It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. A Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial of Doxazosin for Co-Occurring PTSD and Alcohol Use Disorder in Veterans

Sudie E. Back, PhD^{a,b,‡,*}; Julianne C. Flanagan, PhD^{a,b,‡}; Jim Mintz, PhD^{c,d}; Kathleen T. Brady, MD, PhD^{a,b}; Jennifer Jones, MD^a; Amber M. Jarnecke, PhD^a; Jane E. Joseph, PhD^e; David W. Shirley, PharmD^f; Robert J. Malcolm, MD^a; Mark Hamner, MD^{a,b}; Brett T. Litz, PhD^{g,h,i}; Barbara L. Niles, PhD^{h,i,j}; Stacey Young-McCaughan, RN, PhD^{c,d}; Terence M. Keane, PhD^{h,j}; and Alan L. Peterson, PhD,^{c,d,k} for the Consortium to Alleviate PTSD

ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to determine the efficacy of doxazosin, an α_1 -adrenergic antagonist, for the treatment of co-occurring posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and alcohol use disorder (AUD).

Methods: This 12-week, double-blind, randomized controlled trial of doxazosin (16 mg/d) was conducted between June 2016 and December 2019 at the Ralph H. Johnson VA Medical Center in Charleston, South Carolina. Participants were military veterans (N = 141) who met *DSM-5* criteria for current PTSD and AUD and were randomly assigned to receive doxazosin (n = 70) or placebo (n = 71). Primary outcome measures were the Clinician Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS-5), the PTSD Checklist for *DSM-5* (PCL-5), and the Timeline Follow-Back (TLFB).

Results: Findings from the intent-to-treat analyses revealed that participants in both groups demonstrated statistically significant reductions in CAPS-5 and PCL-5 scores (P < .0001), but, contrary to hypotheses, no significant differences were observed between groups. Percent drinking days and percent heavy drinking days also decreased significantly during treatment, but there were no differences between groups (P < .0001). Abstinence during treatment was significantly higher in the doxazosin versus the placebo group (22% vs 7%, P = .017); however, participants in the doxazosin group consumed a greater number of drinks on drinking days (6.15 vs 4.56, P = .0096). A total of 74.5% of the sample completed the treatment phase, and there were no group differences in retention or adverse events.

Conclusions: Doxazosin was safe and tolerable but was not more effective than placebo in reducing PTSD or AUD severity in this dually diagnosed sample. Clinical considerations such as heterogeneity of PTSD and AUD presentation and potential moderators are discussed in the context of future research directions.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02500602

J Clin Psychiatry 2023;84(2):21m14367

To cite: Back SE, Flanagan JC, Mintz J, et al. A double-blind randomized controlled trial of doxazosin for co-occurring PTSD and alcohol use disorder in veterans. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2023;84(2):21m14367.

To share: https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.21m14367

© 2023 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

^aDepartment of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina

^bRalph H. Johnson VA Medical Center, Charleston, South Carolina

^cDepartment of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas

^dResearch and Development Service, South Texas Veterans Health Care System, San Antonio, Texas

^eDepartment of Neuroscience, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina

^fDepartment of Clinical Pharmacy and Outcomes Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina

⁹Massachusetts Epidemiological Research and Information Center, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, Massachusetts

^hDepartment of Psychiatry, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts ⁱDepartment of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts ^jBehavioral Science Division, National Center for PTSD, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, Massachusetts

^kDepartment of Psychology, University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas ‡Co-first authors.

*Corresponding author: Sudie E. Back, PhD, Medical University of South Carolina, 125 Doughty St, RMOB Ste 300, Charleston, SC 29403. Phone: 843-792-9383 (backs@musc.edu).

robust literature documents the frequent Co-occurrence of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and alcohol use disorder (AUD).^{1,2} Individuals with co-occurring PTSD/AUD often report consuming alcohol to "self-medicate" or reduce distressing PTSD symptoms.³⁻⁵ The co-occurrence of PTSD and AUD is particularly prevalent among military service members and veterans.⁶ One of the largest studies to date⁷ examined over one million US veterans and found that 41% of those with a substance use disorder (mostly AUD) also met criteria for PTSD. The combination of PTSD and AUD is associated with numerous deleterious outcomes, including medical problems, family dysfunction, increased suicidal ideation and attempts, homelessness, HIV risk behaviors, and poor treatment outcomes.^{2,8-13} As such, effective treatments to address both PTSD and AUD are critically needed.

To date, research on pharmacologic treatments for co-occurring PTSD/AUD has been limited, and there are no established medications for PTSD/AUD.14-18 The noradrenergic system plays a key role in stress responding, and noradrenergic dysregulation has been demonstrated in PTSD, alcohol withdrawal, and response to substance-related cues.^{19–22} Central noradrenergic tone plays an important role in executive control, cognitive flexibility, selective attention, and arousal as well as fear conditioning and extinction,^{23,24} and increases in noradrenergic activity have been implicated in hyperarousal, flashbacks, and heightened physiologic responses to trauma cues.²⁵ In addition, provocation of the noradrenergic system with the α_2 -noradrenergic antagonist yohimbine increases anxiety in veterans with PTSD, but not those without PTSD, suggesting that PTSD is associated with increased central noradrenergic tone.²⁶ Increases in noradrenergic tone in regions of the extended amygdala have been implicated in drug-seeking behavior,²⁷ and substance-related

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. and (2) alcohol consumption as measured by the Timeline

Clinical Points

- Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and alcohol use disorder (AUD) frequently co-occur, and effective medications for treating this comorbidity are lacking.
- In this sample of veterans with co-occurring PTSD and AUD, doxazosin (16 mg) was not more effective than placebo in reducing PTSD or AUD.

cues have been found to increase noradrenergic activity in individuals with substance use disorders.²² Taken together, these findings suggest that agents targeting the noradrenergic system may be pharmacologic candidates for decreasing PTSD and AUD severity.^{19,28,29}

Previous studies of noradrenergic agents in the treatment of PTSD, AUD, or co-occurring PTSD/AUD have typically evaluated prazosin, an α_1 -noradrenergic antagonist. The findings have been mixed, with some studies showing significant reduction in PTSD symptoms, such as nightmares, sleep quality, and hyperarousal,^{30–33} and reduction in AUD symptoms,³⁴⁻³⁶ while other studies find no differences in prazosin versus placebo for AUD or PTSD symptoms.^{37,38} Several studies^{37,39-42} have found significant reductions in drinking with prazosin, but only among subgroups with higher pretreatment blood pressure or strong family history of AUD. Sinha and colleagues⁴⁰ examined prazosin among individuals with AUD (N = 100) and found baseline withdrawal symptoms were a significant moderator of treatment efficacy; prazosin was more effective than placebo in reducing percent days drinking and percent days heavy drinking, but only among those with high baseline alcohol withdrawal symptoms.

Doxazosin, a selective inhibitor of the a_1 subtype of α -adrenergic receptors, is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for treatment of hypertension and benign prostatic hyperplasia. In comparison to prazosin, doxazosin has a significantly longer half-life (approximately 18-22 hours vs 2-4 hours), which allows for once-per-day dosing, which is generally preferred by patients and may enhance medication adherence.43 Moreover, the slower onset of action may reduce risk of first-dose postural hypotension as compared to prazosin.^{44,45} In preclinical studies, doxazosin results in significantly reduced ethanol self-administration among rats,⁴⁶ and this effect is most robust in rats exposed to stress (eg, forced swim test).⁴⁷ Pilot clinical studies provide initial support for the safety and potential therapeutic effects of doxazosin in reducing symptoms of AUD or PTSD.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰

While previous studies have evaluated doxazosin for the treatment of PTSD or AUD separately, the current study is the first to examine doxazosin in reducing PTSD and AUD concurrently in individuals with co-occurring PTSD/ AUD. It was hypothesized that participants randomized to receive doxazosin, as compared to placebo, would evidence significantly greater reductions in (1) PTSD severity as measured by the Clinician Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS-5)⁵¹ and the PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5)⁵²

Follow-Back (TLFB).⁵³

METHODS

Participants

Participants (N = 141) were treatment-seeking US military veterans aged 18-65 years. All participants were enrolled at the Ralph H. Johnson VA Medical Center or affiliated community-based outpatient clinics (CBOCs). Recruitment methods included clinician referrals, social media, newspaper advertisements, and flyers. Participants were required to meet DSM-5 criteria for current (past 6 months) moderate or severe AUD as assessed by the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI)⁵⁴ and current (past month) PTSD as assessed by the CAPS-5.51 Participants were not required to report a minimum amount of alcohol consumption or abstain from alcohol prior to study enrollment. At baseline, 11 participants reported abstinence from alcohol in the 60 days prior to enrollment (6 in the doxazosin condition and 5 in the placebo condition). Twenty-three participants reported abstinence in the 30 days prior to enrollment (15 in the doxazosin condition and 8 in the placebo condition).

