It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. Reducing Stigma Toward Psychiatry Among Medical Students: A Multicenter Controlled Trial

Doron Amsalem, MD^{a,b,*}; Doron Gothelf, MD^{a,b,c}; Alexandra Dorman, BA^b; Yaron Goren, MD^{b,d}; Oren Tene, MD^{b,e}; Assaf Shelef, MD^{b,f}; Itai Horowitz, MD^{b,g}; Liora Libman Dunsky, MD^{b,h}; Eldor Rogev, MD^{b,i}; Efrat Hirsh Klein, MD^{b,j}; Ehud Mekori-Domachevsky, MD^{a,b}; Tsvi Fischel, MD^{b,d}; Yechiel Levkovitz, MD^{b,g}; Andres Martin, MD, MPH^{b,k}; and Raz Gross, MD, MPH^{b,I}

ABSTRACT

Objective: To examine the effect of a novel antistigma intervention curriculum (ASIC) in reducing stigma toward psychiatry among medical students.

Methods: Medical students from 8 hospitals in central Israel were divided into intervention (n = 57) and control (n = 163) arms. The students completed the 30-item Attitudes Toward Psychiatry (ATP-30) and the Attitudes Toward Mental Illness (AMI) scales at psychiatry rotation onset and conclusion. The ASIC was designed to target prejudices and stigma through direct informal encounters with people with serious mental illness (SMI) during periods of remission and recovery. Supervised small-group discussions followed those encounters to facilitate processing of thoughts and emotions that ensued and to discuss salient topics in psychiatry. The study was conducted between November 2017 and July 2018.

Results: Significant between-group differences were found at endpoint for attitudes toward psychiatry and psychiatric patients (P < .001). Although changing attitudes toward psychiatry as a career choice was not part of the ASIC, a significant between-group difference emerged by endpoint (P < .001).

Conclusions: Implementation of an ASIC that includes contact with individuals with lived SMI experience followed by supervised small-group discussions is effective in reducing stigma in medical students' perceptions of people with mental illness and psychiatry. Further evaluation is warranted with regard to the long-term destigmatizing effects of an ASIC.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT03907696

Prim Care Companion CNS Disord 2020;22(2):19m02527

To cite: Amsalem D, Gothelf D, Dorman A, et al. Reducing stigma toward psychiatry among medical students: a multicenter controlled trial. *Prim Care Companion CNS Disord*. 2020;22(2):19m02527.

To share: https://doi.org/10.4088/PCC.19m02527 © Copyright 2020 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

^aChild Psychiatry Division, Edmond and Lily Safra Children's Hospital, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Israel

- ^bSackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
- ^cSagol School of Neuroscience, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

^dGeha Mental Health Center, Petah Tikva, Israel

^eTel Aviv Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel

^fAbarbanel Mental Health Center, Bat Yam, Israel

^gBeer-Ya'akov-Ness-Ziona-Maban Mental Health Center, Israel

^hPsychogeriatrics Department, Holocaust Survivor Hostel, Lev Hasharon Medical Health Center, Pardesiya, Israel

ⁱTel Aviv Brüll Community Mental Health Center, Clalit Health Services, Tel Aviv, Israel ^jShalvata Mental Health Center, Hod Hasharon, Israel

^kChild Study Center, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut

¹Division of Psychiatry, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Israel

*Corresponding author: Doron Amsalem, MD, Child Psychiatry Division, Edmond and Lily Safra Children's Hospital, Sheba Medical Center, 52621, Tel Hashomer, Israel (doron.amsalem@gmail.com). **S** tigma refers to negative beliefs and stereotypes held toward a specific topic or group of people.¹ Research² has found that stigma toward psychiatry and psychiatric patients is common among physicians and medical students. Stigma toward people with mental illness among physicians contributes to poor medical treatment and outcomes, including earlier death in patients with psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia.³⁻⁶

Stigma in physicians' attitudes toward psychiatric patients has been reported as already present from the early stages of medical school.^{7,8} Studies⁷⁻¹⁴ looking at stigmatizing attitudes found that medical students tend to perceive people with mental illness as totally dependent and thus in need of living in hospitals or supervised settings rather than in the community. Medical students have also commonly reported a perception that psychiatric treatments are ineffective, of little utility, and administered mostly to control disruptive behaviors.⁸⁻¹³ They tend to view psychiatry as a non–evidence-based and unscientific medical discipline largely consisting of vague speculations.¹⁴

The psychiatry rotation during medical school is a core component of psychiatric training that can play an important role in shaping students' attitudes toward psychiatry. However, findings from worldwide studies¹⁵⁻²⁰ examining whether a psychiatric clerkship reduces students' stigma toward psychiatry have been inconclusive. A systematic review²¹ of 26 studies on the impact of the psychiatry clerkship on attitudes toward psychiatry, including considering choosing a residency in psychiatry, found that 16 of the studies reported a positive change in attitudes at the end of the clerkship, while the remaining 10 reported no change. Research has suggested that a psychiatry rotation by itself is ineffective in reducing stigma toward people with serious mental illness (SMI) and psychiatry²² and that an active antistigma intervention curriculum (ASIC) is essential.²³ Specifically, in a study by Thornicroft et al,²² live social contacts or first-person narratives were found to be the most effective methods for reducing stigma toward psychiatric care compared to factual data or video clips depicting individuals with SMI. Social

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.

Clinical Points

- The combination of live social contacts and small-group discussions is effective in reducing stigma in medical students' perceptions of people with mental illness and psychiatry.
- A reduction in stigma toward people with mental illness produced an improvement in students' attitudes toward psychiatry as a career choice.

contact between people with and without lived experience with mental illness can lead to the mitigation of negative stereotypical beliefs and attitudinal change, especially by reducing fear and enhancing empathy.²⁴

The purpose of this multicenter controlled study was to examine the efficacy of an ASIC in reducing stigma in medical students' attitudes toward psychiatric patients, psychiatric illnesses and treatments, and the psychiatric knowledge base in clinical practice.

