It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. Efficacy for Psychopathology and Body Weight and Safety of Topiramate-Antipsychotic Cotreatment in Patients With Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders:

Results From a Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

Christoph U. Correll, MD^{a,b,c,d,*}; Lawrence Maayan, MD^e; John Kane, MD^{a,b,c,d}; Marc De Hert, MD, PhD^f; and Dan Cohen, MD, PhD^g

ABSTRACT

Objective: To meta-analyze the efficacy and tolerability of topiramateantipsychotic cotreatment in schizophrenia.

Data Sources: PubMed/MEDLINE database were searched until September 5, 2015, using the keywords *topiramate* AND *antipsych** OR *neurolept** OR specific antipsychotic names.

Study Selection: Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of topiramateantipsychotic cotreatment versus placebo and ongoing antipsychotic treatment in patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders were included.

Data Extraction: Two evaluators extracted data. Standardized mean difference (SMD), weighted mean difference (WMD), and risk ratio (RR) ± 95% Cls were calculated.

Results: In 8 RCTs, lasting a mean ± SD of 13.6 ± 4.9 weeks, 439 patients were randomized to topiramate (100-400 mg/d) versus placebo (trials = 7) or ongoing antipsychotic treatment (trial = 1). Topiramate outperformed the comparator regarding total psychopathology (trials = 6, n = 269, SMD = -0.57 [95% CI, -1.01 to -0.14], P = .01), positive symptoms (trials = 4, n = 190, SMD = -0.56 [95% Cl, -1.0 to -0.11], P = .01), negative symptoms (trials = 4, n = 190, SMD = -0.62 [95% Cl, -1.13 to -0.10], P = .02) general psychopathology (trials = 3, n = 179, SMD = -0.69 [95% Cl, -1.27 to -0.11], P=.02), body weight (trials = 7, n = 327, WMD = -3.14 kg [95% Cl, -5.55 to -0.73], P=.01), and body mass index (BMI) (trials = 4, n = 198, WMD = -1.80 [95% CI, -2.77 to -0.84], P = .0003). Topiramate's efficacy for total psychopathology and weight reduction effects were not mediated/ moderated by trial duration, topiramate dose, sex, age, inpatient status, baseline Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale, or baseline BMI. Conversely, clozapine-topiramate cotreatment moderated greater efficacy, but less weight loss, compared to topiramate-nonclozapine antipsychotic combinations. All-cause discontinuation was similar between topiramate and control groups (trials = 7, RR = 1.24 [95% Cl, 0.76 to 2.02], P = .39). Topiramate trended only toward more paresthesia than placebo (trials = 4, RR = 2.03 [95 % Cl, 0.99 to 4.18], P = .05).

Conclusions: Topiramate-antipsychotic cotreatment significantly reduced total, positive, negative, and general psychopathology and weight/BMI in patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorder while being well tolerated. However, larger studies are needed to confirm and extend these findings.

J Clin Psychiatry 2016;77(6):e746–e756 dx.doi.org/10.4088/JCP.15r10373 © Copyright 2016 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

^aThe Zucker Hillside Hospital, Psychiatry Research, North Shore—Long Island Jewish Health System, Glen Oaks, New York ^bHofstra North Shore-LIJ School of Medicine, Hempstead, New York ^CThe Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, Manhasset, New York ^dAlbert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York ^eNathan S. Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research, Orangeburg, New York ^fUniversity Psychiatric Centre KU Leuven, Department of Neurosciences KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium ^gDepartment of Community Mental Health, Mental Health Organization North-Holland North, Heerhugowaard, The Netherlands

*Corresponding author: Christoph U. Correll, MD, Department of Psychiatry, The Zucker Hillside Hospital, 75-59 263rd St, Glen Oaks, NY 11004 (ccorrell@lij.edu).

espite treatment advances, patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders are still frequently struggling with significant residual psychopathology and functional impairment.¹ This is even true for patients who use clozapine, which, when dosed appropriately,² was found in meta-analyses to be superior to other antipsychotics in treatment-refractory patients.^{3,4} Augmentation with a second antipsychotic,^{5,6} lithium,⁷ valproic acid,⁸ carbamazepine,⁹ lamotrigine,^{10,11} benzodiazepines,¹² or β-blockers¹³ did not succeed in consistently and robustly decreasing total psychopathology and positive symptoms, while negative and/or cognitive symptoms did not sufficiently improve with augmentation of antipsychotics with N-methyl-D-aspartate modulators,^{14,15} antidepressants,¹⁶⁻¹⁹ acetylcholinesterase inhibitors,²⁰ omega-3 fatty acids,²¹ or modafinil.²² Addition of electroconvulsive therapy,23 nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs,²⁴ testosterone,²⁵ estrogen,²⁶ and cannabidiol²⁷ are potentially promising strategies, but these have been examined only in few and small studies.

Augmentation strategies can also aim at reducing antipsychotic-related adverse effects. Recently, cardiometabolic adverse effects of antipsychotics have gained more attention than any other side effect cluster.^{28–30} While nonpharmacologic weight loss programs have been more effective than a control condition,^{31,32} many patients are unwilling or unable to take advantage of these programs. Successful pharmacologic strategies to reduce antipsychoticrelated weight gain include, for most, metformin and topiramate, as well as d-fenfluramine, sibutramine, and reboxetine.^{33–35}

Topiramate, a sulfamate-substituted monosaccharide that is related to fructose, is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration as an antiepileptic and antimigraine treatment (http://dailymed.nlm. nih.gov/dailymed/index.cfm). Also, topiramate is approved by the European Medicines Agency (http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Referrals_document/Topamax_30/ WC500018620.pdf) for the monotherapy and adjunctive therapy of seizures and as prophylactic treatment of migraine headaches. The exact mechanisms of

Correll et al It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. schizophrenia were not included in this review. Another

- Insufficient response and resistance to antipsychotic treatment as well as significant weight gain are common clinical problems for which no approved and few clinically useful combination options exist.
- Results from this meta-analysis suggest that the addition of topiramate 100–400 mg/d to ongoing antipsychotic treatment may help improve total, positive, negative, and general symptoms and reduce body weight in patients with schizophrenia who derive insufficient benefit from first-line antipsychotics or clozapine, while being well tolerated except for a greater likelihood of paresthesia.

inical Points

Although more and larger studies are needed, preliminary data suggest that the efficacy of topiramate augmentation may be enhanced in patients on clozapine treatment, whereas the weight-reducing effect may be enhanced in patients treated with antipsychotics other than clozapine.

action of topiramate are unknown but include the blockage of voltage-dependent sodium channels, stimulation of the γ -aminobutyric acid-A (GABA_A) receptor, α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA)/ kainate glutamate receptor antagonism, and inhibition of the carbonic anhydrase enzyme, particularly isozymes II and IV.³⁶ In epilepsy, topiramate has been associated with weight loss.³⁷ Topiramate has been associated with significantly greater advantages than placebo regarding body weight loss, improvement in glucose homeostasis, and blood pressure, both in nonpsychiatric patients with obesity^{38–42} and/or type 2 diabetes.⁴³⁻⁴⁶ Much of topiramate's benefits are ascribed to its ability to reduce appetite and daily energy intake and, consequently, fat stores.^{41,43} However, the use of topiramate as a weight-loss agent or augmentation strategy for the treatment of type 2 diabetes has been precluded by its adverse effect profile.⁴³⁻⁴⁵ A chart review⁴⁷ of 431 patients showed that adverse psychiatric side effects occurred in as many as 103 patients (23.9%). A psychiatric history has been found to be a risk factor for the development of psychiatric adverse events during topiramate treatment.48,49 Worsening of preexistent psychosis has been reported⁵⁰⁻⁵² as well as cases of topiramate-induced psychosis in nonpsychiatric patients.⁵³⁻⁵⁶ Consistent with its status as an AMPA/kainate receptor antagonist, topiramate has also been associated with cognitive adverse effects.⁴¹⁻⁴⁵ Conversely, both case reports^{57,58} and case series^{59,60} of weight loss with topiramate when initiated after weight gain associated with olanzapine or clozapine have been reported without exacerbation of the underlying psychiatric symptoms. Additionally, individual randomized controlled trials (RCTs) reported improved psychopathology after augmentation of antipsychotics with topiramate.61-63

There is no lack of reviews on topiramate augmentation in schizophrenia, but their scope of studies and specificity for schizophrenia is limited. Alt hough 1 recent review⁶⁴ summarized separately the results of 3 adjunctive topiramate trials targeting symptom reduction, 3 topiramate trials targeting body weight reduction in patients with

recent meta-analysis³⁵ was conducted regarding topiramate's weight loss effects in antipsychotic-treated patients, but studies in patients with schizophrenia and nonschizophrenia diagnoses were mixed together, and in an even more recent, targeted meta-analysis³⁴ of adjunctive weight loss agents in schizophrenia, only 3 topiramate trials were included. Finally, the only 2 meta-analyses^{26,65} of topiramate's efficacy as an augmentation agent for improving psychopathology focused exclusively on studies of clozapine-treated patients. This selection, although defendable, excludes the great majority of patients because most treatment-resistant patients do not receive clozapine.⁶⁶ Therefore, we decided to conduct a systematic review and comprehensive metaanalysis of all randomized trials of topiramate addition to any antipsychotic in patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. On the basis of the results from single studies, we hypothesized that topiramate is more effective than placebo for reducing both psychopathology and body weight.