Primary exclusion criteria included previous treatment with doxazosin, history of adverse reactions to quinazolines or other a_1 antagonists, currently taking a-blockers (eg, prazosin) or a medication for AUD (eg, naltrexone), current enrollment in an evidence-based psychosocial treatment for PTSD or AUD, and significant medical/psychiatric conditions that may adversely affect safety or study participation (eg, suicidal intent). Women who were pregnant or nursing were excluded. Veterans taking psychotropic medications were required to be maintained on a stable dose for at least 4 weeks prior to study start. Individuals presenting with significant alcohol withdrawal symptoms, as evidenced by score ≥ 10 on the Clinician Institute Withdrawal Assessment of Alcohol (CIWA-Ar),⁵⁵ were referred to a higher level of care and were eligible for reevaluation after stabilization.

General Procedures

This study was part of the national Consortium to Alleviate PTSD (CAP⁵⁶) and was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Principal Investigators' academic and VA institutions, and the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio. In addition, an independent data and safety monitoring board (DSMB) through the CAP reviewed the unblinded data every 6 months. The study was conducted between June 2016 and December 2019 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02500602). All participants provided written informed consent prior to any study procedures. As part of the study, eligible and interested individuals were also able to participate in pre- and posttreatment neuroimaging scans; only the data from the medication trial are presented here. Vital signs and adverse events were obtained weekly by the study medical clinician. Participants provided monthly urine samples to assess riboflavin for medication adherence.



Participants were remunerated for each component of the study they completed and could receive up to \$725 in cash, gift cards, or electronic funds transfer if they completed all aspects of the study. Additional details regarding study procedures are described in the study methods article⁵⁷ (see Figure 1 for the CONSORT diagram). More information about the CAP is available at https://patriot.uthscsa.edu/ strongstar/cap.asp.

Study Medication and Dosing

Participants were randomly assigned (1:1) to receive doxazosin (16 mg/d, immediate-release formulation) or placebo. At the medication initiation visit, they were provided study medication and instructed to take the medication at bedtime. Drug condition assignment followed a prearranged randomization order and was carried out by a research pharmacist not involved in the clinical management of participants to preserve the double-blind design. Active study medication capsules consisted of United States Pharmacopeia (USP)-grade doxazosin and 25 mg riboflavin. Participants interested in taking a multivitamin during the treatment phase were provided a multivitamin (Tri-Vi-Sol) that does not contain riboflavin. All placebo capsules were brought to proper packing level in color-matched, opaque, identically sized capsules. Doxazosin was initiated at 1 mg/d and titrated up as follows: 2 mg at week 2, 4 mg at week 3, 8 mg at week 4, and then 16 mg during weeks 5–12. At the end of week 12, downward titration occurred and participants were titrated down to 8 mg on day 1, 6 mg on day 2, 4 mg on day 3, 2

mg on day 4, and 1 mg on day 5.⁴² The majority (87.9%) of participants reached full medication titration to 16 mg at week 5, and there were no significant differences by group.

Measures

The primary outcomes for the current study were the CAPS-5,⁵¹ PCL-5,⁵² and TLFB.⁵³ The CAPS-5 is a semistructured interview used to assess PTSD diagnosis and symptom severity. The CAPS-5 has 20 items rated on a 5-point scale (0 = absent to 4 = extreme/ incapacitating) with a total score ranging from 0 to 80.⁵¹ The CAPS-5 was administered by independent evaluators (IEs) trained and certified by the Consortium to Alleviate PTSD Assessment Core and blind to treatment condition. The CAPS-5 was administered to assess symptoms during the past 30 days at baseline, weeks 6 and 12, and follow-up. The PCL-5 is a 20-item, self-report measure that assesses PTSD severity using a Likert scale (0 = not at all to 4 = extremely).⁵² The PCL-5 was administered at baseline, weekly during the treatment phase, and at follow-up.

The TLFB is a self-report measure of alcohol and drug use using a calendar and memory prompts to stimulate recall.⁵³ Quantity and frequency of daily standard alcohol drink units were obtained at baseline (past 60 days) and weekly during treatment. Primary drinking outcomes were assessed weekly during the 12-week treatment phase and included percent drinking days (any alcohol use), percent heavy drinking days (\geq 4 standard drinks for women or \geq 5 for men), and abstinence (no alcohol).

It is illegal to post this convergence of the Sample^a

Variable	Total Sample (N=141)	Doxazosin (n=70)	Placebo (n=71)	Effect Size ^b
Age, mean (SD), y	45.7 (11.1)	45.5 (11.4)	45.9 (10.8)	d=0.04
Sex				
Male	119 (84)	62 (89)	57 (80)	$\phi_{c} = 0.11$
Female	22 (16)	8 (11)	14 (20)	
Race ^c				
African American	68 (49)	36 (52)	32 (45)	$\phi_{c} = 0.07$
White	63 (45)	29 (42)	34 (48)	
Other	9 (6)	4 (6)	5 (7)	
Hispanic ethnicity ^d	10 (7)	5 (7)	5 (7)	$\phi_c = 0.00$
Marital status ^e				
Married	51 (35)	23 (33)	26 (37)	$\phi_c = 0.06$
Single	38 (27)	20 (29)	18 (25)	
Divorced	51 (36)	27 (39)	24 (34)	
Widowed	3 (2)	0 (0)	3 (4)	
Military branch ^f				
Army	95 (67)	54 (77)	41 (58)	$\phi_{c} = 0.23$
Navy	16 (11)	4 (7)	12 (17)	
Air Force	15 (11)	5 (7)	10 (14)	
Marines	14 (10)	6 (9)	8 (11)	
Coast Guard	1 (1)	1 (1)	0 (0)	
Years of military service, mean (SD)	9.4 (6.8)	9.1 (6.7)	9.8 (7.0)	d=0.09
Psychotropic medications	84 (59.6)	37 (52.9)	47 (66.2)	$\phi_{c} = 0.14$
CAPS-5 total score, mean (SD)	33.7 (9.0)	34.2 (9.6)	33.1 (8.3)	d=0.12
PCL-5 total score, mean (SD)	47.3 (14.8)	47.0 (15.2)	47.7 (14.4)	d=0.05
AUDIT total score, mean (SD)	19.4 (9.4)	19.5 (10.2)	19.3 (8.7)	d=0.03
TLFB, mean (SD) ^g				
% Drinking days	54.3 (37.1)	52.1 (39.7)	56.5 (34.4)	d=0.11
% Heavy drinking days	41.2 (37.8)	42.8 (37.7)	39.7 (38.2)	d=0.08
3) () (0()) (1)				

Values are shown as n (%) unless otherwise noted.

^bEffect sizes are Cohen d⁷¹ for mean differences standardized by the baseline standard

deviations and Cramér V^{72} for categorical variables. Cohen's conventions for small, medium

and large effects are 0.20, 0.50, and 0.80 for d and 0.10, 0.30, and 0.50 for V.

^cRace data were missing for 1 participant in the doxazosin group.

^dEthnicity data were missing for 1 participant in each arm.

^eEffect size calculations for marital status excluded rows with n < 5.

^fEffect size calculations for military branch excluded rows with n < 5. ^gTLFB data were missing for 1 participant in the doxazosin group.

Abbreviations: AUDIT = Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test, CAPS-5 = Clinician-

Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5, PCL-5 = PTSD Checklist for DSM-5, PTSD = posttraumatic

stress disorder, TLFB = Timeline Follow-Back.

Secondary measures included the number of drinks per drinking day assessed weekly during treatment using the TLFB. A visual analog scale assessed amount of craving for alcohol over the past week using anchors of 0 (not at all) to 10 (extreme). The Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT)⁵⁸ was administered at baseline to characterize the sample. The AUDIT includes 10 items rated on a 5-point scale, with total scores of 15 or higher indicating moderateto-severe AUD. As the study was part of the CAP,⁵⁶ participants also completed the Common Data Elements (CDE) battery of measures,⁵⁹ which included, for example, demographic and military history information, trauma exposure, psychiatric symptoms, traumatic brain injury, and pain.

Urine riboflavin levels were obtained monthly to assess medication adherence. Urine samples were assessed fluorometrically by the South Carolina Clinical and Translational Research (SCTR) Institute's Research Nexus Laboratory at the Medical University of South Carolina, and the cutoff level of adherence was >900 ng/mL.⁶⁰ Nine participants had missing riboflavin data and were not included in the analysis. Participants were also asked about

medication adherence during each weekly study visit and reminded to take study medication as instructed.

Statistical Power and Data Analysis

As described in the study methods article,⁵⁷ the a priori power analysis indicated that a sample size of N = 100 was sufficient to provide 80% power with a 0.05 type 1 error rate to detect meaningful differences in the primary outcomes. The target sample size was inflated to 144 to account for an anticipated 30% dropout rate, although the actual dropout rate was lower (25.5%). The analyses were intent-to-treat (ITT) using all available data from the 141 randomized participants.

Primary outcomes were PTSD severity (total CAPS-5 score and total PCL-5 score) and alcohol consumption (percent drinking days, percent heavy drinking days, and abstinence) as measured by the TLFB from baseline through the end of the 12-week treatment phase (weekly TLFB and PCL-5 assessments). The number of drinks per drinking day was included as a secondary outcome. The statistical models were population-averaged (marginal) regression models with repeated measures, using procedures from the SAS/STAT

website.