METHODS

Design and Participants

This study used a controlled trial design and was conducted between November 2017 and July 2018 in 8 academic hospitals in central Israel (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT03907696). The study population consisted of medical students during their 6-week psychiatry clerkship. There were 16 groups of students (11 groups from a 4-year program and 5 groups from a 6-year program), yielding a total of 244 eligible students, of whom 220 (90.2%) consented to participate. Eighty of these students (36.4%) were Americans studying under the auspices of a special program at Tel Aviv University.

The intervention arm included 3 groups of students (n = 57) at a single general hospital, and the control arm comprised 13 groups of students (n = 163) from 6 psychiatric hospitals (n = 139) and 1 general hospital (n = 24). All students were informed that the purpose of the study was to explore their attitudes about people with mental illness and psychiatry in general at the beginning (baseline) and end (endpoint) of their rotation. The participants were assured that their responses would remain confidential and would not influence their rotation grade.

Procedure

The intervention and control groups received the same lecture and practical training components. Topics covered in the lectures included psychopathology, psychopharmacologic treatment, psychotherapy, and forensic psychiatry. Practical training included participation in inpatient rounds, visits to outpatient clinics and consultation-liaison services, and seminars consisting of patient interviews and discussions of clinical cases. All students were placed in a psychiatric ward under the supervision and responsibility of psychiatry residents and senior psychiatrists. Each ward was assigned supervised clinical responsibility for at least 1 clinical case. Although all students were exposed to a variety of patients in different clinical scenarios, they mostly saw acutely ill inpatients.

Study Intervention

The ASIC component that was added to the intervention group was designed to target stigma toward psychiatric patients, psychiatric treatment, and the knowledge base of clinical practice in psychiatry. The first part of the intervention, aimed at reducing stigma toward people with SMI as defined by the National Institute of Mental Health,²⁵ consisted of 2 forms of direct encounters with individuals with SMI in recovery or rehabilitation: (1) a 2-hour panel session with 3 people who shared their personal stories as consumers of mental health services followed by an open discussion with the students and (2) a visit to a rehabilitation center, which included direct contact with the consumers. The emphasis in both of those encounters was on exposing students to the competence and strengths of the individuals, rather than to the symptoms and signs of their underlying illnesses. The second part of the intervention, which was designed to target stigma toward psychiatric treatment and the knowledge base of clinical practice in psychiatry, included small-group discussions (20 minutes each) on the efficacy of different treatments, the clinical utility of admission to inpatient wards, evidence-based medicine in psychiatry, and the biological pathways underpinning psychiatric disorders.

The study was conducted after receiving Helsinki ethics committee approval for a multicenter study from the University of Tel Aviv Institutional Review Board. Because the ethics committee approval was contingent on personal information being de-identified, only aggregate, unpaired, pre- and postrotation data were used.

Study Evaluations

Participants completed 1 sociodemographic and 2 self-report questionnaires, the 30-item Attitudes Toward Psychiatry (ATP-30)²⁶ and the Attitudes Toward Mental Illness (AMI),⁷ which were administrated through a secured online server (SurveyMonkey). The ATP-30 consists of 30 items and measures medical students' attitudes toward 8 different attitudinal domains: (1) psychiatric patients, (2) psychiatric illness, (3) psychiatric treatment, (4) psychiatric institutions, (5) psychiatric knowledge base in clinical practice, (6) psychiatric education, (7) psychiatry as a career choice, and (8) psychiatrists. The AMI consists of 20 items and measures medical students' attitudes toward mental illnesses and, more specifically, their causes, treatments, and impact on individuals and society. Each item in both questionnaires is rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from "strongly agree" to "strongly disagree." Some items are negatively phrased to minimize the likelihood of social desirability bias, and higher composite scores indicate more favorable attitudes (less stigma) toward mental illness. Both the ATP-30 and AMI have been shown to have good

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.

Table 1. Demographic Data of the Study Participants						
Variable	Intervention (n=57)	Control (n = 163)				
Female, %	44	55				
Age, %, y						
23–26	18	31				
27–29	42	42				
≥30	40	26				

Table 2. Comparison of the Intervention $(n = 57)$ and Control $(n = 163)$
Groups' 30-item Attitude Toward Psychiatry (ATP-30) and Attitude Toward
Mental Illness (AMI) Total Scores at Baseline and Endpoint ^{a,b}

Variable	Intervention	Control	Delta	t Test ^c (<i>df</i>)	<i>P</i> Value
ATP-30					
Baseline, mean (SD)	108.5 (13.0)	108.0 (13.5)	0.5	0.25 (218)	.80
Endpoint, mean (SD)	115.3 (14.1)	111.3 (14.9)	4.0	1.74 (208)	.08
Change (95% Cl)	6.8 (1.7–11.8)	3.3 (0.2-6.4)	3.5		
t test ^c (df)	2.66 (112)	2.09 (324)			
P value	<.01	<.05			
AMI					
Baseline, mean (SD)	73.5 (7.4)	73.5 (8.4)	0.0	0.01 (218)	.989
Endpoint, mean (SD)	76.9 (5.9)	74.8 (6.7)	2.1	2.16 (208)	<.05
Change (95% Cl)	3.4 (0.9-5.9)	1.3 (-2.9-0.4)	2.1		
t test ^c (df)	2.72 (112)	1.50 (324)			
P value	<.01	.13			

^aATP-30: minimum score = 30, maximum score = 150; AMI: minimum score = 20, maximum score = 100. Higher scores indicate less stigma.

^bBolded values indicate statistical significance.