METHODS

Search

We conducted a systematic PubMed/MEDLINE database search, from inception of the database until September 5, 2015, and without language restrictions, using the following keywords: (topiramate OR topamax) AND (antipsych* OR neurolept* OR risperidone OR olanzapine OR aripiprazole OR quetiapine OR perospirone OR ziprasidone OR clozapine OR amisulpride OR asenapine OR blonanserin OR clothiapine OR iloperidone OR lurasidone OR mosapramine OR paliperidone OR remoxipride OR sertindole OR sulpiride OR tiapride OR chlorpromazine OR thioridazine OR mesoridazine OR loxapine OR molindone OR perphenazine OR thiothixene OR trifluoperazine OR haloperidol OR fluphenazine OR droperidol OR zuclopenthixol OR pimozide OR flupenthixol OR prochlorperazine). We also hand searched reference lists from identified and relevant review articles for additional studies and contacted authors for unpublished data.

Study Selection

We included randomized, placebo-controlled trials or open trials with an untreated control group (for adverse effect outcomes only) of antipsychotic augmentation with topiramate in patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders (ie, schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, schizoaffective disorder). Randomized crossover studies were included when data for the first randomized, precrossover study phase were obtainable.⁶⁷

Data Extraction

Two independent evaluators extracted data (L.M. and C.U.C.). Any inconsistencies were resolved by discussion.

Data Synthesis and Statistical Analysis

To minimize the effect of chance, we meta-analyzed only outcomes for which data from ≥ 3 studies were available.

It is illegal to post this copy The primary outcome was Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS)⁶⁸/Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS)⁶⁹ total score change/end point difference. Secondary outcomes included positive, negative, and general psychopathology symptoms; all-cause discontinuation; body weight; body mass index (BMI); glucose and lipid parameters; and adverse effect frequencies. Whenever change score and end point values of a continuous outcome were available, we preferred change data, as often in smaller randomized trials the baseline values differ, biasing the analysis of end point results. However, we excluded skewed data from the analyses, defined by a standard deviation ≥ 2 times the mean in ≥ 1 treatment group. To assess potential dose effects, we entered the 2 fixed-dose topiramate treatment arms from 1 study⁶² separately but assigned only half of the total number of patients randomized to placebo to each topiramate arm so that the number of placebo-treated patients would not

The meta-analysis was performed using Review Manager (RevMan) version 5.1 for Windows (Review Manager version 5.0, Cochrane Collaboration; http://tech.cochrane. org/revman).

be inflated.

To combine studies, the random-effects model⁷⁰ was used in all cases. For continuous data, standardized mean difference $(SMD) \pm 95\%$ CI was calculated, which allows for pooling of data across studies using differently scaled rating instruments that assess the same outcome domain. In order to also provide clinically more intuitive results, we also calculated in an exploratory fashion weighted mean differences (WMDs) for absolute point change in PANSS total scores, as the PANSS was the most used instrument, as well as for body weight difference between groups in kilograms and BMI units. For dichotomous data, pooled relative risk (RR) was calculated.

We analyzed data following the intention-to-treat (ITT) (≥ 1 dose) or modified ITT (≥ 1 follow-up) assessment principle, including 1 study⁶² reporting observed cases results. To test the robustness of the results for the primary outcome, PANSS/BPRS total score change/end point difference, we conducted 2 sensitivity analyses repeating the analyses after removing the 2 studies^{62,71} that used observed cases and after removing the 1 study⁶¹ that had an outlying effect size of > -1.5, as each of these factors could potentially have biased the results.

Study heterogeneity was measured using the χ^2 and I^2 statistics, with $\chi^2 P < .05$ and $I^2 \ge 50\%$ indicating heterogeneity.⁷² For cases in which I^2 was $\ge 50\%$ for the effect of topiramate on PANSS/BPRS score or body weight, the following exploratory, a priori-defined 2 subgroup and 7-step meta-regression analyses were conducted in order to seek reasons for the heterogeneity. Subgroup analyses conducted with RevMan included (1) timing of topiramate addition (concurrent with antipsychotic initiation vs after established antipsychotic treatment) and (2) antipsychotic treatment (clozapine [>65%] vs antipsychotics other than clozapine). Additionally, we conducted a post hoc sensitivity analysis excluding a study not using a placebo control that

Topiramate-Antipsychotic Cotreatment in Schizophrenia

contributed meta-analyzable weight gain, but no efficacy data.

Random-effects meta-regression analyses were conducted using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Version 3 (Biostat; http://www.meta-analysis.com) to examine the potentially moderating effect of (1) mean age of study participants, (2) percentage of males, (3) percentage of inpatients, (4) baseline BMI, and (5) baseline PANSS scores (for end point PANSS only) and to examine the potential mediating effect of (6) trial duration and (7) topiramate dose on PANSS/BPRS total score change/end point difference and weight change difference between topiramate and placebo.

Finally, publication bias was evaluated with funnel plots, Egger regression coefficient, and the Trim Safe method, which estimates the number of studies needed to change the findings to meet or not meet 2-tailed $\alpha = .05$, using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis.

RESULTS

Search

The last electronic search conducted on September 5, 2015, yielded 309 hits. Two hundred seventy-three studies were excluded on the basis of title or abstract. Of the remaining 36 references, 28 were excluded after full text review (review article [11], nonrandomized study [9], no schizophrenia spectrum disorder diagnosis [3], no placebo/antipsychotic continuation arm [3], duplicate data publication [2]) yielding 8 studies that were included in the meta-analysis (Figure 1).

Study and Patient Characteristics

In 8 RCTs,^{61-63,67,71,73-75} lasting 8-24 weeks $(\text{mean} \pm \text{SD} = 13.6 \pm 4.9)$, 439 patients were randomized to topiramate (100-400 mg/d) or a comparison group consisting of placebo (7 trials) or continuation of open-label antipsychotic treatment without placebo addition (1 trial).⁷⁵ Six studies^{61-63,71,73,74} were double-blind, placebo-controlled; 1 study⁷⁵ was a randomized, open-label trial; and 1 study⁶⁷ was a randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover trial (Table 1). Patients were 38.5 ± 5.5 years old, $63.7\% \pm 14.9\%$ were male, and $55.1\% \pm 46.3\%$ were inpatients. Most patients had schizophrenia (n = 391, 89.1%), and 48 (10.9%) had schizoaffective disorder. The mean baseline PANSS score was 85.3 ± 13.6 , the mean baseline BPRS score was 29.3 ± 7.5 , and the mean baseline BMI was 27.1 ± 4.5 . One study⁶³ included acute, first-episode schizophrenia patients; the remaining studies were conducted in chronically ill patients. In 2 studies,^{63,75} topiramate was started concurrently with the newly initiated antipsychotic; in the remaining 6 studies, topiramate was added to stable antipsychotics (Table 1).

Psychopathology Outcomes

PANSS/BPRS total scores. Compared to placebo/ antipsychotic monotherapy, adjunctive topiramate outperformed placebo augmentation of antipsychotics with regard to change/end point in PANSS/BPRS total score





(trials = 6, n = 269, SMD = -0.57 [95% CI, -1.01 to -0.14], P = .01; $I^2 = 64\%$; Figure 2). When results from the 4 studies reporting PANSS total scores were pooled in a partial post hoc analysis, the WMD difference in favor of topiramate was -4.68 points (95% CI, -9.31 to -0.05; P = .05; $I^2 = 84\%$). When results from the 2 studies^{61,74} including clozapine-treated patients and reporting PANSS score changes were pooled, the WMD difference in favor of topiramate was -10.73 points (95% CI, -25.36 to 3.90; P = .15; $I^2 = 91\%$). There was no indication of publication bias (Egger regression coefficient: P = .81), and the Trim Safe method indicated that 30 additional studies would be required to lead to a nonsignificant finding.

