, have not been shown to be effective in the treatment of rapid cycling. In addition, in 14 open-label reports since 1956 (N = 71),⁶³ a 2% to 67% prevalence of episode acceleration has been reported following the use of TCAs and monoamine oxidase inhibitors. Combined, these data suggest that the antidepressants do not fit our definition of agents that stabilize mood "from below baseline."

CONCLUSION

Viewing the management of bipolar disorder from the perspective of stabilization of mood from either above or below baseline helps to refocus management of the disease by emphasizing unmet need and the relative efficacy of mood stabilizers to treat either mania/hypomania or depression. When viewed from this perspective, several pharmacologic options to stabilize "from above baseline" are available, but those that stabilize "from below baseline" are limited. This nomenclature tends to emphasize the importance of achieving a broad spectrum of coverage through the concurrent use of medications that possess complementary differential spectra of efficacy.

Lithium remains the most extensively studied mood stabilizer and has demonstrated the best overall efficacy for the acute and prophylactic management of both phases of bipolar disorder. However, outside the context of controlled clinical trials, patients tend to discontinue lithium due to side effects and inconvenience (the need for monitoring blood levels and renal function, etc.); its effectiveness then becomes highly variable. In several recent double-blind, placebo-controlled trials, lamotrigine has been shown to possess acute and prophylactic antidepressant properties in bipolar I and II depression, including patients with rapid cycling. This new nomenclature emphasizes the need to develop mood stabilizers that possess the ability to stabilize mood "from below baseline," either alone or in combination with other agents. To that end, prophylactic randomized controlled trials that combine mood stabilizers from both Class A and B (i.e., lithium plus lamotrigine vs. lithium alone, or valproate plus lamotrigine vs. valproate alone) need to be conducted.

Drug names: carbamazepine (Tegretol and others), divalproex sodium (Depakote), lamotrigine (Lamictal), olanzapine (Zyprexa), paroxetine (Paxil), risperidone (Risperdal), ziprasidone (Geodon).

REFERENCES

- Keller MB, Lavori P, Coryell W, et al. Differential outcome of pure manic, mixed/cycling, and pure depressive episodes in patients with bipolar illness. JAMA 1986;255:3138–3142
- Vojta C, Kinosian B, Glick H, et al. Self-reported quality of life across mood states in bipolar disorder. Compr Psychiatry 2001;42:190–195
- Goodwin FK, Jamison KR, Manic-Depressive Illness. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1990:227–244
- Calabrese JR, Shelton MD, Bowder CL, et al. Bipolar rapid cycling: focus on depression as its hallmark. J Clin Psychiatry 2001;62(suppl 14):34–41
 Calabrese JR, Rapport DJ. Mood stabilizers and the evolution of mainte-
- Calabrese JR, Rapport DJ. Mood stabilizers and the evolution of maintenance study designs in bipolar I disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 1999;60(suppl 5):5–13
- Sachs GS. Treatment-resistant bipolar depression. Psychiatr Clin North Am 1996;19:215–236
- Bowden CL. New concepts in mood stabilization: evidence for the effectiveness of valproate and lamotrigine. Neuropsychopharmacology 1998; 19:194–199
- Bauer MS, Calabrese JR, Dunner DL, et al. Multi-site data reanalysis: validity of rapid cycling as a course modifier for bipolar disorder in DSM-IV. Am J Psychiatry 1994;151:506–515
- Wehr TA, Sack DA, Rosenthal NE, et al. Rapid cycling affective disorder contributing factors and treatment responses in 51 patients. Am J Psychiatry 1988;145:179–184
- Baldessarini RJ, Tondo L, Floris G, et al. Effects of rapid cycling on response to lithium maintenance treatment in 360 bipolar I and II disorder patients. J Affect Disord 2000;61:13–22
- Dunner DL, Fieve RR. Clinical factors in lithium carbonate prophylaxis failure. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1974;30:229–233
- Kukopulos A, Reginaldi D, Laddomada P, et al. Course of the manicdepressive cycle and changes caused by treatments. Pharmakopsychiatr Neuropsychopharmakol 1980;13:156–167
- Calabrese JR, Delucchi GA. Spectrum of activity of valproate in 55 rapidcycling manic depressives. Am J Psychiatry 1990;147:431–434
- Calabrese JR, Woyshville MJ, Kimmel SE, et al. Predictors of valproate response in rapid cycling. J Clin Psychopharmacol 1993;13:280–283
- Maggs R. Treatment of manic illness with lithium carbonate. Br J Psychiatry 1963;109:56–65
- Stokes PE, Shamoian CA, Stoll PM, et al. Efficacy of lithium as acute treatment of manic-depressive illness. Lancet 1971;1:1319–1325
- Goodwin FK, Murphy DL, Bunney WF Jr. Lithium carbonate treatment in depression and mania: a longitudinal double-blind study. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1969;21:486–496
- Bowden CL, Brugger AM, Swann AC, et al. Efficacy of divalproex vs lithium and placebo in the treatment of mania. JAMA 1994;271: 918–924
- Pope HG, McElroy SL, Keck PE, et al. Valproate in the treatment of acute mania: a placebo-controlled study. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1991;48:62–68
- Ballenger JC, Post RM. Therapeutic effects of carbamazepine in affective illness: a preliminary report. Commun Psychopharmacol 1978;2:159–175
- Emrich HM, Dose M, von Serssen D. The use of sodium valproate and oxycarbamazepine in patients with affective disorders. J Affect Disord 1985;8:243–250
- Moller H-J, Kissling W, Riehl T, et al. Double-blind evaluation of the antimanic properties of carbamazepine as a comedication to haloperidol. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 1989;13:127–136
- Tohen M, Sanger TM, McElroy SL, et al, and the Olanzapine HGEH Study Group. Olanzapine versus placebo in the treatment of acute mania. Am J Psychiatry 1999;156:702–709
- 24. Tohen M, Jacobs TG, Grundy SL, et al, for the Olanzapine HGGW Study