^cIndependent *t* test.

psychometric properties and have been translated into many languages and used in numerous countries worldwide.^{7,26}

Statistical Analysis

We used independent sample t tests to compare mean differences in ATP-30 and AMI total scores within and between groups at baseline and at endpoint, as well as for each subscale of the ATP. We next dichotomized the 5-point Likert scale into agreement and disagreement categories in line with previous research.¹⁵ The agreement category included "strongly agree" and "agree" responses, and the disagreement category included "strongly disagree," "disagree," and "neutral" (no opinion) responses. The change in proportion of agreement between baseline and endpoint was calculated separately for each item, with the difference defined as risk difference (RD). The McNemar test was used separately for the intervention and control groups to determine changes from baseline to endpoint. SPSS version 25.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, New York) was used to conduct all statistical analyses, with the threshold for significance set at *P* < .05.

RESULTS

All 57 students in the intervention group completed the baseline survey, and 56 (98%) completed the endpoint survey. A total of 163 (87%) of 187 students in the control group completed the baseline survey, and 154 (94%) completed the endpoint survey. The groups were similar in their distributions by sex and age (Table 1) and by interactions with people with lived experience of mental illness.

The mean ATP-30 and AMI total scores at baseline and endpoint for the intervention and control groups are presented in Table 2. We found a significant difference for both the intervention (P=.009) and control (P=.039) groups on the ATP-30 total scores but only for the intervention group (P=.008) on the AMI total scores. Although no significant between-group differences were found at baseline, there was a marginally significant difference (P=.08) in the ATP-30 total scores and a significant difference (P=.03) in the AMI total scores between groups at endpoint. There were no significant differences in the ATP-30 and AMI scores between male and female mean total scores at baseline and endpoint for both the intervention and control groups (P>.27 for all).

We found no significant between-group differences at baseline for any of the ATP-30 or AMI subscales (Table 3). We did find by endpoint, as initially hypothesized, significant differences between groups on attitude toward psychiatric patients (P<.001), psychiatric illness (P<.001), psychiatric treatment (P=.018), and the psychiatric knowledge base in clinical practice (P=.001). Although changing attitudes toward psychiatry as a career choice and psychiatric teaching were not part of our intervention plan, we did find a significant between-group difference by endpoint (P<.001 and P=.005, respectively).

The intervention group showed an overall greater reduction in negative (stigmatized) attitudes. This reduction is evident in the positive RDs between the intervention and control groups for 26 of 30 items (87%) of the ATP-30 and 17 of 20 items (85%) of the AMI. Positive RDs indicate a greater reduction in negative views in the intervention

Amsalem et al It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.

Table 3. Comparison of the Intervention (n = 57) and Control (n = 163) Groups on Subscales of the 30-Item Attitude Toward Psychiatry (ATP-30) and Attitude Toward Mental Illness (AMI) at Baseline and Endpoint

Attitude Toward:		Intervention	Control	Delta	t Test ^a	P Value ^b
Psychiatric patients	Baseline	7.53 (2.37)	7.63 (2.33)	0.10	-0.28	.78
	Endpoint	9.23 (1.80)	8.01 (2.14)	1.22	3.66	< .001
Psychiatric illness	Baseline	8.40 (1.85)	8.08 (2.19)	0.32	1.00	.32
	Endpoint	9.84 (1.51)	8.81 (1.74)	1.03	3.92	< .001
Psychiatric treatment	Baseline	2.58 (1.65)	2.47 (1.50)	0.11	0.45	.65
	Endpoint	3.59 (1.26)	3.08 (1.41)	0.51	2.39	< .05
Psychiatric knowledge	Baseline	2.61 (1.24)	2.36 (1.37)	0.25	1.23	.22
	Endpoint	2.95 (1.18)	2.25 (1.30)	0.70	3.50	.001
Psychiatry as a career choice	Baseline	3.09 (1.62)	2.91 (1.32)	0.18	0.81	.42
	Endpoint	4.29 (1.63)	3.38 (1.61)	0.91	3.61	<.001
Psychiatric institutions	Baseline	1.88 (0.98)	1.93 (0.90)	0.05	-0.35	.73
	Endpoint	2.46 (0.79)	2.44 (0.71)	0.02	0.26	.80
Psychiatrists	Baseline	3.51 (1.21)	3.48 (1.28)	0.03	0.16	.88
	Endpoint	3.95 (1.28)	3.71 (1.22)	0.24	1.26	.21
Psychiatric teaching	Baseline	1.89 (1.06)	1.79 (1.05)	0.10	0.64	.53
	Endpoint	2.59 (0.87)	2.18 (0.95)	0.41	2.85	<.01
^a Independent <i>t</i> test.						

^bBolded values indicate statistical significance.

Table 4. Comparison Between Intervention (N = 57) and Control (N = 163) Groups on Selected Items of the 30-Item Attitude Toward Psychiatry (ATP-30) and Attitude Toward Mental Illness (AMI) at Baseline and Endpoint

Variable	Group	Baseline n (%)	Endpoint n (%) ^a	Risk Difference	<i>P</i> Value ^b
ATP-30					
Item 4: I would like to be a psychiatrist	Intervention Control	10 (17.5) 12 (7.4)	24 (42.9) 26 (16.9)	15.9	<.001 <.05
Item 19: There is very little that psychiatrists can do for their patients	Intervention Control	18 (31.6) 46 (28.2)	10 (17.9) 38 (24.7)	10.2	< .01 .497
Item 25: In recent years psychiatric treatment has become quite effective	Intervention Control	22 (38.6) 60 (36.8)	34 (60.7) 68 (44.2)	14.7	< .001 .169
Item 26: Most of the so-called facts in psychiatry are really just vague speculations	Intervention Control	23 (40.4) 74 (45.4)	18 (32.1) 79 (51.3)	14.2	.063 .328
Item 30: Psychiatry is so amorphous that it cannot really be taught effectively	Intervention Control	20 (35.1) 66 (40.5)	6 (10.7) 58 (37.7)	21.6	< .01 .609
AMI					
Item 1: Psychiatric patients generally speaking are difficult to like	Intervention Control	15 (26.3) 41 (25.2)	7 (12.5) 34 (22.1)	10.7	< .01 .683
Item 20: Patients with chronic schizophrenia are incapable of looking after themselves	Intervention Control	27 (47.4) 97 (59.5)	20 (35.7) 108 (70.1)	22.3	< .05 .068
^a McNemar test. ^b Bolded values indicate statistical significance.					