Results for PANSS/BPRS total score remained significant when the 2 studies^{62,71} using completer analyses were removed (SMD = -0.77 [95% CI, -1.46 to -0.07], P = .03; $I^2 = 77\%$) and when the 1 strong outlying study⁶¹ was removed $(SMD = -0.43 [95\% CI, -0.82 to -0.04], P = .03; I^2 = 50\%).$ When clozapine was the antipsychotic in >65% of patients, topiramate had a particularly robust effect (SMD = -0.96[95% CI, -1.59 to -0.32], P = .003; $I^2 = 54\%$), remaining significant when the 1 outlier⁶¹ was removed (SMD = -0.65[95% CI, -1.14 to -0.16], P = .009; $I^2 = 0\%$). By contrast, topiramate augmentation was not significantly different from placebo in patients treated with antipsychotics other than clozapine (SMD = -0.30 [95% CI, -0.86 to 0.25], P = .28; $I^2 = 64\%$) (see Supplementary eFigure 1). Nevertheless, in a direct comparison between studies of clozapine and studies of antipsychotics other than clozapine, no statistically significant subgroup difference based on baseline clozapine use versus nonuse was found (P = .13, $I^2 = 57\%$). The overall beneficial effect of topiramate on PANSS/BPRS total score was not moderated by concurrent versus later topiramate addition to the antipsychotic treatment (P = .35, $I^2 = 56.6\%$). In exploratory meta-regression analyses, none of the examined variables were significantly related to PANSS/ BPRS total score change (trial duration: P = .86; age: P = .64; sex: P = .44; inpatient status: P = .63; topiramate dose: P = .28; baseline BMI: P = .17; baseline PANSS: P = .20).

Positive and Negative Symptoms and General Psychopathology

Compared to placebo/antipsychotic monotherapy, adjunctive topiramate outperformed placebo augmentation regarding positive symptoms (trials = 4, n = 190, SMD = -0.56 [95% CI, -1.00 to -0.11], P = .01; $I^2 = 53\%$; Figure 3A), negative symptoms (trials = 4, n = 190, SMD = -0.62 [95% CI, -1.13 to -0.10], P = .02; $I^2 = 64\%$; Figure 3B) and general psychopathology (trials = 3, n = 179, SMD = -0.69 [95% CI, -1.27 to -0.11], P = .02; $I^2 = 70\%$).

Body Weight, Blood Pressure, and Metabolic Outcomes

Furthermore, topiramate led to significantly reduced/ lower end point body weight (trials = 7, n = 327, SMD = -0.71[95% CI, -1.24 to -0.19], P = .008; $I^2 = 80\%$; WMD = -3.14kg [95% CI, -5.55 to -0.73], P = .01; $I^2 = 86\%$) as well as BMI (trials = 4, n = 198, SMD = -1.04 [95% CI: -1.74 to -0.34], P = .003; $I^2 = 79\%$; WMD = -1.80 kg/m^2 [95% CI, -2.77 to -0.84], P = .0003; $I^2 = 69\%$) (Figure 4). Excluding the 1 study⁷¹ using a randomized, open-label, untreated control group without placebo addition did not change the results for body weight (trials = 6, n = 287, SMD = -0.73[95% CI, -1.36 to -0.09], P = .02; $I^2 = 82\%$; WMD = -3.49kg [95% CI, -6.27 to -0.72], P = .01; $I^2 = 81\%$; no BMI data were reported). There was no indication of publication bias (Egger regression coefficient: P = .80), and the Trim Safe method indicated that 69 additional studies would be required to lead to a nonsignificant finding.

Weight loss was significantly greater in the control group than in patients treated with antipsychotics other than clozapine (P=.02). Conversely, weight loss with topiramate was not significantly greater in clozapine-treated individuals (P=.49) (Supplementary eFigure 2),

							I				
Table 1. Desi	gn, Patient, Illness, a	nd Treati	ment Characteristics ^a								lt
Study	Design	Duration, wk	Population; Treatment Setting	Illness Phase + Duration	Antipsychotic (mean daily dose)	Treatment Group (mean dose [mg/d])	Ę	Age, y	Male, %	Baseline Score: P = PANSS B = BPRS	Baseline BMI (kg/m ²)
Clozapine augn	nentation										
Afshar et al, 2009 ⁶¹	Double-blind, placebo-controlled	8	Schizophrenia; inpatients	Chronic; 17.9±6.8 y	Clozapine (≥ 100 mg)	Topiramate, 50–300 (139±63)	16	37.5±5.7	56.3	P: 96.9±22.0	24.1±3. 43
						Placebo	16	38.1±4.6	68.8	P: 101.9±23.1	25.2±4.4
Behdani et al,	Double-blind,	17	Schizophrenia; inpatients	Chronic;	Clozapine (≤ 300 mg)	Topiramate, 200–300	40	45.1±9.8	92.5	P: 68.3	:
2011 ⁷⁴	placebo-controlled			:		Placebo	40	46.9±9.8	77.5	P: 69.7	t
Muscatello	Double-blind,	24	Schizophrenia; outpatients	Chronic;	Clozapine (333.3±61.2 mg)	Topiramate, 200	30 ^b	32.3±4.6	73.7	B: 35.6±9.7	D
Antipsvchotic a	uamentation with and wi	thout cloz	apine	λ <i>7</i> ,2 ± C.C	Clozapine (32/.3±84./ mg)	Placebo	305	31.5±4.9	/0.8	B: 36.1 ±9.4	p
Tiihonen et al,	Double-blind,	12	Schizophrenia; inpatients	Chronic; 18.1 y	Clozapine (598±179 mg), n = 14	Topiramate, 300	13	42.0±11.4	:	P: 79.5±4.2	31.1±6.7
2005 ⁶⁷	placebo-controlled, crossover ^c				Olanzapine (26 ± 5 mg), $n = 5$ Quetiapine (633 ± 208 mg), $n = 3$ Clozapine + olanzapine, $n = 3$ Olanzapine + quetiapine, $n = 1$	Placebo	13	45.5±14.4	:	P: 78.9±5.1	58.9±4.9
Antipsychotic a	ugmentation without clo	zapine									is
Roy Chengappa	Double-blind, placebo-controlled	∞	Schizoaffective disorder, bipolar type;	Chronic; 	FGA: n = 14 SGA: n = 31	Topiramate, 100–400 (276±108)	32	42.6±8.9	43.8	P: 74.8±8.4	33.8±7.7
et al, 2007 ⁷³			inpatients ($n = 20$) and outpatients ($n = 28$)		(all on valproic acid, n = 30; lithium, n = 14; or valproic acid + lithium, n = 4)	Placebo	16	42.8±6.7	50.0	P: 76.3 ± 10.3	30.8±3 <u>9</u>
Kim et al, 2006 ⁷⁵	Randomized, open-label	12	Schizophrenia; without SGA treatment for at least 3	Chronic; 	Newly initiated: Olanzapine (12.3 ± 4.3 mg)	Topiramate, 100	30	"Adults"	:	:	rig
			months; outpatients		Olanzapine (12.4 \pm 4.5 mg)	Olanzapine alone	30				jŀ
Ko et al,	Double-blind,	12	Schizophrenia; inpatients	Chronic;	Risperidone (5.7 \pm 2.6 mg)	Topiramate, 100	22 ^d	34.2±7.6	37.5	B: 21.6±3.3	27.2±2.4
2005°2	placebo-controlled			:		Topiramate, 200	22 ^d	35.3±9.8	41.2	B: 24.8±3.2	29.3±2.9
					Risperidone (5.1 \pm 1.7 mg)	Placebo	22 ^d	37.6±8.0	60.0	B: 22.4±6.0	27.8±2.5
Narula et al, 2010 ⁶³	Double-blind, placebo-controlled	12	Schizophrenia; first episode, antipsychotic-naive;	Acute	Newly initiated: Olanzapine (11.5±0.41 mg)	Topiramate, 100	33	31.2±9.7	66.7	P: 102.9±17.5	20.6±3.9
			<pre>inpatients (n= 18) and outpatients (n = 49)</pre>		Olanzapine (11.5 \pm 0.40 mg)	Placebo	34	31.0±10.1	64.7	P: 103.8±12.7	20.2±3.9
Total	Double-blind, placebo-controlled: 6 trials Randomized, open-label: 1 trial Randomized, placebo-controlled, cross-over: 1 trial	14.6±6.4	Schizophrenia, n = 391 (89.1%) Schizoaffective disorder, n = 48 (10.9%) Inpatients, n = 242 (55.1%) Outpatients, n = 197 (44.9%)	Acute: 1 trial Chronic: 6 trials	Clozapine: 4 trials ^e Olanzapine: 3 trials Quetiapine: 1 trials ^e Risperidone: 2 trials FGAs or SGAs: 1 trial	Topiramate ≤ 100 mg/d: 3 trials ^f Topiramate > 100 mg/d: 6 trials ^f Topiramate ≤ 200 mg/d: 4 trials Topiramate > 200	439	38.5 ± 5.5	53.7 ± 14.9	P: 85.3 ± 13.6 B: 29.3 ± 7.5	on any
^a All values repr ie, 19 patients After dropout phase 1 end p to 100-mg tor fixed-dose arm Abbreviations: E Symbol: = dat	sent mean ± SD unless of randomized to 200-mg tu s during the first phase we oint. ^c Twenty-two patier intanate, 17 patients rand is of 100-mg and 200-mg IPRS = Brief Psychiatric Ra a not provided.	herwise st opiramate ere accour ts were ra lomized to I topiramat ting Scale,	ated. ^b Thirty patients were randor and 24 patents randomized to plat thed for, 9 patients received topinan monized to each of the 3 treatme 200-mg topiramate, and 20 patien te. FGA = first-generation antipsychoti	nized each to top tebo. ^b During th nate and 10 recei int groups, but d ts randomized to ic, NOS = not oth	oiramate and placebo, but demogra nis crossover trial, 13 patients receiv ived placebo during the second ph. emographic and outcome results a p placebo. ^d One trial from a study v erwise specified, PANSS = Positive a	aphic and outcome resul ed topiramate, and 13 p ase (12 weeks). The value re based on only patient with mixed clozapine an nd Negative Syndrome (tts are k atients es and s comp d antip Scale, <u>5</u>	aased on only a received plax differences pr bleting the 12 isychotics oth iGA = second-	patients cc iebo during esented in week trial, er than cloz generation	ompleting the 24 of the first phase (the table are bas, ie, 16 patients rai- capine. ^e One tri antipsychotic.	week tria 2 weeks) domized on Ihad 2 Ihad 2