^aGraphs depict changes over time, starting at baseline and including the medication initiation visit (Med Initiation), treatment weeks 1–12, and follow-up visit at 6 weeks posttreatment for the PTSD Checklist for *DSM-5* (PCL-5, Panel A), Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for *DSM-5* (CAPS-5, Panel B), percentage of drinking days (DD, Panel C), and percentage of heavy drinking days (HDD, Panel D). HDD was defined as 5 or more standard drinks per day for men and 4 or more standard drinks per day for women.

9.4 statistical library for linear (MIXED) and generalized (GLIMMIX) mixed models. The MIXED procedure, which assumes normally distributed error, was used to analyze total scores (eg, CAPS-5, PCL-5). GLIMMIX provides for non-normal distributions, such as binomial (eg, weekly alcohol abstinence) and Poisson (eg, percent days drinking). Both procedures provide a variety of alternative structures for the covariance matrix of the repeated measures. The fixed design effects in these models were treatment arm, visit (consecutive integers from baseline through follow-up), and their interaction. Planned contrasts were used to test the significance of change during treatment (difference in least-squares [LS] means \pm standard errors [SEs]) within each treatment arm and differences between arms. Some simpler

analyses used *t* tests and contingency tables with χ^2 tests. Effect sizes were estimated mean differences standardized by the baseline standard deviations. Hypothesis tests were conducted at *P*=.05.

RESULTS

Demographic Variables and Baseline Characteristics

The majority of participants were male (n = 119; 84.4%) with a mean age of 45.7 years (see Table 1). Almost half of the sample (n = 68, 48.57%) identified as African American, 45.0% (n = 63) as White, and 7.14% (n = 10) as Hispanic. Mean (SD) baseline PTSD severity on the CAPS-5 total score was 33.7 (9.0), and the baseline PCL-5 total score was