group compared to the control group, with a mean RD of +9% (range, -5% to +27%) for the ATP-30 and +9% (range, -7% to +24%) for the AMI. Table 4 highlights select items of the 2 questionnaires, and all 50 items are included in the Supplementary Material.

The mean RD for stigma toward psychiatric patients was +11% (range, +5% to +22%) in favor of the intervention group. There was a statistically significant reduction in the proportion of students endorsing negative views for 8 of 12 items (67%) in the intervention group compared to 3 of 12 items (25%) in the control group. The mean RD for statements regarding the efficacy of psychiatric illness was +5% (range, -7% to +24%) in favor of the intervention group. There was a statistically significant reduction in the

proportion of students endorsing negative views for 5 of 12 items (42%) in both the intervention and control groups.

The mean RD for statements regarding the efficacy of psychiatric treatment was +8% (range, -1% to +15%) in favor of the intervention group. We found a statistically significant reduction in the proportion of students endorsing negative views for all 5 items in the intervention group compared to 3 of 5 items (60%) in the control group. The mean RD for items related to attitude toward the psychiatric knowledge base of clinical practice in psychiatry was +11% (range, -5% to +27%) in favor of the intervention group. There was a statistically significant reduction in the proportion of students in the intervention group who endorsed negative views for 1 of 4 items (25%). Conversely, there was an

It is illegal to post this copy increase in the proportion of students in the control group who endorsed negative views at endpoint for 3 of 4 items (75%).

Although changing attitudes toward psychiatry as a career choice was not part of our intervention plan, the mean RD was +12% (range, +7% to +16%) in favor of the intervention group. For example, the proportion of students agreeing with the statement "Psychiatry is not one of the most exciting medical specialties" (ATP item 21) decreased by 27% in the intervention group compared with 13% in the control group (RD of +14%), implying that the intervention group found psychiatry more attractive at endpoint than did the controls. Another example of changing attitudes is the reversed item (ATP item 4) "I would like to be a psychiatrist": 43% of the students in the intervention group stated that they would like to be a psychiatrist at endpoint compared to only 17% in the control group. A similarly significant reduction in the proportion of students endorsing negative views was found for 4 of the 6 items (67%) in the "psychiatry as a career choice" category in both the intervention and control groups. The mean RD for statements regarding psychiatric teaching, psychiatric institutes, and psychiatrists was 8% (range, -1% to +22%), 4% (range, +0% to +8%), and 9% (range, +4% to +13%), respectively.

DISCUSSION

There are limited data on the efficacy of an ASIC in reducing negative (ie, stigmatized) views of medical students toward psychiatric patients, psychiatric illness and treatment, and the knowledge base of clinical practice in psychiatry. We chose those themes because they have been shown by various studies²⁻⁶ to be pivotal and recurrent. The ASIC included encounters with people having lived experience with SMI and small-group discussions on salient topics, such as psychiatric care, evidence-based medicine in psychiatry, and the neuroscientific underpinnings of clinical psychiatry. As we hypothesized, there was a greater reduction in the intervention group compared to the control group in the majority of items. Although changing attitudes toward psychiatry as a career choice and psychiatric teaching were not part of the ASIC, we found more positive changes in these 2 domains in the intervention group. Overall, the ASIC had a significant positive effect on the attitude of medical students toward psychiatry, as demonstrated by changes in the widely used ATP-30 and AMI total scores.

Only a few studies,^{27–29} to our knowledge, have examined the effect of an ASIC on attitudes of medical students toward psychiatry. One study²⁷ that aimed to reduce stigma toward patients with schizophrenia and psychiatric treatment included intervention and control groups (consisting of 25 and 35 participants, respectively). The intervention consisted of live social contact with a patient with schizophrenia, viewing of the movie *A Beautiful Mind*, and a lecture on stigma. The authors²⁷ observed a significant reduction in the proportion of students who endorsed negative statements, but only a minority of tested items reached such a reduction. **by Control PDF on any website.** In another study,²⁸ medical students (n = 95) were presented with a 1-hour case study of an ambulatory patient with schizophrenia who receives community-based services: no significant reduction in stigma toward psychiatric patients and services ensued. A third study²⁹ used the ATP-30 and AMI scales over 3 time points to examine the efficacy of 2 learning methods, didactic education (n = 29 participants) and problem-based learning (n = 41 participants), but not the efficacy of a structured ASIC, in reducing stigma toward psychiatry. Both learning methods yielded weak positive changes solely in AMI scores.²⁹

Our study has several advantages over previous research on ASICs among medical students, including a relatively sizable sample and the use of a predesigned comprehensive ASIC that targets pivotal aspects of stigma. A major component of our ASIC was live social contact with patients, as recommended by Thornicroft et al.²² The only other study²⁷ that examined the influence of social contact found no significant difference for most of the items, but we were able to demonstrate a significant reduction in the proportion of medical students who endorsed negative statements. A possible explanation for this discrepancy is that each live social contact in our study was followed by a small-group discussion that provided an environment that facilitated processing and generalization of the thoughts and emotions that had been evoked during the encounter. Stereotypical beliefs about people with SMI were contested in the opengroup discussion by the emerging realization that those beliefs stem from anxiety and fear.²⁶ Thus, it appears that small groups were essential in creating an environment that enabled students to express their fears and anxieties with regard to psychiatric patients.