For reprints or permissions, contact permissions@psychiatrist.com. Image: Comparison of Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

J Clin Psychiatry 77:6, June 2016
PSYCHIATRIST.COM Image: PSYCHIATRIST.COM Image

Figure 2. Standardized Mean Differences in Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) or Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) Total Score (change or end point)

		Topiramate			Placebo			Weight,	Standardized Mean Difference	, Standardized M	ean Difference,
Study	Scale	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	%	IV, Random Effects (95% CI)	IV, Random Ef	fects (95% Cl)
Afshar et al, 2009 ⁶¹	PANSS	-20	11.96	16	-1.31	11.13	16	12.7	-1.58 (-2.38 to -0.77)		
Roy Chengappa et al, 200773	PANSS	-13	11.9	32	-15.1	12.9	16	15.8	0.17 (-0.43 to 0.77)		
Ko et al, 2005 (100 mg) ⁶²	BPRS	19.31	3.24	16	22.1	6.66	10	12.7	-0.56 (-1.37 to 0.25)	_	_
Ko et al, 2005 (200 mg) ⁶²	BPRS	22.71	3.87	17	22.1	6.66	10	13.1	0.12 (-0.66 to 0.90)		
Muscatello et al, 2010 ⁷¹	BPRS	32.1	7.5	19	36.6	9.9	24	15.6	-0.49 (-1.11 to 0.12)	_	-
Narula et al, 2010 ⁶³	PANSS	31.21	2.1	33	33.32	2.7	34	17.4	-0.86 (-1.36 to -0.36)		
Tiihonen et al, 2005 ⁶⁷	PANSS	-2.83	3.93	13	0.9	3.93	13	12.6	-0.92 (-1.73 to -0.10)	_	
Total				146			123	100.0	-0.57 (-1.01 to -0.14)	•	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.22$; $\chi_6^2 = 16.80 (P = .01)$; $l^2 = 64\%$										<u> </u>	+ +
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.59 (P = .010)$										–2 –1 0 Favors Experimental	Favors Control
Abbreviation: IV = inverse	variance	2.									

Figure 3. Standardized Mean Difference in Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) Positive and Negative Symptoms Subscores (change or end point)



and the subgroup difference based on baseline clozapine versus other antipsychotics was marginally significant (P = .05; $I^2 = 73\%$). The weight-loss effect of topiramate was not moderated by concurrent versus later topiramate addition to the antipsychotic treatment (P = .24; $I^2 = 26\%$). In exploratory meta-regression analyses, none of the examined variables were significantly related to body weight change (trial duration: P = .56; age: P = .34; sex: P = .78; inpatient status: P = .63; topiramate dose: 0.42; baseline BMI: P = .14; baseline PANSS: P = .26).

Only 1 study reported on waist circumference⁷³ or blood pressure and glucose and lipid parameters,⁶³ observing significant advantages (P < .05) for topiramate regarding insulin, glucose, total cholesterol, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Treatment Discontinuation and Adverse Effects

All-cause discontinuation was similar between topiramate and control group (trials = 7, n = 435, RR = 1.24 [95% CI, 0.76 to 2.02], P=.39, I^2 =20%), as was discontinuation due to inefficacy (trials = 3, n = 164, P=.53) and intolerability (trials = 4, n = 212, P=.50).

Topiramate users had, at a trend level, more paresthesia than placebo users (trials = 4, n = 248, RR = 2.03 [95% CI, 0.99 to 4.18], P = .05; $I^2 = 0\%$). No differences between topiramate and control groups were found regarding any other adverse effects that were reported in ≥ 3 studies, including headache (trials = 3, n = 181, P = .33), somnolence (trials = 3, n = 195, P = .18), orthostasis (trials = 3, n = 200, P = .30), and constipation (trials = 3, n = 195, P = .44). Only 1 study⁷¹ assessed cognitive effects, finding either no difference

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any websit Figure 4. Weighted Mean Difference in Body Weight and Body Mass Index (BMI) (change or end point)

	То	piramate	Placebo			Weight,	Weighted Mean Difference, IV, Random Effects	Weighted Mean Difference,				
Study or Subgrouop	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	%	(95% Cl)	IV, Random Effects (95% CI)			
Weight change/end point												
Afshar et al, 2009 ⁶¹	63.2	20.4	16	68.89	21.2	16	2.4	-5.69 (-20.11 to 8.73)	←			
Roy Chengappa et al, 2007 ⁷³	-1.49	3.85	32	2.72	6.44	14	12.6	-4.21 (-7.84 to -0.58)				
Kim et al, 2006 ⁷⁵	2.66	1.79	30	4.02	2.52	30	17.1	-1.36 (-2.47 to -0.25)				
Ko et al, 2005 ⁶² (100 mg)	-1.68	5.3	16	-0.3	2.59	10	13.8	-1.38 (-4.43 to 1.67)				
Ko et al, 2005 ⁶² (200 mg)	-5.35	4.35	17	-0.3	2.59	10	14.6	-5.05 (-7.67 to -2.43)				
Muscatello et al, 2010 ⁷¹	-1	12.28	19	0.28	8.57	24	7.7	-1.28 (-7.78 to 5.22)				
Narula et al, 2010 ⁶³	-1.27	2.28	33	6.03	3.99	34	16.5	-7.30 (-8.85 to -5.75)	_ _			
Tiihonen et al, 2005 ⁶⁷	-0.6	3.54	13	-0.56	2.31	13	15.2	-0.04 (-2.34 to 2.26)				
Subtotal			176			151	100.0	-3.14 (-5.55 to -0.73)				
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.55 (F BMI change/end point	P = .01)											
Afshar et al, 2009 ⁶¹	23.21	3.54	16	25.42	4.75	16	8.2	-2.21 (-5.11 to 0.69)				
Roy Chengappa et al, 2007 ⁷³	-0.5	1.3	32	1	2.2	14	20.9	-1.50 (-2.74 to -0.26)				
Ko et al, 2005 ⁶² (100 mg)	-0.62	1.54	16	-0.13	1.54	10	21.2	-0.49 (-1.71 to 0.73)				
Ko et al, 2005 ⁶² (200 mg)	-2.04	1.54	17	-0.13	1.54	10	21.3	-1.91 (-3.11 to -0.71)				
Narula et al, 2010 ⁶³	-0.46	0.86	33	2.35	1.57	34	28.4	-2.81 (-3.41 to -2.21)	+			
Subtotal			114			84	100.0	-1.80 (-2.77 to -0.84)	•			
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.76$; $\chi_4^2 = 1$	2.95 (<i>P</i> = .0	1); <i>l</i> ² = 6	9%									
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.66$ (P	P = .0003)											
									Favors Experimental Favors Control			
breviation: IV = inverse variar	nce.											

between topiramate and control (phonemic and semantic fluency, Wisconsin card sorting errors and categories) or an advantage of topiramate (Stroop test).

