



THE PRIMARY CARE COMPANION FOR CNS DISORDERS

Supplementary Material

Article Title: Resilience in the Face of the COVID-19 Crisis: A Prospective Cohort Study of Frontline Health Care Workers in New York City

Author(s): Robert H. Pietrzak, PhD, MPH; Adriana Feder, MD; Jordyn H. Feingold, MD, MAPP; Lauren A. Peccoralo, MD, MPH; and Jonathan A. Ripp, MD, MPH

DOI Number: <https://doi.org/10.4088/PCC.22br03342>

List of Supplementary Material for the article

1. [Supplementary Material](#)

Disclaimer

This Supplementary Material has been provided by the author(s) as an enhancement to the published article. It has been approved by peer review; however, it has undergone neither editing nor formatting by in-house editorial staff. The material is presented in the manner supplied by the author.

Supplementary Material

Participants

Surveys were administered using the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) platform, and links were emailed to eligible participants. At T2, we sent a follow-up email to the entire T1 sample inviting them to complete the second assessment. Self-generated research codes were used to preserve anonymity, and T1 and T2 surveys were linked using approximate deterministic linkage methods. Linked surveys were considered to be those with exact code matches and those with codes within one generalized Levenshtein edit distance in addition to having matches on 4 out of 5 five demographic variables. Participants were eligible to receive prizes via raffle by filling out a separate unlinked form. The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai approved this study.

A total of 3,360 of the 6,026 invited HCWs completed the T1 survey (55.8%), of which 2,579 (76.8%) endorsed frontline responsibilities (FHCWs). 786 (30.5%) FHCWs analyzed at T1 completed the T2 survey. Distributions of age, sex, profession, marital and parental status, leadership and redeployment status, and pre-pandemic psychiatric history between T2 completers and non-completers did not differ (all $\chi^2 < 1.32$, all p 's > 0.20), nor did demographics differ among T2 completers and non-completers or compared with MSH Human Resources data.

Assessment of psychological distress

Pandemic-related posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms were assessed using the PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (sample item: "In the past 2 weeks, how much were you bothered by repeated, disturbing, and unwanted memories of your experiences related to the COVID-19 pandemic?"; a brief 4-item version¹ used at T1 [$\alpha = 0.82$] and full 20-item version² at T2 [$\alpha = 0.95$]). A score ≥ 8 on the 4-item version and ≥ 33 on the 20-item version was indicative of positive screens for pandemic-related PTSD symptoms.

Major depressive disorder (MDD) symptoms were assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire-8³ ($\alpha_{T1} = 0.87$; $\alpha_{T2} = 0.90$). A score ≥ 10 was indicative of a positive screen for MDD symptoms.

Generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) symptoms were assessed using the Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7⁴ ($\alpha_{T1} = 0.91$; $\alpha_{T2} = 0.91$). A score ≥ 10 was indicative of a positive screen for GAD symptoms.

Derivation of psychological resilience scores

Exploratory factor analyses of COVID-19 pandemic exposures (see Supplemental Table 1) assessed at T1 and T2 were conducted to yield factor scores summarizing common dimensions of pandemic-related exposures and stressors. These factor scores were then summed to yield an aggregate measure of pandemic-related exposures and stressors. Using data on PTSD, MDD, and GAD screening status at T1 and T2, a dichotomous variable was created to indicate presence (coded 1) or absence (coded 0) of any disorder at either timepoint. This variable was then

regressed onto factor scores of pandemic-related exposures and stressors to yield probabilities of screening positive for any disorder at either timepoint for each individual. These probabilities were then subtracted from 1 to yield a measure reflecting relative probability of resilience.

Sample characteristics

Of the 786 FHCWs, 451 (57.4%) were 18 to 34 years old, 183 (23.3%) 35 to 44, 84 (10.7%) 45 to 54, and 68 (8.6%) 55 and older; n=571 were female (72.6%); 569 were married/partnered (72.4%); and 235 (29.9%) had children residing in their household. With regard to profession, n=267 (34.0%) were registered nurses, 184 (23.4%) residents/clinical fellows, 183 (23.3%) attending physicians, 114 (14.5%) physician assistants or advanced practice registered nurses, and 38 (4.8%) other (i.e., social workers, psychologists, chaplains). The median number of years in practice was 5.0 (interquartile range [IQR]=7.0); median number of hours working onsite was 37.5 (IQR=18.0); and median number of COVID-19 patients treated was 30.0 (IQR=58.0).