Moreover, small-group discussions were beneficial not only in processing emotions, but also in changing attitudes toward psychiatric treatment by understanding gaps in knowledge and providing more comprehensive and informed details. For example, we challenged the common notion that psychiatric drugs "don't work" by presenting data from randomized control trials in psychiatry and by emphasizing the similarity in methodology to that used in treatment studies for general medical conditions. The efficacy of psychiatric treatments was highlighted by showing that effect sizes and numbers needed to treat, as computed in clinical trials in psychiatry, are mostly equivalent to those computed in corresponding trials in other areas of medicine.

Although it was not one of the direct aims of our ASIC, we found an improvement in students' attitude toward psychiatry as a career choice. Recruitment into the field is a worldwide concern, and experiences during the psychiatry rotation play an important role in the choice of psychiatry as a career.³⁰ Our findings are inconsistent with other studies^{29,31} that showed that psychiatry training has a limited impact on students' attitudes and that those effects subside over time as students get closer to choosing their residency program. A review of the literature^{32,33} revealed that seeing patients recover and experiencing a positive contact with patients were among the strongest factors that can change a student's perception

Amsalem et al

It is illegal to post this copy or even career choice. Our ASIC included an encounter with people with SMI during recovery and remission and emphasized the potential for positive trajectories and outcomes. It is therefore plausible that improving attitudes toward psychiatry as a career choice requires components similar to those necessary for changing attitudes toward psychiatric patients and psychiatric treatment.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. First, the intervention group consisted of students from a single hospital, and it is possible that hospital-specific factors had a direct effect on the study outcomes, independent of the ASIC. However, all participating hospitals are affiliated with 1 medical school and teaching in all those hospitals is overseen by a single curriculum committee, thus reducing the likelihood that such factors had a significant effect on the study outcomes. Second, due to IRB restrictions, we made no individual-level pairing of the study scores between baseline and endpoint. Third, generalization of our study's findings might be limited to student populations with similar characteristics. However, approximately 25% of our study subjects were students from the United States attending medical school in Israel.

Submitted: August 10, 2019; accepted December 3, 2019.

Published online: February 27, 2020.

Potential conflicts of interest: None.

Funding/support: None.

Previous presentation: The study was presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Psychiatric Association; May 18–22, 2019; San Francisco, California.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank all the study participants.

Supplementary material: See accompanying pages.

REFERENCES

- Corrigan PW. Mental health stigma as social attribution: implications for research methods and attitude change. *Clin Psychol.* 2000;7:48–67.
- Yamaguchi S, Wu SI, Biswas M, et al. Effects of short-term interventions to reduce mental health-related stigma in university or college students: a systematic review. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2013;201(6):490–503.
- Thornicroft G, Rose D, Mehta N. Discrimination against people with mental illness: what can psychiatrists do? *Adv Psychiatr Treat*. 2010;16(1):53–59.
- Ray WA, Chung CP, Murray KT, et al. Atypical antipsychotic drugs and the risk of sudden cardiac death. N Engl J Med. 2009;360(3):225–235.
- Rüsch N, Angermeyer MC, Corrigan PW. Mental illness stigma: concepts, consequences, and initiatives to reduce stigma. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2005;20(8):529–539.
- Angermeyer MC, van der Auwera S, Carta MG, et al. Public attitudes towards psychiatry and psychiatric treatment at the beginning of the 21st century: a systematic review and metaanalysis of population surveys. World Psychiatry. 2017;16(1):50–61.
- 7. Singh SP, Baxter H, Standen P, et al. Changing the attitudes of 'tomorrow's doctors' towards

chuck PDF on any website. Thus, it is very likely that our results could be applicable to US medical students as well. Fourth, students were not randomized, and group assignment (intervention and control) was determined by which hospital students were assigned to for the rotation. This factor could have generated selection bias, because students who share similar views and ideas related to stigma are more likely to cluster into groups. However, it is reasonable to assume that such bias was minimal, as student grouping is determined by a lottery prior to each rotation. Lastly, our study did not evaluate the long-term effects of our ASIC.

CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes the important effects of a predesigned ASIC during the psychiatry rotation of medical students. We found that a combination of live social contacts and small-group discussions is effective in reducing negative (stigmatized) perceptions of individuals with SMI, psychiatric illnesses and treatments, the psychiatric knowledge base of clinical practice in psychiatry, and psychiatry as a profession. Further investigation is required to determine the long-term efficacy of an ASIC.

mental illness and psychiatry: a comparison of two teaching methods. *Med Educ*. 1998:32(2):115–120.

- Sandhu HS, Arora A, Brasch J, et al. Mental health stigma: explicit and implicit attitudes of Canadian undergraduate students, medical school students, and psychiatrists. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2019;64(3):209–217.
- Sierles FS, Taylor MA. Decline of US medical student career choice of psychiatry and what to do about it. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1995;152(10):1416–1426.
- Lincoln TM, Arens E, Berger C, et al. Can antistigma campaigns be improved? a test of the impact of biogenetic vs psychosocial causal explanations on implicit and explicit attitudes to schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* 2008;34(5):984–994.
- Goldacre MJ, Fazel S, Smith F, et al. Choice and rejection of psychiatry as a career: surveys of UK medical graduates from 1974 to 2009. Br J Psychiatry. 2013;202(3):228–234.
- Dein K, Livingston G, Bench C. 'Why did I become a psychiatrist?': survey of consultant psychiatrists. *Psychiatr Bull.* 2007;31(6):227–230.
- McParland M, Noble LM, Livingston G, et al. The effect of a psychiatric attachment on students' attitudes to and intention to pursue psychiatry as a career. *Med Educ*. 2003;37(5):447–454.
- Malhi GS, Parker GB, Parker K, et al. Attitudes toward psychiatry among students entering medical school. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2003;107(6):424–429.
- Lyons Z, Janca A. Impact of a psychiatry clerkship on stigma, attitudes towards psychiatry, and psychiatry as a career choice. *BMC Med Educ.* 2015;15(1):34.
- Economou M, Kontoangelos K, Peppou LE, et al. Medical students' attitudes to mental illnesses and to psychiatry before and after the psychiatric clerkship: training in a specialty and a general hospital. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017;258:108–115.
- 17. Chiles C, Stefanovics E, Rosenheck R. Attitudes