Outcome Doxazosin (n = 70) Placebo (n = 71) Difference ^b CAPS-5 E E E Baseline 34.2 (1.07) 33.1 (1.07) Week 12 26.5 (1.72) 25.8 (1.70) Change 7.7 (1.43) 7.3 (1.40) 0.4 (2.00) P <.0001 .0001 .84 Cohen d 0.86 0.81 0.04 CAPS-5 Baseline 8.0 (0.37) 7.4 (0.36) Week 12 5.9 (0.54) 5.6 (0.53) Change 2.1 (0.49) 1.8 (0.48) 0.3 (0.69) P <.0001 .0003 .70 Cohen d 0.68 0.59 0.09 CAPS-5 C Baseline 4.0 (0.15) 4.3 (0.15) Week 12 3.1 (0.25) -0.3 (0.36) P .001 <.0001 .35 Cohen d 0.73 1.00 -0.27 CAPS-5 D Baseline 11.8 (0.52) 11.7 (0.52) Week 12 8.9 (0.78) 8.7 (0.76) Change 2.9 (0.72) 3.1 (0.70) -0.2 (1.01) P <.0001 .0001 </th <th colspan="6">Table 2. PTSD and Alcohol-Related Outcomes During Treatment^a</th>	Table 2. PTSD and Alcohol-Related Outcomes During Treatment ^a						
CAPS-5 CAPS-5 total Baseline 34.2 (1.07) 33.1 (1.07) Week 12 26.5 (1.72) 25.8 (1.70) Change 7.7 (1.43) 7.3 (1.40) 0.4 (2.00) P <.0001 <.0001 .84 Cohen d 0.86 0.81 0.04 CAPS-5 B Baseline 8.0 (0.37) 7.4 (0.36) Week 12 5.9 (0.54) 5.6 (0.53) Change Change 2.1 (0.49) 1.8 (0.48) 0.3 (0.69) P <.0001 .0003 .70 Cohen d 0.68 0.59 0.09 CAPS-5 C Baseline 4.0 (0.15) 4.3 (0.15) Week 12 3.1 (0.26) 3.0 (0.25) Change Cohen d 0.73 1.00 -0.27 CAPS-5 D Baseline 11.8 (0.52) 11.7 (0.52) Week 12 8.9 (0.78) 8.7 (0.76) Change 2.9 (0.72) 3.1 (0.70) -0.2 (1.01) P <.0001 <.0001	Outcome	Doxazosin (n = 70)	Placebo (n=71)	Difference ^b			
CAPS-5 total Baseline 34.2 (1.07) 33.1 (1.07) Week 12 26.5 (1.72) 25.8 (1.70) Change 7.7 (1.43) 7.3 (1.40) 0.4 (2.00) P <.0001	CAPS-5						
Baseline $34.2 (1.07)$ $33.1 (1.07)$ Week 12 $26.5 (1.72)$ $25.8 (1.70)$ Change $7.7 (1.43)$ $7.3 (1.40)$ $0.4 (2.00)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.84$ Cohen d 0.86 0.81 0.04 CAPS-5 B Baseline $8.0 (0.37)$ $7.4 (0.36)$ Week 12 $5.9 (0.54)$ $5.6 (0.53)$ Change Change $2.1 (0.49)$ $1.8 (0.48)$ $0.3 (0.69)$ P $<.0001$ $.0003$.70 Cohen d 0.68 0.59 0.09 CAPS-5 C Baseline $4.0 (0.15)$ $4.3 (0.15)$ Week 12 $3.1 (0.26)$ $3.0 (0.25)$ $-0.3 (0.36)$ P 0.01 $<.0001$ $.35$ Cohen d 0.73 1.00 -0.27 CAPS-5 D Baseline $11.8 (0.52)$ $11.7 (0.52)$ Week 12 $8.9 (0.78)$ $8.7 (0.76)$ $6.6 (0.50)$ Change $2.9 (0.72)$ $3.1 (0.$	CAPS-5 total						
Week 12 26.5 (1.72) 25.8 (1.70) Change 7.7 (1.43) 7.3 (1.40) 0.4 (2.00) P <.0001	Baseline	34.2 (1.07)	33.1 (1.07)				
Change 7.7 (1.43) 7.3 (1.40) 0.4 (2.00) P <.0001	Week 12	26.5 (1.72)	25.8 (1.70)				
P <.0001	Change	7.7 (1.43)	7.3 (1.40)	0.4 (2.00)			
Cohen d 0.86 0.81 0.04 CAPS-5 B Baseline 8.0 (0.37) 7.4 (0.36) Week 12 5.9 (0.54) 5.6 (0.53) Change 2.1 (0.49) 1.8 (0.48) 0.3 (0.69) ρ <.0001	P	<.0001	<.0001	.84			
Baseline $8.0 (0.37)$ $7.4 (0.36)$ Week 12 $5.9 (0.54)$ $5.6 (0.53)$ Change $2.1 (0.49)$ $1.8 (0.48)$ $0.3 (0.69)$ P $<.0001$ $.0003$ $.70$ Cohen d 0.68 0.59 0.09 CAPS-5 C Baseline $4.0 (0.15)$ $4.3 (0.15)$ Week 12 $3.1 (0.26)$ $3.0 (0.25)$ $-0.3 (0.36)$ P $.001$ $<.0001$ 3.5 Cohen d 0.73 1.00 -0.27 CAPS-5 D Baseline $11.8 (0.52)$ $11.7 (0.52)$ Week 12 $8.9 (0.78)$ $8.7 (0.76)$ Change $2.9 (0.72)$ $3.1 (0.70)$ $-0.2 (1.01)$ p $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.88$ Cohen d 0.68 0.71 -0.04 CAPS-5 E Baseline $10.4 (0.39)$ $9.7 (0.39)$ Week 12 $8.6 (0.50)$ $8.4 (0.49)$ Change $1.8 (0.43)$ $1.3 (0.42)$ $0.4 (0.60)$ P Cohen d <	Cohen d CAPS-5 B	0.86	0.81	0.04			
Week 12 5.9 (0.54) 5.6 (0.53) Change 2.1 (0.49) 1.8 (0.48) 0.3 (0.69) P <.0001	Baseline	8.0 (0.37)	7.4 (0.36)				
Change 2.1 (0.49) 1.8 (0.48) 0.3 (0.69) P <.0001	Week 12	5.9 (0.54)	5.6 (0.53)				
P <.0001 .0003 .70 Cohen d 0.68 0.59 0.09 CAPS-5 C Baseline 4.0 (0.15) 4.3 (0.15) Week 12 3.1 (0.26) 3.0 (0.25) Change 0.9 (0.25) 1.3 (0.25) -0.3 (0.36) P .001 <.0001	Change	2.1 (0.49)	1.8 (0.48)	0.3 (0.69)			
Cohen d 0.68 0.59 0.09 CAPS-5 C Baseline 4.0 (0.15) 4.3 (0.15) Week 12 3.1 (0.26) 3.0 (0.25) Change 0.9 (0.25) 1.3 (0.25) $-0.3 (0.36)$ P .001 <.0001	P	<.0001	.0003	.70			
Baseline4.0 (0.15)4.3 (0.15)Week 123.1 (0.26)3.0 (0.25)Change0.9 (0.25)1.3 (0.25) P .001<.0001	Cohen d CAPS-5 C	0.68	0.59	0.09			
Week 12 $3.1 (0.26)$ $3.0 (0.25)$ Change $0.9 (0.25)$ $1.3 (0.25)$ $-0.3 (0.36)$ P 0.01 $<.0001$ $.35$ Cohen d 0.73 1.00 -0.27 CAPS-5 D Baseline $11.8 (0.52)$ $11.7 (0.52)$ Week 12 $8.9 (0.78)$ $8.7 (0.76)$ Change Change $2.9 (0.72)$ $3.1 (0.70)$ $-0.2 (1.01)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.88$ Cohen d 0.68 0.71 -0.04 CAPS-5 E Baseline $10.4 (0.39)$ $9.7 (0.39)$ Week 12 $8.6 (0.50)$ $8.4 (0.49)$ $0.4 (0.60)$ P $<.0001$ $.002$ $.47$ Cohen d 0.54 0.41 0.13 PCL-5 Total V V V V Week 12 $34.6 (2.36)$ $30.6 (2.33)$ C Change $12.4 (1.93)$ $17.1 (1.89)$ $-4.8 (2.70)$ P $<.0001$	Baseline	4.0 (0.15)	4.3 (0.15)				
Change $0.9 (0.25)$ $1.3 (0.25)$ $-0.3 (0.36)$ P .001<.0001	Week 12	3.1 (0.26)	3.0 (0.25)				
P .001 <.0001 .35 Cohen d 0.73 1.00 -0.27 CAPS-5 D Baseline 11.8 (0.52) 11.7 (0.52) Week 12 8.9 (0.78) 8.7 (0.76) -0.21 (1.01) P <.0001	Change	0.9 (0.25)	1.3 (0.25)	-0.3 (0.36)			
Cohen d 0.73 1.00 -0.27 CAPS-5 DBaseline 11.8 (0.52) 11.7 (0.52)Week 12 8.9 (0.78) 8.7 (0.76)Change 2.9 (0.72) 3.1 (0.70) -0.2 (1.01) P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.88$ Cohen d 0.68 0.71 -0.04 CAPS-5 E 0.41 0.39) 9.7 (0.39)Week 12 8.6 (0.50) 8.4 (0.49)Change 1.8 (0.43) 1.3 (0.42) 0.4 (0.60) P $<.0001$ $.002$ $.47$ Cohen d 0.54 0.41 0.13 PCL-5 TotalBaseline 47.0 (1.77) 47.7 (1.76)Week 12 34.6 (2.36) 30.6 (2.33)Change 12.4 (1.93) 17.1 (1.89) -4.8 (2.70) P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.08$ Cohen d 0.84 1.16 -0.32 TLFB% Drinking days (DD)Baseline 52.1 (4.47) 56.5 (4.41) P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.81$ Cohen d 0.67 0.72 -0.04 % Heavy drinking days (HDD)Baseline 42.8 (4.57) 39.7 (4.50)Week 12 13.4 (3.06) 9.5 (3.01) -0.8 (6.33) P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.90$ Cohen d 0.78 0.80 -0.02	P	.001	<.0001	.35			
CAPS-5 DBaseline11.8 (0.52)11.7 (0.52)Week 128.9 (0.78)8.7 (0.76)Change2.9 (0.72)3.1 (0.70) $-0.2 (1.01)$ P<.0001	Cohen d	0./3	1.00	-0.27			
baseline11.8 (0.32)11.7 (0.32)Week 128.9 (0.78)8.7 (0.76)Change2.9 (0.72)3.1 (0.70) $-0.2 (1.01)$ P<.0001	CAPS-5 D Pacolino	11.9 (0.52)	117(052)				
Week 12 $0.5 (0.70)$ $0.7 (0.70)$ $0.7 (0.70)$ Change $2.9 (0.72)$ $3.1 (0.70)$ $-0.2 (1.01)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.88$ Cohen d 0.68 0.71 -0.04 CAPS-5 EBaseline $10.4 (0.39)$ $9.7 (0.39)$ Week 12 $8.6 (0.50)$ $8.4 (0.49)$ Change $1.8 (0.43)$ $1.3 (0.42)$ $0.4 (0.60)$ P $<.0001$ $.002$ $.47$ Cohen d 0.54 0.41 0.13 PCL-5 TotalBaseline $47.0 (1.77)$ $47.7 (1.76)$ Week 12 $34.6 (2.36)$ $30.6 (2.33)$ Change $12.4 (1.93)$ $17.1 (1.89)$ $-4.8 (2.70)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.08$ Cohen d 0.84 1.16 -0.32 TLFB% Drinking days (DD)Baseline $52.1 (4.47)$ $56.5 (4.41)$ Week 12 $27.2 (4.35)$ $29.9 (4.32)$ Change $25.0 (4.84)$ $26.6 (4.80)$ $-1.6 (6.81)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.81$ Cohen d 0.67 0.72 -0.04 % Heavy drinking days (HDD)Baseline $42.8 (4.57)$ $39.7 (4.50)$ Week 12 $13.4 (3.06)$ $9.5 (3.01)$ $-0.8 (6.33)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.90$ Cohen d 0.78 0.80 -0.02	Wook 12	8 0 (0.52)	87(0.52)				