Supplementary Table 1. Prevalence of COVID-19 pandemic exposures in frontline healthcare workers (n=786)

	Mean (SD) or n (%)
Number of hours worked on site per week	37.4 (19.2)
Number of COVID-19 patients assessed/treated	53.2 (64.5)
Redeployed to different unit during COVID-19 pandemic	272 (34.6%)
Personal medical risk for COVID-19-related complications	
Low	547 (69.6%)
Medium	181 (23.0%)
High	58 (7.4%)
Made difficult decision prioritizing COVID-19 patients	199 (25.3%)
Number of coworkers infected with COVID-19	8.5 (9.4)
Know a coworker hospitalized or in ICU to treat COVID-19	246 (31.3%)
Know a coworker who died from COVID-19	54 (6.9%)
Not enough personal protective equipment	223 (28.4%)
Not enough COVID-19 testing for staff	586 (74.6%)
Not enough COVID-19 testing for patients	243 (30.9%)
Occupational COVID-19 exposures	
Cared for patients in person who have gotten sick from the virus	608 (77.4%)
Cared for patients in person who have died from the virus	438 (55.7%)
Cared for patients via telemedicine who have gotten sick from the virus	155 (19.7%)
Cared for patients via telemedicine who have died from the virus	62 (7.9%)
Personal COVID-19 exposures	
Know a friend or colleague who has gotten sick from COVID-19 and required hospitalization	545 (69.3%)
Know a friend or colleague who has died from the virus	259 (33.0%)
Have a family member not living with me who has gotten sick but did not require hospitalization	226 (28.8%)
Have a family member not living with me who has gotten sick and required hospitalization	78 (9.9%)
Have a family member who did not live with me who has died from the virus	40 (5.1%)
Have a family member living with me who has gotten sick but not required hospitalization	93 (11.8%)
Have a family member living with me who has gotten sick and required hospitalization	8 (1.0%)
Have a family member who lived with me who has died from the virus	0 (0%)
I have gotten sick but did not require hospitalization	179 (22.8%)
I have gotten sick and required hospitalization	0 (0%)
I have gotten sick and required an ICU stay	0 (0%)
Feel torn between desire/duty to help patients vs. loved ones	494 (62.8%)
People with whom you reside are fearful to be near you due to possible COVID-19 exposure	382 (48.6%)

Supplementary Table 2. Assessment of resilience-related factors assessed at T1

History of mental disorder	History of mental disorder was assessed with the following question?: Have you ever been diagnosed by a doctor of healthcare professional with clinical depression, an anxiety disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, or another mental health condition?
Perceived preparedness	Sum of affirmative responses to the following questions (assessed using No vs. Yes response options): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. My work and activities before the coronavirus pandemic provided me with helpful training to perform my current clinical work 2. In my current clinical setting, I am adequately informed about my clinical duties and the role I am expected to play 3. At present, I have a good idea of how long my current level/volume of work will last. 4. I am adequate trained to perform the professional tasks required of me during this pandemic.
Work pride and meaning	Sum of responses to the following questions (Assessed on 3-point scale: Disagree, Neutral, Agree) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I have felt more pride than usual to be a healthcare worker 2. I have derived more meaning from my clinical work than during life as usual. 3. I have been inspired by colleagues who I consider to be role models.
Feel valued and supported at work	Sum of standardized scores on the following questions (Assessed on 4-point scale: Not at all valued, Slightly valued, Moderately valued, Very much valued): <p>In your opinion, to what extent do you feel valued by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Your immediate supervisors (team leader, service chief, etc.) 2. Hospital leadership <p>In your opinion, what is the current level of: (Assessed on 3-point scale: Low, Medium, High):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Camaraderie/team spirit among your group of co-workers in your own clinical practice team or setting. 2. Support from your hospital leadership.
Positive emotions	Score on the positive affect subscale of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule-Short Form (PANAS-SF ⁵), which assesses 10 positive emotions: interested, excited, strong, enthusiastic, proud, alert, inspired, determined, attentive, active.
Perceived social support	Score on abbreviated 3-item version of the Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Scale ⁶ (Assessed on 5-point scale: None of the time, A little of the time, Some of the time, Most of the time, All of the time). <p>How often is each of the following kinds of support available to you if you need it?</p>