DISCUSSION

In this first comprehensive meta-analysis of 8 randomized trials of topiramate augmentation of antipsychotics in patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders, treatment with topiramate 100–400 mg/d for 8–24 weeks (mean = 14.6) was significantly superior regarding total, positive, negative, and general psychopathology symptoms and was also associated with a significantly greater reduction in body weight. Moreover, all-cause discontinuation was similar to the control condition, and the only adverse effect with a trend toward more frequency in topiramate users was paresthesia (P=.05).

The significant superiority of topiramate for total psychopathology and all traditionally measured subdomains may come as a surprise: case reports have not only implicated topiramate in the emergence of psychiatric symptoms,^{53–56} with psychiatric history being a risk factor for the development of psychiatric adverse events,⁴⁹ but also described worsening of psychosis.^{50–52} The different findings of improved outcomes in our meta-analysis could very well be due to a protective effect of the antipsychotic treatment. A second explanation is a positive interaction of topiramate with the efficacy of antipsychotics, potentially particularly that of clozapine. In subgroup analyses, topiramate separated

from placebo regarding PANSS/BPRS total scores in studies with >65% clozapine-treated patients, while topiramate efficacy was diminished and not significant in patients treated with other antipsychotics.

Our finding of clozapine being a moderator of superior efficacy for topiramate addition is similar to that in a metaanalysis⁵ of antipsychotic cotreatment strategies, which found clozapine to be a moderator of greater frequency of treatment response. Another meta-analysis found lamotrigine augmentation significantly superior to placebo in decreasing total schizophrenia psychopathology in studies of patients exclusively treated with clozapine¹¹ but not in studies of patients on other antipsychotics (including some patients on clozapine),^{10,76} suggesting either a specific pharmacodynamic interaction with clozapine or a truly treatment refractory status in clozapine-treated patients. Likewise the absence of comparable results in patients not treated with clozapine in our meta-analysis could be due to insufficient power and/or to true treatment resistance in clozapine-treated patients, reducing the chance of a placebo response in the control condition.

Several mechanistic explanations of topiramate's efficacy as an antipsychotic augmenting agent should be considered.⁷⁷⁻⁷⁹ Schizophrenia has been associated with excessive stimulation of the AMPA/kainite glutamate receptor that may lead to oxidative stress and apoptosis.⁷⁹ Here, topiramate's AMPA/kainate glutamate receptor antagonism³⁴ could counter this effect. Further, impaired cortical inhibition via hyperactive GABA interneuronal

Correll et al

It is illegal to psychosis.⁸⁰ In this context, topiramate's stimulation of GABA_A receptors³⁴ may help restore the inhibitory imbalance.

Our findings of topiramate's efficacy as an augmenting agent of clozapine contrast with 2 prior meta-analyses that focused on 3²⁶ or 4⁶⁵ studies of clozapine augmentation^{26,65} and that did not find topiramate addition to clozapine to be superior to placebo. This difference may be due to the inclusion of the published results of both phases of the topiramate crossover study.⁶⁷ Moreover, the most recent meta-analysis⁶⁵ apparently included data from 1 study⁷⁴ that were highly skewed and from which total PANSS scores could not be calculated. Since it is generally accepted that crossover studies are inappropriate for meta-analyses due to carryover effects that very likely bias the results, we contacted the authors⁶⁷ and were able to include the results from only the first phase of the randomized study prior to the patient crossover, yielding significant results for topiramate in our analyses, even when results from an outlier study⁶¹ were removed.

In addition to the superior efficacy for psychotic symptomatology, topiramate was also associated with significant weight loss, consistent with prior reviews and meta-analyses.^{33–35,64,81–84} Since weight gain and metabolic adverse effects associated with antipsychotics have become a major limitation of antipsychotic treatment,^{27–30} interventions that can safely reduce weight gain and thereby improve cardiovascular health are extremely welcome. A combination with improved efficacy is obviously even more desirable. The observed 3.14 kg and 1.80 BMI weight reduction compared to the control group across 7 studies and 327 subjects is clinically relevant and similar to weight reduction observed across 10 metformin augmentation studies.³⁴ Moreover, like metformin,⁸⁵ topiramate can be started after weight gain has already occurred and need not be initiated concurrently with a weight gain-inducing antipsychotic. However, to date, head-to-head or combination trials directly comparing metformin and topiramate in antipsychotic-treated patients are lacking.

Nevertheless, topiramate did not seem to significantly reduce weight in clozapine-treated patients. Thus, it needs to be studied whether this finding is due to patient characteristics in the 3 studies with clozapine or whether topiramate's countering of increased appetite signaling is restricted to antipsychotics other than clozapine. Furthermore, due to the efficacy^{86–88} and increased use of antipsychotics in bipolar disorder,⁸⁹ initial findings of weight loss with topiramate in bipolar disorder patients^{86,90} should also be followed up, even if topiramate does not seem to have antimanic efficacy.^{86–89}

Apart from clozapine treatment, subgroup and metaregression analyses did not identify additional baseline moderators or mediators of topiramate's efficacy or beneficial effects on body weight, including topiramate dose. These results suggest that the observed findings may generalize to different subgroups and settings.

Although in our meta-analysis, topiramate was not associated with a significantly greater discontinuation

(all-cause discontinuation, discontinuation due to inefficacy, and discontinuation due to intolerability) than the control conditions, concern in the nonpsychiatric obese and type 2 diabetes populations has been raised about topiramate's potential to cause cognitive impairment, such as difficulties with attention, concentration, memory, and word finding.^{38,40,43,45} Only 1 study⁷¹ examined cognitive effects, but no significant difference in cognitive measures compared to placebo were found; in fact, topiramate-treated patients did better than placebo-treated patients on the Stroop test. However, it is unclear whether this lack of cognitive impairment is a chance finding, due to a type II error, or whether patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorder already have such impaired cognitive functions that a floor effect might minimize further cognitive impairment. On the other hand, it is also possible that the cognitive burden could be more detectable had patients undergone cognitive remediation, as seen, for example, with antihistaminergic effects.⁹¹ Clearly, more data are needed to clarify the extent of cognitive burden conferred by topiramate in schizophrenia spectrum patients. Finally, as expected, based on studies in nonpsychiatric populations,^{38,40,43,45} paresthesia was nearly significantly greater (P=.05) in topiramate-treated psychiatric patients compared to those taking placebo.

The results of this meta-analysis have to be interpreted within its limitations. For example, the number of studies and included patients was small. Furthermore, studies, populations, and main results were heterogeneous, even in subgroup analyses. Although we used a random-effects model to deal with the heterogeneity and did not see a clear indication of publication bias, additional and larger studies are clearly needed to yield more stable results. Even though we included 1 trial⁷⁵ without a placebo comparator, this study did not contribute any psychopathology data to the analyses, and the weight change data remained the same after removal of this study in a post hoc sensitivity analysis. Moreover, 2 studies^{62,71} used observed cases analyses and another study⁶¹ in clozapine-treated patients was an outlier, having an unusually large effect size (-1.58) for total psychopathology change. However, the results remained significant, both for clozapine-treated patients and, overall, after removal of the 2 studies reporting only observed cases and after removal of the 1 outlier study. Furthermore, the dose of topiramate varied across the studies, and compliance was not routinely assessed or reported. In particular, data regarding the potential adverse cognitive effects of topiramate are limited to 1 small study.⁷¹ While the beneficial effects regarding body weight and BMI were moderate and comparable to metformin^{33–35} and to nonpharmacologic weight loss interventions,³¹ studies were mostly of short duration, and effects beyond 6 months require further study. Only 1 study followed patients originally randomized to topiramate or placebo beyond the initial 10 weeks of blind treatment, finding that over the next 18 months, the benefits increased further from -4.4 versus +1.2 kg at 10 weeks⁹² to -9.4 versus +5.5 kg at 18 months.93 However, 37% of the original 43 patients dropped out, and antipsychotic treatment did not

It is illegal to post this copyr seem to have been kept stable. Finally, the data regarding the important lipid and glucose metabolism parameters were scarce and clearly require further study. This is especially relevant, as antipsychotics appear to cause metabolic adverse effects not only indirectly via weight gain but also, in a dosedependent fashion, partly independently of body weight change^{94–97} that can result in severe dysregulation of the

glucohomeostasis.28 In conclusion, findings from this meta-analysis suggest that topiramate may be a useful augmentation agent in patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders for total psychopathology, positive, negative, and general symptoms, at least in clozapine-treated patients, and for body weight reduction, at least in patients not treated with clozapine. However, we consider these findings preliminary, as several questions following from these results need to be investigated further. First, larger studies of topiramate's efficacy and tolerability should focus on the relevance of the distinction between clozapine and antipsychotics other than clozapine. The central question is whether clozapine is a marker for greater degrees of treatment resistance, with a subsequently lower likelihood of placebo response, which would increase hopes that one could generalize the findings to more persistently or profoundly treatment-resistant patients failing to respond to antipsychotics other than clozapine or whether there is a specific pharmacodynamic interaction between topiramate and clozapine that enhances topiramate's efficacy, a possibility for which clear data are lacking. Studies targeting this important question should use strict criteria for treatment resistance that would ideally