of students at a US medical school toward mental illness and its causes. *Acad Psychiatry*. 2017;41(3):320–325.

- Shen Y, Dong H, Fan X, et al. What can the medical education do for eliminating stigma and discrimination associated with mental illness among future doctors? effect of clerkship training on Chinese students' attitudes. *Int J Psychiatry Med*. 2014;47(3):241–254.
- Amini H, Shoar S, Tabatabaee M, et al. The effect of clinical exposure to patients on medical students' attitude towards mental illness. *Iran J Psychiatry Behav Sci.* 2016;10(3):e1887.
- da Rocha Neto HG, Rosenheck RA, Stefanovics EA, et al. Attitudes of Brazilian medical students towards psychiatric patients and mental illness: a quantitative study before and after completing the psychiatric clerkship. Acad Psychiatry. 2017;41(3):315–319.
- Lyons Z. Impact of the psychiatry clerkship on medical student attitudes towards psychiatry and to psychiatry as a career. *Acad Psychiatry*. 2014;38(1):35–42.
- Thornicroft G, Mehta N, Clement S, et al. Evidence for effective interventions to reduce mental-health-related stigma and discrimination. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10023):1123–1132.
- Mittal D, Sullivan G, Chekuri L, et al. Empirical studies of self-stigma reduction strategies: a critical review of the literature. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2012;63(10):974–981.
- 24. Couture S, Penn D. Interpersonal contact and the stigma of mental illness: a review of the literature. *J Ment Health*. 2003;12(3):291–305.
- Mental health information. Statistics. National Institute of Mental Health website. https:// www.nimh.nih.gov/health/statistics/mentalillness.shtml. Accessed December 2, 2019.
- Burra P, Kalin R, Leichner P, et al. The ATP 30—a scale for measuring medical students' attitudes to psychiatry. *Med Educ*. 1982;16(1):31–38.

e6 PrimaryCareCompanion.com

Prim Care Companion CNS Disord 2020;22(2):19m02527

Reducing Stigma Toward Psychiatry in Medical Students

Altindag A, Yanik M, Ucok A, et al. Effects of an antistigma program on medical students' attitudes towards people with schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2006;60(3):283–288.

- Mino Y, Yasuda N, Tsuda T, et al. Effects of a one-hour educational program on medical students' attitudes to mental illness. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2001;55(5):501–507.
- Baxter H, Singh SP, Standen P, et al. The attitudes of 'tomorrow's doctors' towards

mental illness and psychiatry: changes during the final undergraduate year. *Med Educ*. 2001;35(4):381–383.

- Manassis K, Katz M, Lofchy J, et al. Choosing a career in psychiatry: influential factors within a medical school program. *Acad Psychiatry*. 2006;30(4):325–329.
- 31. Maidment R, Livingston G, Katona C, et al. Change in attitudes to psychiatry and intention to pursue psychiatry as a career in newly qualified doctors: a follow-up of two cohorts

of medical students. *Med Teach* 2004;26(6):565–569.

- Ellsbury KE, Carline JD, Irby DM, et al. Influence of third-year clerkships on medical student specialty preferences. Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract. 1998;3(3):177–186.
- Lampe L, Coulston C, Walter G, et al. Familiarity breeds respect: attitudes of medical students towards psychiatry following a clinical attachment. *Australas Psychiatry*. 2010;18(4):348–353.

Supplementary material follows this article.

THE PRIMARY CARE COMPANION FOR CNS DISORDERS

Supplementary Material

- Article Title: Reducing Stigma Toward Psychiatry Among Medical Students: A Multicenter Controlled Trial
- Author(s): Doron Amsalem, MD; Doron Gothelf, MD; Alexandra Dorman, BA; Yaron Goren, MD; Oren Tene, MD; Assaf Shelef, MD; Itai Horowitz, MD; Liora Libman Dunsky, MD; Eldor Rogev, MD, Efrat Hirsh Klein, MD; Ehud Mekori-Domachevsky, MD; Tsvi Fischel, MD; Yechiel Levkovitz, MD; Andres Martin, MD, MPH; and Raz Gross, MD, MPH
- DOI Number: https://doi.org/10.4088/PCC.19m02527

List of Supplementary Material for the article

1. <u>Supplementary Table 1A–H.</u> Comparison Between Intervention (N=57) and Control (N=163) Group Scores on the 30-Item Attitudes Toward Psychiatry (ATP-30) and Attitudes Toward Mental Illness (AMI) Questionnaires at Baseline and at Endpoint

Disclaimer

This Supplementary Material has been provided by the author(s) as an enhancement to the published article. It has been approved by peer review; however, it has undergone neither editing nor formatting by in-house editorial staff. The material is presented in the manner supplied by the author.