P $2.9 (0.72)$ $5.1 (0.76)$ $0.2 (1.01)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.88$ Cohen d 0.68 0.71 -0.04 CAPS-5 E 0.71 -0.04 Baseline $10.4 (0.39)$ $9.7 (0.39)$ Week 12 $8.6 (0.50)$ $8.4 (0.49)$ Change $1.8 (0.43)$ $1.3 (0.42)$ $0.4 (0.60)$ P $<.0001$ $.002$ $.47$ Cohen d 0.54 0.41 0.13 PCL-5 TotalBaseline $47.0 (1.77)$ $47.7 (1.76)$ Week 12 $34.6 (2.36)$ $30.6 (2.33)$ Change $12.4 (1.93)$ $17.1 (1.89)$ $-4.8 (2.70)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.08$ Cohen d 0.84 1.16 -0.32 TLFB $0.66 (4.80)$ $-1.6 (6.81)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.81$ Cohen d 0.67 0.72 -0.04 % Drinking days (DD)Baseline $42.8 (4.57)$ $39.7 (4.50)$ Week 12 $13.4 (3.06)$ $9.5 (3.01)$ $-0.8 (6.33)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.90$ Change $29.3 (4.51)$ $30.1 (4.44)$ $-0.8 (6.33)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.90$	Change	29(0.78)	3.1 (0.70)	-0.2(1.01)			
Cohen d0.680.71-0.04CAPS-5 EBaseline10.4 (0.39)9.7 (0.39)Week 128.6 (0.50)8.4 (0.49)Change1.8 (0.43)1.3 (0.42)0.4 (0.60)P<.0001	P	<.0001	<.0001	.88			
CAPS-5 E International and the second	, Cohen <i>d</i>	0.68	0.71	-0.04			
Baseline10.4 (0.39)9.7 (0.39)Week 128.6 (0.50)8.4 (0.49)Change1.8 (0.43)1.3 (0.42)0.4 (0.60) P <.0001	CAPS-5 E						
Week 12 $8.6 (0.50)$ $8.4 (0.49)$ Change $1.8 (0.43)$ $1.3 (0.42)$ $0.4 (0.60)$ P $<.0001$ $.002$ $.47$ Cohen d 0.54 0.41 0.13 PCL-5 TotalBaseline $47.0 (1.77)$ $47.7 (1.76)$ Week 12 $34.6 (2.36)$ $30.6 (2.33)$ Change $12.4 (1.93)$ $17.1 (1.89)$ $-4.8 (2.70)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.08$ Cohen d 0.84 1.16 -0.32 TLFB% Drinking days (DD)Baseline $52.1 (4.47)$ $56.5 (4.41)$ Week 12 $27.2 (4.35)$ $29.9 (4.32)$ Change $25.0 (4.84)$ $26.6 (4.80)$ $-1.6 (6.81)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.81$ Cohen d 0.67 0.72 -0.04 % Heavy drinking days (HDD)Baseline $42.8 (4.57)$ $39.7 (4.50)$ Week 12 $13.4 (3.06)$ $9.5 (3.01)$ $-0.8 (6.33)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.90$ Cohen d 0.78 0.80 -0.02	Baseline	10.4 (0.39)	9.7 (0.39)				
Change1.8 (0.43)1.3 (0.42)0.4 (0.60) P <.0001	Week 12	8.6 (0.50)	8.4 (0.49)				
P<.0001.002.47Cohen d 0.540.410.13PCL-5 TotalBaseline47.0 (1.77)47.7 (1.76)Week 1234.6 (2.36)30.6 (2.33)Change12.4 (1.93)17.1 (1.89) P <.0001	Change	1.8 (0.43)	1.3 (0.42)	0.4 (0.60)			
Cohen d 0.54 0.41 0.13 PCL-5 TotalBaseline $47.0 (1.77)$ $47.7 (1.76)$ Week 12 $34.6 (2.36)$ $30.6 (2.33)$ Change $12.4 (1.93)$ $17.1 (1.89)$ $-4.8 (2.70)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.08$ Cohen d 0.84 1.16 -0.32 TLFB% Drinking days (DD)Baseline $52.1 (4.47)$ $56.5 (4.41)$ Week 12 $27.2 (4.35)$ $29.9 (4.32)$ Change $25.0 (4.84)$ $26.6 (4.80)$ $-1.6 (6.81)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.81$ Cohen d 0.67 0.72 -0.04 % Heavy drinking days (HDD)Baseline $42.8 (4.57)$ $39.7 (4.50)$ Week 12 $13.4 (3.06)$ $9.5 (3.01)$ $-0.8 (6.33)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.90$ Cohen d 0.78 0.80 -0.02	Р	<.0001	.002	.47			
PCL-5 Total Baseline $47.0 (1.77)$ $47.7 (1.76)$ Week 12 $34.6 (2.36)$ $30.6 (2.33)$ Change $12.4 (1.93)$ $17.1 (1.89)$ $-4.8 (2.70)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.08$ Cohen d 0.84 1.16 -0.32 TLFB % Drinking days (DD) Baseline $52.1 (4.47)$ $56.5 (4.41)$ Week 12 $27.2 (4.35)$ $29.9 (4.32)$ Change $25.0 (4.84)$ $26.6 (4.80)$ $-1.6 (6.81)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.81$ Cohen d 0.67 0.72 -0.04 % Heavy drinking days (HDD) Baseline $42.8 (4.57)$ $39.7 (4.50)$ Week 12 $13.4 (3.06)$ $9.5 (3.01)$ $-0.8 (6.33)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.90$ Change $29.3 (4.51)$ $30.1 (4.44)$ $-0.8 (6.33)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.90$ Change $29.3 (4.51)$	Cohen <i>d</i>	0.54	0.41	0.13			
Baseline 47.0 (1.77) 47.7 (1.76) Week 12 34.6 (2.36) 30.6 (2.33) Change 12.4 (1.93) 17.1 (1.89) -4.8 (2.70) P <.0001	PCL-5 Total						
Week 12 $34.6 (2.36)$ $30.6 (2.33)$ Change $12.4 (1.93)$ $17.1 (1.89)$ $-4.8 (2.70)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.08$ Cohen d 0.84 1.16 -0.32 TLFB % Drinking days (DD) Baseline $52.1 (4.47)$ $56.5 (4.41)$ Week 12 $27.2 (4.35)$ $29.9 (4.32)$ Change $25.0 (4.84)$ $26.6 (4.80)$ $-1.6 (6.81)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.81$ Cohen d 0.67 0.72 -0.04 % Heavy drinking days (HDD) Baseline $42.8 (4.57)$ $39.7 (4.50)$ Week 12 $13.4 (3.06)$ $9.5 (3.01)$ $-0.8 (6.33)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.90$ Change $29.3 (4.51)$ $30.1 (4.44)$ $-0.8 (6.33)$ P $<.0001$ $.0001$ $.90$	Baseline	47.0 (1.77)	47.7 (1.76)				
Change $12.4 (1.93)$ $17.1 (1.89)$ $-4.8 (2.70)$ P <.0001	Week 12	34.6 (2.36)	30.6 (2.33)	4.0 (0.70)			
P < .0001 < .0001 .08 Cohen d 0.84 1.16 -0.32 TLFB % Drinking days (DD) Baseline 52.1 (4.47) 56.5 (4.41) Week 12 27.2 (4.35) 29.9 (4.32) Change 25.0 (4.84) 26.6 (4.80) -1.6 (6.81) P <.0001 $<.0001$ $.81$ Cohen d 0.67 0.72 -0.04 % Heavy drinking days (HDD) Baseline 42.8 (4.57) 39.7 (4.50) Week 12 13.4 (3.06) 9.5 (3.01) -0.8 (6.33) P Change 29.3 (4.51) 30.1 (4.44) -0.8 (6.33) P Cohen d 0.78 0.80 -0.2	Change	12.4 (1.93)	17.1 (1.89)	-4.8 (2.70)			
Cohen d 0.04° 1.10° 0.32° TLFB % Drinking days (DD) Baseline $52.1 (4.47)$ $56.5 (4.41)$ Week 12 $27.2 (4.35)$ $29.9 (4.32)$ Change $25.0 (4.84)$ $26.6 (4.80)$ $-1.6 (6.81)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.81$ Cohen d 0.67 0.72 -0.04 % Heavy drinking days (HDD) Baseline $42.8 (4.57)$ $39.7 (4.50)$ Week 12 $13.4 (3.06)$ $9.5 (3.01)$ $-0.8 (6.33)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.90$ Cohen d 0.78 0.80 -0.2	r Cohen d	<.0001	<.0001	.00			
% Drinking days (DD) Baseline 52.1 (4.47) 56.5 (4.41) Week 12 27.2 (4.35) 29.9 (4.32) Change 25.0 (4.84) 26.6 (4.80) -1.6 (6.81) <i>P</i> <.0001 <.0001 .81 Cohen <i>d</i> 0.67 0.72 -0.04 % Heavy drinking days (HDD) Baseline 42.8 (4.57) 39.7 (4.50) Week 12 13.4 (3.06) 9.5 (3.01) Change 29.3 (4.51) 30.1 (4.44) -0.8 (6.33) <i>P</i> <.0001 <.0001 .90 Cohen <i>d</i> 0.78 0.80 -0.02	TLFB	0.04	1.10	-0.52			
Baseline 52.1 (4.47) 56.5 (4.41) Week 12 27.2 (4.35) 29.9 (4.32) Change 25.0 (4.84) 26.6 (4.80) -1.6 (6.81) P <.0001	% Drinking days (DD)						
Week 1227.2 (4.35)29.9 (4.32)Change25.0 (4.84)26.6 (4.80) -1.6 (6.81)P<.0001	Baseline	52.1 (4.47)	56.5 (4.41)				
Change $25.0 (4.84)$ $26.6 (4.80)$ $-1.6 (6.81)$ P<.0001	Week 12	27.2 (4.35)	29.9 (4.32)				
P <.0001 <.0001 .81 Cohen d 0.67 0.72 -0.04 % Heavy drinking days (HDD) Baseline 42.8 (4.57) 39.7 (4.50) Week 12 13.4 (3.06) 9.5 (3.01) 0.1 (4.44) -0.8 (6.33) P <.0001	Change	25.0 (4.84)	26.6 (4.80)	-1.6 (6.81)			
Cohen d 0.67 0.72 -0.04 % Heavy drinking days (HDD) Baseline 42.8 (4.57) 39.7 (4.50) Week 12 13.4 (3.06) 9.5 (3.01) Change 29.3 (4.51) 30.1 (4.44) -0.8 (6.33) P <.0001	Р	<.0001	<.0001	.81			
% Heavy drinking days (HDD) Baseline 42.8 (4.57) 39.7 (4.50) Week 12 13.4 (3.06) 9.5 (3.01) Change 29.3 (4.51) 30.1 (4.44) -0.8 (6.33) P <.0001 .0001 .90 Cohen d 0.78 0.80 -0.02	Cohen d	0.67	0.72	-0.04			
Baseline $42.8 (4.57)$ $39.7 (4.50)$ Week 12 13.4 (3.06) 9.5 (3.01) Change 29.3 (4.51) 30.1 (4.44) $-0.8 (6.33)$ P <.0001	% Heavy drinking days (HDD)						
week 12 $13.4 (3.00)$ $9.5 (3.01)$ Change $29.3 (4.51)$ $30.1 (4.44)$ $-0.8 (6.33)$ P <.0001	Baseline	42.8 (4.57)	39.7 (4.50)				
Change $25.3 (+3.1)$ $30.1 (+3.4)$ $-0.6 (0.53)$ P $<.0001$ $<.0001$ $.90$ Cohen d 0.78 0.80 -0.02	Week 12	13.4 (3.00)	9.5 (3.01) 30 1 (4.44)	-0.8 (6.32)			
Cohen d 0.78 0.80 -0.02	P	<pre>29.3 (4.31) </pre>	< 0001	-0.0 (0.33) QN			
	Cohen d	0.78	0.80	-0.02			