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Someone to love you and make you feel wanted (i.e., emotional support) 2. Someone to help you if you were confined to bed (i.e., instrumental support) 3. Someone to give you good advice in a crisis (i.e., appraisal support)
Protective psychosocial characteristics	<p>Factor score of the following measures:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Items assessing self-efficacy from the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale-2 (CD-RISC2⁷; Responses on 5-point scale ranging from Not true at all to True nearly all the time): I am able to adapt when changes occur; I tend to bounce back after illness, injury, or other hardships. <p>Items assessing dispositional gratitude, optimism, curiosity/exploration, purpose in life, and religiosity/spirituality (Responses on 7-point scale ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. I have so much in life to be thankful for.⁸ 3. In uncertain times, I usually expect the best.⁹ 4. I frequently find myself looking for new opportunities to grow as a person (e.g., information, people, resources).¹⁰ 5. I have discovered clear-cut goals and purpose in my life.¹¹ 6. In my life, I experience the presence of the Divine (i.e., God).¹²
Self-sufficient coping strategies	Count of engaging in the following coping strategies to help cope with COVID-19-related experiences (adapted from the Brief COPE ¹³): planning (e.g., coming up with a strategy for what to do), active coping (e.g., taking action to make the situation better), positive reframing (e.g., looking for something positive in what happened), acceptance (e.g., accepting the reality that it happened), humor (e.g., trying to find humor in the situation), religion (e.g., praying, meditating, or finding comfort in spiritual beliefs).
Socially oriented coping strategies	Count of engaging in the following coping strategies to help cope with COVID-19-related experiences (adapted from the Brief COPE ¹³): use of emotional support (e.g., getting comfort or understanding from others), use of instrumental support (e.g., getting advice from others), venting (e.g., expressing negative feelings).
Nonengagement in avoidance coping strategies	Count of non-engaging in the following coping strategies to help cope with COVID-19-related experiences (from the Brief COPE ¹³): self-distraction (e.g., turning to work or other activities to get mind off things), denial (e.g., refusing to believe that it happened), substance use (e.g., using alcohol, nicotine, or drugs to help get through it), behavioral disengagement (e.g., giving up in trying to deal with it), self-blame (e.g., blaming or criticizing myself for what happened).
Restorative behaviors	Sleep hours: “At present, on average how many hours per day do you sleep (out of 24 hours), on a typical workday?”

	Physical exercise: “At this time, during the pandemic, how many days per week do you engage in physical activity (i.e., exercise, sports, yoga, etc.)?”
Mental health treatment	“Are you currently receiving treatment for a mental health condition?”

References

1. Geier TJ, Hunt JC, Hanson JL, et al. Validation of abbreviated four- and eight-item versions of the PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 in a traumatically injured sample. *J Trauma Stress* 2020; 33:218-226.
2. Weathers FW, Litz BT, Keane TM, et al. The PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5). Scale available from the National Center for PTSD at www.ptsd.va.gov.
3. Kroenke K, Strine TW, Spitzer RL, et al. The PHQ-8 as a measure of current depression in the general population. *J Affect Disord* 2009; 114:163-173.
4. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams, JBW, et al. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: The GAD-7. *Arch Intern Med* 2006; 166:1092-1097.
5. Watson D, Clark LA, Tellegen A. Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: the PANAS scales. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1988; 54:1063–1070.
6. Sherbourne CD, Stewart AL. The MOS social support survey. *Soc Sci Med* 1991;32:705-714
7. Vaishnavi S, Connor K, Davidson JRT. An abbreviated version of the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC), the CD-RISC2: Psychometric properties and applications in psychopharmacological trials. *Psychiatry Res* 2007;152(2-3):293-7.
8. McCullough ME, Emmons RA, Tsang J: The grateful disposition: a conceptual and empirical topography. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2002; 82:112-127.
9. Scheier MF, Carver CS, Bridges MW: Distinguishing optimism from neuroticism (and trait anxiety, self-mastery, and self-esteem): a re-evaluation of the Life Orientation Test. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1994; 67:1063-1078.
10. Kashdan TB, Gallagher MW, Silvia PJ, et al: The Curiosity and Exploration Inventory-II: development, factor structure, and initial psychometrics. *J Res Pers* 2009; 43:987-998.
11. Schulenberg SE, Schnetzer LW, Buchanan EM: The Purpose in Life Test-Short Form: development and psychometric support. *J Happiness Stud* 2010; 20:1-16.
12. Koenig HG, Büssing A: The Duke University Religion Index (DUREL): A five-item measure for use in epidemiological studies. *Religions* 2010; 1:78-85.
13. Carver CS. You want to measure coping but your protocol’s too long: Consider the Brief COPE. *Int J Behav Med* 1997; 4:92-100.