Topiramate-Antipsychotic Cotreatment in Schizophrenia

ghted PDF on any website be prospectively assessed. Furthermore, such studies should include both patients who failed clozapine and those who failed antipsychotics other than clozapine, stratifying randomization to topiramate or placebo, in order to compare topiramate's efficacy on psychopathology and body weight in these 2 important subsamples in the same study applying the same methodology. Second, studies should seek to characterize subgroups of patients benefiting the most from topiramate augmentation. The fact that the mean change in PANSS total score was only around 5 points, which most likely corresponds to less than 10% of the baseline severity, indicates that there is variability in response. This fact is also suggested by the preliminary finding that clozapinetreated patients had a more than 10-point drop in total PANSS scores. Third, since the meta-analyzed studies were relatively short, long-term maintenance effects of topiramate augmentation on psychopathology and body weight as well as on metabolic variables deserve further study. Fourth, predictive factors (such as dose-response relationships and earlier vs later illness phase) and the role of the glutamate pathway for the therapeutic response with topiramate should be examined. Fifth, more studies are needed to rule out a potentially significant and clinically relevant adverse effect on cognition. Sixth, the combined treatment of topiramate with metformin^{44,45} in patients with insufficient weight and cardiometabolic risk reduction despite monotherapy with either agent deserves further study.⁶⁴ Finally, on the basis of these encouraging results with topiramate, other treatments affecting the glutamatergic system should be explored and developed for the treatment of schizophrenia.98

Submitted: September 6, 2015; accepted January 26, 2016.

Drug names: aripiprazole (Abilify and others), asenapine (Saphris), carbamazepine (Tegretol, Epitol, and others), clozapine (Clozaril, FazaClo, and others), iloperidone (Fanapt), lamotrigine (Lamictal and others), lithium (Lithobid and others), modafinil (Provigil and others), olanzapine (Zyprexa and others), paliperidone (Invega and others), pimozide (Orap and others), prochlorperazine (Procomp and others), quetiapine (Seroquel and others), risperidone (Risperdal and others), thiothixene (Navane and others), topiramate (Topamax and others), valproic acid (Depakene and others), ziprasidone (Geodon and others).

Potential conflicts of interest: Dr Correll has been a consultant and/or advisor to or has received honoraria from AbbVie, Acadia, Actavis, Alkermes, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Genentech, Gerson-Lehrman Group, IntraCellular Therapies, Janssen/ Johnson & Johnson, Lundbeck, MedAvante, Medscape, Otsuka, Pfizer, ProPhase, Reviva, Roche, Sunovion, Supernus, and Takeda; and has received grant support from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Otsuka, and Takeda. Dr Kane has received honoraria for lectures and/or consulting from Alkermes, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Forrest Laboratories, Forum, Genentech, Intracellular Therapies, Janssen, Johnson & Johnson, Lundbeck, Merck, Novartis, Otsuka, Pfizer, Reviva, Roche, Sunovion; has received grant support from Genentech, Johnson & Johnson, and Otsuka; and is a shareholder of MedAvante and Vanguard Research Group. Dr De Hert has received honoraria as an advisory

board member or speaker for Janssen, Lundbeck, Sunovion, and Takeda. **Dr Cohen** has received honoraria from AstraZeneca and grant funding from Fonds Nutsohra and Hemocue. **Dr Maayan** has nothing to disclose.

Funding/support: Supported in parts by The Zucker Hillside Hospital, National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) Advanced Center for Intervention and Services Research for the Study of Schizophrenia MH 074543-01.

Role of the sponsor: The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Supplementary material: See accompanying pages.

REFERENCES

- Kane JM, Correll CU. Past and present progress in the pharmacologic treatment of schizophrenia. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010;71(9):1115–1124.
- Leucht S, Komossa K, Rummel-Kluge C, et al. A meta-analysis of head-to-head comparisons of second-generation antipsychotics in the treatment of schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2009;166(2):152–163.
- Asenjo Lobos C, Komossa K, Rummel-Kluge C, et al. Clozapine versus other atypical antipsychotics for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2010;11(11):CD006633.
- 4. Essali A, Al-Haj Haasan N, Li C, et al. Clozapine

versus typical neuroleptic medication for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;1(1):CD000059.

- Correll CU, Rummel-Kluge C, Corves C, et al. Antipsychotic combinations vs monotherapy in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Schizophr Bull.* 2009;35(2):443–457.
- Cipriani A, Boso M, Barbui C. Clozapine combined with different antipsychotic drugs for treatment resistant schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;3(3):CD006324.
- Leucht S, Kissling W, McGrath J. Lithium for schizophrenia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007;3(3):CD003834.
- Schwarz C, Volz A, Li C, et al. Valproate for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2008;3(3):CD004028.
- Leucht S, Kissling W, McGrath J, et al. Carbamazepine for schizophrenia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007;3(3):CD001258.
- Goff DC, Keefe R, Citrome L, et al. Lamotrigine as add-on therapy in schizophrenia: results of 2 placebo-controlled trials. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2007;27(6):582–589.
- Tiihonen J, Wahlbeck K, Kiviniemi V. The efficacy of lamotrigine in clozapine-resistant schizophrenia: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Schizophr Res.* 2009;109(1–3):10–14.
- Volz A, Khorsand V, Gillies D, et al. Benzodiazepines for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2007;1(1):CD006391.
- Shek E, Bardhan S, Cheine MV, et al. Betablocker supplementation of standard drug treatment for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 2010;36(6):1079–1080.

Correll et al

tis illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. Glutamatergic drugs for schizophrenia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;2(2):CD003730.

- 15. Singh SP, Singh V. Meta-analysis of the efficacy of adjunctive NMDA receptor modulators in chronic schizophrenia. CNS Drugs. 2011;25(10):859-885.
- 16. Rummel C, Kissling W, Leucht S. Antidepressants for the negative symptoms of schizophrenia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;3(3):CD005581.
- 17. Sepehry AA, Potvin S, Elie R, et al. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) add-on therapy for the negative symptoms of schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2007:68(4):604-610.
- 18. Singh SP, Singh V, Kar N, et al. Efficacy of antidepressants in treating the negative symptoms of chronic schizophrenia: metaanalysis. Br J Psychiatry. 2010;197(3):174-179.
- 19. Hecht EM, Landy DC. Alpha-2 receptor antagonist add-on therapy in the treatment of schizophrenia; a meta-analysis. Schizophr Res. 2012;134(2-3):202-206.
- 20. Ribeiz SR, Bassitt DP, Arrais JA, et al. Cholinesterase inhibitors as adjunctive therapy in patients with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder: a review and metaanalysis of the literature. CNS Drugs. 2010;24(4):303-317.
- 21. Fusar-Poli P, Berger G. Eicosapentaenoic acid interventions in schizophrenia: meta-analysis of randomized, placebo-controlled studies. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2012;32(2):179–185.
- 22. Saavedra-Velez C, Yusim A, Anbarasan D, et al. Modafinil as an adjunctive treatment of sedation, negative symptoms, and cognition in schizophrenia: a critical review. J Clin Psychiatry. 2009;70(1):104-112.
- 23. Tharyan P, Adams CE. Electroconvulsive therapy for schizophrenia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005;2(2):CD000076.
- 24. Nitta M, Kishimoto T, Müller N, et al. Adjunctive use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for schizophrenia: a meta-analytic investigation of randomized controlled trials. Schizophr Bull. 2013:39(6):1230-1241.
- 25. Elias A, Kumar A. Testosterone for schizophrenia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. . 2007;3(3):CD006197.
- 26. Sommer IEIE, van Westrhenen R, Begemann MJ, et al. Efficacy of anti-inflammatory agents to improve symptoms in patients with schizophrenia: an update. Schizophr Bull. 2014:40(1):181-191.
- 27. Iseger TA, Bossong MG. A systematic review of the antipsychotic properties of cannabidiol in humans. Schizophr Res. 2015;162(1-3):153-161.
- 28. Cohen D, Correll CU. Second-generation antipsychotic-associated diabetes mellitus and diabetic ketoacidosis: mechanisms, predictors, and screening need. J Clin Psychiatry. 2009;70(5):765-766.
- 29. De Hert M, Correll CU, Bobes J, et al. Physical illness in patients with severe mental disorders: I: prevalence, impact of medications and disparities in health care. World Psychiatry. 2011;10(1):52-77.
- 30. De Hert M, Cohen D, Bobes J, et al. Physical illness in patients with severe mental disorders, II: barriers to care, monitoring and treatment guidelines, plus recommendations at the system and individual level. World Psychiatry. 2011:10(2):138-151.
- 31. Caemmerer J, Correll CU, Maayan L. Acute and maintenance effects of non-pharmacologic interventions for antipsychotic associated weight gain and metabolic abnormalities: a meta-analytic comparison of randomized

2012;140(1-3):159-168.