^aEntries are model-based estimated least-squares means and standard errors (SEs) and within-group change from baseline to week 12. ^bBetween-group difference in pre-post change. Cohen *d* values are the estimated change and differences standardized by the baseline standard deviations. Degrees of freedom are the Kenward-Roger estimates. Abbreviations: CAPS-5 = Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for *DSM-5*, CAPS-5 B = intrusion symptoms. CAPS-5 C = avoidance symptoms, CAPS-5 D = negative alterations in mood and cognition, CAPS-5 E = alterations in arousal and reactivity, PCL-5 = PTSD Checklist for *DSM-5*, DTGD.

PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder, TLFB = Timeline Follow-Back.

47.3 (14.8). Participants consumed alcohol 54% of days prior to study entry, with 41% heavy drinking days. The mean (SD) AUDIT total score at baseline was 19.4 (9.4) and similar between groups. Approximately 60% (n = 84) of the sample reported taking a psychotropic medication. The most common type of psychotropic medications reported were antidepressants (82.1%) and there was no difference

check PDF on any website between treatment groups. Few participants reported taking antianxiety medications (n=4) or antipsychotics (n=7). Anticonvulsants were reported by 30 participants (16 in doxazosin, 14 in placebo) primarily to treat pain or migraine headaches. No group differences in demographics or baseline PTSD or AUD severity were observed.

Primary Outcomes

PTSD symptom severity. Examination of the CAPS-5 total scores revealed a significant effect of time ($F_{3,139} = 30.4$, P < .0001), as depicted in Figure 2. Neither the medication main effect nor the time × medication group interaction was significant (see Table 2). Examination of the CAPS-5 subscales also showed significant main effects of time (all P < .0001). Both groups improved significantly on all CAPS-5 subscales, but none of the tests of differences between medication groups were significant. Similar results were found for the PCL-5 total score, ie, a significant main effect of time ($F_{14, 139} = 10.97$, P < .0001) with comparable improvement in both medication groups (P < .0001) but no significant difference between them.

Alcohol consumption. Examination of alcohol consumption outcomes collected using the TLFB included percent drinking days (DD), percent heavy drinking days (HDD), and abstinence. Main effects of time were revealed for DD and HDD (P<.0001), as shown in Figure 2, but no significant effects of medication or interactions of time × medication were observed (both P>.20; see Table 2). Participants in the doxazosin group were more likely to abstain from alcohol during the 12-week treatment phase (22% abstinence for doxazosin vs 7% for placebo, χ^2_1 =5.7, P=.017). None of the demographic variables (ie, age, race, ethnicity, gender, education, marital status, military grade, or years of military service) differentiated between those who were or were not abstinent in the doxazosin group.

Secondary Outcomes

Analysis of drinks per drinking day revealed that participants in the doxazosin group consumed a greater number of drinks on the days they consumed alcohol (mean [SD] = 6.15 [3.51]) compared to participants in the placebo group (4.56 [2.91]; t_{111} = 2.63, P = .0096, d = 0.50). Overall, craving decreased from baseline (mean [SD] = 5.0 [2.9]) to week 12 (mean [SD] = 3.7 [3.2]), but there was no significant difference between groups.

Retention and Medication Compliance

About 75% of participants (n = 105/141) completed the treatment phase, and there was no difference between medication groups in retention (75.7% doxazosin vs 73.0% placebo; χ^2_1 =0.11, *P*=.74). Consistent with existing literature from prazosin trials,³⁷ completers were defined as participants with complete data at the end of treatment (week 12), whether or not they remained on the medication. Medication compliance (ie, ≥ 900 ng/mL of riboflavin)⁶⁰ was 75.5%, and there were no differences between medication groups.

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website. Safety and Adverse Events

Common adverse events (AEs) included dizziness, gastrointestinal symptoms (eg, nausea), joint/muscle pain, cold or sinus congestion, sleep problems, and vivid dreams/ nightmares. No differences in the overall frequency of side effects were observed by treatment group (101 total AEs among participants randomized to doxazosin and 112 total AEs among those randomized to placebo reported at least one AE). Twenty-one AEs (8 medical, 13 psychiatric) occurred during the study that were considered serious adverse events (SAEs), with 12 in the doxazosin group (5 medical, 7 psychiatric) and 9 in the placebo group (3 medical, 6 psychiatric). The most common SAEs were hospital admissions for medical reasons (eg, hemorrhoids, hernia surgery, chest pain, viral gastroenteritis, diabetes complications), psychiatric problems (eg, depression, suicidal ideation, panic attack/anxiety), or inpatient treatment for alcohol use.

DISCUSSION

This randomized, placebo-controlled trial is the first to evaluate the efficacy of the α_1 -adrenergic antagonist doxazosin among individuals with co-occurring PTSD and AUD. Participants randomized to both treatment conditions evidenced significant reductions in primary outcome measures of PTSD and alcohol consumption during the 12-week treatment phase, and there were no differences between groups. Contrary to hypotheses, participants who received doxazosin did not demonstrate significantly greater overall reductions in PTSD or AUD severity (ie, percent drinking days, percent heavy drinking days) compared to those who received placebo. Rates of abstinence were higher in the doxazosin group. However, the number of drinks consumed per drinking day (secondary outcome) was higher in participants who received doxazosin than in those who received placebo (approximately 1.5 drinks more on drinking days). High rates of retention were observed in both groups, and minimal AEs were reported during the study, which supports the safety, feasibility, and tolerability of doxazosin (16 mg) in this patient population.

Although unexpected, the findings are similar to outcomes published since the time of study initiation examining the α_1 -adrenergic antagonist prazosin, ^{37,38} which has a comparable mechanism of action and is used off-label to treat PTSD. While most studies of prazosin demonstrate reductions in nightmares, which are hallmark nocturnal symptoms of PTSD,⁶¹ and daytime hyperarousal,⁶²⁻⁶⁵ the findings are mixed.^{66,67} A phase 3 randomized controlled trial³⁸ among veterans (N = 304) at 12 VA medical centers did not find significant differences in PTSD symptoms between prazosin and placebo. The authors posit that selection bias due to certain inclusion and exclusion criteria resulted in a sample that was fairly clinically stable and may have been less likely to respond to prazosin. More recently, Hendrickson and colleagues⁶³ conducted a post hoc secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial of prazosin among active duty

soldiers (N = 67) and found prazosin had the largest effects on nightmares, sleep disruption, difficulty concentrating, and hypervigilance (mainly hyperarousal symptom) that cross the traditional *DSM-5* PTSD symptom clusters. The findings align with the neurobiological and behavioral rationale for targeting the noradrenergic system in pharmacologic treatment of PTSD and AUD and reinforce the emerging concept that noradrenergic-targeted medications may have differential efficacy depending on individual patient characteristics, clinical trial population characteristics, and the severity of specific pathophysiologically related symptoms.⁶³

Indeed, accumulating findings suggest that prazosin and doxazosin may be most effective for a subgroup of patients who evidence more severe adrenergic dysfunction. Several studies examining the efficacy of doxazosin or prazosin among patients with AUD demonstrate differential effects of pretreatment blood pressure,^{39,68} family history density of AUD,⁴² and alcohol withdrawal symptoms.⁴⁰ In these studies, individuals with higher blood pressure, more severe family history density, and more severe alcohol withdrawal symptoms derived greater treatment benefit from α_1 -adrenergic antagonists. For example, the findings of Sinha and colleagues⁴⁰ among community-recruited adults (N=100) showed that alcohol withdrawal severity moderated prazosin's efficacy in reducing alcohol consumption. An earlier, proof-of-concept randomized controlled trial⁴² of doxazosin (16 mg/d) among 41 individuals with AUD found no overall group differences; however, patients with high family history density evidenced significantly decreased drinks per week and heavy drinking days on doxazosin as compared to placebo.

Among individuals with co-occurring PTSD/AUD, two randomized controlled trials have investigated the efficacy of prazosin.^{35,37} Simpson and colleagues³⁵ conducted a 6-week, double-blind pilot trial of prazosin among individuals (N = 30) with PTSD/AUD and found that prazosin resulted in greater reduction in percent days drinking and percent heavy days drinking than placebo, but no significant group differences were observed in PTSD symptoms. Petrakis and colleagues³⁷ conducted a 13-week randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of prazosin among 96 veterans with PTSD/AUD. Both alcohol use and PTSD symptoms improved over the course of treatment, but no significant differences in medication group were observed. The rate of abstinence during treatment was higher in the prazosin as compared to the placebo group (46% vs 35%), but this difference did not reach statistical significance. In the current study, the rate of abstinence was significantly higher among participants who received doxazosin as compared to placebo (22% vs 7%). The overall abstinence rate in the current study, however, was lower than in the study by Petrakis and colleagues³⁷ and may be due to methodological differences. Participants in the study by Petrakis and colleagues were required to be abstinent prior to randomization, and most participants were living in sober housing during the study, which may have influenced motivation for abstinence. The current study It is illegal to post this cop also found that, on days that participants randomized to doxazosin consumed alcohol, they consumed significantly more alcohol than participants randomized to placebo. This finding was unexpected, and much remains to be learned regarding person and event-level factors that might influence α_1 -adrenergic antagonist efficacy.^{69,70} The extant literature is clear that treating co-occurring PTSD/ AUD is more complex and challenging than treating either condition alone, and the overall null findings in the present study may reflect that doxazosin has diminished effects among those with co-occurring PTSD and AUD. In addition, it is possible that combination regimens of medications with different mechanisms of action may be useful to consider for patients with both PTSD and AUD.