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. • © 2020 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

Supplementary Table 1A-H. Comparison Between Intervention (N=57) and Control (N=163) Group Scores on the 30-Item Attitudes Toward Psychiatry (ATP-30) and Attitudes Toward Mental Illness (AMI) Questionnaires at Baseline and at Endpoint

Items	Group	Baseline N (%)	Endpoint N (%)	RD ^b	P value
ATP-30:					
27. If we listen to them, psychiatric patients are just	Intervention	49 (86.0)	52 (92.9)		0.125
as human as other people	Control	152 (93.3)	144 (93.5)	6.7	1.000
29. Psychiatric patients are often more interesting to	Intervention	27 (47.4)	41 (73.2)		0.000
work with than other patients	Control	76 (46.6)	94 (61.0)	11.4	0.019
AMI:					
1. Psychiatric patients generally speaking are	Intervention	15 (26.3)	7 (12.5)	10 -	0.008
difficult to like	Control	41 (25.2)	34 (22.1)	10.7	0.683
2. The mentally ill should be discouraged from	Intervention	17 (29.8)	5 (8.9)		0.000
marrying	Control	44 (27.0)	23 (14.9)	8.7	0.011
4. Those with a psychiatric history should never be	Intervention	5 (8.8)	0 (0.0)	7.5	-
given a job with responsibility	Control	17 (10.4)	14 (9.1)		1.000
5. Psychiatric diagnoses stigmatize people and	Intervention	18 (31.6)	12 (21.4)	10.6	0.031
should not be used	Control	49 (30.1)	47 (30.5)		1.000
7. Those who attempt suicide leaving them with	Intervention	29 (50.9)	22 (39.3)		0.016
serious liver damage should not be given transplants	Control	73 (44.8)	63 (40.9)	7.7	0.556
10. People who take an overdose are in need of	Intervention	34 (59.6)	45 (80.4)		0.000
compassionate treatment	Control	97 (59.5)	104 (68.2)	12.1	0.142
14. Alcohol abusers have no self-control	Intervention	33 (57.9)	31 (55.4)		0.824
	Control	79 (48.5)	79 (51.3)	5.3	-
16. People who had good parenting as children rarely	Intervention	17 (29.8)	16 (28.6)		1.000
suffer from mental illness	Control	54 (33.1)	72 (46.8)	14.9	-
18. It is preferable that the mentally ill live	Intervention	24 (42.1)	44 (78.6)		0.000
independently rather than in hospital	Control	68 (41.7)	98 (63.6)	14.6	0.000
20. Patients with chronic schizophrenia are incapable	Intervention	27 (47.4)	20 (35.7)		0.016
of looking after themselves	Control	97 (59.5)	108 (70.1)	22.3	-

A. Attitude Toward Psychiatric Patients Items

^a McNemar's test; ^b Risk Difference

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. • © 2020 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

B. Attitude Toward Psychiatric Illness Items

Items	Group	Baseline N (%)	Endpoint N (%)	RD^b	<i>P</i> value
ATP-30:					
12. Psychiatric illness deserves at least as much	Intervention	56 (98.2)	56 (100)		1.000
attention as physical illness	Control	155 (95.1)	146 (94.8)	2.1	-
18. It is interesting to try to unravel the cause of a	Intervention	56 (98.2)	55 (98.2)		1.000
psychiatric illness	Control	149 (91.4)	147 (95.5)	-4.1	0.189
AMI:					
3. Violence mostly results from mental illness	Intervention	11 (19.3)	10 (17.9)	0.0	1.000
	Control	45 (27.6)	40 (26.0)	-0.2	0.788
6. Mental illnesses are wrongly diagnosed in women	Intervention	51 (89.5)	40 (71.4)	76	0.001
and ethnic minorities	Control	142 (86.5)	117 (76.0)	7.6	0.016
8. Psychiatric drugs are mostly used to control	Intervention	19 (33.3)	6 (10.7)	17.1	0.000
disruptive behavior	Intervention	62 (38.0)	50 (32.5)		0.207
9. ECT should be banned	Control	25 (43.9)	1 (1.8)	3.0	0.000
	Intervention	68 (41.7)	4 (2.6)		0.000
11. Psychiatric drugs do more harm than good	Intervention	14 (24.6)	6 (10.7)		0.008
	Control	56 (34.4)	35 (22.7)	2.2	0.044
12. Depression occurs in people with a weak	Control	8 (14.0)	8 (14.3)		-
personality	Control	20 (12.3)	25 (16.2)	3.6	-
13. Mental illness is the result of adverse social	Intervention	29 (50.9)	24 (42.9)	• • •	0.458
circumstances	Control	79 (48.5)	100 (64.9)	24.3	-
15. Mental illnesses are genetic in origin	Intervention	26 (45.6)	44 (78.6)	10.4	0.000
	Control	91 (55.8)	102 (66.2)	10.4	0.022
17. Care in the community for the mentally ill puts	Intervention	3 (5.3)	2 (3.6)		1.000
society at risk	Control	22 (13.5)	8 (5.2)	-6.6	0.019
19. Not enough is being done for the care of the	Intervention	12 (21.1)	11 (19.6)		1.000
mentally ill	Control	52 (31.9)	45 (29.2)	-1.2	0.532

C. Attitude Toward Psychiatric Treatment Items

ATP-30 Items:	Group	Baseline N (%)	Endpoint N (%)	RD^{b}	<i>P</i> value
5. It is quite easy for me to accept the efficacy of	Intervention	35 (61.4)	43 (76.8)		0.004
psychotherapy	Control	87 (53.4)	102 (66.2)	2.8	0.025
14. With the forms of therapy now at hand most	Intervention	23 (40.4)	41 (73.2)		0.000
psychiatric patients improve	Control	61 (37.4)	88 (57.1)	13.0	0.001
16. Psychiatric treatment causes patients to worry too	Intervention	29 (50.9)	19 (33.9)	-0.5	0.002
much about their symptoms	Control	85 (52.1)	54 (35.1)	-0.5	0.004
19. There is very little that psychiatrists can do for	Intervention	18 (31.6)	10 (17.9)		0.008
their patients	Control	46 (28.2)	38 (24.7)	10.2	0.497
25. In recent years psychiatric treatment has become	Intervention	22 (38.6)	34 (60.7)		0.000
quite effective				14.7	
	Control	60 (36.8)	68 (44.2)		0.169