- 32. Zhang JP, Weiss JJ, McCardle M, et al. Effectiveness of a cognitive behavioral weight management intervention in obese patients with psychotic disorders compared to patients with nonpsychotic disorders or no psychiatric disorders: results from a 12-month, real-world study. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2012;32(4):458-464.
- 33. Maayan L, Vakhrusheva J, Correll CU. Effectiveness of medications used to attenuate antipsychotic-related weight gain and metabolic abnormalities: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2010;35(7):1520-1530.
- 34. Mizuno Y, Suzuki T, Nakagawa A, et al. Pharmacological strategies to counteract antipsychotic-induced weight gain and metabolic adverse effects in schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Schizophr Bull. 2014;40(6):1385-1403.
- 35. Mahmood S, Booker I, Huang J, et al. Effect of topiramate on weight gain in patients receiving atypical antipsychotic agents. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2013;33(1):90-94.
- 36. Angehagen M, Ben-Menachem E, Rönnbäck L, et al. Novel mechanisms of action of three antiepileptic drugs, vigabatrin, tiagabine, and topiramate. Neurochem Res. 2003;28(2):333-340.
- 37. Ben-Menachem E. Weight issues for people with epilepsy-a review. Epilepsia. 2007;48(suppl 9):42-45.
- 38. Bray GA, Hollander P, Klein S, et al. A 6-month randomized, placebo-controlled, doseranging trial of topiramate for weight loss in obesity. Obes Res. 2003;11(6):722-733.
- 39. Astrup A, Toubro S. Topiramate: a new potential pharmacological treatment for obesity. Obes Res. 2004;12(suppl):1675-1735.
- 40. Wilding J, Van Gaal L, Rissanen A, et al; OBES-002 Study Group. A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study of the long-term efficacy and safety of topiramate in the treatment of obese subjects. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2004;28(11):1399-1410.
- Tonstad S, Tykarski A, Weissgarten J, et al. 41 Efficacy and safety of topiramate in the treatment of obese subjects with essential hypertension. Am J Cardiol. 2005;96(2):243-251.
- Tremblay A, Chaput JP, Bérubé-Parent S, et al. 42. The effect of topiramate on energy balance in obese men: a 6-month double-blind randomized placebo-controlled study with a 6-month open-label extension. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2007;63(2):123-134.
- 43. Eliasson B, Gudbjörnsdottir S, Cederholm J, et al. Weight loss and metabolic effects of topiramate in overweight and obese type 2 diabetic patients: randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Int J Obes (Lond). 2007;31(7):1140-1147.
- 44. Toplak H, Hamann A, Moore R, et al. Efficacy and safety of topiramate in combination with metformin in the treatment of obese subjects with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled study. Int J Obes (Lond). 2007;31(1):138-146.
- 45. Rosenstock J, Hollander P, Gadde KM, et al; OBD-202 Study Group. A randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study to assess the efficacy and safety of topiramate controlled release in the treatment of obese type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes Care. 2007;30(6):1480-1486.
- Stenlöf K, Rössner S, Vercruysse F, et al; OBDM-46. 003 Study Group. Topiramate in the treatment of obese subjects with drug-naive type 2

2007;9(3):360-368.

- 47. Mula M, Trimble MR, Lhatoo SD, et al. Topiramate and psychiatric adverse events in patients with epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2003;44(5):659-663.
- 48. Trimble MR, Rüsch N, Betts T, et al. Psychiatric symptoms after therapy with new antiepileptic drugs: psychopathological and seizure related variables. Seizure. 2000;9(4):249-254.
- 49. Kanner AM, Wuu J, Faught E, et al; PADS Investigators. A past psychiatric history may be a risk factor for topiramate-related psychiatric and cognitive adverse events. Epilepsy Behav. 2003;4(5):548-552.
- Matthews SC, Miller BP. Auditory hallucinations 50. associated with topiramate. J Clin Psychiatry. 2001:62(8):653.
- 51. Millson RC, Owen JA, Lorberg GW, et al. Topiramate for refractory schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry. 2002;159(4):675.
- 52. Duggal HS, Singh I. Worsening of psychosis or topiramate-induced adverse event? Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2004;26(3):245-247.
- 53. Verhoeven WM, Boermans JA, van der Heijden FM, et al. Psychosis following treatment with topiramate [in Dutch]. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd. 2002;146(44):2093-2095.
- 54. Zesiewicz TA, Tullidge A, Tidwell J, et al. Topiramate-induced psychosis in patients with essential tremor: report of 2 cases. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2006;29(3):168-169.
- 55. José RJ, Cairns A, Babbs C. Topiramate-induced psychosis in two members of the one family: a case report. J Med Case Reports. 2008;2(1):195.
- 56. Miller AD, Prost VM, Bookstaver PB, et al. Topiramate-induced myoclonus and psychosis during migraine prophylaxis. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2010;67(14):1178-1180.
- 57. Lévy E, Agbokou C, Ferreri F, et al. Topiramateinduced weight loss in schizophrenia: a retrospective case series study. Can J Clin Pharmacol. 2007;14(2):e234-e239.
- 58. Dursun SM, Deakin JFW. Augmenting antipsychotic treatment with lamotrigine or topiramate in patients with treatment-resistant schizophrenia: a naturalistic case-series outcome study. J Psychopharmacol. 2001;15(4):297-301.
- 59. Lin Y-H, Liu C-Y, Hsiao M-C. Management of atypical antipsychotic-induced weight gain in schizophrenic patients with topiramate. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2005;59(5):613-615.
- 60. Levy E, Margolese HC, Chouinard G. Topiramate produced weight loss following olanzapine-induced weight gain in schizophrenia. J Clin Psychiatry. 2002;63(11):1045.
- 61. Afshar H, Roohafza H, Mousavi G, et al. Topiramate add-on treatment in schizophrenia: a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled clinical trial. J Psychopharmacol. 2009;23(2):157-162.
- 62. Ko YH, Joe SH, Jung IK, et al. Topiramate as an adjuvant treatment with atypical antipsychotics in schizophrenic patients experiencing weight gain. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2005;28(4):169-175.
- 63. Narula PK, Rehan HS, Unni KE, et al. Topiramate for prevention of olanzapine associated weight gain and metabolic dysfunction in schizophrenia: a double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. Schizophr Res. 2010;118(1-3):218-223.
- 64. Ellinger LK, Ipema HJ, Stachnik JM. Efficacy of metformin and topiramate in prevention and treatment of second-generation antipsychoticinduced weight gain. Ann Pharmacother. 2010;44(4):668-679.
- 65. Veerman SR, Schulte PF, Begemann MJ, et al.

Clozapine augmented with glutamate modulators in refractory schizophrenia: a review and metaanalysis. *Pharmacopsychiatry*. 2014;47(6):185–194.

- Nielsen J, Dahm M, Lublin H, et al. Psychiatrists' attitude towards and knowledge of clozapine treatment. J Psychopharmacol. 2010;24(7):965–971.
- Tiihonen J, Halonen P, Wahlbeck K, et al. Topiramate add-on in treatment-resistant schizophrenia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover trial. J Clin Psychiatry. 2005;66(8):1012–1015.
- Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* 1987;13(2):261–276.
- Overall JE, Gorham DR. The Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS): recent developments in ascertainment and scaling. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 1988;24:97–99.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials*. 1986;7(3):177–188.
- Muscatello MR, Bruno A, Pandolfo G, et al. Topiramate augmentation of clozapine in schizophrenia: a double-blind, placebocontrolled study. J Psychopharmacol. 2011;25(5):667–674.
- 72. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327(7414):557–560.
- Roy Chengappa K, Kupfer DJ, Parepally H, et al. A placebo-controlled, random-assignment, parallel-group pilot study of adjunctive topiramate for patients with schizoaffective disorder, bipolar type. *Bipolar Disord*. 2007;9(6):609–617.
- Behdani F, Hebrani P, Rezaei Ardani A, et al. Effect of topiramate augmentation in chronic schizophrenia: a placebo-controlled trial. Arch Iran Med. 2011;14(4):270–275.
- Kim JH, Yim SJ, Nam JH. A 12-week, randomized, open-label, parallel-group trial of topiramate in limiting weight gain during olanzapine treatment in patients with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 2006;82(1):115–117.
- Premkumar TS, Pick J. Lamotrigine for schizophrenia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;4(4):CD005962.
- 77. Hahn MK, Cohn T, Teo C, et al. Topiramate in schizophrenia: a review of effects on

psychopathology and metabolic parameter Clin Schizophr Relat Psychoses. 2013;6(4):186–196.