The current study has several limitations that warrant consideration. The sample consisted of primarily male military veterans, and the results may not generalize to other populations. The study dose and medication taper used in the current study were fixed and were not adjusted as would be done in clinical practice. Although participants were treatment-seeking, they were not required to report a minimum level of readiness to change their drinking behavior or engage in PTSD treatment. Future research should examine readiness to change drinking behavior. The primary outcome measures were self-report and ighted PDF on any website may be limited by recall bias and memory. Although a small proportion of participants reported alcohol abstinence at baseline, and those proportions were similar between treatment arms, outcomes might have been influenced by the lack of a run-in period in this study. All participants were enrolled in services at the VA, and although individuals were excluded if enrolled in evidence-based treatments for AUD or PTSD, they were allowed to receive standard psychosocial services during the study, which may have influenced the outcomes.

In summary, the current study is the largest study to date to examine the role of doxazosin, a long-acting α_1 -adrenergic antagonist, for the treatment of co-occurring PTSD and AUD. Contrary to hypotheses, no overall treatment group differences were observed, and although the doxazosintreated group had a higher rate of abstinence, participants in the doxazosin group consumed more alcohol on drinking days than participants in the placebo group. Additional research and replication of the findings are needed before definitive conclusions can be made. Future data analyses will evaluate potential moderators, such as family history density of AUD and baseline physiologic factors such as blood pressure. Critical questions remain regarding how to optimize pharmacologic strategies that target the persistent and debilitating symptoms of comorbid PTSD and AUD.

Submitted: December 21, 2021; accepted October 24, 2022.

Published online: March 8, 2023.

Relevant financial relationships: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding/support: This research was supported by Consortium to Alleviate PTSD (CAP) award numbers W81XWH-13-2-0065 from the US Department of Defense, Defense Health Program, Psychological Health and Traumatic Brain Injury Research Program (PH/TBI RP) and CX001136 from the US Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of Research & Development, Clinical Science Research & Development Service. Additional support was provided by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (K23AA023845, K23AA027307) and the National Institute on Drug Abuse (K02DA039229, K12DA031794).

Role of the sponsor: The funding sources were not involved in the study design; the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; the writing of this manuscript; or the decision to submit this manuscript for publication.

Disclaimer: The views expressed herein are solely those of the authors and do not reflect an endorsement by or the official policy or position of the Ralph H. Johnson VA, the US Army Office of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Army, the Department of the Air Force, the Department of Defense, the Department of Veterans Affairs, or the US Government.

Previous presentation: A portion of the findings included in this article were presented on December 6, 2021, at the annual meeting of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ACNP), San Juan, Puerto Rico (virtual).

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank the following individuals for their assistance with recruitment and study implementation: Ron Acierno, PhD, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston; Anjinetta Yates-Johnson, PA, Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC); Wendy Muzzy, MRA, MUSC; Kimberly Blitch, DHA, Ralph H. Johnson VA Medical Center; Michelle Pompeii, MPH, Ralph H. Johnson VA Medical Center; Linette Dubois, BFA, Ralph H. Johnson VA Medical Center; Katherine Beavis, PhD, Ralph H. Johnson VA Medical Center; and Chris de Leon, BS, MUSC. There are no conflicts of interest to declare for the individuals acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Smith NDL, Cottler LB. The epidemiology of post-traumatic stress disorder and alcohol use disorder. *Alcohol Res.* 2018;39(2):113–120.
- Vujanovic AA, Back SE. Posttraumatic Stress and Substance Use Disorders: A Comprehensive Clinical Handbook. 1st ed. Routledge; 2019.
- Khantzian EJ. The self-medication hypothesis of addictive disorders: focus on heroin and cocaine dependence. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1985;142(11):1259–1264.
- Hawn SE, Cusack SE, Amstadter AB. A systematic review of the self-medication hypothesis in the context of posttraumatic stress disorder and comorbid problematic alcohol use. *J Trauma Stress*. 2020;33(5):699–708.
- Kehle SM, Reddy MK, Ferrier-Auerbach AG, et al. Psychiatric diagnoses, comorbidity, and functioning in National Guard troops deployed to Iraq. J Psychiatr Res. 2011;45(1):126–132.
- Fulton JJ, Calhoun PS, Wagner HR, et al. The prevalence of posttraumatic stress disorder in Operation Enduring Freedom/Operation Iraqi Freedom (OEF/OIF) Veterans: a meta-analysis. J Anxiety Disord. 2015;31:98–107.
- Petrakis IL, Rosenheck R, Desai R. Substance use comorbidity among veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder and other psychiatric illness. *Am J Addict*. 2011;20(3):185–189.
- 8. Blanco C, Xu Y, Brady K, et al. Comorbidity of

posttraumatic stress disorder with alcohol dependence among US adults: results from National Epidemiological Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2013;132(3):630–638.

- Marx BP, Brailey K, Proctor SP, et al. Association of time since deployment, combat intensity, and posttraumatic stress symptoms with neuropsychological outcomes following Iraq war deployment. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2009;66(9):996–1004.
- Monson CM, Taft CT, Fredman SJ. Militaryrelated PTSD and intimate relationships: from description to theory-driven research and intervention development. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2009;29(8):707–714.
- Ouimette P, Moos RH, Finney JW. PTSD treatment and 5-year remission among patients with substance use and posttraumatic stress disorders. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2003;71(2):410–414.
- Pietrzak RH, Goldstein RB, Southwick SM, et al. Prevalence and Axis I comorbidity of full and partial posttraumatic stress disorder in the United States: results from Wave 2 of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. J Anxiety Disord. 2011;25(3):456–465.
- Pietrzak RH, Goldstein MB, Malley JC, et al. Subsyndromal posttraumatic stress disorder is associated with health and psychosocial difficulties in veterans of Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom. *Depress Anxiety*. 2009;26(8):739–744.
- Batki SL, Pennington DL, Lasher B, et al. Topiramate treatment of alcohol use disorder in Veterans with PTSD: a randomized controlled pilot trial. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2014;38(8):2169–2177.
- Brady KT, Sonne S, Anton RF, et al. Sertraline in the treatment of co-occurring alcohol dependence and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2005;29(3):395–401.

For reprints or permissions, contact permissions@psychiatrist.com. ◆ © 2023 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc. 8 ■ PSYCHIATRIST.COM J Clin Psychiatry 84:2, March/April 2023

oa EB, Yusko DA, McLean CP, et al. Concurrent naltrexone and prolonged exposure therapy for patients with comorbid alcohol dependence and PTSD: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2013:310(5):488-495.

- 17. Petrakis IL, Simpson TL. Posttraumatic stress disorder and alcohol use disorder: a critical review of pharmacologic treatments. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2017;41(2):226-237.
- 18. Sofuoglu M, Rosenheck R, Petrakis I. Pharmacological treatment of comorbid PTSD and substance use disorder: recent progress. Addict Behav. 2014;39(2):428-433.
- 19. Haass-Koffler CL, Swift RM, Leggio L. Noradrenergic targets for the treatment of alcohol use disorder. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2018;235(6):1625-1634.
- 20. Kalk NJ, Nutt DJ, Lingford-Hughes AR. The role of central noradrenergic dysregulation in anxiety disorders: evidence from clinical studies. J Psychopharmacol. 2011;25(1):3-16.
- 21. Lanteri C, Salomon L, Torrens Y, et al. Drugs of abuse specifically sensitize noradrenergic and serotonergic neurons via a non-dopaminergic mechanism. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2008;33(7):1724-1734.
- 22. Sinha R, Fox HC, Hong KA, et al. Enhanced negative emotion and alcohol craving, and altered physiological responses following stress and cue exposure in alcohol dependent individuals. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2009;34(5):1198-1208.
- 23. Giustino TF, Maren S. Noradrenergic modulation of fear conditioning and extinction. Front Behav Neurosci. 2018;12:43.
- 24. Aston-Jones G, Cohen JD. Adaptive gain and the role of the locus coeruleus-norepinephrine system in optimal performance. J Comp Neurol. 2005;493(1):99-110.
- 25. Southwick SM, Bremner JD, Rasmusson A, et al. Role of norepinephrine in the pathophysiology and treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 1999;46(9):1192-1204.
- 26. Bremner JD, Innis RB, Ng CK, et al. Positron emission tomography measurement of cerebral metabolic correlates of yohimbine administration in combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 1997:54(3):246-254.
- 27. Erb S, Hitchcott PK, Rajabi H, et al. Alpha-2 adrenergic receptor agonists block stressinduced reinstatement of cocaine seeking. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2000;23(2):138-150.
- 28. Verplaetse TL, McKee SA, Petrakis IL. Pharmacotherapy for co-occurring alcohol use disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder: Targeting the opioidergic, noradrenergic, serotonergic, and gabaergic/glutamatergic systems. Alcohol Res. 2018;39(2):193-205.
- 29. Burnette EM, Nieto SJ, Grodin EN, et al. Novel agents for the pharmacological treatment of alcohol use disorder. Drugs. 2022;82(3):251-274.
- 30. Germain A, Richardson R, Moul DE, et al. Placebo-controlled comparison of prazosin and cognitive-behavioral treatments for sleep disturbances in US Military Veterans. J Psychosom Res. 2012;72(2):89–96.
- 31. Raskind MA, Peskind ER, Hoff DJ, et al. A parallel group placebo controlled study of prazosin for trauma nightmares and sleep disturbance in combat veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2007;61(8):928-934.
- 32. Raskind MA, Peskind ER, Kanter ED, et al. Reduction of nightmares and other PTSD symptoms in combat veterans by prazosin: a placebo-controlled study. Am J Psychiatry.