Group. Efficacy of olanzapine in acute bipolar mania: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2000;57:841–849

- Ahlfors UG, Baastrup PC, Dencker SJ, et al. Flupenthixol decanoate in recurrent manic-depression. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1981;64:226–233
- Strakowski SM, McElroy SL, Keck PE. Clinical efficacy of valproate in bipolar illness: comparisons and contrasts with lithium. In: Halbreich U, Montgomery SA, eds. Pharmacotherapy for Mood, Anxiety, and Cognitive Disorders. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 2000: 143–157
- Baastrup PC, Poulsen JC, Schou M, et al. Prophylactic lithium: doubleblind discontinuation in manic-depressive and recurrent-depressive disorders. Lancet 1970;2:326–330
- Davis JM. Overview of maintenance therapy in psychiatry, 2: affective disorders. Am J Psychiatry 1976;133:1–13
- Maj M, Pirozzi R, Magliano L, et al. Long-term outcome of lithium prophylaxis in bipolar disorder: a 5-year prospective study of 402 patients at a lithium clinic. Am J Psychiatry 1998;155:30–35
- Tondo L, Baldessarini RJ, Floris G. Long-term clinical effectiveness of lithium maintenance treatment in types I and II bipolar disorders. Br J Psychiatry 2001;178(suppl 41):S184–S190
- Lambert PA, Venaud G. Comparative study of valpromide versus lithium in treatment of affective disorders. Nervure 1992;5:57–65
- Bowden CL, Calabrese JR, McElroy SL, et al. A randomized, placebocontrolled 12-month trial of divalproex and lithium in treatment of outpatients with bipolar I disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2000;57:481–489
- Calabrese JR, Bowden CL, Sachs GS, et al, for the Lamictal 602 Study Group. A double-blind placebo-controlled study of lamotrigine monotherapy in outpatients with bipolar I depression. J Clin Psychiatry 1999;60: 79–88
- Calabrese JR, Suppes T, Bowden CL, et al, for the Lamictal 614 Study Group. A double-blind, placebo-controlled, prophylaxis study of lamotrigine in rapid cycling bipolar disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 2000;61:841–850
- 35. Calabrese JR, Bowden CL, DeVeaugh-Geiss J, et al. Lamotrigine demonstrates long-term mood stabilization in recently manic patients. In: New Research Abstracts of the 154th Annual Meeting of the American Psychiatric Association; May 8, 2001; New Orleans, La. Abstract NR403:110
- 36. Frye MA, Ketter TA, Kimbrell TA, et al. A placebo-controlled evaluation of lamotrigine and gabapentin monotherapy in mood disorders. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2000;20:607–614
- 37. Rapport DJ, Calabrese JR, Clegg K, et al. Lamotrigine in treatment refrac-
- Bowden C. Calabrese R, Ascher J, et al. Spectrum of efficacy of lamotrigine in bipolar disorder: overview of double-blind, placebo-controlled studies, Presented at the 39th annual meeting of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology; Dec 10–14, 2000; San Juan, Puerto Rico
- Ichim L, Berk M, Brook S. Lamotrigine compared with lithium in mania: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. Ann Clin Psychiatry 2000;12: 5–10
- Laurenza A, Asnis G, Beaman M, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study supporting the efficacy of lamotrigine (Lamictal) in unipolar depression. Bipolar Disord 1999;1(suppl 1):39–40
- Post RM, Uhde TW, Roy-Byrne PP, et al. Antidepressant effects of carbamazepine. Am J Psychiatry 1986;143:29–34
- Kramlinger KG, Post RM. The addition of lithium to carbamazepine: antidepressant efficacy in treatment-resistant depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1989;46:794–800
- Post R, Uhde T, Roy-Byrne R, et al. Correlates of antimanic response to carbamazepine. Psychiatry Res 1987;21:71–83
- Joyce P. Carbamazepine in rapid cycling bipolar disorder. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 1988;3:123–129
- Okuma T. Effects of carbamazepine and lithium on affective disorders. Neuropsychobiology 1993;27:138–145
- Denicoff K, Smith-Jackson E, Disney E, et al. Comparative prophylactic efficiency of lithium, carbamazepine, and the combination in bipolar disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 1997;58:470–478
- Greenblatt M, Grosser GH, Wechsler H. A comparative study of selected antidepressant medications and EST. Am J Psychiatry 1962;119:144–153
- Bratfos O, Haug JO. Electroconvulsive therapy and antidepressant drugs in manic-depressive disease. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1965;41:588–596
- Avery D, Winokur G. The efficacy of electroconvulsive therapy and antidepressants in depression. Biol Psychiatry 1977;12:507–523
- Homan S, Lachenbruch PA, Winokur G, et al. An efficacy study of electroconvulsive therapy and antidepressants in the treatment of primary