D. Attitude Toward Psychiatric Knowledge Items

ATP-30 Items	Group	Baseline	Endpoint		Р
	Group	N (%)	N (%)	KD	value
8. The practice of psychotherapy basically is fraudulent	Intervention	7 (12.3)	2 (3.6)	1 9	0.063
since there is no strong evidence that it is effective	Control	42 (25.8)	19 (12.3)	-4.0	0.003
13. Psychiatry has very little scientific information to	Intervention	26 (45.6)	26 (46.4)		-
go on	Control	86 (52.8)	95 (61.7)	8.1	-
24. Psychiatry is so unscientific that even psychiatrists	Intervention	23 (40.4)	13 (23.2)	267	0.004
can't agree as to what its basic applied sciences are	Control	65 (39.9)	76 (49.4)	20.7	-
26. Most of the so-called facts in psychiatry are really	Intervention	23 (40.4)	18 (32.1)		0.063
just vague speculations	Control	74 (45.4)	79 (51.3)	14.2	-

E. Attitude Toward Psychiatry as a Career Choice Items

ATP-30 Items	Group	Baseline	Endpoint		Р
711 50 Items.	Oloup	N (%)	N (%)	ΝD	value
1. Psychiatry is unappealing because it makes so	Intervention	22 (38.6)	15 (26.8)	116	0.109
little use of medical training	Control	66 (40.5)	62 (40.3)	11.0	1.000
4. I would like to be a psychiatrist	Intervention	10 (17.5)	24 (42.9)		0.000
	Control	12 (7.4)	26 (16.9)	15.9	0.020
11. Psychiatry is a respected branch of medicine	Intervention	41 (71.9)	43 (76.8)	74	0.500
	Control	111 (68.1)	101 (65.6)	/.4	-
17. Psychiatrists get less satisfaction from their work	Intervention	18 (31.6)	6 (10.7)	96	0.008
than other specialists	Control	56 (34.4)	34 (22.1)	0.0	0.036
21. If I were asked what I considered to be the three most	Intervention	40 (70.2)	24 (42.9)		0.000
exciting medical specialties, psychiatry would be	Control	123 (75.5)	96 (62.3)	14.1	0.018
excluded					
28. The practice of psychiatry allows the development of	Intervention	34 (59.6)	50 (90.3)		0.000
really rewarding relationships with people	Control	108 (66.3)	123 (79.9)	16.1	0.014

F. Attitude Toward Psychiatric Teaching Items

Group	Baseline	Endpoint	BD_p	Р
Group	N (%)	N (%)	КD	value
Intervention	42 (73.7)	45 (80.4)	0.8	0.25
Control	119 (73.0)	124 (80.5)	-0.8	0.07
Intervention	22 (38.6)	39 (69.6)		0.000
Control	62 (38.0)	98 (63.6)	5.4	0.000
Intervention	7 (12.3)	11 (19.6)		0.063
Control	14 (8.6)	17 (11.0)	4.9	0.557
Intervention	20 (35.1)	6 (10.7)	21.6	0.004
Control	66 (40.5)	58 (37.7)	21.0	0.609
	Group Intervention Control Intervention Control Intervention Control	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Group & Baseline \\ N(\%) \\ \hline Intervention & 42 (73.7) \\ 119 (73.0) \\ \hline Intervention & 22 (38.6) \\ \hline Control & 62 (38.0) \\ \hline Intervention & 7 (12.3) \\ \hline Control & 14 (8.6) \\ \hline Intervention & 20 (35.1) \\ \hline Control & 66 (40.5) \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

l l		יו ת	F 1 ' 4		
ATD 30 Itoms:	Crown	Baseline	Endpoint	Ърр	P
ATT-30 Itellis.	Oloup	N (%)	N (%)	KD	value
3. Psychiatric hospitals are little more than prisons	Intervention	15 (26.3)	5 (8.9)	0.1	0.002
	Control	44 (27.0)	15 (9.7)	0.1	0.000
20. Psychiatric hospitals have a specific contribution	Intervention	37 (64.9)	50 (89.3)	7.0	0.000
to make to the treatment of the mentally ill	Control	117 (71.8)	136 (88.3)	7.9	0.001
and the second s					

G. Attitude Toward Psychiatric Institutions Items

H. Attitude Toward Psychiatrists Items

ATP-30 Items:	Group	Baseline N (%)	Endpoint N (%)	RD^b	<i>P</i> value
2. Psychiatrists talk a lot but do very little	Intervention	17 (29.8)	9 (16.1)		0.008
	Control	50 (30.7)	44 (28.6)	11.6	0.899
6. On the whole, people taking up psychiatric training are running away from participation in real medicine	Intervention	12 (21.1)	11 (19.6)	3.9	1.000
	Control	30 (18.4)	32 (20.8)		-
7. Psychiatrists seem to talk about nothing but sex	Intervention	10 (17.5)	0 (0.0)	7.2	0.000
	Control	22 (13.5)	5 (3.2)		0.002
15. Psychiatrists tend to be at least as stable as the average doctor	Intervention	29 (50.9)	19 (33.9)	10.8	0.002
	Control	81 (49.7)	67 (43.5)		0.207
22. At times it is hard to think of psychiatrists as equal to other doctors	Intervention	40 (70.2)	36 (64.3)	12.7	0.500
	Control	98 (60.1)	103 (66.9)		-