- his copyrighted PDF 33. Singh B, Hughes AJ, Mehta G, et al. Efficacy of prazosin in posttraumatic stress disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Prim Care Companion CNS Disord, 2016:18(4) PCC.16r01943.
- 34. Fox HC, Anderson GM, Tuit K, et al. Prazosin effects on stress- and cue-induced craving and stress response in alcohol-dependent individuals: preliminary findings. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2012;36(2):351-360.
- 35. Simpson TL, Malte CA, Dietel B, et al. A pilot trial of prazosin, an alpha-1 adrenergic antagonist, for comorbid alcohol dependence and posttraumatic stress disorder. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2015:39(5):808-817.
- 36. Simpson TL, Saxon AJ, Meredith CW, et al. A pilot trial of the alpha-1 adrenergic antagonist, prazosin, for alcohol dependence. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2009;33(2):255-263.
- 37. Petrakis IL, Desai N, Gueorguieva R, et al. Prazosin for Veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder and comorbid alcohol dependence: a clinical trial. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2016;40(1):178-186.
- 38. Raskind MA, Peskind ER, Chow B, et al. Trial of prazosin for post-traumatic stress disorder in Military Veterans. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(6):507-517.
- 39. Haass-Koffler CL, Goodyear K, Zywiak WH, et al. Higher pretreatment blood pressure is associated with greater alcohol drinking reduction in alcohol-dependent individuals treated with doxazosin. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2017;177:23-28.
- 40 Sinha R, Wemm S, Fogelman N, et al. Moderation of prazosin's efficacy by alcohol withdrawal symptoms. Am J Psychiatry. 2021;178(5):447-458.
- 41. Simpson TL, Saxon AJ, Stappenbeck C, et al. Double-blind randomized clinical trial of prazosin for alcohol use disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2018;175(12):1216-1224.
- 42. Kenna GA, Haass-Koffler CL, Zywiak WH, et al. Role of the a1 blocker doxazosin in alcoholism: a proof-of-concept randomized controlled trial. Addict Biol. 2016;21(4):904-914.
- 43. Weiss RD. Adherence to pharmacotherapy in patients with alcohol and opioid dependence. Addiction. 2004;99(11):1382-1392.
- 44. Fulton B, Wagstaff AJ, Sorkin EM. Doxazosin: an update of its clinical pharmacology and therapeutic applications in hypertension and benign prostatic hyperplasia. Drugs. 1995;49(2):295-320.
- 45. Kirby RS, Chapple CR, Sethia K, et al. Morning vs evening dosing with doxazosin in benign prostatic hyperplasia: efficacy and safety. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. 1998;1(3):163–171.
- 46. O'Neil ML, Beckwith LE, Kincaid CL, et al. The a1-adrenergic receptor antagonist, doxazosin, reduces alcohol drinking in alcohol-preferring (P) Rats. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2013;37(2):202-212.
- 47. Lopez MF, Reasons SE, Carper BA, et al. Evaluation of the effect of doxasozin and zonisamide on voluntary ethanol intake in mice that experienced chronic intermittent ethanol exposure and stress. Alcohol. 2020;89:37-42.
- 48. De Jong J, Wauben P, Huijbrechts I, et al. Doxazosin treatment for posttraumatic stress disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2010:30(1):84-85
- Rodgman C, Verrico CD, Holst M, et al. 49. Doxazosin XL reduces symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder in veterans with PTSD: a pilot clinical trial. J Clin Psychiatry. 2016;77(5):e561-e565.
- 50. Richards A, Inslicht S, Ruoff LM, et al. An

open-label study of doxazosin extende release for ptsd: Findings and recommendations for future research on doxazosin. Focus Am Psychiatr Publ. 2018:16(1):67-73.

- 51. Weathers FW, Blake DD, Schnurr PP, et al. The Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5). Instrument available from the National Center for PTSD at www.ptsd.va.gov; 2013. Accessed December 21, 2021.
- 52. Weathers FW, Litz B, Keane TM, et al. The PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5). The National Center for PTSD. PTSD.VA.gov website. www. ptsd.va.gov; 2013. Accessed December 21, 2021.
- 53. Sobell LC, Sobell MB. Timeline follow-back: a technique for assessing self-reported alcohol consumption. In: Measuring Alcohol Consumption: Psychosocial and Biochemical Methods. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 1992:41-72.
- Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, et al. 54. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry. 1998;59(suppl 20):22-33, quiz 34-57.
- 55. Sullivan JT, Sykora K, Schneiderman J, et al. Assessment of alcohol withdrawal: the revised clinical institute withdrawal assessment for alcohol scale (CIWA-Ar). Br J Addict. 1989:84(11):1353-1357.
- 56. Peterson AL, Young-McCaughan S, Roache JD, et al; STRONG STAR Consortium and the Consortium to Alleviate PTSD. STRONG STAR and the Consortium to Alleviate PTSD: shaping the future of combat PTSD and related conditions in military and veteran populations. Contemp Clin Trials. 2021;110:106583.
- 57. Back SE, Flanagan JC, Jones JL, et al; Consortium to Alleviate PTSD. Doxazosin for the treatment of co-occurring PTSD and alcohol use disorder: Design and methodology of a randomized controlled trial in military veterans. Contemp Clin Trials. 2018;73:8-15.
- 58. Babor TF, Robaina K. The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): a review of graded severity algorithms and national adaptations. Int J Alcohol Drug Res. 2016;5(2):17-24.
- 59. Ben Barnes J, Presseau C, Jordan AH, et al. The Consortium to Alleviate PTSD. Common data elements in the assessment of military-related PTSD research applied in the Consortium to Alleviate PTSD. Mil Med. 2019;184(5-6):e218e226.
- 60. Herron AJ, Mariani JJ, Pavlicova M, et al. Assessment of riboflavin as a tracer substance: comparison of a qualitative to a quantitative method of riboflavin measurement. Drug Alcohol Depend, 2013;128(1-2);77-82
- 61. Habukawa M, Uchimura N, Maeda M, et al. Differences in rapid eye movement (REM) sleep abnormalities between posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and major depressive disorder patients: REM interruption correlated with nightmare complaints in PTSD. Sleep Med. 2018;43:34-39.
- 62. Reist C, Streja E, Tang CC, et al. Prazosin for treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. CNS Spectr. 2021;26(4):338-344.
- 63. Hendrickson RC, Millard SP, Pagulayan KF, et al. The relative effects of prazosin on individual PTSD symptoms: evidence for pathophysiologically-related clustering. Chronic Stress (Thousand Oaks). 2021;5:2470547020979780.
- 64. Hoskins MD, Bridges J, Sinnerton R, et al. Pharmacological therapy for post-traumatic

For reprints or permissions, contact permissions@psychiatrist.com. • © 2023 Copyright Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc. J Clin Psychiatry 84:2, March/April 2023

PSYCHIATRIST.COM **9**

Back et al It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any websit stress disorder: a systematic review and meta-Psychological and pharmacological Psychological and pharmacological estransistic review and meta-

analysis of monotherapy, augmentation and head-to-head approaches. *Eur J Psychotraumatol.* 2021;12(1):jcm1802920.

- Martin A, Naunton M, Kosari S, et al. Treatment guidelines for PTSD: a systematic review. J Clin Med. 2021;10(18):4175.
- 66. Zhang Y, Ren R, Sanford LD, et al. The effects of prazosin on sleep disturbances in posttraumatic stress disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med*. 2020;67:225–231.
- 67. Coventry PA, Meader N, Melton H, et al.

Psychological and pharmacological interventions for posttraumatic stress disorder and comorbid mental health problems following complex traumatic events: systematic review and component network meta-analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2020;17(8):e1003262.

- Raskind MA, Millard SP, Petrie EC, et al. Higher pretreatment blood pressure is associated with greater posttraumatic stress disorder symptom reduction in soldiers treated with prazosin. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2016;80(10):736–742.
- 69. Wilson SM, Krenek M, Dennis PA, et al. Daily

associations between PTSD, drinking, and self-appraised alcohol-related problems. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2017;31(1):27–35.

- Simpson TL, Stappenbeck CA, Luterek JA, et al. Drinking motives moderate daily relationships between PTSD symptoms and alcohol use. J Abnorm Psychol. 2014;123(1):237–247.
- Cohen J. Statistical Power for the Behavioral Sciences. 2nd ed. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 1988.
- Cramer H. The two-dimensional case. In: Mathematical Methods of Statistics. Princeton University Press; 1946.