- Veerman SR, Schulte PF, de Haan L. The glutamate hypothesis: a pathogenic pathway from which pharmacological interventions have emerged. *Pharmacopsychiatry*. 2014;47(4–5):121–130.
- Deutsch SI, Rosse RB, Schwartz BL, et al. A revised excitotoxic hypothesis of schizophrenia: therapeutic implications. *Clin Neuropharmacol.* 2001;24(1):43–49.
- Thornberg SA, Saklad SR. A review of NMDA receptors and the phencyclidine model of schizophrenia. *Pharmacotherapy*. 1996;16(1):82–93.
- Choi YJ. Efficacy of adjunctive treatments added to olanzapine or clozapine for weight control in patients with schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *ScientificWorldJournal*. 2015;2015:970730.
- Whitney Z, Procyshyn RM, Fredrikson DH, et al. Treatment of clozapine-associated weight gain: a systematic review. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2015;71(4):389–401.
- Greg Deardorff O, Syed A, Ames CJ, et al. Ranitidine, metformin, and topiramate: managing weight gain in a clozapine-treated patient with schizoaffective disorder. *Prim Care Companion CNS Disord*. 2014;16(3):13101598.
- Gierisch JM, Nieuwsma JA, Bradford DW, et al. Pharmacologic and behavioral interventions to improve cardiovascular risk factors in adults with serious mental illness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2014;75(5):e424–e440.
- Correll CU, Sikich L, Reeves G, et al. Metformin for antipsychotic-related weight gain and metabolic abnormalities: when, for whom, and for how long? *Am J Psychiatry*. 2013;170(9):947–952.
- Correll CU, Sheridan EM, DelBello MP. Antipsychotic and mood stabilizer efficacy and tolerability in pediatric and adult patients with bipolar I mania: a comparative analysis of acute, randomized, placebo-controlled trials. *Bipolar Disord*. 2010;12(2):116–141.
- Yildiz A, Vieta E, Leucht S, et al. Efficacy of antimanic treatments: meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2011;36(2):375–389.

Supplementary material follows this article.

 Cipriani A, Barbui C, Salanti G, et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of antimanic drugs in acute mania: a multipletreatments meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2011;378(9799):1306–1315.

- Olfson M, Blanco C, Liu SM, et al. National trends in the office-based treatment of children, adolescents, and adults with antipsychotics. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2012;69(12):1247–1256.
- McElroy SL, Frye MA, Altshuler LL, et al. A 24week, randomized, controlled trial of adjunctive sibutramine versus topiramate in the treatment of weight gain in overweight or obese patients with bipolar disorders. *Bipolar Disord*. 2007;9(4):426–434.
- Vinogradov S, Fisher M, Warm H, et al. The cognitive cost of anticholinergic burden: decreased response to cognitive training in schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry. 2009;166(9):1055–1062.
- Nickel C, Tritt K, Muehlbacher M, et al. Topiramate treatment in bulimia nervosa patients: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Int J Eat Disord. 2005;38(4):295–300.
- Egger C, Muehlbacher M, Schatz M, et al. Influence of topiramate on olanzapine-related weight gain in women: an 18-month follow-up observation. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2007;27(5):475–478.
- Correll CU, Lencz T, Malhotra AK. Antipsychotic drugs and obesity. *Trends Mol Med*. 2011;17(2):97–107.
- De Hert M, Yu W, Detraux J, et al. Body weight and metabolic adverse effects of asenapine, iloperidone, lurasidone and paliperidone in the treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: a systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. CNS Drugs. 2012;26(9):733–759.
- Simon V, van Winkel R, De Hert M. Are weight gain and metabolic side effects of atypical antipsychotics dose dependent? a literature review. J Clin Psychiatry. 2009;70(7):1041–1050.
- Correll CU, Manu P, Olshanskiy V, et al. Cardiometabolic risk of second-generation antipsychotic medications during first-time use in children and adolescents. JAMA. 2009;302(16):1765–1773.
- Zink M, Correll CU. Glutamatergic agents for schizophrenia: current evidence and perspectives. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol*. 2015;8(3):335–352.



THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CLINICAL PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGY

Supplementary Material

- Article Title: Efficacy for Psychopathology and Body Weight and Safety of Topiramate Antipsychotic Cotreatment in Patients With Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders: Results From a Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials
- Authors: Christoph U. Correll, MD^a; Lawrence Maayan, MD; John Kane, MD; Marc De Hert, MD, PhD; and Dan Cohen, MD, PhD
- **DOI Number:** 10.4088/JCP.15r10373

List of Supplementary Material for the article

- 1. <u>eFigure 1</u> Standardized Mean Difference in Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) or Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) Total Score (Change or Endpoint) By Clozapine vs. Non-Clozapine Antipsychotic Treatment
- 2. <u>eFigure 2</u> Weighted Mean Difference in Body Weight (Change or Endpoint) By Clozapine vs. Non-Clozapine Antipsychotic Treatment

Disclaimer

This Supplementary Material has been provided by the author(s) as an enhancement to the published article. It has been approved by peer review; however, it has undergone neither editing nor formatting by in-house editorial staff. The material is presented in the manner supplied by the author.

© Copyright 2016 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. • © 2016 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

Supplementary eFigure 1. Standardized Mean Difference in Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale

(PANSS) or Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) Total Score (Change or Endpoint) By Clozapine vs.

Non-Clozapine Antipsychotic Treatment



Supplementary eFigure 2. Weighted Mean Difference in Body Weight (Change or Endpoint) By

Clozapine vs. Non-Clozapine Antipsychotic Treatment

	TOPIRAMATE Control							Mean Difference	Mean Difference					
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	1	IV, Ra	andom, 9	95% CI		
14.1.1 Augmentation	of Cloz	apine												
Afshar 2009	63.2	20.4	16	68.89	21.2	16	2.4%	-5.69 [-20.11, 8.73]						
Muscatello 2010	-1	12.28	19	0.28	8.57	24	7.7%	-1.28 [-7.78, 5.22]		1 = 3		201		
Tiihonen 2005	-0.6	3.54	13	-0.56	2.31	13	15.2%	-0.04 [-2.34, 2.26]			+			
Subtotal (95% CI)			48			53	25.4%	-0.30 [-2.44, 1.84]			•			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Cł	ni ^z = 0.6	7, df =	2 (P = ().71); I	² = 0%								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.27	(P = 0.	78)											
14.1.2 Augmentation	of Non-	Clozap	ine An	tipsych	otics									
Chengappa 2007	-1.49	3.85	32	2.72	6.44	14	12.6%	-4.21 [-7.84, -0.58]		33777				
Kim 2006	2.66	1.79	30	4.02	2.52	30	17.1%	-1.36 [-2.47, -0.25]			-			
Ko 2005 (100mg)	-1.68	5.3	16	-0.3	2.59	10	13.8%	-1.38 [-4.43, 1.67]			-			
Ko 2005 (200mg)	-5.35	4.35	17	-0.3	2.59	10	14.6%	-5.05 [-7.67, -2.43]		-	-			
Narula 2010	-1.27	2.28	33	6.03	3.99	34	16.5%	-7.30 [-8.85, -5.75]		+				
Subtotal (95% CI)			128			98	74.6%	-3.88 [-6.81, -0.95]		•				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	9.58; Ch	ni² = 40.	77, df =	= 4 (P <	0.000	01); l² =	= 90%							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.60) (P = 0.	009)											
Total (95% CI)			176			151	100.0%	-3.14 [-5.55, -0.73]			•			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	8.56; Ch	ni² = 48.	62, df =	= 7 (P <	0.000	01); l² =	= 86%			10		10		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.55	(P = 0.	01)			-03/5640		F	-20	-10 experiment	U atal Eau	10 ours conti	∠U rol	
Test for subgroup diffe	erences:	Chi ² = 3	3.74, df	= 1 (P	= 0.05), ² = 7	3.3%	1	ayuuis	experimer	nai rav		U.	