

THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CLINICAL PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGY

Supplementary Material

- Article Title: Cross-Cutting Symptom Domains Predict Functioning in Psychotic Disorders
- Author(s): Julia M. Longenecker, PhD; R. Michael Bagby, PhD; Kwame McKenzie, PhD; Bruce G. Pollock, MD, PhD; Tony P. George, MD; Peter Voore, MD; and Lena C. Quilty, PhD
- **DOI Number:** 10.4088/JCP.20m13288

List of Supplementary Material for the article

- 1. <u>Table 1</u> Association of Negative and Cross-Cutting Symptoms with Functioning
- 2. <u>Table 2</u> Concurrent Association Between Level 1 Cross-Cutting Measures and Functioning Domains
- 3. <u>Table 3</u> Cross-Cutting Symptoms Prospectively Predict Change in Functioning

Disclaimer

This Supplementary Material has been provided by the author(s) as an enhancement to the published article. It has been approved by peer review; however, it has undergone neither editing nor formatting by in-house editorial staff. The material is presented in the manner supplied by the author.

© Copyright 2021 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. • © 2021 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

Supplementary Table 1.

	β	Std. Error	95% CI	p-value	
Model 1					
Intercept	1.26	0.20	[0.86, 1.65]		
Age	0.10	0.06	[-0.02, 0.22]	0.091	
Gender	-0.17	0.12	[-0.4, 0.06]	0.154	
Psychosis	0.19	0.06	[0.07, 0.31]	0.003	*
Negative Sx	0.11	0.06	[-0.02, 0.23]	0.094	
Model 2					
Intercept	1.08	0.15	[0.79, 1.36]		
Age	0.11	0.06	[0, 0.22]	0.050	ţ
Gender	-0.08	0.09	[-0.26, 0.1]	0.395	
Internalizing	0.31	0.05	[0.21, 0.41]	0.000	*
Substance Use	0.09	0.04	[0, 0.17]	0.049	*
Psychosis	0.05	0.05	[-0.05, 0.14]	0.314	
Negative Sx	0.05	0.05	[-0.04, 0.15]	0.275	
Psy x Int	0.07	0.04	[-0.01, 0.16]	0.103	
Psy x Sub	-0.03	0.04	[-0.11, 0.06]	0.510	
Sub x Int	0.09	0.04	[0.01, 0.16]	0.021	*

Association of Negative & Cross-Cutting Symptoms with Functioning^a

^aSymptom domains are Level 1 Cross-Cutting Measures at Time 1. Predicted functioning levels are WHO-DAS-II self-ratings at Time 1. Model 1, $R^2 = .17$; Model 2, $R^2 = .44$.

† The result is marginally significant: $0.05 \ge p \le 0.08$; *p<0.05; **p<0.001

Abbreviations. Int = internalizing; Psy = Psychosis; Sub = Substance Use.

Supplementary Table 2.

Concurrent Association Between Level 1 Cross-cutting Measures and Functioning Domains^a

WHO-DAS II Subscales								
	Communication	Mobility	Self-Care	Interpersonal	Life Activities	Participation in Society		
Model 1	-							
Intercept	1.19(.20)	.94(.21)	.76(.23)	1.28(.26)	1.42(.33)	1.33(.24)		
Age	.08(.06), p=.22	.16(.07), p=.01	.07(.07), p=.34	.03(.06), p=.70	.14(.08), p=.10	.07(.07), p=.35		
Gender	17(.12), p=.15	14(.13), p=.26	15(.13), p=.27	12(.15), p=.42	22(.18), p=.24	04(.14), p=.80		
Psy	.23(.06), p<.001	.15(.07), p=.02	.13(.07), p=.05	.23(.07), p<.001	.21(.09), p=.03	.32(.07), p<.001		
Model 2	_							
Intercept	1.59(.26)	1.16(.27), p<.001	.85(.27)	1.35(.27)	1.32(.27)	1.52(.26)		
Age	.10(.06), p=.11	.17(.07), p=.01	.08(.07), p=.24	.05(.06), p=.41	.15(.08), p=.07	.09(.07), p=.18		
Gender	11(.1), p=.25	10(.12), p=.39	10(.11), p=.37	06(.13), p=.64	15(.16), p=.35	.02(.12), p=.85		
Int	.33(.05), p<.001	.17(.06), p=.01	.23(.07), p<.001	.29(.07), p<.001	.37(.09), p<.001	.39(.07), p<.001		
Sub	.04(.05), p=.38	.05(.06), p=.48	.12(.05), p=.02	.18(.07), p=.01	.15(.08), p=.07	.04(.06), p=.43		
Psy	.08(.06), p=.16	.07(.07), p=.28	02(.06), p=.79	.06(.07), p=.36	.02(.08), p=.84	.14(.07), p=.04		
Psy x Int	.03(.05), p=.51	.04(.06), p=.46	.10(.06), p=.13	.03(.06), p=.59	.03(.08), p=.69	.05(.05), p=.4		
Psy x Sub	06(.06), p=.29	06(.06), p=.25	.05(.06), p=.35	.04(.06), p=.54	05(.09), p=.57	05(.07), p=.49		
Sub x Int	.08(.04), p=.05	.11(.05), p=.02	.05(.05), p=.34	.13(.05), p=.02	.06(.08), p=.43	.08(.05), p=.16		
<u>R²</u>								
Model 1 Model 2	0.14 0.39	0.10 0.21	0.05 0.22	0.08 0.30	0.08 0.25	0.17 0.42		

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. • © 2021 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

^{*a*}Symptom domains at Time 1 and WHO-DAS-II client-rated disability measure subscales at Time 1 are included in the models. Values listed before parentheses are standardized (β) estimates of each indicator; standard error is in parentheses. Boldface text indicates significant values (p<.05). *Abbreviations:* Int = Internalizing; Psy = Psychosis; Sub = Substance Use.

Supplementary Table 3.

	β	Std. Error	95% CI	p-value
Model 1				
Intercept	.11	.20	[29, .50]	
Age	.09	.05	[01, .19]	.076 †
Gender	.00	.09	[17, .16]	.979
Days Between Visits	.00	.00	[01, .01]	.623
WHO-DAS-II Baseline	.68	.09	[.50, .86]	<.001 *
Psychosis	.05	.05	[04, .14]	.261
Model 2		-		
Intercept	.15	.20	[24, .55]	
Age	.10	.05	[.00, .19]	.053
Gender	01	.08	[17, .16]	.953
Days Between Visits	.00	.00	[01, .01]	.481
WHO-DAS-II Baseline	.64	.10	[.44, .84]	< .001
Internalizing	.11	.06	[01, .23]	.069
Substance Use	03	.04	[10, .05]	.501
Psychosis	.03	.04	[05, .12]	.449
Psy x Int	05	.06	[16, .06]	.361
Psy x Sub	.00	.04	[08, .08]	.985
Sub x Int	02	.04	[10, .07]	.679

Cross-Cutting Symptoms Prospectively Predict Change in Functioning^a

^{*a*} Symptom domains are Level 1 Cross-Cutting Measures at Time 1. Predicted real-world functioning is WHO-DAS-II self-ratings at Time 3. After correction for baseline functioning levels (WHO-DAS-II at Time 1), the effect of internalizing was at the threshold of significance. Model 1, R^2 =.57; Model 2, R^2 =.60.

[†] The result is marginally significant: 0.05≥p≤0.08; *p<0.05; **p<0.001

Abbreviations. Int = Internalizing; Psy = Psychosis; *Sub* = Substance Use.