- 51. Zorumski CF, Rutherford JL, Burke WJ, et al. ECT in primary and secondary depression. J Clin Psychiatry 1986;47:298-300
- 52. Black DW, Winokur G, Nasrallah A. The treatment of depression: electroconvulsive therapy v antidepressants: an naturalistic evaluation of 1,495 patients. Compr Psychiatry 1987;28:169-182
- 53. Sachs GS, Printz DJ, Kahn DA et al. The Expert Consensus Guideline Series: Medication Treatment of Bipolar Disorder 2000. Postgrad Med Special No. 2000:1-104
- 54. Fink M. Convulsive therapy: a review of the first 55 years. J Affect Disord 2001;63:1-15
- 55. Sanger TM, Grundy SL, Gibson PJ, et al. Long-term olanzapine therapy in the treatment of bipolar I disorder: an open-label continuation phase study. J Clin Psychiatry 2001;62:273-281
- 56. Calabrese JR, Meltzer HY, Markovitz PJ. Clozapine prophylaxis in rapidcycling bipolar disorder [letter]. J Clin Psychopharmacol 1991;11: 396-397
- 57. Suppes T, Webb A, Paul B, et al. Clinical outcome in a randomized 1-year trial of clozapine versus treatment as usual for patients with treatment-

resistant illness and a history of mania. Am J Psychiatry 1999;156: 1164-1169

- 58. Vieta E, Gasto C, Colom F, et al. Treatment of refractory rapid cycling bipolar disorder with risperidone. J Clin Psychopharmacol 1998;18: 172 - 174
- 59. Post RM, Denicoff KD, Leverich G, et al. Drug-induced switching in bipolar disorder: epidemiology and therapeutic implications. CNS Drugs 1997;8:352-365
- 60. Nemeroff CB, Evans DL, Gyulai L, et al. Double-blind, placebocontrolled comparison of imipramine and paroxetine in the treatment of bipolar depression. Am J Psychiatry 2001;158:906-912
- 61. Peet M. Induction of mania with selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors and tricyclic antidepressants. Br J Psychiatry 1994;164:549-550
- 62. Calabrese JR, Rapport DJ, Kimmel SE, et al. Controlled trials in bipolar I depression: focus on switch rates and efficacy. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 1999;9(suppl 4):S109-S112
- ac. standing s Altshuler LL, Post RM, Leverich GS, et al. Antidepressant-induced mania and cycle acceleration: a controversy revisited. Am J Psychiatry 1995;152:

151 hiatry 63:2, February 2002